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REPOSSESSING LAND

by Neil E. Harl

Before enactment of I.R.C. § 1038 in 1964, repossession of real property following default under an installment sale transaction generally resulted in substantial gain or loss, usually to the extent of the difference between the fair market value of the property at the time of repossession and the income tax basis of the installment obligation. Repossession was essentially treated as a disposition of the obligation.

Scope of I.R.C. § 1038. With enactment of I.R.C. § 1038 in 1964, neither gain nor loss is recognized on repossession except to the extent of money and the fair market value of any property other than the debt of the purchaser received as payments before repossession and not reported as income. For this purpose, a repossession includes voluntary conveyances, abandonments, judicial and strict foreclosures, forfeitures, transfers under a power of sale and foreclosure by entry and possession or publication or notice.

The provisions of Section 1038 governing the calculation and reporting of gain on repossession of real property are mandatory if the transaction comes within the statute. Several important conditions are imposed for transactions to come within Section 1038 —

- It is immaterial whether the seller realized a gain or sustained a loss on the sale of the real property or whether it can be ascertained at the time of sale whether a gain or loss occurred.
- It is also immaterial what method of accounting the seller used in reporting gain or loss from the sale or whether at the time of reacquisition the property had increased or decreased in value since the time of the original sale.

- Section 1038 does not apply if the disposition essentially constitutes an exchange of the property. Thus, if a buyer overburdened with debt gives up one tract of land in return for a smaller tract with a lighter debt load, Section 1038 may not apply.

- Section 1038 does not apply except where the indebtedness is secured by the real property. Thus, reconveyance of property by the obligor under a private annuity to the annuitant would appear not to come within Section 1038.

Calculating gain on repossession. On repossession, the amount of gain recognized is the lesser of — (1) the amount of cash and the fair market value of other property received prior to the reacquisition (but only to the extent the money or other property exceeds the amount of gain reported prior to the reacquisition) or (2) the amount of gain realized on the original sale (adjusted sales price less adjusted income tax basis) in excess of the gain previously recognized before the reacquisition and the money or other property transferred by the seller in connection with the reacquisition.

Example: Farmland acquired in 1950 was sold under installment contract for $150,000 calling for $15,000 down and payments of $15,000 per year for nine years. The property had an adjusted income tax basis at the time of sale of $30,000. The seller received the downpayment and the first regular payment for the following year. The buyer then defaulted and the seller proceeded to forfeit the buyer's interest in the property. The four step computational procedure would produce the following results —

Step 1: Calculate the amount of cash and the fair market value of the property received prior to the reacquisition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of sale</th>
<th>$15,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Following year</td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Step 2: Subtract the gain returned as income for the period prior to acquisition —

Determine the gross profit —

\[= 150,000 - 30,000\]

\[= 120,000\]

Determine the total contract price —

\[= 150,000 - 0\]

\[= 150,000\]

Determine gross profit percentage —

\[\frac{\text{Gross profit}}{\text{Total contract price}}\]

\[= \frac{120,000}{150,000}\]

\[= 0.8\]

Gain reported —

\[= 30,000 \times 0.8\]

\[= 24,000\]

Gain before application of second limitation —

Money and other property received $30,000
Less gain reported 24,000
"First limitation" on gain 6,000

Step 3: Determine the second limitation of gain —

Sales price of property 150,000
Less: adjusted basis at time of sale 30,000
plus gain returned as income before acquisition 24,000

\[54,000\]

Second limitation on amount of gain 96,000

Step 4: Determine the lesser figure from Step 2 or Step 3 as the amount of gain resulting from acquisition $6,000

Character of the gain. The character of the gain from reacquisition is determined by the character of the gain from the original sale. For an original sale reported on the installment method, the character of the reacquisition gain is determined as though there had been a disposition of the installment obligation. In the above example, the $6,000 of gain would be treated as capital gain.

Basis of the property. The adjusted income tax basis for the property is the sum of three amounts — (1) the adjusted income tax basis to the seller of the indebtedness, determined as of the date of repossession, (2) the taxable gain resulting from reacquisition and (3) the money and other property (at fair market value) paid by the seller as reacquisition costs.

Holding period for the property. The holding period of the reacquired property, for purposes of subsequent disposition, includes the period during which the seller held the property prior to the original sale plus the period after reacquisition. However, the holding period does not include the time between the original sale and the date of reacquisition.

Application to residence. The provisions on reacquisition of property generally apply to residences or to the residence part of a farm. However, the repossession rules do not apply if (1) an election is in effect for an over age 55 sale or sale and reinvestment and (2) the property is resold within one year after the date of reacquisition. The subsequent resale is treated as part of the original sale of the property. In general, the resale is treated as having occurred on the date of the original sale. An adjustment is made to the sales price of the old residence and the basis of the new residence.

If not resold within one year, gain is recognized under Section 1038.

Reacquisition by estates. In 1969, the Internal Revenue Service ruled that Section 38 did not apply to a reacquisition by an estate of a deceased taxpayer. A decedent's estate was not permitted to succeed to the income tax treatment that would have been accorded a reacquisition by the decedent.

That result was changed legislatively in 1980 as part of the Installment Sales Revision Act of 1980.
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