Transverse Speed Bars for Rural Traffic Calming

Shauna L. Hallmark  
*Iowa State University, shallmar@iastate.edu*

Skylar Knickerbocker  
*Iowa State University, sknick@iastate.edu*

Neal R. Hawkins  
*Iowa State University, hawkins@iastate.edu*

Follow this and additional works at:  
http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/intrans_techtransfer

Part of the [Civil Engineering Commons](http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/civileng)

**Recommended Citation**


http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/intrans_techtransfer/47

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Institute for Transportation at Digital Repository @ Iowa State University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Tech Transfer Summaries by an authorized administrator of Digital Repository @ Iowa State University. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.
Transverse Speed Bars for Rural Traffic Calming

Authors

Shauna L. Hallmark
Interim Director, Institute for Transportation, and Professor, Civil, Construction, and Environmental Engineering, Iowa State University
515-294-5249, shallmar@iastate.edu

Skylar Knickerbocker
Researcher, Institute for Transportation, Iowa State University

Neal Hawkins
Director, Center for Transportation Research and Education, Iowa State University

Background

Small rural communities often lack the expertise and resources necessary to address speeding and the persistent challenge of slowing high-speed through traffic. The entrances to communities are especially problematic given that drivers must transition from a high-speed, often-rural roadway setting to a low-speed community setting.

The rural roadway provides high-speed mobility outside the community, yet the same road within town provides local access and accommodates pedestrians of all ages, on-street parking, bicycles, and other features unique to the character of a small community. Drivers who have been traveling for some distance on the high-speed road, and are traveling through the community, may not receive the appropriate clues that the character of the roadway is changing and may not adjust their speeds appropriately.

Addressing speeding issues is an even greater challenge given that smaller communities typically lack engineering staff and resources, which can lead to decisions that may not conform to accepted design guidance. For instance, many rural communities set speed transition zones too low a significant distance outside the community, before there is any practical need for drivers to slow down.

Communities may also have unrealistic expectations about what speed reductions are practical and, in some cases, may even implement strategies to reduce speeds that are not appropriate for the situation. For instance, some small communities with speeding issues simply use stop signs to slow traffic, which can diminish both enforcement and compliance.

A number of traffic-calming devices were evaluated to determine their effectiveness in reducing speeds along the main road through a small rural community. Five different treatments...
were selected and installed in six rural Iowa communities. This tech brief highlights use of transverse speed bars.

**Description**

Transverse or optical speed bars have been used in several applications. Katz (2007) reported on use of the peripheral transverse markings at sites in New York (freeway exit), Mississippi (two-lane road), and Texas (two-lane road on curve). Overall, Katz found a 4 mph reduction in average speeds and a 5 mph reduction in 85th percentile speeds. The differences were statistically significant.

Speed reduction markings were used at the entrance to Union, Iowa along State Highway 215 and County Road D-65 as part of a previous Center for Transportation Research and Education (CTRE) study on rural traffic-calming applications (Hallmark et al. 2007). The treatments resulted in a reduction in mean speeds up to 1.9 mph and reductions in 85th percentile speeds up to 2 mph. The percentage of vehicles traveling 5 or more mph over the posted speed limit was reduced by up to 5 percent and the percentage of vehicles traveling 10 or more mph over the posted speed limit was reduced by up to 8.5 percent (Hallmark et al. 2007).

The transverse speed bar (also called optical speed bar) treatment was based on the concept of speed-reduction markings that are covered in Section 3B.22 of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD 2009 edition).

The transverse markings by themselves were only moderately effective in the earlier phase of this study. So, the treatments were modified to provide more visual effect. The middle bar provides additional visual contrast for the driver and the bar spacing also encourages drivers to place their vehicles between the bars, which is expected to cause drivers to slow as they concentrate on the driving task.

**Treatment Design**

The treatment consists of a series of three horizontal bars across the lane. The bars were spaced at intervals so that drivers are able to position their vehicles within the wheel paths. The treatment was spaced for approximately 100 feet before the first posted speed limit where drivers are encouraged to slow down. Approximate transverse bar spacing was 10 to 12 feet apart.

Although spacing and size of bars was consistent for this application, in other applications, spacing and treatment width have been placed so that the bars are closer together as the driver traverses the treatment and the bars become thinner. This is thought to create the perception that the driver is traveling faster than they actually are, helping to encourage them to slow down.

The treatment was a thermoplastic product, which is placed through heating. Glass beads are added while the treatment is placed to increase visibility and skid resistance. The treatment was installed in Hazleton, Iowa along County Road C-57, which is the main east/west road through the community. The treatment was installed at the east community entrance and was placed to end at the first 25 mph posted speed limit.

This treatment was also installed in Quasqueton, Iowa along the main road through the community, County Road W-40. One treatment was installed at the north community entrance where
the speed limit changes to 35 mph and another was installed at the south community entrance where the speed limit changes to 25 mph.

Results

Pneumatic road tubes were used to collect speed and volume data before and after installation of the rural traffic-calming treatments. Pneumatic road tubes are fairly accurate (99 percent accuracy for individual vehicle speeds), can collect individual vehicle data (speed, volume, headway, and classification), and are fairly low-cost. Data were collected using JAMAR FLEX HS counters. Road tubes were typically laid just downstream of the treatment or at the treatment.

Data were typically collected for 48 hours on a Monday through Friday under mostly dry weather conditions. In a few cases, due to issues with the traffic counters, data were available for only a 24 hour period. Use of full 24 hour periods avoids biasing the speed sample to speed choices based on time of day. The collection periods occurred Monday through Friday while avoiding holidays to avoid any unusual traffic patterns.

Typical speed statistics, such as change in average speed, were calculated for each location where data were collected. The treatment was moderately effective in reducing mean and 85th percentile speeds (1 to 2 mph) at two sites. However, the treatment was quite effective in reducing the fraction of vehicles that exceeded the posted speed limit with decreases of up to 12 percent, 26 percent, and 54 percent for the fraction traveling 5, 10, or 15 or more mph over the posted speed limit, respectively.

Speeds increased moderately at one site with an increase of 1 mph in mean speed and 2 mph in 85th percentile speed. Moderate increases in vehicles traveling over the posted speed limit also resulted for that one site.

Results for transverse bar treatment after installation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hazleton</th>
<th>Quasqueton North</th>
<th>Quasqueton South</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 Month</td>
<td>12 Months</td>
<td>1 Month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Speed</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85th Percentile Speed</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction of Vehicles Traveling Over Posted Speed Limit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 5 mph</td>
<td>-8.3%</td>
<td>-7.1%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 10 mph</td>
<td>-11.9%</td>
<td>-11.9%</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 15 mph</td>
<td>-25.0%</td>
<td>-15.6%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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