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Nontyphoidal salmonellae are among the leading causes of food-borne disease in the United States. Because of the importance of Salmonella enterica in food-borne disease, numerous typing methodologies have been developed. Among the several molecular typing methods, pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) is currently considered the “gold standard” technique in typing Salmonella. The aim of this study was to compare the discriminatory power of PFGE to multilocus sequence typing (MLST) in typing Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium clinical isolates. A total of 85 Salmonella Typhimurium clinical isolates from cattle were used in this study. PFGE using XbaI was performed on the 85 isolates by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention method, and data were analyzed using the BioNumerics software package. Fifty PFGE profiles were observed among the isolates, and these grouped into three major clusters. For the MLST analysis, the manB, pduF, glnA, and spaM genes were amplified by PCR from the same 85 isolates. DNA sequencing of these four genes, manB, pduF, glnA, and spaM, showed no genetic diversity among the isolates tested, with a 100% identity in nucleotide sequence. Moreover, the DNA sequences of the aforementioned genes showed 100% identity to the sequence reported in GenBank for the Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium LT2 strain. Therefore, MLST, using these genes, lacks the discriminatory power of PFGE for typing Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium.

Salmonella spp. are considered some of the major food-borne pathogens in the United States, causing an estimated 1.4 million cases of salmonellosis and over 500 deaths annually (18). A common serovar causing salmonellosis in humans is Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium, a globally distributed serotype that is frequently isolated from production animals, such as cattle (25). Several molecular biology techniques are used to discriminate between such strains on the DNA level, including macromolecule analysis of chromosomal DNA by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), which is considered to be the method of choice (26). However, the discriminatory ability of PFGE is not absolute, and despite standardization, variation in the interpretations of PFGE targets of the MLST procedure. Additionally, spaM of the inv-spa pathogenicity island of Salmonella enterica was used in the MLST procedure since this gene was previously reported to vary among the different subspecies (3).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains.** A total of 85 Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium clinical isolates of cattle from different parts of the United States, which were collected at different times in the early 1990s, were characterized in this study. The isolates were obtained from the National Veterinary Service Laboratory at Ames, IA, where they were also serotyped. For simplicity, the isolates were given a serial designation from ST001 to ST085.

PFGE. PFGE was performed according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention PulseNet protocol (24). Briefly, Salmonella isolates were grown overnight on MacConkey agar (Difco Becton Dickinson, MD) plates and then suspended in a cell suspension buffer (100 mM Tris–100 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) adjusted to an optical density at 610 nm of 1.35 using a SmartSpec 3000 spectrophotometer (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). Ten microliters of proteinase K (20 mg/ml, stock) was added to 200 μl of the adjusted cell suspension and mixed gently with 200 μl of 1% SeaKem Gold–1% sodium dodecyl sulfate agarose, previously prepared in TE buffer (10 mM Tris–1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) and kept at 55°C. Then, the mixture was immediately poured into disposable plug molds and left to cool. The bacteria were lysed within the plugs using a cell lysis...
buffer (50 mM Tris, 50 mM EDTA [pH 8.0], 1% Sarcosine, and 0.1 mg of proteinase K per ml). Plugs were incubated in this buffer for 2 h at 54°C in a shaking water bath. Plugs were then washed twice with water and four times with 1× TE buffer (10 mM Tris–1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) at 50°C. Slices of plugs (2 millimeters wide) were incubated with XbaI (50 U/sample) in a 100 μl restriction mixture (10) for 4 hours at 37°C. The plugs were then loaded onto a 1% SeaKem Gold agarose gel. PFGE was performed with the CHEF-Mapper (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) by using the following conditions: an initial switch time of 2.16 s, a final switch time of 63.8 s, and a run time of 18 h. The pulsed-field lambda ladder (Bio-Rad) was loaded onto all gels. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Salmonella serovar Branderup H9812 strain was used as the reference strain. After the electrophoresis was completed, gels were stained with ethidium bromide, and the images were captured with the ChemiImager 5500 gel documentation system (Alpha Innotech Corp., San Leandro, CA). Dendrograms and cluster analysis were performed using the BioNumerics software package (Applied Maths, Inc., Austin, TX). Similarity analysis was performed using the Dice coefficient, and clustering was created using the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic means.

**MLST.** For MLST analysis, four *Salmonella* genes were selected: three housekeeping genes and one virulence gene. The three tested housekeeping genes were selected on the basis of a previous study (14) in which the authors proposed the first MLST approach for typing *Salmonella* strains. In the present study we selected the following three housekeeping genes: phosphonomannomutase (*manB*), glutamate synthetase (*glnA*), and the 1,2-propanediol utilization factor (*pduF*) (14). The virulence gene *spaM* was also selected since it previously showed some diversity among *Salmonella enterica* subspecies (3) and some *Salmonella enterica* serovar Enteritidis strains (12). The PCR primers used to amplify internal fragments from the aforementioned genes are shown in Table 1. The same primers were used for both PCR amplification and sequencing.

*Salmonella* DNA was extracted from the plugs prepared for PFGE as described previously (14). Briefly, the bacterial DNA contained in the plugs was frozen and thawed twice, first at −70°C and then at 55°C, in TE buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl, 1 mM EDTA [pH 8.0]). The samples were then centrifuged at 5,000 g for 10 min, and the supernatants were collected. Aliquots (1 μl) of these supernatants were used as templates for PCR amplification. PCR amplification was performed using the *Taq* PCR master mix kit (QIAGEN Inc., Valencia, CA, VA). Amplification conditions for the four tested genes were 94°C for 5 min, followed by 35 amplification cycles (94°C for 45 s, 55°C for 45 s, and 72°C for 1 min) and then a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. PCR products were purified using the QiAquick PCR purification kit (QIAGEN Inc., Valencia, CA, VA).

DNA sequencing of the amplified fragments was performed in both directions with the same primers used for amplification (Table 1) using the CEQ Dye Terminator cycle sequencing with Quick Start kit (Beckman Coulter, Inc., Fullerton, CA). The thermal cycling program for DNA sequencing was run according to manufacturer recommendations (96°C for 20 s, 50°C for 20 s, and 60°C for 4 min, for 30 cycles, followed by holding at 4°C). The sequencing products were run on a CEQ 2000XL DNA analysis system sequencer (Beckman Coulter, Inc., Fullerton, CA) located in the DNA sequencing facility at the Department of Plant Sciences, North Dakota State University. DNA sequence assembly was performed using the Staden Package software (http://staden.sourceforge.net/). All sequences were aligned and compared using the BioNumerics software package (Applied Maths, Inc., Austin, TX). A BLAST search was performed through the National Center for Biotechnology Information website.

### TABLE 1. Primers used for the MLST of the 85 *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium clinical isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Primer sequence</th>
<th>Approx amplicon size (bp)</th>
<th>Reference or source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>spaM</em></td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>5'-CGCTGATCCGATTTCATTTTGAT-3'</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>5'-CTGACCTGCCCTCTCTCTGGT-3'</td>
<td>893</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>manB</em></td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>5'-CCGGCACCGAAAGAAAC-3'</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>5'-GGTTCTATGGCAAAACC-3'</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>pduF</em></td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>5'-CCGGACCTTTATTGCCAAAAACGG-3'</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>5'-CCCTTGTGGGATCTTCTGGT-3'</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* *a* The length of the sequenced DNA in both strands was slightly shorter.  
* *b* This primer was designed using the DNA sequence of GenBank accession no. SEU43315.

**RESULTS**

**PFGE analysis.** A total of 85 *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium isolates were used for PFGE analysis in this study. The XbaI PFGE patterns of these isolates are shown in Fig. 1. The genetic relatedness of these isolates ranged from 77 to 100%. Fifty distinct PFGE profiles were observed among the isolates (1 PFGE type per 1.7 strains), and these were categorized into three major clusters, I, II, and III (Fig. 1).

**MLST analysis.** Our aim here was to investigate the utility of the MLST approach in differentiating clinical isolates within the Typhimurium serovar. Three housekeeping genes, *manB*, *pduF* and *glnA*, were selected because of their previously reported utility as a target for the MLST procedure in typing *Salmonella* (14). The virulence gene *spaM* was selected since it previously showed some diversity among *Salmonella enterica* subspecies (3) and some *Salmonella enterica* serovar Enteritidis strains (12) and also since it encodes a protein predicted to be on the cell surface and, therefore, likely under a great selection pressure (3). No nucleotide differences among the tested 85 *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium isolates for the four genes were detected. Moreover, when subjected to a BLAST search in GenBank, the DNA sequences of *manB*, *pduF*, *glnA*, and *spaM* showed 100% nucleotide identity to reported sequences for these genes from *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium LT2.

**DISCUSSION**

Our aim was to test the ability of MLST to discriminate between a set of clinical *Salmonella* isolates within the Typhimurium serovar and to compare this ability to that of PFGE. In a previous study, using the same primers and housekeeping genes as used in the present study, MLST was found to compare favorably to serotyping and/or PFGE in discriminating between various *Salmonella* serovars (14). However, the utility of the MLST procedure in discriminating clinical isolates of the Typhimurium serovar, using the *manB*, *pduF*, *glnA*, and *spaM* genes, is doubtful because of a complete lack of sequence diversity found for these genes among the 2,000 or so nucleotides that were sequenced per isolate in our study. Put another way, no sequence differences were found in a total of approximately 170,000 nucleotides sequenced in these 85 *Salmonella* isolates. The absolute nature of this result is a clear indication...
that the genes targeted here cannot be used in an MLST procedure to discriminate among such isolates. There is no contradiction here since the above-mentioned study (14) never indicated that MLST was discriminatory among the tested Typhimurium isolates, which were mostly environmental. So, while MLST might be helpful as a tool to differentiate among isolates of different Salmonella serovars and in some cases among isolates of the same serovar, this statement, according
to our results, does not apply to serovar Typhimurium, at least when the sequenced fragments of the genes targeted here are used.

Similar results were obtained for *Escherichia coli*, in which a recent study demonstrated a striking lack of DNA sequence diversity (100% identity) among *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 isolates that were distinct by PFGE using seven different housekeeping genes (20). Also, in a global collection of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi isolates, only three polymorphic sites were identified among seven housekeeping genes totaling 3,336 bp, resulting in a separation of the isolates into only four sequencing types (STs) (13). However, MLST may have greater utility for discriminating other bacterial species, since variation in nucleotide sequence among strains is commonly seen even within a single serovar (1, 23, 16).

Of special interest among our results is the homogeneity of the *spaM* sequences found among the isolates. This gene was chosen as a target because of its reputed variation among *Salmonella enterica* subspecies (3), which is thought to be associated with its location on the cell surface and, therefore, likely under selective pressure in the host to change (3). However, others have noticed similar sequence homogeneity among virulence genes. For example, DNA sequencing of several *Salmonella* virulence genes was unable to discern genetic differences among *Salmonella enterica* serovar Enteritidis phage types (12). In a more recent study, MLST using three virulence genes provided very poor discrimination among *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 isolates, with the authors concluding that PFGE remains the best method of discrimination among isolates of this pathogen (9).

The excellent discriminatory ability of PFGE among the 85 clinical isolates of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium tested in our study (one PFGE type for 1.7 isolates) is not uncommon. PFGE was previously reported in several studies to be successful in the subtyping of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium strains (2, 11, 25, 27). The conserved DNA sequence of the internal fragments of the four genes tested in this study, *manB*, *glnA*, *pduF*, and *spaM*, among the 85 tested isolates compared to the diversity shown using PFGE can be explained. PFGE does have the advantage over MLST since it involves random screening of the entire genome, whereas MLST analysis is limited to nucleotides within the targeted gene(s). Therefore, if there is little or no variation in the nucleotide sequence of the genes targeted by MLST, then MLST can provide little or no discrimination between strains tested. Analyzing multiple genes from various regions of the *Salmonella* chromosome might overcome this problem. Moreover, a recent comparison of the similarities and differences between five publicly available *Salmonella* genome sequences reveals extensive sequence conservation among the *Salmonella* serovars but variation in insertions and deletions (5). In our case, insertions, deletions, or the presence of plasmids can alter the PFGE pattern obtained without necessarily changing the DNA sequence of the targeted genes, resulting in a diversity in PFGE patterns in the face of homogeneity among MLST patterns obtained for the same isolates.

In conclusion, our study demonstrates that MLST, using the genes tested, lacks the ability to discriminate between *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium clinical isolates and that PFGE can still be considered the method of choice for the molecular typing of this serotype. For MLST to be useful as an epidemiological tool for the investigation of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium outbreaks, genes with more-significant sequence variation than those targeted in the present study must be identified. Ongoing efforts to sequence entire genomes of multiple strains per species of pathogenic bacteria might help in choosing genes demonstrating the needed genetic diversity to be used in MLST.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

We gratefully acknowledge the U.S. Department of Agriculture (TE no. 2002-06088) for the financial support of this project.

**REFERENCES**


