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I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, it was suggested that a fast thermalization in heavy-ion collisions can occur through the gluon radiation off rapidly decelerating nuclei [1]. In that paper two of us have pointed out that a pulse of strong chromoelectric field produces Schwinger-like [2] radiation with a thermal spectrum. We also discussed an analogy between the Schwinger mechanism and the Hawking-Unruh radiation and its application to heavy-ion collisions (see also [3–5]). The macroscopic approach of [1] led to a number of intriguing but qualitative results. In the present paper we would like to reconcile the macroscopic approach of [1] with the microscopic one based on the QCD parton model.

The main goal of this paper is to give a picture of the thermalization stage of the process of multiparticle production in heavy ion collisions at high energy in the framework of the color glass condensate (CGC) approach to high density QCD [6–8]. The CGC approach is based on two principle ideas. The first one is the structure of the parton cascade at high energy which is shown in Fig. 1. The main contribution to the high energy scattering is given by a parton fluctuation in which all partons are strongly ordered in time. Let \( \vec{z} \) be the beam direction in the rest frame of the target. The typical lifetime of this fluctuation at high energy of the projectile \( \varepsilon \) is large and is proportional to \( \varepsilon / m^2 \), where \( m \) is the virtuality of the fluctuation. In terms of the light-cone variables \( k^\pm = \varepsilon_i \pm k_i \), the life-time of the \( i \)th parton is of the order of \( t_i = x_{i+} / x_{i-} = 1 / k_{i-} = k_i^\mp / k_{i-}^2 \), where \( k_{i-} \) is the transverse momentum of the \( i \)th parton. Introducing the rapidity \( y_i \) of the parton we can rewrite the lifetime as \( t_i = (1 / k_{i-}) \cdot e^{y_i} \).

The interaction with the target of the size \( R \) destroys the coherence of the parton wave function of the projectile. The typical time, which is needed for this, is of the order of \( R \ll \sim \) and is much smaller than the lifetime of all faster partons in the fluctuation: \( \Delta t \ll t_i \). Therefore, this interaction cannot change the momentum distribution of the fast parton in the projectile wave function. The influence of the target mostly manifests itself in the loss of coherence for majority of the partons; changes in momenta occur only for a very few very slow (“wee”) partons. The “wee” parton part of the wave function together with the interaction with the target could be factorized out while the energy dependence and distributions of the fast partons should not depend on the properties of the target. (In the following discussion we will assume that the interaction happens at time \( t = 0 \).) Such picture follows from the parton model and is based on rather general properties of field theories (see, e.g., Ref. [9]); it has been proven in QCD for the BFKL emission [10].

The CGC approach adds a very essential new idea to the parton cascade picture. Since all partons with rapidity larger than \( y \) (see Fig. 1) live longer than the parton with rapidity \( y \), for a dense system such as a nucleus they can be considered as the source of the classical field that emits a gluon with rapidity \( y \) [6]. We are going to explore this idea to evaluate the parton wave function at the time \( t = 0 \) (see Fig. 1), or to say better just after the interaction, when the coherence of the wave function has been destroyed (see Sec. II). Moreover, we will argue in Sec. II that the dominant source of parton production is the longitudinal background field; we will also elucidate the origin of this field.

We then use the same background field approximation to follow the parton system at later times. In Sec. III we will argue that the produced parton spectrum assumes the three-dimensional thermal form (in a co-moving frame, of course) over the time \( \sim 1 / Q_s \), where \( Q_s \) is the saturation scale which is a new dimensional parameter that characterizes the partonic wave function at \( t = 0 \) [6–8]. We confirm the result of [1] that the effective temperature is approximately \( T = Q_s / (2\pi) \). At later times, the partons will interact with each other and these interactions finally could create a thermalized system of partons in the true “thermodynamical” sense (for example, with temperature related to the density by equation of state), but the consideration of this late kinetic equilibration stage is beyond the scope of this paper. We would like to note only
that a three-dimensional thermal shape of parton distributions should make a true kinetic equilibration easier.

In this paper we will use also two other key properties of a dense partonic system in QCD:

The first one is the appearance of a new scale (saturation momentum $Q_s$)\(^{[6–8]}\) which characterizes the mean transverse momentum of partons in the parton cascade. This momentum is proportional to the density of partons (gluons) in the projectile at fixed rapidity, namely, $Q_s^2 \propto xG(x, Q_s)/\pi R^2$ where $xG$ is the number of gluons with fixed Bjorken $x = \exp(−y)$ and $R$ is the transverse size of the projectile. This scale increases with rapidity since $xG \propto (1/x)^2$ in the region of low $x$. It means that the smaller is the value of $Q_s$, the faster is the parton. Therefore, the parton with rapidity $y$ in Fig. 1 has a mean transverse momentum which is much larger than the transverse momentum of all partons moving faster than it; thus it can be considered as a probe for the system of fast partons, similar to the deep inelastic probe. This observation allows us to consider the production of a parton as a process of emission by the slowest one $x_+ \gg x_−$.

The second main idea behind the CGC approach is that the quantum emission in each stage of the process should give the main results of our paper. Equation (50) coincides with the McLerran-Venugopalan formula \(^{[6]}\) for gluon emission by dense randomly distributed two-dimensional color charges. The corresponding saturation scale is given by Eq. (49). Equation (54) implies that at later times gluon distribution is thermal with the temperature determined by the saturation scale Eq. (60). Assuming the validity of $k_⊥$ factorization, in Sec. V we generalize our formalism to the case of heavy ion collisions. In Sec. VI we consider multiple gluon pair production. Since the gluon spectrum at later times is thermal we apply well-known formalism of statistical physics to calculate the thermal properties of the produced gluon system.

In particular, we observe the phenomenon of Bose-Einstein condensation which may solve the longstanding puzzle of multiple soft gluon production. We discuss and summarize our results in Sec. VII.

II. HIGH ENERGY PARTICLE PRODUCTION BY EXTERNAL FIELDS

A. Transverse and longitudinal fields of the CGC

The potential of a charge moving with constant velocity $v$ along the $z$-axis is given by a particular case of the Lienard-Weichert potential (see, e.g., \(^{[12]}\))

$$A_\pm = \frac{1 \pm v}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{g/4\pi}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}[x_+(1-v)-x_-(1+v)]^2 + (1-v^2)x_\perp^2}},$$

$$\vec{A}_\perp = 0,$$

where we introduced the light-cone potential $A^\mu = (A_+, A_-, \vec{A}_\perp)$ with $A_\pm = (A_0 \pm A_z)/\sqrt{2}$. If the particle is fast, then $v \to 1$ and the potential takes form

$$A_+ = \frac{\sqrt{2}g/4\pi}{\sqrt{2x_+^2 + (1-v^2)x_\perp^2}},$$

$$A_- = \vec{A}_\perp = 0.$$  

The corresponding fields read

$$\vec{E}_\perp = \frac{g}{4\pi} \frac{(1-v^2)x_\perp}{(2x_+^2 + (1-v^2)x_\perp^2)^{3/2}},$$

$$\vec{H} = \vec{v} \times \vec{E}.$$  

Dirac equation in the background field Eqs. (3) and (4) was solved in Ref. \(^{[13]}\) with an assumption that the fast particle moves freely from $x_+ = -\infty$ to $x_+ = \infty$. In this case the potentials (3) and (4) generate purely transverse mutually orthogonal electric and magnetic fields. The action for such a plane wave background vanishes. This implies that there is no pair production in a single monochromatic plane wave background \(^{[2]}\).

The initial conditions in our case are different. As explained in the caption of Fig. 1, for any gluon in the cascade it holds that $x_+ \geq x_-$ or, equivalently, $z \geq 0$. In other words, in the target rest frame, all gluons move in the same positive $z$ direction. Therefore, the potential $A_+$ exists only in the

FIG. 1. The time structure of the parton cascade for a fast particle (nucleus) in the target rest frame. Note, that ordering of the high energy gluons in rapidity $y$ is equivalent to their ordering in the space-time rapidity $\eta = (1/2)\ln(x_+/x_-)$. In the target rest frame, the target’s rapidity is $\eta = 0$, while that of the fast projectile is $\eta = Y > 0$. The relation between the light-cone coordinates of the fastest gluon in the cascade is therefore $x_+ = x_0 e^{2Y}$, while for the slowest one $x_+ \gg x_-$. 
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positive half-plane $z \geq 0$. In other words we have to solve the pair production problem with the initial condition which explicitly depends on both light-cone coordinates $x_+$ and $x_-$. In Sec. II C we show that such an initial condition generates the longitudinal chromoelectric field $E_z$, in addition to the transverse fields mentioned above [see Eqs. (34)–(36) and below]. The existence of longitudinal fields in the color glass condensate has been pointed out previously in Ref. [14]. In Refs. [3,4,15,16] the pair production mechanism in heavy-ion collisions by nonperturbative fields has been discussed.

The longitudinal field $E_z$ is not only generated in a high energy collision, but it gives a leading contribution to the pair production amplitude as we are now going to demonstrate. Consider a system of fast charges located at coordinates $\vec{x}_j$ randomly distributed in the transverse area of typical size $R_\perp$. Let us calculate a field created by all these charges at the point $x^\mu$. Assuming for simplicity a continuous distribution of the charge, in the leading order in the coupling we have

$$A_+ (x) = \int d^2 x' \rho(\vec{x}', t - |\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|)$$

$$= \int d^2 x'_+ d x'_- \frac{\sqrt{2}\rho}{4\pi} \frac{x'_+ + x'_- - \frac{(x'_+ - x'_-)^2}{2x'_-}}{x'_+ + x'_- + \frac{(x'_+ - x'_-)^2}{2x'_-}}. \quad (7)$$

Typical partons having rapidities $y$ and $y'$ such that $y' > y$ have $x_+' \gg x_-$. Also, the typical transverse size of a parton decreases down the cascade as $x_+ \sim 1/Q_s(y)$ since $Q_s(y)$ is an exponentially increasing function of $y$. Therefore the transverse sizes satisfy $x'_+ \gg x_-$ which implies that the field $A(x)$ does not depend on the transverse size of the parton $x_+$:

$$A_+ (x) \approx \int d^2 x'_+ d x'_- \frac{\sqrt{2}\rho}{4\pi} \frac{x'_+ + x'_- - \frac{(x'_+ - x'_-)^2}{2x'_-}}{x'_+ + x'_- + \frac{(x'_+ - x'_-)^2}{2x'_-}}. \quad (8)$$

Equation (8) implies that at high energies the transverse fields experienced by the partons are small compared to the longitudinal ones:

$$|\vec{E}_\perp| = |\vec{H}_\perp| \ll E_z. \quad (9)$$

We need to consider the result of Eq. (9) with some caution since $E_\perp$ is still enhanced at very small values of $x_-$, see Eq. (3). However in the Lagrangian $L = (E^2 - H^2)/2$ the transverse fields indeed give a very small contribution proportional to $E_\perp^2 - H_\perp^2 \approx E_\perp^2 Q_s^2(y)/Q_s^2(y) \ll E_z^2$.

The pair production probability is proportional to the imaginary part of the effective Lagrangian evaluated by considering the quantum fluctuations in the background of the external color fields. Therefore, we expect that the pair production will be dominated by the longitudinal color fields; we will check this by an explicit calculation below.

\[\frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{dp} = \frac{4\pi N_c}{N_c^2 - 1} \frac{1}{p_\perp^2} \quad \times \quad \int d^2 k_\perp' \, \varepsilon S_{\varphi P}(y - y, k_\perp') \varphi_T(y, (p - k_\perp^\perp)). \quad (10)\]
In our approach it is convenient to write this formula in a different way
\[ \varepsilon \frac{d\sigma}{d^3p} = \int d^2k_\perp \Gamma^2(G \to 2G) \text{Im} D(Y - y, \tilde{p}_\perp - \tilde{k}_\perp) \times \text{Im} D(Y - y, \tilde{k}_\perp) \varphi_T(y, \tilde{p}_\perp - \tilde{k}_\perp), \]
where
\[ \Gamma^2(G \to 2G) = \alpha_s \frac{4\pi N_c}{N_c^2 - 1} \] (11)
and \( \text{Im} D(y, k_\perp) \) is the imaginary part of the gluon propagator in the strong classical field.

Let us consider a target with the transverse size \( R \) much smaller than \( 1/Q_s(y) \) where \( Q_s \) is the saturation momentum. For example, consider the virtual photon target with virtuality \( Q^2 \gg Q_s^2(y) \). In this case we can neglect the dependence on \( k_\perp \) in \( \varphi_T \) in Eq. (11) and write
\[ \frac{d\sigma}{dy d^3p} = \varphi_p(Y - y, p_\perp) \varphi_T(y, p_\perp) \] (13)
with
\[ \varphi_p(Y - y, p_\perp) = \int d^2k_\perp \Gamma^2(G \to 2G) \times \text{Im} D(Y - y, \tilde{p}_\perp - \tilde{k}_\perp) \text{Im} D(Y - y, \tilde{p}_\perp). \] (14)

The dependence on \( k_\perp \) is absorbed in the dependence of the classical fields on the transverse coordinate. In the first approximation we consider the classical fields being independent of the transverse coordinate. It means that the gluon propagator is proportional to \( \delta^2(\tilde{k}_\perp) \) and Eq. (14) can be rewritten as
\[ \varphi_p(Y - y, p_\perp) = \Gamma^2(G \to 2G) \text{Im} D(Y - y, \tilde{p}_\perp) \times \text{Im} D(Y - y, \tilde{p}_\perp). \] (15)
The factor \( 1/p_\perp^2 \) is included in our definition of \( D(Y - y, \tilde{p}_\perp) \); it must be reproduced for the values of \( p_\perp \) at which the perturbation theory is valid.

C. Equation of motion in the background field

Now we can concentrate our efforts on the calculation of \( \varphi_p \) which describes the production of the gluon pair in the strong and constant field. This problem has been investigated in detail both in QED and QCD (see review [25] and references therein) and can be solved by using the background field method. Let us assume that gluon fields have the structure
\[ G_\mu = A_\mu + W_\mu, \] (16)
where \( A_\mu \) is a classical background field and \( W_\mu \) is a quantum fluctuation. The QCD Lagrangian can be expanded around this classical field and it has the following general structure [26]:
\[ \mathcal{L}[A + W] = \mathcal{L}[A] + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}[A]}{\partial A_\mu} W_\mu + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}[A]}{\partial A_\mu \partial A_\nu} W_\mu W_\nu. \] (17)

Since the second term is equal to zero due to equation of motion for the classical field, our Lagrangian has a quadratic form as far as the quantum field dependence is concerned. In the case of \( SU(2) \) an explicit calculation (see Appendix A) leads to the equation of motion for the quantum field \( W_\mu \):
\[ \left( -\phi_T \partial_\mu g A_\mu \right)^2 + 2 g F_{\mu\nu}(A) W_\mu = 0, \] (18)
where we used the gauge condition \( D_\nu A^\nu = 0 \). The field configuration discussed in Sec. II A satisfies this condition since \( \phi_T \partial_\mu A^\mu = 0 \), \( A_\perp^\mu = 0 \), and \( A_\perp^\mu \). The tensor \( F_{\mu\nu}(A) \) for the longitudinal electrical fields is
\[ F_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & E_z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -E_z & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \] (19)
where \( E_z = -\partial_+ A_\perp \). The components of Eq. (18) look as follows:
\[ \mu = 0 : \left( -\phi_T \partial_\mu g A_\mu \right)^2 + 2 g E_z W_3 = 0, \] (20)
\[ \mu = 3 : \left( -\phi_T \partial_\mu g A_\mu \right)^2 + 2 g E_z W_0 = 0. \] (21)
Introducing \( W_\pm = W_0 \pm i W_3 \) we can rewrite Eqs. (20) and (21) in the form
\[ \left( -\phi_T \partial_\mu g A_\mu \right)^2 \pm 2 g E_z W_\pm = 0. \] (22)

D. Calculation of a gluon propagator in the background field

We now turn to solving the Eq. (22). Although \( A_\perp \) is a function of only \( x_+ \), Eq. (22) cannot be solved by separation of variables since the initial condition depends on both \( x_+ \) and \( x_- \) as has been discussed in Sec. II A. We can only separate the \( x_- \) dependence. Thus, we are looking for the solution in the form \( W_\sigma = e^{-iS - i\partial_\perp x_+} \), where \( \sigma = \pm 1 \). Working in the WKB approximation \( |\partial_+ S - \partial_- S| \gg |\partial_+ \partial_- S| [27,28] \) we reduce Eq. (22) to
\[ -2 \partial_+ S(\partial_- S - g A_\perp(x_+)) + p_\perp^2 + 2 g \sigma E_z = 0, \quad x_+ \geq x_-, \] (23)
where \( \partial_+ = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_+} \) and \( \partial_- = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_-} \). Equation (22) is a Hamilton-Jacobi equation for motion of a charged particle in the background field \( A_\perp = A_\perp(x_-) \). The only difference from the classical mechanics is the appearance of the spin-dependent term in the right hand side of Eq. (23).

In the Hamilton-Jacobi formalism the action \( S \) is considered along the true trajectories (satisfying Hamilton equations). It is a function of the coordinate \( x \) of the final point of the trajectory. The action along the true trajectories can be found using the method of characteristics. This method was suggested for this class of problems in [7,29] (for a mathematical review see, e.g., [30]).
1. Solution with $\sigma = 0$

In the spinless case $\sigma = 0$, characteristics of Eq. (23) are given by the solution of the following set of ordinary differential equations valid at $x_+ \gg x_-$:

\begin{align}
\frac{dx_+}{dt} &= -2p_+, \\
\frac{dx_-}{dt} &= -2p_-,
\end{align}

(24)

\begin{align}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= -2p_+ p_- - 2p_-(p_+ - gA_+), \\
\frac{dp_-}{dt} &= 0,
\end{align}

(25)

\begin{align}
\frac{dp_+}{dt} &= -2p_+ gA'_+(x_-), \\
\frac{dp_+}{dt} &= 0.
\end{align}

(26)

(27)

(28)

where $t$ is a parameter along the characteristics and we introduced the canonical momenta $p_\pm$ as

\[ p_- = -\partial_- S, \quad p_+ = -\partial_+ S. \]

(29)

Instead of one of Eqs. (24)–(27) we can use the following equation stemming from Eqs. (23) and (29):

\[ -2p_- (p_+ + eA_+(x_-)) + p_+^2 = 0. \]

(30)

We will use Eq. (30) in place of Eq. (27).

We can use $x_-$ as a parameter along the characteristics and rewrite Eqs. (25), (26), and (28) in the following way:

\begin{align}
\frac{dx_+}{dx_-} &= \frac{p_+ + gA_+(x_-)}{p_-}, \\
\frac{dS}{dx_-} &= 2p_+ + gA_+(x_-), \\
\frac{dp_+}{dx_-} &= -gA'_+(x_-). 
\end{align}

(31)

(32)

(33)

Using Eq. (30) the system (31)–(33) can be easily integrated with the following result:

\begin{align}
p_+ &= -gA_+(x_-) + gA_+(x_+) + p^0_+ , \\
x_- &= \frac{p^2_+}{2} \int \frac{dx_+}{(p^0_+ + gA_+(x_+))^2}, \\
S &= -\int gA_+(x_-)dx_- + \int dx_+ \frac{p^3_+}{p^0_+ + gA_+(x_+)}.
\end{align}

(34)

(35)

(36)

Equation (35) coincides with the equation of motion of a classical test particle of mass $p^0_+$ in the external field $A_+(x_+)$. In other words, the test particles effectively move under the action of the longitudinal electric field $E_z = -A'_+(x_-)$.

Equation (36) gives the action of the test particle along the trajectory (35). Its imaginary part arises from the pole in the integrand of the second term in the right-hand side of Eq. (36). Integration around the pole in the plain of complex $x_+$ yields the imaginary part. It can be calculated replacing the denominator in the first integral in Eq. (36) by Im$(p^0_+ + gA_+)^{-1} = \pm i(\pi/2)\delta(p^0_+ + gA_+)$ according to the Landau-Cutkosky cutting rule. Additional factor of $1/2$ arises due to

2. $\sigma = \pm 1$ case

In the case of $\sigma = \pm 1$, Eq. (23) cannot be integrated in general. Equations (31) and (32) remain valid in this case. In place of Eq. (32) we obtain

\[ \frac{dp_+}{dx_-} = -gA'_+(x_-) - \frac{4g\sigma}{\gamma}(p_+ - gA_+(x_-))E'_z(x_-). \]

(40)
while in place of Eq. (30) we have

\[ -2p_-(p_+ + eA_+(x_-)) + p_+^2 + 2g\sigma E_\perp = 0. \]  

(41)\]

Equation (40) can be integrated to yield \( p_+ = p_+(x_-, x_+) \). However, substitution of \( p_+ \) into Eq. (31) gives an ordinary differential equation which cannot be integrated for an arbitrary field \( A_+(x_-) \).

We can still investigate the pair production in the two most important cases of constant and rapidly decreasing fields.

(i) If \( E_\perp = \text{const} \) then Eq. (40) reduces to Eq. (33). Equation (41) then implies that the solution to Eq. (23) with \( \sigma = \pm 1 \) is given by Eq. (38) with shifted transverse momentum \( p_\perp \rightarrow p_\perp^2 + 2g\sigma E_\perp \). This can be seen of course directly in Eq. (23).

(ii) For large \( x_- \) \( E_\perp \) decreases at least as \( 1/x_\perp^{3/2} \) and can be dropped in Eqs. (40) and (41) bringing us back to the spinless case (38).

In both cases sum over spins yields an additional factor of 2 in front of Eq. (38).

III. TIME EVOLUTION OF THE CGC WAVE FUNCTION

A. Model for \( A_+(x_-) \)

The \( A_+(x_-) \) potential in Eq. (8) can in principle be calculated by integrating over the transverse positions \( x_\perp \) of the highly energetic partons. However, in the present paper we will restrict ourselves to a simple model which describes both the small and large \( x_- \) behavior of the background field. As was discussed in the introduction, at \( t = 0 \ E_\perp = E_0 = \text{const} \) which implies that \( A_- = -E_0x_- \).

There are two important effects determining the late-time behavior of the chromoelectric field. First, the produced gluons start to interact which results in the increase of the gluons' \( k_- \) momentum and hence the field frequency \( \omega \). We discuss this effect in detail in the following subsection. Second, the produced color pairs screen the original color field. The invariant mass of the pair provides the mass gap in the excitation spectrum. Therefore, we expect the exponential falloff of the field amplitude. This can be incorporated in a simple model

\[ A_+(x_-) = \frac{E_0}{\omega}(1 - e^{-\omega x_-}). \]

(42)

For this model we can derive the following expression for the imaginary part of the action, see Eq. (38):

\[ \sum_\sigma \text{Im } S = \frac{\pi p_\perp^2}{gE_0(1 + \gamma)}. \]  

(43)

The model potential in Eq. (42) as well as the simple answer of Eq. (43) is, of course, a simplification of the real situation. However, it is easy to see that this model incorporates the main properties of the parton cascade that we have discussed.

In Eq. (8) the density of the color charge can be approximated by

\[ \rho \left( x_-, x_+ + x_- - \frac{(x_-^2)^2}{2x_-} \right) = c \int d^2k_\perp e^{i\vec{k}_\perp \cdot \vec{x}_-} \delta(x_- - \omega^{-1})\delta(k_\perp^2 - Q_s^2), \]  

(44)

where \( c \) is a constant. Note that before the interaction \( x_- \) was negligible since \( x_- \sim 1/k_- \). However, right after the interaction its typical value becomes of the order of \( \omega^{-1} \sim Q_s^{-1} \) which follows from the uncertainty principle \( \Delta k_+ x_- \sim 1 \) and Eq. (52) [or Eq. (56)]. In writing Eq. (44) we also took into account the fact that most of the gluons have transverse momenta of the order of \( k_\perp \sim Q_s \). The density given by Eq. (44) generates \( A_+(x_-) \) according to Eq. (8) in the form

\[ A_+(x_-) = c' \int \frac{d^2x_1' dx_-'}{4\pi} 2x_-\delta(x_- - \omega^{-1})J_0(x_- Q_s) \]

\[ = c'x_-K_0(x_- Q_s), \]  

(45)

where \( c' \) is another constant. One can see that Eq. (45) reproduces the main property of the model potential of Eq. (42). Namely, \( A_+(x_-) \sim x_-^{-\gamma} \) up to a logarithm as \( x_- \to 0 \) and \( A_+(x_-) \sim \exp(-Q_s x_-) \) as \( x_- \gg 1 \). Therefore, we believe that the model potential of Eq. (42) reflects the main properties of the structure of the parton cascade in high density QCD (CGC). It is worthwhile mentioning that the mass gap turns out to be of the order of the saturation momentum and this looks very natural in the CGC approach.

B. Gluon spectrum at \( t = 0 \)

To calculate the gluon spectrum we have to calculate the imaginary part of the action \( S \) as explained in Sec. II B. First, we will calculate the spectrum of produced particles at initial time \( x_+ = 0 \) and then, in the next section, we will consider later-time particle production.

It follows from Eq. (43) that in the constant field \( (\gamma = 0) \)

\[ \sum_\sigma \text{Im } S_\sigma = \frac{\pi p_\perp^2}{gE_0}. \]  

(46)

This equation solves the problem of finding the propagator of a gluon with transverse momentum \( p_\perp \) in the strong constant classical field. In the WKB approach we can guarantee only the exponential suppression for \( \text{Im } D(Y - y, p_\perp) \) and

\[ \text{Im } D(Y - y, p_\perp) \propto e^{-2\sum_\sigma \text{Im } S_\sigma} = \frac{S_p}{\alpha_s} e^{-\frac{2\pi^2}{\alpha_s \pi^2}}. \]

(47)

Note that the dependence on the spin \( \sigma \) canceled out. Substituting Eq. (47) into Eq. (14) we obtain

\[ \varphi(Y - y, p_\perp) = S_p \frac{4\pi N_c}{N_c^2 - 1} e^{-\frac{2\pi^2}{\alpha_s \pi^2}}. \]  

(48)

The coefficient in front of the exponent in Eq. (48) was chosen based on the physical meaning of function \( \varphi_p \) (see Ref. [33]).
$S_p$ in Eq. (48) is the transverse area of the projectile and $\alpha_s$ is the running QCD coupling.

Equation (48) allows us to introduce the saturation scale which is defined to be the mean momentum of the produced gluons:

$$Q_s^2 = \frac{gE_0}{2\pi}. \quad (49)$$

Using this new variable the unintegrated gluon distribution function becomes

$$\varphi(p_\perp) \propto S_p \frac{\pi N_c}{N_c^2 - 1} e^{-\frac{Q_s^2}{Q_s}}. \quad (50)$$

This equation gives the CGC parton density which coincides with the formula suggested by McLerran and Venugopalan in Ref. [6] (see Ref. [35] for more detailed calculation of CGC parton density), and which illustrates the main property of the CGC approach: the entire dependence on rapidity and impact parameter enters only through the saturation scale $Q_s(y,b)$.

Therefore, our simple picture leads to the CGC initial condition at $t = 0$. In the next section we wish to discuss how the system can develop after losing coherence due to the interaction in the final state.

C. Thermalization by a pulse of the chromoelectric field.

After losing coherence at $t = 0$ the fast gluons start to interact [4]. A fast $i$th gluon in the cascade changes its longitudinal momentum and energy according to Newton law

$$\frac{dk_i^z}{dt} = gE_z \sim Q_s^2, \quad \frac{d\varepsilon}{dt} = 0. \quad (51)$$

The second equation states that the energy of a gluon propagating in the constant background field, which exists at $t = 0$, does not change. Equations (51) imply that during the time of the order of $1/Q_s$, the longitudinal momentum changes its value by $\sim Q_s$. This results in a variation of both $k_i^+$ and $k_i^-$ by the same value

$$\Delta k_i^+ \sim \Delta k_i^- \sim Q_s. \quad (52)$$

Since $k_i^+ \gg Q_s$, for $k^+$ it is a small relative change, and can be neglected. However, the initially (at $t = 0$) small value of $k_i^- = k_i^+ / k_i^+ = Q_s$ increases in a strong field up to the hard scale $Q_s$.

The classical fields will depend on time with the typical frequency of $k^-$. Therefore, the interaction among the fast partons leads to oscillation of the classical fields with a typical frequency $\omega \approx Q_s$. However, since the values of $k_i^+$ for the fast partons are still larger than $Q_s$, we observe that all slow partons (with rapidity $y$ in Figs. 1 and 2) stem from the classical emission of the fast partons.

We now turn to the derivation of the gluon spectrum at later times. It was suggested in [1] that at later times particles are produced by a pulse of the longitudinal chromoelectric field. Indeed, the third equation in Eq. (37) implies that the adiabaticity parameter increases with $\omega$. Thus, at later times $\gamma \gg 1$. It follows from Eq. (43) that in the case of exponentially decreasing field (and only in that case) the final spectrum is thermal. The imaginary part of the action reads

$$\sum_\sigma \text{Im } S = \frac{2\pi p^0_\sigma}{\omega},$$

where we used $p^0_\sigma = p^z_\sigma / (2p^z)$ which is true for the real particles.

For the imaginary part of the gluon propagator we thus obtain

$$\text{Im } D(Y-y, p_\perp) = \frac{S_p}{\alpha_s} e^{-\frac{2\pi p_\perp}{\omega}} = \frac{S_p}{\alpha_s} e^{-\frac{2\pi p_\perp}{\omega}}. \quad (53)$$

The unintegrated gluon distribution is

$$\varphi(Y - y, p_\perp) = \frac{4\pi N_c}{N_c^2 - 1} e^{-\frac{2\pi p_\perp}{\omega}} = \frac{4\pi N_c}{N_c^2 - 1} e^{-\frac{2\pi p_\perp}{\omega}}. \quad (54)$$

Equation (54) implies that at later times the gluon spectrum is thermal with the temperature

$$T = \frac{\omega}{4\pi}. \quad (55)$$

D. Thermalization time

The initial state of the heavy ion is characterized by the distribution of gluons (50) with the typical transverse momentum $Q_s$ proportional to the strength of the chromoelectric field, Eq. (49). Since we have assumed that $Q_s$ is the only relevant scale, the effective temperature $T$ and thermalization time $t_{\text{term}}$ (over which the spectrum acquires the thermal shape) must be related to $Q_s$. To estimate them we will use the following two observations: (i) Due to momentum conservation the $p^0_\sigma$ momentum gained by the emitted particle is equal to the $p^+_\sigma$ momentum lost by the field; (ii) The dominant contribution to the integral of Eq. (38) comes from times $\tau \sim \gamma$. The value of the adiabaticity parameter $\gamma \sim 1$ marks the transition between the gaussian and the thermal distributions. In other words,

$$\Delta p_\sigma = p^+_\sigma(y) - p_\sigma^0 = -\omega, \quad (56)$$

$$\tau = \omega x_\tau \sim 1. \quad (57)$$

Equation (33) implies the following estimate:

$$\Delta p_\sigma \sim -\frac{gE_0}{\omega}, \quad (58)$$

where in the last equation we used Eq. (57). Then from Eqs. (56) and (58) we estimate the typical frequency of the field

$$\omega = \sqrt{gE_0}. \quad (59)$$

Let us now substitute the definitions of the saturation scale (49) and the temperature (55) into Eq. (59). The result is

$$T \sim \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi} Q_s}. \quad (60)$$

The characteristic time over which the field changes is

$$t \sim \frac{1}{\omega} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} Q_s}. \quad (61)$$
and the thermalization time is

$$t_{\text{therm}} \simeq \frac{1}{T}.$$  \hfill (62)

In the kinematical range of RHIC for the collisions of heavy nuclei $Q_s \approx 1$–1.5 GeV [33]. This translates to $T \approx 200$–300 MeV and $t_{\text{therm}} \approx 0.6$–1 fm.

IV. NUCLEAR GLUON DISTRIBUTIONS

To understand better the approximation that we suggest in this paper we consider here the process of deep inelastic scattering off the nuclear target assuming that the nucleus is so heavy that we can treat it as a source of the classical field [6]. Let us assume that the probe is not a virtual photon but is rather a graviton or other particle that can interact with a gluon. For such a probe we have two different way of interaction with the target. In the first one the probe decays into two gluons and one of them belongs to the classical field of the target (see the upper figure in Fig. 4). The second process goes in two steps: the first is the decay of the probe into two quantum gluons and in the second stage these two gluons interact with the classical field as it is shown in low picture in Fig. 4.

For the first process we have McLerran-Venugopalan formula [6], namely, the distribution of produced gluons in the coordinate space looks as

$$dN^{MV}_{x,y} \propto \frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}_s}(1 - e^{-\frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}_s}Q_s(x)\ln(x)}).$$ \hfill (63)

The second process leads to a formula that at first glance has a quite different form namely [31]

$$dN^{LLA}_{x,y} \propto \ln(1/x)(1 - e^{-\frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}_s}Q_s(x)\ln(x)}).$$ \hfill (64)

Equation (64) is written in the so-called leading logarithmic log($1/x$) approximation (LLA) of perturbative QCD in which we consider only contributions that are proportional to $(\bar{\alpha}_s\ln(1/x))^n$ such that $\bar{\alpha}_s\ln(1/x) \sim 1$ while $\bar{\alpha}_s \ll 1$. Since in LLA $\ln(1/x) \sim 1/\bar{\alpha}_s$ one may conclude that Eq. (64) gives a contribution of the same order as Eq. (63). However, it has been shown in Ref. [32] that in the saturation region where $\frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}_s}Q_s(x) \gg 1$ Eq. (64) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\frac{dN^{LLA}_{x,y}}{d^2x d^2y} \propto \frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}_s}\ln(x)Q_s(x)\ln(x)\left(1 - e^{-\frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}_s}Q_s(x)\ln(x)}\right).$$ \hfill (65)

One can see that in the saturation region the contribution of Eq. (65) which corresponds to our approach is parametrically larger than the quasiclassical McLerran-Venugopalan result of Eq. (63). It is well known that in the wide region of the kinematic variables the mean field approximation to color glass condensate leads to the geometrical scaling behavior; namely, all experimental observables turn out to be functions of one variable $\zeta = \ln(x)Q_s(x)$, in which we have the nonlinear equation. Even without discussing the exact form of this equation one can see that Eq. (65) is the initial condition for such an equation while Eq. (65) gives its first iteration. The equation itself [11] is based on the idea that each emitted gluon with large longitudinal momentum could be treated simultaneously as a quantum and as a classical field. Equation (65) is a good illustration of this principle since the quantum emission of gluons leads to a result with $dN/d^2x d^2y \propto 1/\bar{\alpha}_s$.

V. ION-ION COLLISIONS

For ion-ion collisions we intend to use the $k_t$ factorization approach expressed by Eq. (10). This equation has not been proven for CGC; nevertheless, we still think that it provides a reasonable starting point, for the following reasons. First, the factorization has been proven for large values of transverse momenta [38] (see also reviews in Ref. [39]). Second, Eq. (10) is the correct formula for the inclusive production in the case of the BFKL emission (see Ref. [19] and references therein). This fact is very important in understanding why this relation could be valid even in the CGC region. Indeed, the BFKL equation has its own, intrinsic scale of hardness: the mean transverse momentum of gluons which increases as a function of energy. This fact is common for the BFKL and CGC emissions, especially if we recall that the BFKL approach is the low parton density limit of the CGC. However, the rigorous proof of Eq. (10) is still lacking. The theoretical situation as well as physical arguments for such factorization have been outlined in Ref. [40] and we cannot add more at the moment.

For the ion-ion collision we thus use the following equation:

$$\varepsilon \frac{d^2\sigma}{d^2p} = \frac{d\sigma}{dy d^2p} = \frac{4\pi N_c}{N_c - 1} \frac{1}{p_\perp^2} \times \int dk_\perp^2 \alpha_s \phi_A(Y - y, k_\perp^2) \phi_B(y, p - k_\perp^2).$$ \hfill (66)

where $\phi$ is given by Eq. (54) and subscripts $A$ and $B$ refer to the mass numbers of the nuclei. This factorization formula can
In other words, we assumed that during the time interval two fields. It is correct for QED, but not for QCD [6,11,35].

be rewritten in the form of Eq. (11), namely,

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{dyd^2p_\perp} = \frac{4\pi N_c}{N_c^2 - 1} \int d^2k_\perp \text{Im } D_A(Y - y, \vec{p}_\perp - \vec{k}_\perp) \times \text{Im } D_A(Y - y, \vec{p}_\perp) \times \text{Im } D_B(y, \vec{p}_\perp). \tag{67}
\]

In the first approximation we can integrate over \(k_\perp\) assuming that the classical fields do not depend on the transverse coordinate. Therefore, we have

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{dyd^2p_\perp} = \frac{4\pi N_c}{N_c^2 - 1} \text{Im } D_A(Y - y, \vec{p}_\perp) \times \text{Im } D_A(Y - y, \vec{p}_\perp) \times \text{Im } D_B(y, \vec{p}_\perp). \tag{68}
\]

In Fig. 5 we show that the gluon is moving in the fields \(E_A\) and \(E_B\) in the time interval \(t - t'\). In fact, by writing Eq. (67) we assumed that the resulting field is just the sum of these two fields. It is correct for QED, but not for QCD [6,11,35]. In other words, we assumed that during the time interval \(t - t'\) both gluons interact with two fields in such a way that the resulting propagator is equal to

\[
\text{Im } D(t - t') = \text{Im } D_A(t - t') \times \text{Im } D_B(t - t'). \tag{69}
\]

For \(t = 0\) Eq. (68) leads to

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{dyd^2p_\perp} = \frac{S_A S_B}{\alpha_s} \frac{2\pi N_c}{N_c^2 - 1} e^{\frac{2\pi}{N_c - 1}} \tag{70}
\]

the effective saturation scale in ion-ion collisions thus can be inferred from Eq. (70) as \(1/Q_A^2 = 1/Q_A^2 + 1/Q_B^2\) as expected.

For \(t > 1/T\) Eq. (67) looks differently:

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{dyd^2p_\perp} = S_A S_B \frac{4\pi N_c}{N_c^2 - 1} \int d^2k_\perp e^{-\vec{p}_\perp \cdot (\vec{k}_\perp + \vec{x}_\perp)}. \tag{71}
\]

From Eq. (71) we see that we have the same expression as in Eq. (54) but with a different temperature. Therefore the spectrum is given by

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{dyd^2p_\perp} = \frac{S_A S_B}{\alpha_s} \frac{\pi^2 N_c}{2(N_c^2 - 1)} e^{\frac{-\vec{p}_\perp^2}{\text{eff}}}, \tag{72}
\]

with

\[
\frac{1}{T_{\text{eff}}} = \frac{1}{T_A} + \frac{1}{T_B}. \tag{73}
\]

VI. STATISTICAL INTERPRETATION OF MULTIPLE PAIR PRODUCTION

A. Probability of multiple pair production

It was argued in Refs. [27,41] that the pair production mechanism allows a statistical interpretation. Consider the relative probability of single pair production \(W_1(\sigma, \vec{p}) = \exp(-2\text{Im } S)\). Assuming that the pairs are produced independently, the absolute probability to produce one pair is then given by

\[
W_1(\sigma, \vec{p}) = W_1(\sigma, \vec{p}) \left(1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n(\sigma, \vec{p})\right); \tag{74}
\]

similar expressions hold for the absolute probabilities to produce \(n\) pairs, \(W_n = w_n(1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n)^n\). Let \(W_0(\sigma, \vec{p}) = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n(\sigma, \vec{p})\) be the probability that no pair with quantum numbers \(\sigma, \vec{p}\) is produced. The probability conservation condition then reads

\[
w_0(\sigma, \vec{p}) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} W_n(\sigma, \vec{p}) = W_0(\sigma, \vec{p}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_n(\sigma, \vec{p}) = \frac{w_0(\sigma, \vec{p})}{1 - w_1(\sigma, \vec{p})} = 1. \tag{75}
\]

The total probability that the vacuum of a given theory remains unchanged in a given volume \(V\) during time \(\Delta t\) is

\[
W_0 = |\exp(iLV\Delta t)|^2 = \exp(-2\text{Im } LV\Delta t). \tag{76}
\]

On the other hand [27,41],

\[
W_0 = \prod_{\sigma, \vec{p}} w_0(\sigma, \vec{p}) = e^{\sum_{\sigma, \vec{p}} \ln(1 - w_1(\sigma, \vec{p}))}, \tag{77}
\]

where we used Eq. (75). Therefore,

\[
\text{Im } LV\Delta t = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{\sigma, \vec{p}} \ln(1 - w_1(\sigma, \vec{p})) = -\frac{\gamma V}{2(2\pi)^3} \int d^3\vec{p} \ln(1 - w_1(\sigma, \vec{p})), \tag{78}
\]

where \(\gamma = (2\sigma + 1)(N_c^2 - 1)\) is the degeneracy factor for pairs of particles. The expression on the left hand side of (78) is nothing but the total production probability in the WKB approximation

\[
1 - W_0 = 2\text{Im } LV\Delta t. \tag{79}
\]

When \(w_1\) is given by thermal distribution (53) the right-hand side Eq. (78) is related to the thermodynamic potential \(\Omega_{\text{pairs}}\) of the produced pairs:

\[
\Omega_{\text{pairs}} = T \frac{\gamma V}{2(2\pi)^3} \int d^3\vec{p} \ln(1 - w_1(\sigma, \vec{p})). \tag{80}
\]

Since we work in the approximation in which the background field does not depend on the transverse coordinates the particles produced in a given pair are correlated exactly back-to-back. Therefore, the thermodynamic potential for single particles \(\Omega\) is just twice the one for the pairs.
B. Bose-Einstein condensation

For the reasons which will become clear shortly, let us introduce a new notation \( \tilde{\Omega}/T = 2 \text{Im} S \). \( \tilde{\Omega} \) takes the following values at early and later times:

\[
\frac{\tilde{\Omega}}{T} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{p_{\perp}^2}{Q_s^2}, & t < t_{\text{therm}} \\
-p_{\perp}/T, & t > t_{\text{therm}}.
\end{cases}
\]

(81)

The number of the produced pairs is equal to [42]

\[
N = -\frac{\partial \Omega(\mu)}{\partial \mu} \bigg|_{\mu=0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \frac{VT}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3p \ln \left(1 - e^{\mu - \tilde{\Omega}/T} \right) \bigg|_{\mu=0}
\]

(82)

\[
= -\frac{V}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3p_{\perp} d\nu e^{\nu Q_s^2/T - 1}.
\]

(83)

where we absorbed the additional degeneracy factor 2 in the definition of \( g \).

At \( t = 0 \) it follows from Eq. (81) that the integral in Eq. (83) logarithmically diverges in the infrared region in agreement with perturbative QCD. However, the total emitted energy is finite

\[
E = \int \epsilon dN_\epsilon = \frac{V g}{(2\pi)^3} \Delta p_{\perp} Q_s^2 g E_0 \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \zeta(3/2).
\]

(84)

where we have restricted ourselves to the central rapidity region where \( \epsilon = p_{\perp} = \sqrt{2} p_{\perp} = \sqrt{2} p_{\perp}, p_{\perp} = 0 \). We can estimate \( \Delta p_{\perp} \approx g E_0 Q_s \). Also during the longitudinal expansion \( V \sim t \). Therefore, during the early stages after the collision the energy flows from the field to the soft particles as \( E \sim t^2 \).

The gluon number becomes finite as soon as \( t > 0 \). Indeed, when \( t \) changes from 0 to \( t_{\text{therm}} \) it follows from Eq. (81) that \( n = d\ln\tilde{\Omega}/d\ln p_{\perp} \) decreases from 2 to 1. We have

\[
N = \frac{2V g}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3p_{\perp} d\nu e^{\nu Q_s^2/T - 1} = \frac{V g}{(2\pi)^3} g E_0 \Lambda^2 F(n),
\]

(85)

where \( \Lambda \) varies from \( Q_s \) at \( t = 0 \) to \( T \) at \( t = t_{\text{therm}} \) and

\[
F(n) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dz z^n}{e^z - 1}, \quad F(1) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.
\]

(86)

The distribution in Eq. (86) at \( n = 1 \) has the form of a Bose-Einstein distribution with a vanishing chemical potential, \( \mu = 0 \). We thus expect the Bose-Einstein condensation of gluons to occur at temperatures lower than the critical temperature \( T_0 \). For the sake of simplicity let us assume that \( t \) is close to \( t_{\text{therm}} \) so that \( n \gtrsim 1 \) and \( \Lambda \gtrsim T \). To calculate \( T_0 \) let us note that Eq. (85) cannot be used for counting the number of particles which carry zero transverse momentum \( p_{\perp} = 0 \) at \( T < T_0 [42] \), where \( T_0 \) is defined as

\[
T_0 = \left( \frac{3(2\pi)^3 N}{V g E_0 \Lambda^2} \right)^{1/2}.
\]

(87)

The number of particles with zero momentum (in the condensate) equals

\[
N(p_{\perp} = 0) = N \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{T}{T_0} \right)^2 \right],
\]

(88)

whence \( N \) is the total (finite) number of particles.

The critical temperature decreases with time. Let us estimate its value at \( t = t_{\text{therm}} \). Using Eqs. (49) and (62) we get \( g E_0 t_{\text{therm}} \sim 1 \). Assume \( V = S_A \) where \( S_A \) is the transverse cross sectional area of the nucleus (for simplicity we assume a central collision). Then

\[
T_0 \approx T_0(t_{\text{therm}}) = \left( \frac{12N}{g S} \right)^{1/2}.
\]

(89)

The total number of hadrons produced at \( y = 0 \) at RHIC is about \( N \sim 1000 \). Using \( S_A = \pi (7 \text{fm})^2 \) and \( g = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 8 \) we obtain \( T_0(t_{\text{therm}}) \approx 260 \text{ MeV} \). Therefore, just after the system is thermalized, a significant fraction of gluons may form a Bose-Einstein condensate.

The Bose-Einstein condensation of soft gluons in high energy QCD leads to a remarkable consequence. Recall that the typical correlation length inside the high energy hadron is rather small \( \lambda_c \approx 1/Q_s \). This implies that the gluon emission with long wavelengths \( \lambda = 1/p_{\perp} > \lambda_c \) is suppressed because it decouples from the hadron wave function, similarly to the decoupling of a large wavelength signal from a small antenna. Therefore, one is led to predict a deficit of soft gluons at high energies, in a stark contradiction with the experimental data. The phenomenon of Bose-Einstein condensation solves this puzzle since it allows piling up of soft gluons.

C. Viscosity of the parton system

We have argued in Secs. III C and III D that at \( t > t_{\text{therm}} \) the produced partons have 3D thermal distributions with an effective temperature \( T \). The number of produced particles per unit volume is large \( n \sim 1/\alpha_s \) since they were part of the classical fields in the initial wave functions before the collision. This observation is an important argument in support of the hydrodynamical description of the parton system at later times [43].

The typical transverse momentum of a parton is \( \langle p_{\perp} \rangle \sim T \). Recall that the temperature \( T \) is proportional to the saturation scale \( Q_s(y) \) which is an exponential function of rapidity. Therefore, the temperature varies with rapidity. As a consequence, the average value of transverse momentum \( \langle p_{\perp} \rangle \) significantly varies between different rapidity layers. The difference in the transverse momentum distributions along the longitudinal axis of rapidity amounts to the shear viscosity.\(^1\)

The shear viscosity can be estimated as (we keep only parametric dependence while omitting all numerical factors)

\[
\frac{\eta}{n} = \langle p_{\perp} \rangle \lambda \sim \frac{Q_s}{n \sigma},
\]

(90)

\(^1\)We would like to thank Ben Svetitsky for bringing our attention to this consequence of our approach.
where $\sigma \sim \alpha_s/Q_s^2$ is the scattering cross section for a parton in the classical background field. The number of particles per unit volume is
\begin{equation}
n \sim \frac{xG}{S_\lambda L_z}, \quad (91)
\end{equation}
where $L_z \sim 1/Q_s$ is the longitudinal extent of the system. Using $Q_s^2 \sim \alpha_s xG/S_\lambda$ we then estimate
\begin{equation}
\frac{\eta}{n} \sim 1. \quad (92)
\end{equation}
This estimate implies the parametric smallness of viscosity which comes about as a consequence of high occupation number of gluons in the initial wave function. In contrast, in pQCD the shear viscosity is parametrically enhanced $\eta/n \sim 1/\alpha_s^2$ [44].

VII. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY OF THE RESULTS

In this paper we have developed an approach to particle production based on the principle idea of CGC: the gluon with a rapidity $y_0$ can be considered as emitted by the classical fields that are composed of all faster partons with $y > y_0$. We showed that in such an approach the gluons at the moment of collision are emitted by the classical longitudinal fields ($E_z$), which are created by fast particles during very short time $\sim 1/\varepsilon$ after the collision ($\varepsilon$ is the particle energy in the laboratory frame). We found the relation [49] between the momentum scale of dense partonic system $Q_s$ and the strength of the classical field $E_z$. The inclusive distribution at $t = 0$ is given by Eq. (50) and turns out to be the same as has been expected in the CGC approach (McLerran-Venugopalan model) [6].

At later times $t \gtrsim 1/\sqrt{2\pi} Q_s$, one has to consider the time dependence of the classical fields. We followed through the evolution of the system assuming that the main source of the produced gluons is still the classical field created by faster partons. It turns out that the momentum distribution of the produced gluons has a three-dimensional thermal spectrum given by Eq. (54) with $T = (1/4\sqrt{2\pi})Q_s(y)$ for the collision of two identical nuclei at midrapidity. Therefore, the CGC approach led to a thermal spectrum of emitted gluons with an effective temperature which depends on the rapidity of emitted gluons.

It was argued in Ref. [45] that the perturbative dynamics may not be adequate for the description of the late-time processes in a high-energy heavy-ion collisions as it does not lead to the thermalization as anticipated on general grounds. In the present paper we circumvent that result by suggesting a nonperturbative mechanism of thermalization. The nonperturbative nature of the obtained results can be clearly seen in Eq. (38) which exhibits nonanalytic dependence on the coupling $g$.

The dependence of temperature on rapidity may trigger instability of the gluon system (see for example [47,48] and references therein) and speed up thermalization process. Perhaps at late times the instability driven thermalization can compete with pair production by strong fields discussed in this paper. This problem warrants further investigation.

Another problem left beyond the scope of the present paper is understanding at what time the hydrodynamic description becomes valid. It seems reasonable to assume that for times later than $t_{\text{therm}} \gtrsim 1/T$ we could apply the viscous hydrodynamic description. Indeed, we showed that for these times we have a 3D thermal distributions in each slice of rapidity which is a precondition for using the hydrodynamic approach. On the other hand, the average transverse momenta $\langle p_t \rangle \approx T$ are quite different in the two neighboring slices in rapidity due to the dependence of $T$ on rapidity. Therefore, we can expect a considerable difference in parton momentum distributions in different rapidity slices which amounts to viscosity. We have argued that the CGC initial conditions lead to the parametrically small shear viscosity $\eta/\rho \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ as opposed to the perturbative result, $\eta/\rho \sim \mathcal{O}(1/\alpha_s^2)$. It should be mentioned that matching the CGC energy-momentum tensor with that of an almost perfect fluid yielded similar results [49].

Although we performed our calculations for the $SU(2)$ gauge theory, we believe that all the qualitative features of the derived results will remain valid for the realistic color group $SU(3)$ as well. Calculations of the pair production effect in a constant chromoelectric field of $SU(3)$ have been recently done in Refs. [50,51]. Unlike $SU(2)$ there are two Casimir operators in $SU(3)$ which yield a more complicated dependence of the pair production effect on $E$.

A new related general approach to particle production in field theories coupled to strong external sources has been recently formulated in Ref. [52] where the particular example of $\lambda \phi^4$ theory has been discussed. It may yield new insights into the problem of particle production problem in QCD as well.

It is interesting to note that calculation of inclusive $e^+e^-$ production in QED can be done in exactly the same way as was followed to calculate the gluon production in this paper. Indeed, a fast moving system in QED is characterized by large transverse fields which lead to bremsstrahlung production of photons which is a classical process. There is also production of $e^+e^-$ pairs which is a typical quantum process. The QED variant of the CGC approach states that at high energies the inclusive production is dominated by the emission of $e^+e^-$ pairs in the classical photon field and not by the quantum emission of virtual photons.
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APPENDIX: EQUATION OF MOTION OF A VECTOR PARTICLE IN AN EXTERNAL FIELD IN $SU(2)$

Let $A_\mu = A_\mu^\phi$ be the background classical field. We are looking for the equations of motion of the vector particle $W_\mu = (A_\mu^\phi + i A_\mu^A) / \sqrt{2}$ in the background field $A_\mu$. The Lagrangian is

$$
L = -\frac{i}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} (F^i_{\mu\nu})^2.
$$

Using the identity

$$
\sum_{i=1,2} (F^i_{\mu\nu})^2 = 2 |D_\mu W_\nu - D_\nu W_\mu|^2 = \left[ (\partial_\mu A_\nu^\phi - \partial_\nu A_\mu^\phi) 
\right.
+ g \left( A_\mu^\phi A_\nu^\phi - A_\nu^\phi A_\mu^\phi \right) + 2 \left( (\partial_\mu A_\nu^\phi - \partial_\nu A_\mu^\phi) 

\left. - g (A_\mu^\phi A_\nu^\phi - A_\nu^\phi A_\mu^\phi) \right)^2 + \left[ (\partial_\mu A_\nu^\phi - \partial_\nu A_\mu^\phi) 

\right.
\left. - g (A_\mu^\phi A_\nu^\phi - A_\nu^\phi A_\mu^\phi) \right]^2,
$$

and expanding the three-component of the strength tensor

$$
(F^3_{\mu\nu})^2 = (\partial_\mu A_\nu^\phi - \partial_\nu A_\mu^\phi)^2 + 2 g (\partial_\mu A_\nu^\phi - \partial_\nu A_\mu^\phi)(2i) W^{\nu}_\alpha W_\alpha + O(W^4)
$$

we obtain

$$
L = -\frac{1}{4} (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu)^2 - i g (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu) W^\nu_\alpha W_\alpha

- \frac{1}{2} D_\mu W_\nu - D_\nu W_\mu|^2,
$$

where $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + i g A_\mu$. The corresponding equation of motion is

$$
\left[ - D^2 (\partial_\mu - D_\nu D_\nu + 2i g F_{\mu\nu}) \right] W^\nu = 0.
$$

Assuming $D^\nu W_\mu = 0$ we have

$$
\partial_\mu W_\nu - 2i g A_\mu \partial^\nu W_\mu - i g (\partial_\mu A_\nu) W_\mu

- g^2 A_\nu W_\nu + 2 g E \sigma W_\nu = 0,
$$

which is equivalent to Eq. (18).


