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Heusler compounds offer potential as spintronic devices due to their spin polarization and half-metallicity properties, where electron spin-majority (minority) manifold exhibits states (band gap) at the electronic chemical potential, yielding full spin polarization in a single manifold. Yet, Heuslers often exhibit intrinsic disorder that degrades its half-metallicity and spin polarization. Using density-functional theory, we analyze the electronic and magnetic properties of equiatomic Heusler (L21) CoMnCrAl and CoFeCrGe alloys for effects of hydrostatic pressure and intrinsic disorder (thermal antisites, binary swaps, and vacancies). Under pressure, CoMnCrAl undergoes a metallic transition, while half-metallicity in CoFeCrGe is retained for a limited range. Antisite disorder between Cr-Al pair in CoMnCrAl alloy is energetically the most favorable, and retains half-metallic character in Cr-excess regime. However, Co-deficient samples in both alloys undergo a transition from half-metallic to metallic, with a discontinuity in the saturation magnetization. For binary swaps, configurations that compete with the ground state are identified and show no loss of half-metallicity; however, the minority-spin band gap and magnetic moments vary depending on the atoms swapped. For single binary swaps, there is a significant energy cost in CoMnCrAl but with no loss of half-metallicity. Although a few configurations in CoFeCrGe energetically compete with the ground state, the minority-spin band gap and magnetic moments vary depending on the atoms swapped. This information should help in controlling these potential spintronic materials.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Disorder is an inherent property of any real material. Physical properties of functional materials, e.g., conductivity, magnetization, are strongly influenced by impurities and point defects. For spintronic based materials, it becomes even more important because all the phenomena are related to spin degrees of freedom (magnetization). The precise control of impurity species and concentrations in semiconductors is more important because all the phenomena are related to spin degrees of freedom (magnetization). The precise control of impurity species and concentrations in semiconductors is more important because all the phenomena are related to spin degrees of freedom (magnetization). The precise control of impurity species and concentrations in semiconductors is more important because all the phenomena are related to spin degrees of freedom (magnetization).
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pressure (volume reduction) on the formation energy and defect-induced electronic and magnetic properties. We found that CoMnCrAl (CoFeCrGe) is extremely sensitive to pressure and undergoes a transition from half-metallic to metallic state by ~3% (~7%) of lattice constant reduction. Antisite disorder between Cr-Al pair in CoMnCrAl alloy is the most favorable, and are expected to survive in real samples. Swap disorder in CoMnCrAl on the other hand suggest that (Co,Mn) and (Cr,Al) swap are the most favorable. This was also suggested experimentally in CoMnCrAl [31], where antisite mixing of Al with other transition metals was suspected. Such defects beyond a certain concentration change gross properties, e.g., loss of half-metallicity at ~7.4% Al excess in Co$_{1-x}$MnCrAl$_{1+x}$ and ~3.7% Ge excess in Co$_{1-x}$FeCrGe$_{1+x}$.

II. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

Ab initio simulations are performed by using a spin-polarized density functional theory (DFT) implemented within Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP) [32] with a projected augmented-wave basis [33]. We adopt the idea of generalized gradient approximation (GGA) in the scheme of Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) for the electronic exchange-correlation functional. We used a plane-wave cutoff of 368 eV with the convergence to 0.1 meV/cell (10 kbars) for energy (stress). All calculations are fully relaxed. Cubic lattice symmetry is preserved for most defects, except for a few where the unit cell angles ($\alpha, \beta, \gamma$) narrowly deviate to 89.7°–89.9° (compared to 90° for cubic), e.g., antisites between Co-Ge and Fe-Ge in CoFeCrGe and Co-Al and Mn-Al in CoMnCrAl.

The $X^1X^2YZ$ CoMnCrAl and CoFeCrGe have LiMgPdSn prototype (Y-type) cubic structure. Site-preference energies suggest that the most stable structure are the ones with $X^1$ at 4c, $X^2$ at 4d, Y at 4b, and Z at 4a Wyckoff sites [31]. Because the amount of intrinsic defects in real systems are small, we simulate these defects in a 3 × 3 × 3 supercell, formed from a four-atom fcc cell (see Fig. 1) of the most stable configurations [31]. This supercell contains a total of 108 atoms with 27 atoms of each kind. Brillouin zone integrations are performed using 24$^3$ (8$^3$) k mesh for four-atom (108-atom) cells. Antisite defects among all pairs (six in a quaternary), single and double vacancies, and all combinations of binary swaps between different atoms have been investigated.

Relative stability of these defected were assessed by formation energies ($\Delta E_f$) that is referenced to the perfectly ordered endpoints, i.e., either elemental ($X^1$, $X^2$, $Y$, Z) or ternary ($X^1_1YZ$ and $X^2_2YZ$). For a given binary antisite disorder x in a A$_{1-x}$B$_{1+x}$CD alloy, $\Delta E_f$ with respect to elemental ground state is defined as

$$\Delta E_f = E[A_{1-x}B_{1+x}CD] - \frac{1}{2}[(1-x) E_A + (1+x) E_B + E_C + E_D],$$

and with respect to ternary end point compounds,

$$\Delta E_f = E[A_{1-x}B_{1+x}CD] - \frac{1}{2}[(1-x) E_{A2CD} + (1+x) E_{B2CD}],$$

where $E(A_{1-x}B_{1+x}CD)$ is the total energy of the alloy, $E_a$ ($\alpha = A, B, C, D$) is the element of the element “$\alpha$” in its stable ground state, and $E_{A2CD}$ [or $E_{B2CD}$] represents the total energy of stable ternary phase. All the energies are in meV/atom. For the elemental ground state, we have taken ferromagnetic hcp structure for Co, ferromagnetic bcc for Fe, antiferromagnetic bcc for Cr and Mn, fcc for Al, and diamond structure for Ge.

We have also checked the mechanical stability of the parent compounds by satisfying the Born-Huang criteria [34]. This requires computing the elastic constants by performing a lattice dynamics calculation. Such calculations are computationally more expensive, and need higher accuracy. As such, we have used an energy cutoff of 500 eV, total energy convergence of 10$^{-5}$ eV along with 8$^3$ k mesh for BZ integration.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We present the effect of hydrostatic pressure and point defects (antisite, swap, and vacancy) for the two representative HAs, CoMnCrAl and CoFeCrGe. Both systems are of interest because a few preliminary experimental results [31] exist and they provide a platform for verifying our theoretical predictions. CoFeCrGe has a high Curie temperature ($T_C$ ~ 866 K), and hence useful for high-temperature applications.

A. CoMnCrAl

1. Pressure effect

Our calculated equilibrium lattice constant $a_{\text{eq}}$ for CoMnCrAl is 5.70 Å, while the measured value $a_{\text{exp}}$ is 5.78 Å at 300 K. We found half-metallic character at both of these lattice constants with corresponding minority-spin band gap ($\Delta E_g$)$_m$ = 0.24 and 0.33 eV, respectively.

To investigate the effect of hydrostatic pressure, we calculated the electronic structure at decreased a. Figure 2 shows the density of states (DoS) at $E_F$ for majority and minority spin channel as well as the band gap in minority channel versus a (or pressure). The system retains its half-metallicity in the vicinity of experimental $a_0$. Figure 2 also shows the variation of total and atom-projected magnetization and $E_F$ vs a. Notice that the system retains half-metallicity down to ~5.62 Å, below which the minority spin exhibit a finite

![FIG. 1. A four-atom fcc primitive cell of $X^1X^2YZ$ quaternary Heusler alloy.](184102-2)
The formation energy $\Delta E_F$ (meV/atom) for various binary antisite disorders with respect to elemental ground state (top) and ternary end compounds (bottom). A, B, C, and D indicate Co, Mn, Cr, and Al, respectively. +ve ($-ve$) values of $x$ simply shows excess (deficit) of an element in the system. For example, $A_{1-x}B_{1+x}CD$ indicates excess (deficit) of B for $+ve x$ ($-ve x$) over A. In the bottom panel, because the formation energies of each antisite pairs are calculated with respect to their own ternary end points (e.g., for $A_{1-x}BCD_{1+x}$, the ternary end points are ABCA and DBCD) that is why the value of $\Delta E_F$ is different for different pairs at $x = 0$. As such, the best way to look at these results is to compare the value of $\Delta E_F$ for $x \neq 0$ with those of $x = 0$ for a given antisite pair and NOT comparing the formation energetics for different antisite pairs at a given $x$ value. In this way, the trend of the changes in stability as one goes from $-ve x$ to $+ve x$ for a given antisite pair turn out to be similar irrespective of the choice of end points, i.e., elemental ground state [Fig. 3 (top)] or ternary compounds [Fig. 3 (bottom)].

From top panel of Fig. 3, it is evident that there are five favorable mixing such as Co excess in $(Co_{1-x}Cr_{1+x})$ and $(Co_{1-x}Mn_{1+x})$, Mn excess in $(Mn_{1-x}Cr_{1+x})$, and Al excess in $(Mn_{1-x}Al_{1+x})$ and $(Cr_{1-x}Al_{1+x})$. Among all the favorable binary antisite mixing, Co excess in $(Co_{1-x}Cr_{1+x})$ and Al excess in $(Cr_{1-x}Al_{1+x})$ would be the most favorable (lowest formation energy) and hence should be observed by neutron
diffraction experiment. Antisite mixing between Co and Al in (Co$_{1-x}$Al$_{1+x}$) has least probability to occur. Other pairs are also less favorable to form.

We have also checked the mechanical stability of these alloys. For cubic crystals, the condition for mechanical stability among the elastic constants ($C_{ij}$) are

$$(C_{11} - C_{12})/2 > 0, \quad (C_{11} + 2C_{12}/3 > 0, \quad C_{44} > 0.$$  

These conditions are called the “Born-Huang” criteria [34]. The calculated $C_{ij}$ for CoMnCrAl are summarized in Table I, which clearly satisfies the Born-Huang criteria.

Upper panel of Fig. 4 shows the DoS at $E_F$ (majority and minority spin) and minority-spin band gap vs $x$, the antisite disorder. In each panel, triangle up (down) shows DoS($E_F$) for spin up (down), and solid circle represents the minority-spin band gap ($\Delta E_{g1}$). One should notice different $y$ scales on the left and right side of the vertical axis. Interestingly, the most stable antisite defect pair (Co,Cr) and (Cr,Al) induce a transition from half-metallic to metallic state above $\sim$7.4% and $\sim$3.7% of Cr excess and Al excess, respectively (as shown in down panel of Fig. 4). This is due to a disorder induced state at $E_F$ in the minority spin channel which kills the band gap. Similar transitions also occur in (Co,Mn) and (Mn,Al) at $\sim$3.7% excess of Mn and Al, respectively. (Mn,Cr) antisite pair, on the other hand, retain the half-metallic character of the alloy throughout the concentration ($x$). Another point to notice is a small increase in minority spin band gap with excess of transition metals over Al.

Half-metallic to metallic transition, as depicted in Fig. 4, is intimately connected to the change in magnetism of the alloy. The lower panel of Fig. 4 shows the variation of total magnetic moment as a function of $x$. Notably, the total magnetization changes smoothly for all $x$ except the transition points (half-metallic to metallic) where it takes a discontinuous jump. Such anomalous change in magnetization is not common in Heusler alloy and will be worth verifying experimentally. The Slater-Pauling (SP) rule [35,36] is a necessary (but still not sufficient) criteria to be satisfied by the Heusler alloy in order to show half-metallic behavior. Most of the antisite binary disordered configurations of the CoMnCrAl alloy follow SP rule [blue solid line of Fig. 4 (down)] except a few where the magnetic moment changes discontinuously mediated by the phase transition from half-metallic to metallic state.

Swap antisites: Depending on the method of sample preparation, swapping (interchange of position between two atoms) is another kind of defect which occur in real materials. Such defects can also be viewed as the sum of two different $A$ and $B$ atomic antisites that tend to aggregate. As before, we consider all possible binary swap among transition metals as well as the main group elements (Al). Figure 5(a) shows the relative formation energies of different combinations of swapping pairs. Energy corresponding to no swap configuration is considered as the reference energy ($\Delta E_f^\text{ideal}$). Whereas ($\Delta E_f^\text{swap}$) represents formation energy after swapping. Apart
from (Co,Mn) pair, swap between the Cr and Al atoms also shows relatively lower formation energy [Fig. 5(a)] and hence open the possibility of spontaneous formation of such interchange. In quaternary HAs, $X^1X^2YZ$, there are four Wyckoff positions 4c, 4d, 4b, and 4a which are occupied by $X^1$, $X^2$, Y, and Z, respectively. A conventional $L_{21}$ disorder arises when there is a equal probability of mixing of $X^1$ and $X^2$ atoms at the 4c and 4d lattice sites. The XRD analysis of in our previous work [31] reveals that 4a and 4b fcc sites in CoMnCrAl are equally possible for Cr and Al atoms. If the same case happens in ternary HAs ($XX'YZ$ or $X_2YZ$), then the disorder would be $B_2$ type ($4a = 4b$, $4c = 4d$), but in case of quaternary alloys one cannot call it a conventional $L_{21}$ disorder rather a $L_{21}$-type disorder, because $4c$ and $4d$ sites are not equivalent. As such, swap analysis (equal probability) would probably be a better way to analyze $L_{21}$ disorder in these systems. In case of CoMnCrAl, all the binary swap cost energy except Co-Mn and Cr-Al [Fig. 5(a)]. The relative defect energy of Co-Mn swap suggest a conventional $L_{21}$ disorder. However, Cr-Al swap which, although a little higher in energy (but still negative) compare to Co-Mn swap, has a fair possibility to form and hence mimic a $L_{21}$-type disorder. On the other hand, the probability of occurrence of (Mn,Cr) interchange is moderate. DoS at $E_F$ [$n_\uparrow(E_F)$, $n_\downarrow(E_F)$] and minority spin band gap ($\Delta E_{F\downarrow}$) are shown in Fig. 5(b). Half-metallicity in CoMnCrAl is quite robust against swapping with a minor change in the band gap. Majority spin DoS at $E_F$, however, decreases due to the defect induced state.

Interestingly, swaps causes odd behavior in the total magnetization for certain pairs of swapping combinations, e.g., (Co,Cr), (Mn,Al), see Fig. 5(c). Such a behavior violates the Slater-Pauling rule in spite of the half-metallic nature of the alloy. To gain a deeper insight, we have calculated the local moments at/near the individual atomic sites as shown in Fig. 6. Left panel shows the result for ideal structure (no swap) and the right panel for swapped structure. In the case of (Co,Cr) swap, Cr (at the swapped site) becomes antiferromagnetic with respect to Co unlike their ferromagnetic coupling in ideal case, and hence a sharp decrease in total magnetization. In contrast, Mn becomes ferromagnetic when swapped with Al and forms a large moment ($\mu_{\text{Mn}} = 3.10 \, \mu_B$) compared to the ideal case which causes a sharp increase in the total magnetic moment. The actual magnetic map of the defected structure is somewhat complicated and the effect is found to survive up to the second-nearest neighbors.

**Vacancy defects:** We have checked the effect of both single vacancy [1 out of 27 (~3.7%)] and double vacancies [2 out of 27 (~7.4%)] at three transition-metal sites and Al sites. Figure 7 summarizes the changes in main electronic properties, i.e., Fermi energy ($E_F$), total moment ($m_{\text{total}}$), minority-spin band gap ($\Delta E_{F\downarrow}$), DoS($E_F$) for spin up and down due to the creation of such vacancies. In each panel, circle, square, triangle up and triangle down symbols indicate the results due

![Image](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**FIG. 5.** For CoMnCrAl, effect of two-atom swap on (a) the relative formation energy: $(\Delta E)_{\text{rel}} = (\Delta E_f)_{\text{swap}} - (\Delta E_f)_{\text{ideal}}$; (b) $n_\uparrow(E_F)$, $n_\downarrow(E_F)$, $(\Delta E_{F\downarrow})$, and (c) total magnetic moments ($\mu_B$).

![Image](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**FIG. 6.** For CoMnCrAl, effect of two-atom swap on moments ($\mu_B$) at/near swapped sites. Left (right) column indicates the results without (with) swap.
to vacancies at Co, Mn, Cr, and Al positions, respectively. As expected, $E_F$ decreases with the introduction of vacancies from rigid band concepts. Due to the reduction in the total number of valence electrons, Slater-Pauling rule may not necessarily hold in all cases, as shown in the second (from top) panel. A substitution of 3.7% (7.4%) vacancy at Co, Mn, Cr, and Al sites reduces the total number of valence electrons of stoichiometric CoMnCrAl by 0.33 (0.66), 0.25 (0.5), 0.22 (0.44), 0.11 (0.22), respectively. Based on the total moments in Fig. 7, none of the vacancy substitutions satisfy the SP rule except for Al. Another striking feature is the loss of half-metallicity (zero minority vacancy) throughout the pressure range considered here. Fermi energy indeed gets enhanced under pressure, similar to the case of CoMnCrAl.

### B. CoFeCrGe

#### 1. Pressure effect

Figure 8 (top panel) shows the effect of pressure on DoS and band gap (in minority spin channel) for CoFeCrGe. Unlike the case of CoMnCrAl, half-metallicity in this case is more robust. In other words, CoFeCrGe require a much higher pressure (6%–7% smaller lattice parameter compared to $a_{\text{expt}}$) to destroy the half-metallic nature and transit to a metallic state. Effect of pressure on the magnetic moments are shown in Fig. 8. Variation in magnetic moment (atom projected state. Effect of pressure on the magnetic moments are shown in Fig. 8. Variation in magnetic moment (atom projected

#### 2. Point defects (antisite, swap, vacancy)

**Antisite defects:** All possible combinations of binary antisite disorder are investigated in CoFeCrGe also. Formation energies ($\Delta E_f$) for all such antisite pairs in both excess (positive $x$) and deficit (negative $x$) range with respect to elemental ground state and ternary end point compound are shown in Fig. 9. In the bottom panel, the different value of $\Delta E_f$ at $x = 0$, for different antisite pairs, is due to the different ternary end points for each pairs (a point already discussed in Sec. III A 3). $\Delta E_f$ corresponding to elemental ground state [Fig. 9 (top)] suggests that among all possible binary antisite pairs, Co excess in (Co$_{1-x}$Fe$_{1+x}$) and (Co$_{1-x}$Cr$_{1+x}$) and Fe excess in (Fe$_{1-x}$Cr$_{1+x}$) are energetically more favorable. Also among these favorable mixing, Co excess in (Co$_{1-x}$Cr$_{1+x}$) is energetically the most favorable. All other binary mixings are unfavorable and hence are less likely to form during processing. We found that Cr based antisite with Cr atoms is the most favorable antisite mixing in CoFeCrGe and should be observed experimentally.

---

**FIG. 7.** For CoMnCrAl, effect of single and double vacancy on $E_F$, total magnetic moments ($\mu_B$), ($\Delta E_g$)$_{\uparrow}$, $n_{\uparrow}(E_F)$, and $n_{\downarrow}(E_F)$ (states eV$^{-1}$/atom).

**FIG. 8.** Same as Fig. 2, but for CoFeCrGe. Transition occur at 5.4 Å, with $a_{\text{expt}} = 5.71$ Å ($a_{\text{expt}} = 5.77$ Å).
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Elastic constants for CoFeCrGe are given in Table II. These values are calculated at the experimental (\(a_{\text{exp}}\)) and equilibrium (\(a_{\text{rlx}}\)) lattice constant. We have also calculated elastic constants at \(a = 5.72\) Å, which are similar to those tabulated in the third row of Table II. It is clear that the Born-Huang criteria is satisfied for CoFeCrGe system also.

Defect energy is another quantity to investigate the stability of defects. For completeness, we have also calculated these energies for both CoMnCrAl and CoFeCrGe. These results are shown in the Supplemental Materials [37].

Figure 10 (top panel) shows the DoS at \(E_F\) (majority and minority spin) and the band gap (\(\Delta E_g\)) vs \(x\) for various antisite disorders. One should notice that, unlike CoMnCrAl, the \(y\) scale for \(n_{\downarrow}(E_F)\) and \(\Delta E_g\) are interchanged here. This is done to separate the smaller magnitude of \(n_{\downarrow}(E_F)\) compared to the large values of \(n_{\uparrow}(E_F)\) and \(\Delta E_g\). One of the main differences in CoFeCrGe is the extremely small values of \(n_{\downarrow}(E_F)\) compared to that in CoMnCrAl. A small antisite disorder introduces a very small DoS at \(E_F\) in minority spin channel in selected \(x\) range, causing a transition from half-metallic to metallic state. Unlike CoMnCrAl, the magnitude of \(n_{\downarrow}(E_F)\) is so small that it may be difficult to gauge whether a transition will really happen in a real sample. As such, we expect the half-metallic to metallic transition to be more robust in CoMnCrAl than CoFeCrGe. Variation of magnetic moment \((m)\) vs \(x\) in the present case is relatively more monotonous.

**TABLE II.** Calculated \(C_{ij}\) (in GPa) and \(B\) (in GPa) at \(a_{\text{exp}}\) (5.77 Å) and \(a_{\text{rlx}}\) (5.71 Å) for CoFeCrGe.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(a) (Å)</th>
<th>(C_{11})</th>
<th>(C_{12})</th>
<th>(C_{44})</th>
<th>(B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This work</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>207.94</td>
<td>184.20</td>
<td>108.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This work</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>231.46</td>
<td>207.03</td>
<td>122.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other work [38]</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>193.55</td>
<td>192.36</td>
<td>120.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIG. 11. Same as Fig. 5, but for CoFeCrGe.

Compared to that in CoMnCrAl, which may be attributed to a much smaller jump of $n(E_F)$ at the transition point. Another key difference is the robustness of ferromagnetic behavior throughout the concentration $x$ in the present case unlike CoMnCrAl where the half-metallic to metallic transition is often mediated by a magnetic transition (antiferromagnetic to magnetic).

Swap antisites: Effect of interchanging the position of one atom (in a $3 \times 3 \times 3$ supercell) on the electronic and magnetic properties of CoFeCrGe is shown in Fig. 11. Positive relative formation energies ($\Delta E_{\text{rel}}$) suggest swapping of atoms to be quite unlikely during the formation. (Co,Fe) and (Fe,Cr) swapping may have a very small probability to occur. Although the band gap ($\Delta E_g$) changes dramatically for some swapping pairs, half-metallicity is preserved in all cases. This is similar to the case of CoMnCrAl. (Fe,Cr), (Co,Fe), and (Cr,Ge) swaps almost give the same total magnetic moments as the ideal (no swap) case [Fig. 11(c)]. On the other hand, (Co,Cr), (Fe,Ge), and (Co,Ge) pairs have the strongest effect on the total moment. In a $3 \times 3 \times 3$ supercell, the total moment decreases by 3.84 $\mu_B$ for (Co-Cr) swap and increases by 6.06 $\mu_B$ and 4.09 $\mu_B$ for (Fe,Ge) and (Co,Ge) swap, respectively, as compared to the ideal case.

Individual magnetic moments at/nearest the defective sites show quite interesting behavior as depicted in Fig. 12. Even though swapping between Fe-Cr, Co-Fe, and Cr-Ge gives almost the same value of total magnetic moment as in the ideal case [Fig. 11(c)], the magnitude of individual magnetic moments at the defective sites are somewhat different in each case with respect to equivalent sites of ideal structure. (Fe,Cr) swapping pair is quite interesting out of the three, where Cr

FIG. 12. Same as Fig. 6, but for CoFeCrGe.

FIG. 13. Same as Fig. 7, but for CoFeCrGe.
becomes antiferromagnetic ($m_{Cr}$ changes from 1.8 $\mu_B$ in ideal case to $-1.27 \mu_B$) and Fe gains a huge moment ($m_{Fe}$ goes from 0.21 $\mu_B$ to 2.66 $\mu_B$) after swapping. In addition to the swapped sites, magnetic moments of the neighboring sites (nearest and next nearest neighbors) are also affected which collectively sum up to yield a similar total moment as the ideal case. Swapping of Co with Cr causes an antiferromagnetic alignment of Cr along with a reduction of Co moment (keeping its ferromagnetic nature intact) resulting in an overall reduction of total moment. However, in the case of (Fe,Ge) and (Co,Ge) swaps, both Fe and Co gain a moment of 2.52 $\mu_B$ and 0.7 $\mu_B$, respectively, resulting in an overall enhancement of the total moment of the cell. In all the swapping cases, the magnetic interactions do not only affect the nearest neighbors of the swapped sites but also the next nearest neighbors beyond which the effect becomes negligibly small.

Vacancy defects: Single and double vacancy effects on electronic and magnetic properties of CoFeCrGe are shown in Fig. 13. In each panel, circle, square, triangle up, and triangle down symbols indicate the results due to vacancies at Co, Fe, Cr, and Ge positions, respectively. Vacancies reduce $E_F$ as expected from rigid band concept. Magnetization is least (most) affected by Fe (Cr) vacancies which is due to the lowest (highest) magnetic moment of Fe (Cr) atoms in the compound. Interestingly, Ge vacancies cause a reduction of the moments of its neighboring atoms and hence an effective reduction of the total cell moment. Vacancies at Cr and Ge sites result in a half-metallic to metallic transition with a very small reduction of the total cell moment. Vacancies at Cr and Ge sites also affect the magnetic moments of the neighboring sites (nearest and next nearest neighbors) are also affected which collectively sum up to yield a similar total moment as the ideal case. Swapping of Co with Cr causes an antiferromagnetic alignment of Cr along with a reduction of Co moment (keeping its ferromagnetic nature intact) resulting in an overall reduction of total moment. However, in the case of (Fe,Ge) and (Co,Ge) swaps, both Fe and Co gain a moment of 2.52 $\mu_B$ and 0.7 $\mu_B$, respectively, resulting in an overall enhancement of the total moment of the cell. In all the swapping cases, the magnetic interactions do not only affect the nearest neighbors of the swapped sites but also the next nearest neighbors beyond which the effect becomes negligibly small.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have performed detailed first-principles calculations on two quaternary Heusler alloys, CoMnCrAl and CoFeCrGe, to determine the effect of hydrostatic pressure and various intrinsic defects (antisite disorder, pairwise swap, and vacancies) on their electronic and magnetic properties, as well as to assess the most favorable defects. These two systems are interesting because of their high $T_C$ and partial availability of experimental data. Understanding the effects of operative defects provide a unique tool to control and develop the best materials for spintronics based applications.

We find antiferromagnetic (ferromagnetic) alignment of Cr moments with respect to other transition elements in CoMnCrAl (CoFeCrGe). CoMnCrAl is found to be quite sensitive to pressure, and undergoes a half-metallic–to–metallic transition under 2%–3% reduction in lattice parameter; CoFeCrGe properties are much more robust against pressure. Also, in contrast to CoFeCrGe, CoMnCrAl is quite sensitive to these defects. Formation energies provide details on stability of the defects, as well as the order in which they can form during processing of real sample. Above a certain antisite defect concentration, CoMnCrAl undergoes a half-metallic–to–metallic transition mediated by a concomitant magnetic transition. Half-metallicity is quite robust against swap defects in both systems. CoMnCrAl shows the possibility of conventional $L_2_1$ as well as $L_2_1$ type of disorder as observed [31]. Magnetization (both local and bulk) is found to behave sensitively with swapping from their preferred Wyckoff positions, often changing spin orientation of the swapped or neighborhood of swapped atoms—violating the Slater-Pauling rule. Vacancies are found to cause narrowing of the band gap as compared to the ideal structure, including vanishing (a transition) in some cases. Clearly it is crucial to prevent thermal-induced or growth defects during the synthesis of these alloys, which are used as electrodes in the magnetoelectronic devices for spintronic applications.
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