

1992

North Central Region 1990: Salmonid Egg and Fingerling Purchases, Production, and Sales

Ronald E. Kinnunen
Michigan State University

Follow this and additional works at: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ncrac_techbulletins

 Part of the [Aquaculture and Fisheries Commons](#), [Operations and Supply Chain Management Commons](#), and the [Sales and Merchandising Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Kinnunen, Ronald E., "North Central Region 1990: Salmonid Egg and Fingerling Purchases, Production, and Sales" (1992). *NCRAC Technical Bulletins*. 15.
http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ncrac_techbulletins/15

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the North Central Regional Aquaculture Center at Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in NCRAC Technical Bulletins by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

North Central Region 1990: Salmonid Egg and Fingerling Purchases, Production, and Sales

Abstract

The North Central Region (NCR) of the U.S. aquaculture industry is growing and changing. Salmonids (salmon and trout) are among the principal fishes currently cultured in the NCR — the rainbow trout being the number one species produced commercially (NCA-23 1987). Though it is relatively small when compared to the Idaho trout industry, trout farming in the NCR adds significantly to agriculture diversity in the region and to the total national production of trout (NCA-23 1987; WASC 1988). To better assist the salmon and trout producers within the NCR, the North Central Regional Aquaculture Center (NCRAC) has established a Salmonid Research Work Group to initiate new research that will benefit the aquaculture industry. The first Work Group meeting decided that a survey of the trout and salmon producers in the 12-state NCR be initiated to document the volume of production of trout and salmon eggs and fingerlings.

Disciplines

Aquaculture and Fisheries | Operations and Supply Chain Management | Sales and Merchandising

Comments

This article is from the NCRAC Technical Bulletin Series #103. Used with permission.

North Central Region 1990

Salmonid Egg and Fingerling Purchases,
Production, and Sales

by

Ronald E. Kinnunen
District Extension Sea Grant Agent
Michigan State University
Marquette, MI

Technical Bulletin Series #103

Funding has been provided through
United States Department of Agriculture Grant #88-38500-3885

Table of Contents

List of Tables	2
Introduction.....	3
Methods	3
Results	5
States Where Salmonids Are Produced and Years in Production	5
Salmonid Species and Strains Produced	5
Salmonid Egg Purchase	6
Salmonid Egg Production	8
Salmonid Egg Sales	10
Salmonid Fingerling Purchase	10
Salmonid Fingerling Production	13
Salmonid Fingerling Sales	14
Discussion	17
References	18



*Printed on
Recycled Paper*

List of Tables

Table 1.	States in NCR where salmonids are produced.	5
Table 2.	Rainbow trout strains produced.	5
Table 3.	Brook trout strains produced.	6
Table 4.	Brown trout strains produced.	6
Table 5.	Other salmonid species and strains produced. (Unregistered)	6
Table 6.	Rainbow trout egg strains purchased.	7
Table 7.	Brook trout egg strains purchased.	7
Table 8.	Brown trout egg strains purchased.	8
Table 9.	Salmon egg species and strains purchased. (Unregistered)	8
Table 10.	Salmonid eggs purchased in and out of the NCR.	8
Table 11.	Rainbow trout egg strains produced.	9
Table 12.	Brook trout egg strains produced.	9
Table 13.	Brown trout egg strains produced.	9
Table 14.	Tiger trout (brown X brook) eggs produced. (Unregistered)	9
Table 15.	Salmonid egg production in the North Central Region.	10
Table 16.	Rainbow trout egg strains sold.	10
Table 17.	Brook trout egg strains sold. (Unregistered)	11
Table 18.	Brown trout egg strains sold.	11
Table 19.	Tiger trout (brown X brook) eggs sold. (Unregistered)	11
Table 20.	Salmonid egg sales in and out of the North Central Region.	11
Table 21.	Rainbow trout fingerling strains purchased.	12
Table 22.	Brook trout fingerling strains purchased. (Unregistered)	12
Table 23.	Brown trout fingerling strains purchased. (Unregistered)	12
Table 24.	Coho salmon fingerling strains purchased. (Unregistered)	13
Table 25.	Salmonid fingerlings purchased in and out of the North Central Region.	13
Table 26.	Rainbow trout fingerling strains produced.	13
Table 27.	Brook trout fingerling strains produced.	14
Table 28.	Brown trout fingerling strains produced.	14
Table 29.	Tiger trout (brown X brook) fingerlings produced (Unregistered)	14
Table 30.	Salmon fingerling species and strains produced. (Unregistered)	14
Table 31.	Salmonid fingerling production within the North Central Region.	14
Table 32.	Rainbow trout fingerling strains sold.	15
Table 33.	Brook trout fingerling strains sold.	16
Table 34.	Brown trout fingerling strains sold.	16
Table 35.	Tiger trout (brown X brook) fingerlings sold. (Unregistered)	16
Table 36.	Salmon fingerling species and strains sold. (Unregistered)	17
Table 37.	Salmonid fingerling sales in and out of the North Central Region.	17

Introduction

The North Central Region (NCR) of the U.S. aquaculture industry is growing and changing. Salmonids (salmon and trout) are among the principal fishes currently cultured in the NCR — the rainbow trout being the number one species produced commercially (NCA-23 1987). Though it is relatively small when compared to the Idaho trout industry, trout farming in the NCR adds significantly to agriculture diversity in the region and to the total national production of trout (NCA-23 1987; WASC 1988).

To better assist the salmon and trout producers within the NCR, the North Central Regional Aquaculture Center (NCRAC) has established a Salmonid Research Work Group to initiate new research that will benefit the aquaculture industry. The first Work Group meeting decided that a survey of the trout and salmon producers in the 12-state NCR be initiated to document the volume of production of trout and salmon eggs and fingerlings.

This study was designed to: (1) determine existing salmonid production by species and strains, and in what states they are being produced; (2) provide a description of salmonid egg purchase, source, and type;

(3) summarize salmonid egg production, point of sale, and type; (4) determine salmonid fingerling purchase and source; and (5) describe salmonid fingerling production and point of sale.

There is growing concern about the importation of eggs and fish into the NCR from other regions because of the potential for bringing new pathogens into the area. Thus, it is important to determine if the NCR could become self-sufficient in salmonid egg and fingerling production in the event that importation from other regions becomes more strongly regulated.

It also is important to document the number of aquaculture producers who are utilizing monosex and/or polyploid salmonids in their production facilities. The NCRAC Salmonid Research Work Group has initiated research in the development of such stocks for use in the region. Production by aquaculture producers in Europe of hybrids, sterile fish, or monosex populations through chromosome set manipulation has been shown to be highly profitable (Bye and Lincoln 1986). Such applications could also prove to be profitable in the NCR.

Methods

Aquaculture producers who were licensed to grow or produce salmonids (trout and/or salmon) in the NCR of the United States were identified by NCRAC, state fisheries management agencies, state aquaculture extension specialists, and aquaculture associations. It was determined that there were 258 aquaculture producers who were licensed to grow or

produce salmonids in the twelve states of Michigan, Ohio, Indiana, Wisconsin, Illinois, Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Iowa, Kansas, and Missouri.

In early January 1991 these 258 aquaculture producers were each mailed a 1990 Salmonid Egg and Fingerling Production Survey and a

cover letter that asked them to complete the survey and return it. To assure that they would not receive future mailings requesting participation in the survey, a stamped return postcard also was provided so they could indicate they had completed the survey and whether or not they wanted a copy of the results when completed.

Two weeks after mailing the survey a reminder letter was mailed to the aquaculture producers who had not yet returned their postcards. At four weeks after the original mailing, a final reminder notice was sent to those who had not returned the survey. This letter also included another survey and a stamped return envelope.

The survey collected information on: (1) fish production by state, species, strains, and years in business; (2) egg purchase by species, strains, number, states where purchased, fish health inspection, and egg type; (3) egg production by species, strains, number, use,

point of sale, fish health inspection, and egg type; (4) fingerling purchase by species, strains, number, states where purchased, and fish health inspection; and (5) fingerling production by species, strains, number, use, states where sold, and fish health inspection.

Fifty-nine percent of the 258 surveys that were distributed were returned. Of these aquaculture producers 53 percent grew or produced salmonids in 1990.

The salmonid strains were found to be either registered or unregistered and determination was made by using the Trout Strain Registry (Kincaid 1981).

To maintain anonymity, only the locations of purchase and sale of salmonid eggs and fingerlings were disclosed. Location of individuals making purchase or individuals making sales was not disclosed.

Results

States Where Salmonids Are Produced and Years in Production

Wisconsin and Michigan accounted for over half of the aquaculture producers who produced salmonids in the NCR in 1990 (Table 1). Another one-third of the producers were found in Minnesota, Nebraska, and Ohio. The

Table 1. States in NCR where salmonids are produced.

State	Percent of Producers
WI	28.6
MI	27.3
MN	11.7
NE	10.4
OH	9.1
MO	6.5
SD	3.9
IA	1.3
CO	1.3

number of years that salmonid aquaculture producers have been in business ranged from one to fifty years, with the average being 15.6 years.

Salmonid Species and Strains Produced
The Kamloop strain of rainbow trout was used by over half of the producers using registered strains followed by the Donaldson strain (Table 2). A variety of unregistered strains were used by others, with over half of unknown origin.

One producer each used the Temiscamie and Assinica strains of brook trout with the majority using unregistered strains or of unknown origin (Table 3).

Table 2. Rainbow trout strains produced.

Strains	Number of Producers
(Registered)	
Kamloop	28
Donaldson*	10
Shasta	4
Golden	2
Steelhead	2
Native	1
Wigwam	1
Total	48
(Unregistered)	
Unknown	17
Kamloop x Seven Pines	2
Kamloop (mixed)	1
Kamloop (lake)	1
Kamloop x Black Canyon	1
Kamloop x Steelhead x Crystal Lake	1
Kamloop x Steelhead	1
Missouri	1
Muskegon River	1
Rocky Mountain	1
Wyoming	1
Snake River	1
Domestic	1
Natural	1
Own Stock	1
Total	32
GRAND TOTAL	80

*Includes one Finnish and one Swedish source

Table 3. Brook trout strains produced.

Strains	Number of Producers
(Registered)	
Temiscamie	1
Assinica	1
Total	2
(Unregistered)	
Unknown	14
Eastern	4
Eastern Hybrid	3
Jocko	2
Jocko x Assinica	1
Eastern x Assinica	1
Madison (Straight Run)	1
Canadian x Domestic	1
Domestic	1
Own Stock	1
Brook	1
Speckled Eastern	1
Total	31
GRAND TOTAL	33

Table 4. Brown trout strains produced.

Strains	Number of Producers
(Registered)	
Brown	2
Bitterroot	2
Total	4
(Unregistered)	
Unknown	10
German	5
Hiberve	1
Domestic	1
Own Stock	1
Seven Pines x Rock Creek	1
Total	19
GRAND TOTAL	23

that they purchased salmonid eggs in 1990. Sixty-seven percent of those purchasing salmonid eggs indicated that they needed a fish health inspection report to import them.

Sixty-four percent of the salmonid producers who purchased eggs indicated that they were familiar with eggs that have undergone chromosome set manipulation but never

Two producers each used the Brown and Bitterroot registered strains of brown trout with the majority using unregistered strains or of unknown origin (Table 4). Other salmonid species produced in the NCR are shown in Table 5.

Salmonid Egg Purchase
Of those producing salmonids in the NCR, 41 percent indicated

Table 5. Other salmonid species and strains produced. (Unregistered)

Species	Strains	Number of Producers
Tiger (Brown Trout x Brook Trout)	Unknown	3
Coho Salmon	Unknown	3
Coho Salmon	Wild	2
Chinook Salmon	Fall	1
Chinook Salmon	Great Lakes	1
Grayling	Unknown	1
Lake Trout	Unknown	1
Total		12

attempted to purchase any. Another 9 percent indicated that they were not familiar with this type of egg. Eighteen percent indicated that they attempted to purchase all female rainbow trout eggs and, of these, 83 percent found them available. Six percent of those purchasing salmonid eggs tried to purchase all female triploid rainbow trout eggs and none was successful finding them. Twelve percent attempted to purchase mixed sex triploid rainbow trout with half of these finding them available.

The majority of rainbow trout eggs purchased by aquaculture producers in the NCR were from registered strains, with slightly over half of all the eggs purchased being of the Kamloop strain purchased from Washington (Table 6). In fact, 92 percent of the rainbow trout eggs are coming from outside the NCR, and the majority of these are coming from the western United States.

Only one aquaculture producer within the region purchased a registered brook trout egg strain, the others purchasing unregistered strains (Table 7). Sixty-five percent of the brook trout eggs were purchased

Table 6. Rainbow trout egg strains purchased.

Strains	Number of Producers	Number of Eggs	States/Countries Where Purchased
(Registered)			
Kamloop	15	2,583,000	WA
Kamloop	1	30,000	WI
Kamloop	1	30,000	MN
Donaldson	3	120,000	MI
Donaldson	1	475,000	CA
Donaldson (Finnish)	1	200,000	WA
Donaldson (Swedish)	1	200,000	Sweden
Steelhead	1	200,000	MI
Shasta	1	250,000	WA
Shasta	1	50,000	CA
Total	26	4,138,000	
(Unregistered)			
Unknown	2	75,000	WA
Unknown	1	300,000	Unreported
Unknown	1	75,000	ID
Unknown	1	60,000	CA
Black Canyon	1	90,000	UT
Rocky Mountain	1	75,000	WA
Wyoming	1	50,000	WY
Domestic	1	50,000	WA
Total	9	775,000	
GRAND TOTAL	35	4,913,000	

Table 7. Brook trout egg strains purchased.

Strains	Number of Producers	Number of Eggs	States Where Purchased
(Registered)			
Assinica	1	20,000	MI
(Unregistered)			
Natural	1	20,000	MA
Domestic	1	10,000	MI
Jocko	1	7,000	MI
Total	3	37,000	
GRAND TOTAL	4	57,000	

from Michigan with the others coming from Massachusetts.

All the brown trout eggs purchased were unregistered strains with 85 percent coming from Wyoming (Table 8). The remainder of the brown trout eggs were purchased from Michigan. Salmon eggs pur-

Table 8. Brown trout egg strains purchased. (Unregistered)

Strains	Number of Producers	Number of Eggs	States Where Purchased
German	2	25,000	MI
Unknown	1	200,000	WY
Domestic	1	10,000	MI
Total	4	235,000	

chased by aquaculture producers within the region were unregistered strains. Sixty-six percent of them were chinook salmon eggs from Michigan and Minnesota (Table 9). The other eggs purchased were coho and chinook

Table 9. Salmon egg species and strains purchased. (Unregistered)

Species	Strains	Number of Producers	Number of Eggs	States/Country Where Purchased
Coho	Unknown	2	518,750	WA
Chinook	Unknown	1	1,400,000	MI, MN
Chinook	Chile	1	200,000	Chile
Total		4	2,118,750	

Table 10. Salmonid eggs purchased in and out of the NCR.

Species	Number Purchased In Region	Number Purchased Out of Region	Total Number Purchased
Rainbow Trout	380,000	4,533,000	4,913,000
Brook Trout	37,000	20,000	57,000
Brown Trout	35,000	200,000	235,000
Salmon	1,400,000	718,750	2,118,750
Total	1,852,000	5,471,750	7,323,750

salmon from outside the NCR.

A summary (by species) of salmonid eggs purchased by producers in the region is given in Table 10. Rainbow trout accounted for 67 percent of all salmonid eggs purchased. Of these rainbow trout egg purchases 92 percent were from outside the NCR. The next largest was salmon, which accounted for 29 percent of the total egg purchases. Only 34 percent of the salmon eggs came from outside the region.

Salmonid Egg Production

Forty percent of those producing salmonids in the NCR in 1990 produced their own eggs. Of those producing their own salmonid eggs 66 percent indicated that they produced them for their own use while 34 percent used some of the eggs themselves and sold the rest.

Fifty percent of the salmonid producers in the region who produced eggs indicated they were familiar with chromosome set manipulation of eggs, but never attempted to produce them. Another 19 percent said they were not familiar with these types of eggs. Twelve percent of those producing eggs in the region stated

they have produced either all female or mixed sex triploid rainbow trout eggs. One salmonid producer in the region declared that he had produced tetraploid eggs but did not say which species of salmonid.

Sixty-eight percent of the rainbow trout eggs produced in the NCR were registered strains, mainly Kamloop and Donaldson (Table 11). The other rainbow trout eggs produced in the region were a variety of unregistered strains.

The majority (91 percent) of the brook

Table 11. Rainbow trout egg strains produced.

Strains	Producers	Number of Eggs
(Registered)		
Kamloop	8	3,271,000
Donaldson	2	1,230,000
Shasta	1	100,000
Total	11	4,601,000
(Unregistered)		
Unknown	4	158,400
Kamloop x Seven Pines	2	1,050,000
Kamloop x Crystal Lake	1	150,000
Missouri	1	400,000
Native	1	120,000
Muskegon River	1	100,000
Rocky Mountain	1	85,000
Straight Run	1	60,000
Total	12	2,123,400
GRAND TOTAL	23	6,724,400

Table 12. Brook trout egg strains produced.

Strains	Producers	Number of Eggs
(Registered)		
Assinica	2	130,000
Temiscamie	1	60,000
Total	3	190,000
(Unregistered)		
Unknown	8	592,600
Eastern	3	270,000
Eastern Hybrid	2	250,000
Jocko	2	335,000
Own	1	400,000
Runyan	1	65,000
Canadian x Domestic	1	50,000
Total	18	1,962,600
GRAND TOTAL	21	2,152,600

trout eggs produced in the region were unregistered strains (Table 12). The remainder consisted of the Assinica and Temiscamie registered strains.

Seventy percent of the brown trout eggs produced in the NCR were unregistered strains (Table 13). The remainder consisted of the Bitterroot registered strain. A small number of tiger trout (brown x brook) eggs were produced in the region (Table 14).

A summary of salmonid egg

Table 13. Brown trout egg strains produced.

Strains	Producers	Number of Eggs
(Registered)		
Bitterroot	1	300,000
(Unregistered)		
Unknown	7	169,200
Own	1	350,000
German	1	185,000
Total	9	704,200
GRAND TOTAL	10	1,004,200

Table 14. Tiger trout (brown x brook) eggs produced (Unregistered)

Strains	Number of Producers	Eggs
Unknown	2	25,000
Hiberve x Eastern	1	13,000
Total	3	38,000

production by producers in the region is given in Table 15. Rainbow trout egg production accounted for 68 percent of all salmonid egg production by producers in the region. Brook

Donaldson strain. Most of the rainbow trout eggs sold went either to Michigan or to the three eastern states of New York, Pennsylvania, and North Carolina (Table 16).

Table 15. Salmonid egg production in the North Central Region.

Species	Number	Percent
Rainbow Trout	6,724,400	68
Brook Trout	2,152,600	22
Brown Trout	1,004,200	10
Tiger Trout	38,000	<1
Total	9,919,200	100

and brown trout accounted for 32 percent of egg production.

The brook trout eggs sold by producers from the NCR were all unregistered strains. Most of the brook trout egg sales went to Michigan, with the remainder going to three eastern states (Table 17).

Salmonid Egg Sales Thirty-four percent of

The majority of brown trout eggs sold by producers from the region were the Bitterroot registered strain. Sale of brown trout eggs were to Michigan and Wisconsin and to two eastern states outside the region (Table 18). A small number of tiger trout (brown x brook) eggs were sold in the region (Table 19).

Table 16. Rainbow trout egg strains sold.

Strains	Number of Producers	Number of Eggs	States Where Sold
(Registered)			
Kamloop	2	60,055	MI
Donaldson	1	600,000	NY,PA,NC,MI
Donaldson	1	50,000	MI
Total	4	710,055	
(Unregistered)			
Rocky Mountain	1	40,000	MI
Kamloop x Crystal Lake	1	30,000	WI
Total	2	70,000	
GRAND TOTAL	6	780,055	

A summary of salmonid egg sales by producers in the region is given in Table 20. Rainbow trout accounted for 63 percent of all salmonid egg sales and more than half of these sales were made to areas outside the NCR. Brook trout accounted for 29 percent of salmonid egg sales with only 21 percent of these sold outside the region.

those producing salmonid eggs in the NCR used the eggs both for sale and their own use. Of those exporting eggs for sale 54 percent said they needed a fish health inspection report.

Salmonid Fingerling Purchase Thirty-five percent of the salmonid producers in the NCR indicated they purchased salmonid fingerlings in 1990. Fifteen percent of those purchasing salmonid fingerlings said they needed a fish health inspection report to import them.

Rainbow trout eggs sold by producers from the region were mainly registered strains (91 percent) with the majority being the

Half of the rainbow trout fingerlings purchased

Table 17. Brook trout egg strains sold. (Unregistered)

Strains	Number of Producers	Number of Eggs	States Where Sold
Eastern Mix x Temiscamie	1	160,000	MI
Eastern	1	100,000	MI
Jocko	1	100,000	PA,NY,NH,MI
Total	3	360,000	

Table 18. Brown trout egg strains sold.

Strains	Number of Producers	Number of Eggs	States Where Sold
(Registered)			
Bitterroot	1	100,000	WI,PA,NC,MI
(Unregistered)			
German	1	60	MI
GRAND TOTAL	2	100,060	

Table 19. Tiger trout (brown x brook) eggs sold. (Unregistered)

Strains	Number of Producers	Number of Eggs	States Where Sold
Cross	1	5,000	WI

Table 20. Salmonid egg sales in and out of the North Central Region.

Species	Number Sold in Region	Number Sold Out of Region	Total Number Sold
Rainbow Trout	330,055	450,000	780,055
Brook Trout	285,000	75,000	360,000
Brown Trout	50,060	50,000	100,060
Tiger Trout	5,000	0	5,000
Total	670,115	575,000	1,245,115

by producers in the region were registered strains, mainly Kamloop (Table 21). Over half of the rainbow trout fingerlings were purchased from Michigan and Wisconsin. Less than 10 percent of the rainbow trout fingerlings were purchased from outside the region — Pennsylvania, Tennessee, and Wyoming.

Brook and brown trout fingerlings were purchased from within the region from Minnesota, Wisconsin, and Michigan (Tables 22 and 23). Some coho salmon fingerlings were also purchased from Nebraska (Table 24).

A summary of salmonid

Table 21. Rainbow trout fingerling strains purchased.

Strains	Number of Producers	Number of Fingerlings	States Where Purchased
(Registered)			
Kamloop	5	145,000	MI
Kamloop	1	30,000	MI,TN
Kamloop	1	10,000	NE,SD
Kamloop	1	8,000	WI
Kamloop	1	100	MN
Wigwam	1	15,000	WY
Shasta	1	12,000	WI
Donaldson	2	6,000	MI
Donaldson	1	5,000	NE
Total	14	231,100	
(Unregistered)			
Unknown	5	116,200	WI
Unknown	1	2,000	PA
Unknown	1	300	SD
Trout Lodge (Washington)	1	60,000	NE
Emerson	1	56,000	MO
Total	9	234,500	
GRAND TOTAL	23	465,600	

Table 22. Brook trout fingerling strains purchased. (Unregistered)

Strains	Number of Producers	Number of Fingerlings	States Where Purchased
Eastern	1	30,000	MN
Jocko	1	5,000	MI
Unknown	1	1,000	WI
Total	3	36,000	

fingerlings purchased by producers in the region is given in Table 25. Rainbow trout fingerling purchases accounted for 77 percent of all salmonid fingerlings purchased by producers in the region. Of these rainbow trout fingerlings 93 percent were purchased from within the NCR. Brook trout, brown trout, and

Table 23. Brown trout fingerling strains purchased. (Unregistered)

Strains	Number of Producers	Number of Fingerlings	States Where Purchased
Unknown	1	40,000	WI
Unknown	1	30,000	MN
German	1	5,000	MI
Total	3	75,000	

salmon fingerlings accounted for the remaining 23 percent of fingerlings purchased, and all were acquired within the region.

Salmonid Fingerling Production

Sixty-five percent of those producing salmonids in the NCR in 1990 produced their own fingerlings. Of these, 25 percent said they produced them for their own use, 17 percent

Table 24. Coho salmon fingerling strains purchased. (Unregistered)

Strains	Number of Producers	Number of Fingerlings	States Where Purchased
Unknown	1	20,000	NE
Wild	1	5,000	NE
Total	2	25,000	

Table 25. Salmonid fingerlings purchased in and out of the North Central Region.

Species	Number Purchased in Region	Number Purchased Out of Region	Total Number Purchased
Rainbow Trout	433,600	32,000	465,600
Brook Trout	36,000	0	36,000
Brown Trout	75,000	0	75,000
Salmon	25,000	0	25,000
Total	569,600	32,000	601,600

produced fingerlings for the sole purpose of selling them, and 58 percent produced them for both their own use and to sell them.

Sixty-five percent of the rainbow trout fingerlings produced in the NCR were registered strains, mainly Kamloop and Donaldson (Table 26). The other 35 percent of the rainbow trout fingerlings produced were unregistered strains.

The majority (91 percent) of the brook trout fingerlings produced in the region were unregistered strains (Table 27). The remainder consisted of the registered strain Assinica.

Eighty-six percent of the brown trout fingerlings produced in the NCR were unregistered strains (Table 28). The remainder consisted of the Bitterroot registered strain. A small number

Table 26. Rainbow trout fingerling strains produced.

Strains	Number of Producers	Number of Fingerlings
(Registered)		
Kamloop	20	2,409,500
Donaldson	6	707,500
Donaldson (Finnish)	1	125,000
Donaldson (Swedish)	1	150,000
Shasta	3	250,000
Steelhead	1	20,000
Wigwam	1	15,000
Total	33	3,677,000
(Unregistered)		
Unknown	11	504,500
Kamloop x Own	2	650,000
Native	1	400,000
Missouri	1	215,000
Rocky Mountain	1	65,000
Muskegon River	1	60,000
Straight Run	1	60,000
Domestic	1	40,000
Wyoming	1	22,000
Total	20	2,016,500
GRAND TOTAL	53	5,693,500

Table 27. Brook trout fingerling strains produced.

Strains	Number of Producers	Number of Fingerlings
(Registered)		
Assinica	2	95,000
(Unregistered)		
Unknown	7	349,500
Eastern	5	348,000
Jocko	3	152,000
Runyan x Eastern	1	90,000
Domestic	1	10,000
Total	17	949,500
GRAND TOTAL	19	1,044,500

Table 28. Brown trout fingerling strains produced.

Strains	Number of Producers	Number of Fingerlings
(Registered)		
Bitterroot	1	140,000
(Unregistered)		
Unknown	9	292,600
German	3	50,000
Own	2	525,000
Domestic	1	10,000
Total	15	877,600
GRAND TOTAL	16	1,017,600

of tiger trout (brown x brook) fingerlings were produced in the region (Table 29).

Table 29. Tiger trout (brown X brook) fingerlings produced (Unregistered)

Strains	Number of Producers	Number of Fingerlings
Unknown	1	15,000
Cross	1	2,000
Total	2	17,000

Salmon fingerling production in the region included both coho and chinook salmon (Table 30). Most of the salmon fingerlings produced were chinook. A summary of salmonid fingerling

production by producers in the region is given in Table 31. Rainbow trout fingerling production accounted for 54 percent of all salmonid fingerling production, followed by salmon (26 percent), brook trout (10 percent), and brown trout (10 percent).

Salmonid Fingerling Sales

Fifty-eight percent of those producing salmonid fingerlings in the NCR used the fingerlings both for sale and their own use, while

Table 30. Salmon fingerling species and strains produced. (Unregistered)

Species	Strains	Number of Producers	Number of Fingerlings
Coho	Unknown	1	400,000
Chinook	Unknown	2	2,300,000
Chinook	Chile	1	80,000
Total		4	2,780,000

Table 31. Salmonid fingerling production within the North Central Region.

Species	Number	Percent
Rainbow Trout	5,693,500	54
Brook Trout	1,044,500	10
Brown Trout	1,017,600	10
Tiger Trout	17,000	<1
Salmon	2,780,000	26
Total	10,552,600	100

Table 32. Rainbow trout fingerling strains sold.

Strains	Number of Producers	Number of Fingerlings	States/Province Where Sold
(Registered)			
Donaldson	3	90,000	MI
Donaldson	1	180,000	MI,IN
Donaldson	1	15,000	MO
Donaldson	1	3,000	NE
Donaldson (Finnish)	1	150,000	NE
Donaldson (Swedish)	1	150,000	NE
Kamloop	4	63,500	MI
Kamloop	3	365,000	WI
Kamloop	3	350,000	OH
Kamloop	1	325,000	MI,OH
Kamloop	1	35,000	WI,IA
Kamloop	1	10,000	IL
Shasta	1	75,000	OH
Shasta	1	40,000	MI
Shasta	1	19,200	WI
Wigwam	1	4,000	NE
Wigwam	1	2,000	WY
Total	26	1,876,700	
(Unregistered)			
Unknown	3	16,011	WI
Kamloop x Own	2	300,000	WI
Missouri	1	215,000	MO
Black Canyon	1	80,000	SD,WY,MT, Saskatchewan
Rocky Mountain	1	50,000	MI
Wyoming	1	22,000	NE
Domestic	1	5,000	WI,MN
Straight Run	1	700	WI
Total	11	688,711	
GRAND TOTAL	37	2,565,411	

17 percent produced fingerlings for the sole purpose of selling them. Of those exporting fingerlings for sale, 32 percent indicated that they needed a fish health inspection report.

Rainbow trout fingerlings sold by producers from the region were mostly registered strains

(73 percent) with the majority being the Kamloop and Donaldson strains (Table 32). Most of the rainbow trout fingerlings were sold to states within the region. Less than 3 percent was sold to Wyoming, Montana, and the province of Saskatchewan.

Table 33. Brook trout fingerling strains sold.

Strains	Number of Producers	Number of Fingerlings	States Where Sold
(Registered)			
Assinica	1	40,000	PA
Assinica	1	10,000	MI
Total	2	50,000	
(Unregistered)			
Unknown	4	16,529	WI
Unknown	1	5,000	WI,MN
Jocko	3	32,000	MI
Eastern	2	80,000	MI
Runyan x Eastern	1	55,000	MI,OH
Domestic	1	10,000	MI
Total	12	198,529	
GRAND TOTAL	14	248,529	

Eighty percent of the brook trout sold by producers from the NCR were unregistered strains, with the remainder being the Assinica registered strain (Table 33). Only 16 percent of the brook trout fingerlings were exported out of the region to Pennsylvania.

The majority of brown trout fingerlings sold by producers from the region were unregistered strains (86 percent), with the remainder being the registered Bitterroot strain (Table 34). Only a small fraction of brown trout fingerlings were exported out of the region to Pennsylvania. The others were sold in Wisconsin and Michigan. A small number of tiger trout (brown x brook) fingerlings were sold in the region (Table 35).

Salmon fingerlings sold by producers from the region included coho and chinook (Table 36). All the salmon fingerlings were sold within the region to Nebraska and Minnesota.

Table 34. Brown trout fingerling strains sold.

Strains	Number of Producers	Number of Fingerlings	States Where Sold
(Registered)			
Bitterroot	1	30,000	WI,PA
(Unregistered)			
Unknown	3	8,000	WI
German	3	30,000	MI
Own	2	150,000	WI
Total	8	188,000	
GRAND TOTAL	9	218,000	

A summary of salmonid fingerling sales by producers in the region is given in Table 37. Rainbow trout accounted for 79 percent of all salmonid fingerling sales, and of these 98 percent were sold within the region. Brook trout, brown trout, and salmon fingerlings accounted for 21 percent of the sales with most being sold within the NCR.

Table 35. Tiger trout (brown X brook) fingerlings sold. (Unregistered)

Strains	Number of Producers	Number of Fingerlings	States Where Sold
Cross	1	500	WI

Discussion

The salmonid producers in the NCR who responded to the survey indicated they purchased 7.3 million salmonid eggs, produced 9.9 million eggs, and sold 1.2 million eggs during the 1990 production year. Rainbow trout accounted for 67 percent of all the egg purchases, 68 percent of egg production, and 63 percent of egg sales, clearly demonstrating the importance of this species in the region.

Seventy-five percent of all purchased salmonid eggs were obtained from outside the region. In fact, 92 percent of all purchased rainbow trout eggs came from outside the NCR — the majority from the western United States. These figures indicate that some opportunities for additional egg production in the NCR may exist, especially in light of the growing concern about bringing new pathogens into the region.

About 9.9 million salmonid eggs were produced in 1990 by those salmonid producers in the region who responded to the survey. Rainbow trout accounted for 68 percent of these eggs. About 2.6 million more salmonid eggs were produced within the region than were purchased. Salmonid producers in the NCR demonstrate the ability to produce a large share of their own eggs.

Salmonid producers in the region responding to the survey sold 1.2 million salmonid eggs in 1990 — rainbow trout accounting for 63 percent of these sales. Fifty-four percent of all salmonid egg sales occurred within the region. About 6.1 million more salmonid eggs were purchased than were sold by salmonid producers in the NCR suggesting a demand for eggs, especially rainbow trout. Salmonid egg producers in the region should further explore the possibility of increasing production to meet regional needs.

To increase market potential in egg sales, additional producers should be encouraged to

Table 36. Salmon fingerling species and strains sold. (Unregistered)

Species	Strains	Number of Producers	Number of Fingerlings	States Where Sold
Coho	Unknown	1	130,000	NE
Chinook	Chile	1	80,000	MN
Total		2	210,000	

Table 37. Salmonid fingerling sales in and out of the North Central Region.

Species	Number Sold In Region	Number Sold Out of Region	Total Number Sold
Rainbow Trout	2,503,411	62,000	2,565,411
Brook Trout	208,529	40,000	248,529
Brown Trout	203,000	15,000	218,000
Tiger Trout	500	0	500
Salmon	210,000	0	210,000
Total	3,125,440	117,000	3,242,440

explore the feasibility of producing chromosome set manipulation of eggs. Production of hybrids, sterile fish, or monosex populations has been shown to be highly profitable in other areas. Currently only 12 percent of those producing salmonid eggs in the region said they produced either all female or mixed sex triploid rainbow trout eggs. A ready market for chromosome set manipulated salmonid eggs exists; sixty-four percent of salmonid producers from the region who purchased eggs indicated their familiarity with them, while another 27 percent mentioned attempts to purchase such eggs.

The majority of rainbow trout eggs purchased, produced, and sold by salmonid producers in the North Central region consisted of registered strains. Other species of salmonid eggs purchased, produced, and sold had a high percentage of unregistered strains. It is important that salmonid producers in the region keep good records of the salmonid species strains that they are using so that production comparisons can be made between strains and desired performance characteristics noted.

Salmonid producers in the NCR who responded to the survey indicated that they purchased 0.6 million, produced 10.5 million, and sold 3.2 million salmonid fingerlings during the 1990 production year. Rainbow trout accounted for 77 percent of all fingerling purchases, 54 percent of fingerling production, and 79 percent of fingerling sales, again demonstrating the importance of this species in the region.

Ninety-five percent of all salmonid fingerlings were purchased from within the region. About 10.5 million salmonid fingerlings were produced in 1990 by salmonid producers in the region who responded to the survey. Rainbow

trout accounted for just over half of this fingerling production followed by salmon at 26 percent. About 9.9 million more salmonid fingerlings were produced within the region than were purchased outside. Salmonid producers in the NCR demonstrate the ability to produce the bulk of their own fingerlings.

Salmonid producers in the region responding to the survey sold 3.2 million salmonid fingerlings in 1990 with rainbow trout accounting for 79 percent of these sales. Ninety-six percent of all salmonid fingerling sales occurred within the region.

Over half of the rainbow trout fingerlings purchased, produced, and sold by salmonid producers in the NCR consisted of registered strains. Other species of salmonid fingerlings purchased, produced, and sold had a high percentage of unregistered strains.

References

Bye, V.J. and R.F. Lincoln. 1986. Commercial methods for the control of sexual maturation in rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri* R.). *Aquaculture* 57:299-310.

Kincaid, H.L. 1981. Trout Strain Registry. U.S. Dept. Int., Fish Wildl. Serv., FWS/NFC-L/81-1.

NCA-23 (Aquaculture Subgroup of the NCA-23 Fish and Wildlife Committee). 1987. North Central Regional Aquaculture Center. A report to the North Central Regional Research Committee and North Central Regional Agriculture Experiment Station Directors.

WASC (Wisconsin Aquaculture Study Committee). 1988. Wisconsin aquaculture: a state plan. Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection, Madison, Wisconsin.

Series Editor: Joseph E. Morris, Associate Director, North Central Regional Aquaculture Center.
Design by Dennis Melchert, Ames Best Communications, Ames, Iowa.

Originally published by Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa.