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Introduction

Recently, there has been a growing interest in elucidating the adsorption of iron ions and its hydrolysis complexes in solutions to bio-mimetic templates.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^7\) Although, driven to better understand the strong specific Fe-binding to a protein that promotes the growth of magnetite nano-crystals in magnetotactic bacteria (Mms6),\(^8\)\(^-\)\(^11\) these control studies have brought about some remarkable results. For instance, for a two-dimensional (2D) neutral carboxylic-group-template (formed by a Langmuir monolayer of arachidic-acid at low pH levels; pH ∼ 3), it has been found that a few layers of iron complexes are bound to the surface most likely covalently.\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^2\) Carboxylic groups, the likely Fe binding sites of the Mms6 protein, attract Fe complexes more strongly than other trivalent (La\(^{3+}\)) or divalent (Ca\(^{2+}\) or Fe\(^{2+}\)) ions.\(^3\)

Extending the studies to a template that is formed by a Langmuir monolayer of di-hexadecyl phosphate, also at low pH values, where theoretical calculations based on Poisson-Boltzmann theory and experimental results using La\(^{3+}\) ions in solution clearly indicate that the template is electrostatically neutral, also show strong binding of iron complexes at the interface.\(^2\) Here, we explore the binding of iron ions (Fe(III)) to the biologically relevant phosphatidylcholine (PC) template using physiological levels of KCl (i.e., 100 mM at low pH ∼ 3). The effect of divalent cations on PC-based membranes and templates have been studied and have shown to induce conformational and hydration changes in the PC region due to presumable interaction between the phosphate group and the cation,\(^12\)\(^,\)\(^13\) that for multi-bilayer lipids, the cations tend to increase the transition temperature from gel-to-liquid-crystalline (LR).

To determine the structure and composition of a di-palmyitoyl-phosphatidylcholine (DPPC) that is spread as a Langmuir monolayer (ML) at the vapor/solution (100 mM KCl and sub-mM FeCl\(_3\) ) interface at low pH values at which the phosphate group is protonated, we employ surface sensitive X-ray diffraction and spectroscopic techniques\(^14\)\(^-\)\(^19\). Similar studies have been reported on various divalent ions with PC-based lipid monolayers albeit at relatively high salt concentrations (∼ 0.1 M; much higher than those in living cells),\(^20\)\(^,\)\(^21\) showing changes in π – A isotherms but insignificant structural effects in the X-ray reflectivity or
grazing incidence diffraction (GIXD). This is not surprising considering a recent moleculardynamic simulations that show strong competition between cation binding to PC and to water molecules.\textsuperscript{22} Here, in addition to X-ray reflectivity (XR) and GIXD, we use X-ray near-total-reflection fluorescence (XNTRF) spectroscopy to specifically and qualitatively monitor interfacial ion accumulation from dilute iron solutions. As we discuss below the results have biological implications as the PC group is shared by other phospholipids including sphingomyelin.

**Experimental Methods**

**Reagents and Materials:** 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DPPC, C\textsubscript{40}H\textsubscript{80}NO\textsubscript{8}P; molecular weight 734.039 g/mol; CAS No.63-89-8) was purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids, Inc. and dissolved in 3:1 chloroform/methanol solution for surface spreading. Ultrapure water (Millipore, Milli-Q, and NANOpure, Barnstead; resistivity 18.1 MΩ cm) was used in all subphase solution preparations. Iron chloride (FeCl\textsubscript{3}·6H\textsubscript{2}O, CAS No.10025-77-1, purchased from Fisher Scientific) subphase solutions were prepared from the same parent solution and diluted to the desired concentrations. The iron solutions were maintained at pH 3 by addition of hydrochloric acid (HCl). Additional 100 mM potassium chloride (KCl, CAS No.7447-40-7, purchased from Fisher Scientific) were present in all iron solutions to mimic the physiological conditions. These conditions have been used to complement and compare studies of Fe(III) binding to Mms6 under conditions that have been used for iron oxide crystal formation promoted by this protein in vitro.\textsuperscript{11}

**X-ray Scattering Setup** Synchrotron-based X-ray measurements were performed on the liquid surface spectrometer (LSS) at the 9-ID-C beamline at the Advanced Photon Source (APS), Argonne National Laboratory using incident X-ray energy $E = 13.474$ keV (corresponding wavelength $\lambda = 0.9201$ Å). The aqueous FeCl\textsubscript{3} subphase solutions were contained in a Langmuir trough mounted on the LSS. The DPPC in chloroform/methanol (3:1) stock
solution was spread on the aqueous surface in a sealed Langmuir trough that was purged with water-saturated helium to minimize potential radiation damage to the sample surface and reduce background scattering from air. The spread monolayer (ML) is compressed with a motorized Teflon barrier and surface pressure is measured with a filter-paper Wilhelmy plate to obtain surface-pressure versus molecular area ($\pi - A$) isotherms. During the X-ray measurements the monolayer is maintained at a constant pressure (within predetermined experimental tolerance $\pm 3$ mN/m; the surface pressure of the monolayers during measurements has been stable to better than $\pm 1$ mN/m). A highly collimated and monochromatic X-ray beam of wave vector $k_i$ is steered onto the surface at an incident angle $\alpha_i$. More details on the experimental methods and techniques can be found in the Supporting Information.

Results and Discussion

Surface Pressure-Area Isotherms

![Surface pressure versus molecular area isotherms of DPPC on aqueous subphase solutions of FeCl$_3$ at concentrations from 0 up to 40 µM as indicated. Shaded area indicates the range of the surface-pressure and molecular area of DPPC in the course of the X-ray measurements. All solutions also included 100 mM KCl at pH 3.](image)

Surface pressure ($\Pi$) versus molecular area ($A_{mol}$) isotherms for DPPC on 100 mM KCl at various concentrations of FeCl$_3$ (in the micromolar range) solutions are shown in Fig. 1. The
isotherm of DPPC, is typical of other phospholipids on pure aqueous solutions exhibiting liquid-expanded (LE), liquid-condensed (LC), and the LE/LC (coexisting phases plateau on the isotherms) phases. The isotherm in our study does not seem to be affected by the presence of KCl as shown in Fig. 1, however, micromolar level concentrations of iron have a modest effect on the coexistence LE/LC phase such that higher concentrations of iron gradually narrow this phase (i.e., the plateau region in the isotherms). This behavior indicates the formation of LC clusters at large molecular areas due to the tethering caused by iron-complexes binding. We note that the molecular area of DPPC reaches \( \pi \sim 50 \, \text{A}^2 \) at \( \Pi \sim 30 \pm 3 \, \text{mN/m} \), at the point on the isotherms where the X-ray measurements have been conducted.

**X-ray Reflectivity**

Table 1: DPPC monolayer structural parameters extracted from the refinement of \( R/R_F \) data. The monolayer is modeled as a three-slab stratum. The subscript 1 to 3 represent the layer in contact with the subphase, the layer just below the hydrocarbon tails and the hydrocarbon chains in contact with vapor phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[FeCl(_3)] (µM)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( d_1 ) (Å)</td>
<td>15±1</td>
<td>15±1</td>
<td>9.6±1.3</td>
<td>9.2±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_1 e/\text{Å}^3 )</td>
<td>0.348±0.001</td>
<td>0.372±0.002</td>
<td>0.410±0.003</td>
<td>0.395±0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d_2 ) (Å)</td>
<td>9.2±0.8</td>
<td>8.9±1.6</td>
<td>13.9±0.8</td>
<td>18.8±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_2 e/\text{Å}^3 )</td>
<td>0.50±0.04</td>
<td>0.55±0.04</td>
<td>0.53±0.04</td>
<td>0.55±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d_3 ) (Å)</td>
<td>15.2±1.4</td>
<td>16.3±0.5</td>
<td>17.3±0.7</td>
<td>19.0±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_3 e/\text{Å}^3 )</td>
<td>0.28±0.03</td>
<td>0.26±0.03</td>
<td>0.252±0.006</td>
<td>0.39±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma ) (Å)</td>
<td>3.3±0.2</td>
<td>3.8±0.3</td>
<td>3.6±0.3</td>
<td>4.0±0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( a \) The addition of this slab that is in contact with the subphase, compared to the two-slab model that depicts the DPPC monolayer on pure water, is found to improve the reflectivity fitting substantially. The slightly higher ED in the slab adjacent to subphase may indicate minute inclusion of excess K\(^+\) and/or Cl\(^-\), reorientation and reorganization of adjacent water molecules, or rearrangement of the head group, compared to the DPPC on pure water subphase.

Figure 2 shows the measured normalized reflectivity \( R/R_F \) for monolayers on the FeCl\(_3\) subphase at concentrations from 0 to 40 µM as indicated. The XR from the monolayer on the 100 mM KCl solution is very similar to that measured on water (i.e., the \( Q_z \)}
Figure 2: (a) Normalized reflectivity data from DPPC monolayers on FeCl$_3$ subphase of concentration 0 – 40 µM as indicated. The solid lines through data points are calculated $R/R_F$ using the best-fit parameters. (b) The electron density (ED) profiles, $\rho(z)$, corresponds to the best-fit $R/R_F$ in (a). The ED profiles are shifted horizontally to make the ED maxima coincide at $z = 0$ for display purposes.
where the first reflectivity maximum and minimum occur barely changes in the presence and absence of KCl). Qualitatively, two systematic trends can be identified upon increasing iron concentrations; one is a shift of the first minimum in the $R/R_F$ towards lower $Q_z$ values, and the second is a gradual increase in the first maximum near the critical angle. These indicate a gradual increase in film thickness and a higher electron density in the head group region upon increasing subphase iron concentrations, respectively, evidence for interfacial accumulation of iron ions and/or their complexes at the interface. DPPC monolayer structure at the air-water interface has been characterized with a combined X-ray and neutron reflectivity methods, in which the two-slab model has been used to extract the head group size, chain-length and -tilt angle. In view of the more complex head group and its interaction with iron influenced by pH and other ions, in our analysis we parse the DPPC-iron film into three slabs to better refine the reflectivity data. The solid lines in Fig. 2(a) are the best-fit calculated reflectivities obtained from the corresponding electron density (ED) profiles across the interface shown in Fig. 2(b) and constructed with the parameters in Table 1 for selected iron bulk concentrations. Three regions, i.e., the subphase, monolayer (consisting of head groups and tail groups) and air, can be readily recognized on the ED profiles. All density profiles show an increase in film thickness with respect to the monolayer in the absence of iron, but more importantly they also show a significant increase in electron density at the head group region. Below 20 $\mu$M, the increase in the ED is significant in the strata that represent the PC headgroup and its immediate neighboring region in the subphase. This we interpret as evidence for direct iron binding to the headgroup region and to protruding iron complexes that extend from some headgroups, respectively. However, a dramatic change in the ED profile is observed for DPPC ML on 40 $\mu$M iron solution with a much higher electron density on both sides of the headgroup. This suggests significant enrichment at the surface with bound iron-complexes that likely occupy the space originally for the hydrocarbon region of the DPPC and affect the in-plane packing of the DPPC film. The XR, although demonstrating iron binding that increases the ED over that of water and the headgroup,
does not specifically provide information on the nature of the elemental species that enrich the interface; the XNTRF discussed below provides such information.

X-ray Fluorescence

Figure 3: Two dimensional color map representing fluorescence intensity data collected for (a) a FeCl$_3$ solution (5 mM) of bare surface and (b) a dilute FeCl$_3$ solution (40 µM) in the presence of DPPC monolayer. The fluorescence intensities (in arbitrary units) are presented as a function of the energy of fluorescence X-rays collected by EDD at a series of $Q_z$ that represent equivalent grazing incidence angles. The vertical dashed lines represent the $Q_z$ corresponding to the critical angle $\alpha_c$.

Figure 3 (a) and (b) show the fluorescence spectra collected at a series of $\alpha_i$ (or $Q_z$; see definitions in the SI) for FeCl$_3$ solutions in the absence and presence of the DPPC monolayer combined into a color map. For a bare surface (no DPPC ML; with 5 mM FeCl$_3$ and 100 mM KCl in the solution; Fig. 3(a)) we observe the $K\alpha$ and $K\beta$ emission lines from K, Cl and Fe as indicated. Note that the fluorescence signals below the critical angle (indicated by dashed line) are not visible in the spectra, because the minute amount of fluorescing
elements at the evanescent penetration depth (≈ 85 Å) is beyond the detection limit of our detector. Above the critical angle, the X-rays penetrate into the bulk water with micron length scale penetration depth and the characteristic emission lines, i.e., $K\alpha$ and $K\beta$ of iron ($\sim 6.40$ and $7.06$ keV), potassium ($\sim 3.31$ and $3.59$ keV), and chlorine ($\sim 2.62$ and $2.82$ keV; unresolved), are evident in the spectra. The fluorescence intensity from the bulk (Fig. 3 (a) for 5 mM FeCl$_3$) to a good approximation scales with concentration. Therefore, for bare surface solutions of micromolar Fe(III) (without the monolayer) the signal is at background level from the surface or bulk (i.e., below or above the critical angle; data not shown). However, in the presence of a DPPC monolayer, under otherwise identical conditions, there are strong signals from iron peaked at the critical angle clearly indicating that they emanate from irons at the interface. The signals over the whole $Q_z$ range and the peak intensity at the critical angle are evidence for surface enrichment of iron in the proximity of the head group (more quantitative details below). Iron emission lines integrated over $Q_z$ range from 0.017 to 0.019 Å$^{-1}$ (corresponding $\alpha_i = 0.069 - 0.080^\circ$) for various Fe concentrations as indicated with a DPPC ML and from 5 mM without the ML are shown in Fig. 4. Notice that the signal below the critical angle is highly sensitive to ions at the interface, such that even 5 mM FeCl$_3$ in solution show almost no signal (black symbols in Fig. 4) compared to the $2\mu$M with DPPC at the surface. By contrast, there is no detectable signal from K$^+$ or Cl$^-$ below the critical angle, even though these ions are at moderate concentrations in the solutions, indicating that the amount of surface-enriched K$^+$ or Cl$^-$, if any, is below the instrumental detection limit. The observation of iron surface enrichment in the presence of a charge neutral interface suggests that iron (and iron-hydroxide complexes) binding to PC is not electrostatic in nature as has also been reported for similar neutral membranes.$^{1,2}$ The surface excess of iron atoms, denoted as $\Gamma$, can be quantitatively determined through the analysis of the angular dependence (i.e., $Q_z$) of the fluorescence intensity.$^{2,26}$ As first approximation, we consider that the fluorescence signal emanates equivalently from a plane that is buried under the monolayer-air interface, i.e., the distribution of surface bound, ex-
Table 2: Surface excess ($\Gamma$) and depth of iron atoms ($z_{\text{ion}}$) extracted from the fluorescence data collected below the critical angle.$^{a,b}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[Fe$^{3+}$] (µM)</th>
<th>$\Gamma (\times 10^{-2}$ Å$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$N_{\text{IONS}}$</th>
<th>$z_{\text{ion}}$ (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8 (±5%)</td>
<td>0.4 (±0.1)</td>
<td>30 (±15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.5 (±3%)</td>
<td>0.8 (±0.1)</td>
<td>29 (±10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.1 (±4%)</td>
<td>1.0 (±0.15)</td>
<td>31 (±11%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.6 (±3%)</td>
<td>1.8 (±0.2)</td>
<td>36 (±9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>5.6 (±2%)</td>
<td>2.9 (±0.2)</td>
<td>37 (±6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ The fluorescence intensities obtained below the critical angle for total reflection (over $Q_z$ range 0.010 – 0.021 Å$^{-1}$) are assumed to emanate from a thin layer of iron located at a depth of $z_{\text{ion}}$ from the monolayer-air interface (i.e., depth profile of iron surface enrichment is reduced to a Dirac-$\delta(z)$ function). Its angular-dependence is expressed as $C|T(\alpha_i)|^2\Gamma \exp[-z_{\text{ion}}/D(\alpha_i)]$, where $T(\alpha_i)$ is Fresnel amplitude transmission function, $D(\alpha_i)$ the X-ray penetration depth along the surface normal.$^{16,17}$ The intensity scaling factor, $C$, can be obtained from the angular-dependent fluorescence intensity from a bulk iron solution (bare surface) of known concentration $n_b$, expressed as $C|T(\alpha_i)|^2n_bD(\alpha_i)$. More examples can be found elsewhere.$^1,2,26,28$

$^b$ $N_{\text{IONS}}$ is the number of bound ions per DPPC molecule extracted from $\Gamma$ and an average molecular area of 50 Å$^2$.

Figure 4: Fluorescence spectra (i.e., Kα and Kβ emission lines of interfacial Fe) collected at incident angles below the critical-angle for total reflection. Each data point is the intensity integrated over $Q_z = 0.017 - 0.019$ Å$^{-1}$ (corresponding $\alpha_i = 0.060 - 0.080^\circ$). Intensities of emission lines are normalized to the incident beam intensity.
cess iron atoms are modeled as residing uniformly on a plane (with planar density $\Gamma$) that is a distance $z_{\text{ion}}$ below the air/monolayer interface,\(^2\) which is consistent with the XRR results that the ED enhancement is mainly restricted to the headgroup region. The average surface excess density of the ions ($\Gamma$) and their average position with respect to the hydrocarbon-chains/vapor-interface ($z_{\text{ion}}$) are summarized in Table 2. Also, provided in Table 2 is the average number of iron ions per PC group ($N_{\text{ions}}$) evaluated from $\Gamma$ and assuming the molecular area for all concentrations is $\sim 50 \, \text{Å}^2$ (consistent with the isotherms and the GIXD below). At the higher concentrations, the observation of the large number of iron ions ($\sim 3$) per PC group suggests that the complexed clusters are bound to the PC group. Such phenomena of iron complexes binding under similar conditions to neutral carboxylic or phosphate templates have been explained as resulting from effective covalent bonding of iron precipitates such as Fe(OH)$_3$(s) and Fe$_x$(OH)$_{(3x-y)}$ clusters. Figure 5 shows the various iron species in aqueous solutions of FeCl$_3$ in the concentration range used in this study and at pH 3, indicating the prevalence of positively charged species and minute amounts of Fe(OH)$_3$. More details on the character and calculation of the species in the solution\(^{34-37}\) is provided in the SI. We note that the surface-bound iron depth profiles cannot be uniquely determined with the current data sets.

![Figure 5: Calculated concentrations of various iron species formed in the aqueous solution of FeCl$_3$ maintained at pH 3.0.](image-url)
Figure 6: Two-dimensional intensity contour plot for grazing incidence diffraction from DPPC monolayer on FeCl$_3$ solutions at concentrations of (a) 2 µM (b) 10 µM and (c) 40 µM.

Figure 6 shows the GIXD contour plots for DPPC monolayers on subphase of iron solutions as indicated. For the DPPC monolayer on iron solutions as dilute as 2 µM, the observation of two Bragg rods, one emanating from $Q_z \approx 0$ and the second centered at $Q_z \approx 0.55$ Å$^{-1}$, is consistent with other reports on DPPC MLs on water or on mono- and
di-valent ions, at similar surface pressures as shown in Fig. 6(a).\textsuperscript{27,30–33} The one located at $Q_{xy} = Q_{xy}^{hk} \approx 1.41$ Å\textsuperscript{-1} and $Q_z = Q_z^{hk} \approx 0.55$ Å\textsuperscript{-1} is indexed as (hk)=(11), and the other located at $Q_{xy} = Q_{xy}^{hk} \approx 1.48$ Å\textsuperscript{-1} and $Q_z = Q_z^{hk} \approx 0$ indexed as (hk)=(02), according to the Miller indices for the 2D centered rectangular lattice type. The $d$-spacings, i.e., $d_{11} = 2\pi/Q_{xy}^{11} \approx 4.46$ Å and $d_{02} = 2\pi/Q_{xy}^{02} \approx 4.25$ Å, corresponding to a rectangular unit cell of the chains with the edge length $a \approx 5.24$ Å and $b \approx 8.49$ Å. The (11) Bragg peak at a finite $Q_z$-axis indicates tilted hydrocarbon chains to nearest-neighbor (NN) by an angle $t$, given by $t = \tan^{-1}(Q_z^{11}/\sqrt{(Q_{xy}^{11})^2 - (Q_{xy}^{02})^2/4})$\textsuperscript{14,16,17,19}. The area of the tilted unit cell, $A_{\text{GIXD}} = ab \approx 45$ Å\textsuperscript{2}, roughly corresponds to the average molecular area $A_{\text{mol}}$.

Table 3: In-plane structural parameter of DPPC in the presence of iron in the subphase (using rectangular symmetry notation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[FeCl\textsubscript{3}] (µM)</th>
<th>$d$-spacing (Å) \textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>Tilt angle $t$ \textsuperscript{b}</th>
<th>$A_{\text{GIXD}}$ (Å\textsuperscript{2})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$d_{11} = 4.46$</td>
<td>24.6°</td>
<td>44.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$d_{02} = 4.25$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$d_{11} = 4.42$</td>
<td>22.4°</td>
<td>43.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$d_{02} = 4.24$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$d_{11} = 4.38$</td>
<td>20.1°</td>
<td>43.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$d_{02} = 4.23$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>$d_{11} = 4.38$</td>
<td>20.1°</td>
<td>43.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$d_{02} = 4.24$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>$d_{11} = 4.38$</td>
<td>22.2°</td>
<td>43.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$d_{02} = 4.23$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} The Miller indices for $d$-spacing are based on rectangular unit cell.

\textsuperscript{b} The nearest-neighbor (NN) tilt is used to determine the tilt angle. The relative uncertainty is ±15%.

Upon increasing iron bulk concentration, the (11) Bragg peak becomes weaker and less distinguishable from the (02) peak, as shown in Fig. 6(b) and (c). We analyze the GIXD intensity profile at any given $Q_z$ as a sum of the two Bragg peak profiles, each of which is modeled as a Lorentzian function. The extracted in-plane structural parameters are listed in Table 3. In the absence of (11) Bragg peak, the (02) Bragg peak (indexed using the centered rectangular lattice type) can be interpreted as (10) rod of a hexagonal structure. In general, it is known that the molecular area extracted from the GIXD underestimates the average molecular area.
area obtained in the isotherms, since the GIXD ignores domain boundaries and voids. More importantly, consistently the line-width of the (02) gets broadened as the iron concentration increases indicating deterioration of in-plane ordering (including both collective tilt and un-tilt phases) as iron binds to the film. This is consistent with the ED obtained from the XR that shows some penetration of iron-complexes to the space originally occupied by hydrocarbon regions. Figure 7 depicts the DPPC monolayer at the liquid/vapor interface on 100 mM KCl solution at the crystalline phase. The monolayer is highly uniform with hydrocarbon chains that are tilted to an almost 30° angle with respect to the surface normal.

The addition of minute amounts of FeCl₃ leads to the binding of iron complexes to the PC and possibly to the glycerol groups lowering the chain tilt angle and at the same time breaking the film into smaller domains and increasing the inhomogeneity of the membrane.

![Figure 7: (a) Schematic illustration of a DPPC monolayer at the liquid/vapor interface on 100 mM KCl solution at the crystalline phase. The monolayer is highly uniform with hydrocarbon chains that are tilted to an almost 30° angle with respect to the surface normal. (b) With the addition of minute amounts of FeCl₃ iron complexes bind to the PC and possibly to the glycerol groups lowering the chain tilt angle and at the same time disturbing the uniformity of the membrane.](image-url)
Conclusion

Using synchrotron X-ray reflectivity, grazing incidence diffraction and fluorescence techniques we determine quantitatively the mutual effect between dilute iron ions and its complexes in solutions and a neutrally charged phosphatidylcholine template formed by a DPPC monolayer at the vapor/liquid interface. Our X-ray fluorescence results show that significant amount of iron ions and/or iron-hydroxide complexes bind and form a contiguous layer at the headgroups of the neutral DPPC monolayer. The accumulation and binding of iron at the interface increases with the concentration, so that at 40 µM there are close to three iron atoms per DPPC molecule. We emphasize that in subsequent control experiments with similar PC templates we find that the presence of salt (i.e., 100 mM KCl, NaCl or other salts of similar ionic strength) is necessary for the iron binding to PC (data not shown). Our GIXD shows that the hydrocarbon chains of the DPPC are crystalline at low concentration levels, but for higher concentrations the in-plane correlations become shorter (domains become smaller) due to the formation of disordered iron-hydroxides network. We surmise that iron binding to PC affects the fluidity and the uniformity of the PC membrane by forming imobilized smaller crystalline domains that are surrounded by iron-complexes. Considering that the cytoplasmic side of mammalian cell membranes are also rich in potassium ions, and that iron is an essential metal element that is involved in multiple cellular activities, these results have significant implications on the effect that iron-complexes may have on uncharged regions in cell membranes. In addition to blocking neutrally charged regions, Fe(III) also tends to deteriorate the intactness of the membrane. This is an important result considering the fact that PC group is also present in other phospholipids in particular in sphingomyelin, a major constituent of the myelin sheath.
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