

2019

Sharp bounds for decomposing graphs into edges and triangles

Adam Blumenthal

Iowa State University, ablument@iastate.edu

Bernard Lidicky

Iowa State University, lidicky@iastate.edu

Oleg Pikhurko

University of Warwick

Yanitsa Pehova

University of Warwick

Florian Pfender

University of Colorado, Denver

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/math_conf



Part of the [Algebra Commons](#), and the [Discrete Mathematics and Combinatorics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Blumenthal, Adam; Lidicky, Bernard; Pikhurko, Oleg; Pehova, Yanitsa; Pfender, Florian; and Volec, Jan, "Sharp bounds for decomposing graphs into edges and triangles" (2019). *Mathematics Conference Papers, Posters and Presentations*. 9.
https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/math_conf/9

This Abstract is brought to you for free and open access by the Mathematics at Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics Conference Papers, Posters and Presentations by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

Sharp bounds for decomposing graphs into edges and triangles

Abstract

Let $\pi_3(G)$ be the minimum of twice the number of edges plus three times the number of triangles over all edge-decompositions of G into copies of K_2 and K_3 . We are interested in the value of $\pi_3(n)$, the maximum of $\pi_3(G)$ over graphs G with n vertices. This specific extremal function was first studied by Gyori and Tuza [Decompositions of graphs into complete subgraphs of given order, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* 22 (1987), 315--320], who showed that $\pi_3(n) < 9n^2/16$.

In a recent advance on this problem, Kral, Lidicky, Martins and Pehova [arXiv:1710:08486] proved via flag algebras that $\pi_3(n) < (1/2 + o(1))n^2$, which is tight up to the $o(1)$ term.

We extend their proof by giving the exact value of $\pi_3(n)$ for large n , and we show that K_n and $K_{n/2, n/2}$ are the only extremal examples.

Disciplines

Algebra | Discrete Mathematics and Combinatorics | Mathematics

Comments

This abstract is published as Blumenthal, A., Lidický, B., Pikhurko, O., Pehova, Y., Pfender, F., & Volec, J. (2019). Sharp bounds for decomposing graphs into edges and triangles. *Acta Mathematica Universitatis Comeniana*, 88(3), 463-468. Posted with permission.

Authors

Adam Blumenthal, Bernard Lidicky, Oleg Pikhurko, Yanitsa Pehova, Florian Pfender, and Jan Volec

SHARP BOUNDS FOR DECOMPOSING GRAPHS INTO EDGES AND TRIANGLES

A. BLUMENTHAL, B. LIDICKÝ, O. PIKHURKO, Y. PEHOVA, F. PFENDER
AND J. VOLEC

ABSTRACT. Let $\pi_3(G)$ be the minimum of twice the number of edges plus three times the number of triangles over all edge-decompositions of G into copies of K_2 and K_3 . We are interested in the value of $\pi_3(n)$, the maximum of $\pi_3(G)$ over graphs G with n vertices. This specific extremal function was first studied by Györi and Tuza [Decompositions of graphs into complete subgraphs of given order, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* 22 (1987), 315–320], who showed that $\pi_3(n) \leq 9n^2/16$. In a recent advance on this problem, Král', Lidický, Martins and Pehova [arXiv:1710:08486] proved via flag algebras that $\pi_3(n) \leq (1/2 + o(1))n^2$, which is tight up to the $o(1)$ term. We extend their proof by giving the exact value of $\pi_3(n)$ for large n , and we show that K_n and $K_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor, \lceil n/2 \rceil}$ are the only extremal examples.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent work of Král', Lidický, Martins and Pehova [15], they proved using the flag algebra method (see [18, 3, 1, 4, 7, 8, 12, 16] for applications to other problems in extremal combinatorics) that the edges of any n -vertex graph can be decomposed into copies of K_2 and K_3 whose total number of vertices is at most $(1/2 + o(1))n^2$. This was a conjecture of Györi and Tuza [19], but the problem itself can be traced back to Erdős, Goodman and Pósa [6] who considered the problem of minimising the total number of cliques in an edge-decomposition of an arbitrary n -vertex graph. They showed the following:

Theorem 1 (Erdős, Goodman, Pósa [6]). *The edges of every n -vertex graph can be decomposed into at most $n^2/4$ complete graphs.*

The only extremal example for this bound is the bipartite Turán graph $T_2(n)$. Moreover, this result still holds if we restrict the sizes of the cliques used in the decomposition to 2 and 3 (that is, triangles and single edges). In a series of papers published independently by Chung [13], Györi and Kostochka [10], and Kahn [14],

Received May 22, 2019.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05C70.

The second author is supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1600390.

The third author is supported by ERC grant 306493 and EPSRC grant EP/K012045/1.

The fourth author is supported by ERC grant 648509. The fifth author is supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1600483.

they proved that in fact something stronger than Theorem 1 is true, confirming a conjecture by Katona and Tarján:

Theorem 2 (Chung [13], Győri and Kostochka [10], Kahn [14]). *Every n -vertex graph can be edge-decomposed into cliques whose total number of vertices is at most $n^2/2$.*

For a given graph G on n vertices, let $\pi_k(G)$ be the minimum over all decompositions of the edges of G into cliques C_1, \dots, C_ℓ of size at most k of the sum $|C_1| + |C_2| + \dots + |C_\ell|$. With this notation, the conclusion of the above theorem is that $\min_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \pi_k(G) \leq n^2/2$. In light of Theorem 2, Tuza [19] conjectured that $\pi_3(G) \leq n^2/2 + o(n^2)$, and in fact that $\pi_3(G) \leq n^2/2 + O(1)$. In [9] Győri and Tuza showed that $\pi_3(G) \leq 9n^2/16$. This was the best known bound until recently, when using the celebrated flag algebra method by Razborov [18], Král', Lidický, Martins and Pehova [15] proved the asymptotic version of Tuza's conjecture:

Theorem 3 (Král', Lidický, Martins and Pehova [15]). *Every n -vertex graph G satisfies $\pi_3(G) \leq (1/2 + o(1))n^2$.*

We show, by building upon the proof in [15], that in fact $\pi_3(G) \leq n^2/2 + 1$, and that the extremal graphs G which maximise $\pi_3(G)$ are the complete graph K_n and the bipartite Turán graph $T_2(n)$. Which of these two graphs is extremal is a matter of divisibility of n by 6. In the case of the Turán graph, $\pi_3(T_2(n)) = 2\lfloor n/2\rfloor\lceil n/2\rceil$, giving $n^2/2$ for even n and $(n^2 - 1)/2$ for odd n . For graphs with minimum degree $n - o(n)$, the following result shows that we can decompose them only into copies of K_3 , as long as they are *triangle-divisible*; that is, if each vertex has even degree and the total number of edges is divisible by three.

Theorem 4 (Barber, Kuhn, Lo, Osthus [2] and Dross [5]). *For every $\varepsilon > 0$, if G is a triangle-divisible graph of large order n and minimum degree at least $(0.9 + \varepsilon)n$, then G has a triangle decomposition.*

In particular, for each residue class of $n \pmod 6$, the optimal triangle-edge decompositions of K_n are in Table 1.

Table 1. Values of $\pi_3(K_n)$ and $\pi_3(T_2(n))$ for large n .

$n \pmod 6$	optimal decomposition of K_n	$\pi_3(K_n)$	$\pi_3(T_2(n))$
0	triangle-divisible + perfect matching	$\frac{n^2}{2}$	$\frac{n^2}{2}$
1	triangle-divisible	$\binom{n}{2}$	$\frac{n^2-1}{2}$
2	triangle-divisible + perfect matching	$\frac{n^2}{2}$	$\frac{n^2}{2}$
3	triangle-divisible	$\binom{n}{2}$	$\frac{n^2-1}{2}$
4	triangle-divisible + perfect matching + $K_{1,3}$	$\frac{n^2}{2} + 1$	$\frac{n^2}{2}$
5	triangle-divisible + C_4	$\binom{n}{2} + 4$	$\frac{n^2-1}{2}$

Let us define

$$\mathcal{E}_n = \begin{cases} \{T_2(n)\} & \text{if } n \equiv 1, 3, 5 \pmod{6}, \\ \{K_n\} & \text{if } n \equiv 4 \pmod{6}, \\ \{T_2(n), K_n\} & \text{if } n \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{6}, \end{cases}$$

the graphs in $\{T_2(n), K_n\}$ which maximise π_3 in each residue class mod 6. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let L_n be any member of \mathcal{E}_n and define $\ell(n) := \pi_3(L_n)$. Clearly, $\ell(n)$ is a lower bound on $\pi_3(n)$, the maximum over all n -vertex graphs G of $\pi_3(G)$.

Then, our main result is the following:

Theorem 5. *There exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$, we have $\pi_3(n) = \ell(n)$ and the set of $\pi_3(n)$ -extremal graphs is exactly \mathcal{E}_n . This gives*

$$\pi_3(n) = \begin{cases} n^2/2 & \text{for } n \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{6} & \text{attained only by } T_2(n) \text{ and } K_n, \\ (n^2 - 1)/2 & \text{for } n \equiv 1, 3, 5 \pmod{6} & \text{attained only by } T_2(n), \\ n^2/2 + 1 & \text{for } n \equiv 4 \pmod{6} & \text{attained only by } K_n. \end{cases}$$

A simple corollary of Theorem 5 is an affirmative answer to a question of Pyber [17], see also Problem 45 [19], for sufficiently large n . In a decomposition of a graph, every edge is used exactly once. In a covering, every edge is used at least once.

Corollary 6. *For sufficiently large n , an edge set of every n -vertex graph be covered with triangles of weight 3 and edges of weight 2 such that their total weight is at most $\lfloor n^2/2 \rfloor$.*

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 5

By analysing the dual solution to the optimisation problem considered in [15], we may obtain the following:

Proposition 7. *For every $\delta > 0$ there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if G is a graph of order $n \geq n_1$ with $\pi_3(G) \geq \ell(n) - n^2/n_1$, then G is δn^2 -close¹ in edit distance to K_n or to $T_2(n)$.*

In the case when G is δn^2 edges away from $T_2(n)$, a result by Györi [11] that a graph with n vertices and $e(T_2(n)) + k$ edges where $k = o(n^2)$ has at least $k - O(k^2/n^2)$ edge-disjoint triangles almost immediately implies the desired result. More specifically, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for large n every n -vertex graph with $t_2(n) + k$ edges where $k \leq \delta n^2$ has at least $k - \varepsilon k^2/n^2$ edge-disjoint triangles. Since G is δn^2 -close to $T_2(n)$, then it must have at most $t_2(n) + \delta n^2$ edges. From this we have that $\pi_3(G) \leq 2(t_2(n) + k) - 3(k - \varepsilon k^2/n^2) = 2t_2(n) - k(1 - 3\varepsilon k/n^2) \leq 2t_2(n)$ for $\delta \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$. Equality is achieved only if $k = 0$, that is, if $G \cong T_2(n)$.

¹Two graphs G_1 and G_2 on the same vertex set are said to be k -close in edit distance (or simply k -close) if $|E(G_1) \Delta E(G_2)| \leq k$.

In the case when G is δn^2 edges away from K_n , by iteratively removing vertices of small degree, we may assume that $\delta(G) \geq (\ell(n) - \ell(n - 1))/2$.

We now proceed to decompose the edges of G into edges and triangles in 3 stages:

Stage 1: Denote by U the set of all vertices which have degree less than $(1 - c)n$ for some $c \ll 1$, and let $W = V(G) \setminus U$. By a double-counting argument $|U| = u \leq (2\delta/c)n^2$. For each vertex $u \in U$ in turn, remove a maximum family of edge-disjoint triangles, each containing u and two vertices from W . Denote the resulting graph induced on W by G' . Through a simple neighbourhood-chasing argument, we can show that $|\Gamma_{G'}(u) \cap W| \leq 1$ for all $u \in U$, that is, up to parity, the triangles removed during Stage 1 cover all $[U, W]$ -edges in G .

Stage 2: Remove a maximum collection of edge-disjoint triangles from G' . Using Theorem 4 we may consider this as a problem of setting aside a set X of edges (which induce a bounded-degree graph) such that $G' - X$ is triangle-divisible. By considering the even- and odd-degree vertices in G' separately, we can construct a set X of size $|X| = p \leq (n - u)/2 + 2$ and maximum degree 2.

Stage 3: Decompose X trivially into copies of K_2 .

Let t_1 and t_2 denote the number of triangles removed respectively in Stages 1 and 2. By counting pairs of vertices inside W , we conclude that $t_1 + 3t_2 + p \leq \binom{n-u}{2}$. Moreover, since each vertex of U has degree at most $(1 - c)n$ in G , we also have that $t_1 \leq u(1 - c)n/2$.

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_3(G) &\leq 3t_1 + 3t_2 + 2\binom{u}{2} + 2p + 2u \\ &\leq 3t_1 + \left(\binom{n-u}{2} - p - t_1\right) + 2\binom{u}{2} + 2p + 2u \\ &\leq u(1 - c)n + \binom{n-u}{2} + 2\binom{u}{2} + p + 2u \\ &= \binom{n}{2} + \frac{3u^2}{2} + \frac{3u}{2} - cnu + p. \end{aligned}$$

We now compare this bound with the conjectured maxima presented in Table 1.

First, suppose that n is even. Here the larger value is achieved by K_n and it is at least $\pi_3(K_n) \geq n^2/2 = \binom{n}{2} + \frac{n}{2}$. Since $u \leq (2\delta/c)n$, we have that $3u^2/2 + \frac{3u}{2} \leq cnu/2$ and so

$$\pi_3(G) - \pi_3(K_n) \leq -cnu/2 + (n - u)/2 + 2 - (n + u)/2,$$

which is non-negative only if $u = 0$, and since G is extremal, all inequalities we used in upper-bounding $\pi_3(G)$ are tight. In particular, we get that $t_1 = u(1 - c)n/2 = 0$, and hence $e(G) = 3t_2 + p = \binom{n-u}{2} = \binom{n}{2}$, meaning that $G \cong K_n$.

Now, suppose that n is odd. In this case we have $\pi_3(T_2(n)) - n/2 \geq \pi_3(K_n) - O(1) = \binom{n}{2} - O(1)$. Similarly to the previous case, $\pi_3(G) - \pi_3(T_2(n)) \leq -cnu/2 +$

$O(1)$, which again is non-negative only if $u = 0$ and $e(G) = \binom{n}{2}$. But since n is odd, this means that G has no odd-degree vertices and in fact $\pi_3(G) \leq \binom{n}{2} + 2 < \pi_3(T_2(n))$, a contradiction to the extremality of G .

REFERENCES

1. Balogh J., Hu P., Lidický B. and Pfender F., *Maximum density of induced 5-cycle is achieved by an iterated blow-up of 5-cycle*, European J. Combin. **52** (2016), 47–58.
2. Barber B., Kühn D., Lo A. and Osthus D., *Edge-decompositions of graphs with high minimum degree*, Adv. Math. **288** (2016), 337–385.
3. Baber R. and Talbot J., *Hypergraphs do jump*, Combin. Probab. Comput. **20** (2011), 161–171.
4. Das S., Huang H., Ma J., Naves H. and Sudakov B., *A problem of Erdős on the minimum number of k -cliques*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **103** (2013), 344–373.
5. Dross F., *Fractional triangle decompositions in graphs with large minimum degree*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **30** (2016), 36–42.
6. Erdős P., Goodman A. W. and Pósa L., *The representation of a graph by set intersections*, Canad. J. Math. **18** (1966), 106–112.
7. Even-Zohar Ch. and Linial N., *A note on the inducibility of 4-vertex graphs*, Graphs Combin. **31** (2015) 1367–1380.
8. Glebov R., Král' D. and Volec, J., *A problem of Erdős and Sós on 3-graphs*, Israel J. Math. **211** (2016), 349–366.
9. Győri E. and Tuza Zs., *Decompositions of graphs into complete subgraphs of given order*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. **22** (1987), 315–320.
10. Győri E. and Kostochka A. V., *On a problem of G. O. H. Katona and T. Tarján*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **34** (1979), 321–327.
11. Győri E., *On the number of edge-disjoint triangles in graphs of given size*, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai **52** (1988), 267–276.
12. Hladký J., Král' D. and Norin S., *Counting flags in triangle-free digraphs*, Combinatorica **37** (2017), 49–76.
13. Chung F. R. K., *On the decomposition of graphs*, SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods **2** (1981), 1–12.
14. Kahn J., *Proof of a conjecture of Katona and Tarján*, Period. Math. Hungar. **12** (1981), 81–82.
15. Král' D., Lidický B., Martins T. L. and Pehova Y., *Decomposing graphs into edges and triangles*, Combin. Probab. Comput. **28** (2019), 465–472.
16. Král' D., Mach L. and Sereni, J.-S., *A new lower bound based on Gromov's method of selecting heavily covered points*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **48** (2012), 487–498.
17. Pyber L., *Covering the edges of a graph by ...*, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai **60** (1992), 583–610.
18. Razborov A., *Flag algebras*, J. Symb. Log. **72** (2007), 1239–1282.
19. Tuza Zs., *Unsolved Combinatorial Problems, Part I*, BRICS Lecture Series LS-01-1, 2001.

A. Blumenthal, Department of Mathematics, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, USA,
e-mail: ablument@iastate.edu

B. Lidický, Department of Mathematics, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, USA,
e-mail: lidicky@iastate.edu

O. Pikhurko, Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK,
e-mail: o.pikhurko@warwick.ac.uk

Y. Pehova, Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK,
e-mail: y.pehova@warwick.ac.uk

F. Pfender, Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, University of Colorado Denver,
USA,
e-mail: florian.pfender@ucdenver.edu

J. Volec, Mathematics and Science Center, Atlanta, USA,
e-mail: jan@ucw.cz