

2012

Interdisciplinarity on campus: how the publishing world is responding

Karen G. Lawson

Iowa State University, klawson@iastate.edu

Christine King

Iowa State University, cking1@iastate.edu

Tobie Matava

Iowa State University, tmatava@iastate.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/libcat_pubs



Part of the [Library and Information Science Commons](#)

The complete bibliographic information for this item can be found at http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/libcat_pubs/46. For information on how to cite this item, please visit <http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/howtocite.html>.

Interdisciplinarity on campus: how the publishing world is responding

Abstract

Purpose—The purpose of this article is to explore how the publishing world is responding to library collection development challenges posed by the growth of interdisciplinary studies programs.

Design/methodology/approach—The first step in this study was a survey of the websites of selected ARL and all Oberlin Group university and college websites to determine those institutions that have a clearly identified Interdisciplinary program or majors. The authors then investigated the status of interdisciplinary collection development practices at these institutions. Finally the websites of reviewers, publishers, and vendors were surveyed to explore what tools are available to help librarians identify new interdisciplinary monographs.

Findings—Rather than supplying formal interdisciplinary collection development support, most librarians rely on external resources to help identify interdisciplinary materials. As technology evolves publisher/vendor websites can provide librarians with the tools needed to conduct in-depth searches of their catalogs, thus aiding in the identification of interdisciplinary monographs for purchase.

Originality/value—Building on previous scholarship about the growth of interdisciplinary studies and libraries, this paper extends the research by examining the tools available to help librarians bridge the gap created by new disciplinary formations and offers suggestions for publishers to enhance interdisciplinary selection.

Keywords

Collection development, Collections management, Book selection, Interdisciplinary studies, Interdisciplinarity, Publishers, Book vendors

Disciplines

Library and Information Science

Comments

This is the post-print of an article from *Collection Building* 31, no. 1 (20120): 4–10, doi:[10.1108/01604951211199119](https://doi.org/10.1108/01604951211199119).



**INTERDISCIPLINARITY ON CAMPUS: HOW THE PUBLISHING
WORLD IS RESPONDING**

Journal:	<i>Collection Building</i>
Manuscript ID:	CB-Aug-2011-0036
Manuscript Type:	Research Paper
Keywords:	collection development, book selection, interdisciplinary studies, interdisciplinarity, publishers, book vendors

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Review

INTERDISCIPLINARITY ON CAMPUS: HOW THE PUBLISHING WORLD IS RESPONDING

INTRODUCTION

Interdisciplinary programs, centers, and majors have been created on university campuses for a variety of reasons over the past several decades. William Newell (2001, p.5) identified seven diverse motivations behind the creation of interdisciplinary programs that include education and training, problem solving and critiques, faculty development, financial exigency, and the production of new knowledge. Programs created in the 1960s and '70s in support of Women's studies and Native American studies were likely to have had some political or sociological forces behind them. This created a natural teaming-up of disciplines to address these broad areas of study. In the past decade campus-wide financial exigency and downsizing has been another driving force behind the creation of interdisciplinary programs. Pick up almost any newspaper and you can find an article about the impact of shrinking budgets in higher education today. Unfortunately even though budgets are shrinking, costs at every level continue to rise. In an effort to deal with this new reality faculty and staff are being asked to do more with less. While the concept of interdisciplinary studies has been debated and discussed within the academy for many years, the advantages of transcending disciplinary boundaries and bringing departments together appears to be one cost conscious solution that may be gaining momentum. Thompson (2010, p. 9) writes that "these questions are all the more pressing in a new period of financial exigency driven by an international economic crisis." By combining departments, services can be streamlined, duplications eliminated, and costs better contained. Interdisciplinary Centers that combine two or more academic disciplines or fields of research also figure prominently on many campuses.

College and University libraries need to adapt not only to smaller budgets and shrinking staffs, but to what may eventually be a very different institutional configuration. As librarians we need to continually consider the challenges that interdisciplinary studies pose given our institutional responsibilities. How do we identify books that best serve the needs of interdisciplinary scholars? How can we use

1
2
3 vendor/publishers, to whom we already pay large amounts of money, to help us identify new
4
5 interdisciplinary monographs? Researching and answering these last questions is the main focus of this
6
7 article.
8
9

10 11 12 **LITERATURE REVIEW** 13

14 While most research universities are still organized into academic departments, scholars and researchers
15
16 are forming new collaborations to work on increasingly complex questions. At times these collaborations
17
18 transcend disciplinary boundaries and as a result new interdisciplinary models have emerged. One of the
19
20 issues raised by Allen Repko (2008) is that, although interdisciplinary studies produce new knowledge via
21
22 a process of integration, in many cases scholars still rely on specific disciplines for their source materials.
23
24 (Repko 2008, p.3, 5, 8, 9) For the most part academic libraries have been organized to reflect and support
25
26 the departmental structure. As interdisciplinary research increases librarians are being challenged to
27
28 rethink how libraries are organized and how our collection development process should be adapted to
29
30 support the interdisciplinary model.
31
32

33
34
35 How libraries are dealing with this shift has been explored in library literature for a number of years.
36
37 Wilson & Edelman (1996) examined the growth of interdisciplinarity in the academy by analyzing the use
38
39 of the term “interdisciplinary research” in *Dissertation Abstracts International*. They found a rise from
40
41 12 dissertations using that term in 1861-1981 to 23 during 1993-1995. An updated search of this database
42
43 highlights how fast this trend has accelerated. A search for the term “interdisciplinary research” from
44
45 1996-2010 now yields 241 results. This article also raises budgetary concerns by pointing out that
46
47 “budget allocations based on existing departmental structures will not adequately address collection
48
49 development issues, particularly in interdisciplinary fields served by networked information.” (Wilson
50
51 and Edelman, 1996 p.199)
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 According to Hickey & Arlen (2002), the traditional structure of academic libraries works against
4 interdisciplinary studies. By focusing on an analysis of book reviews in four scholarly history journals,
5
6 interdisciplinary studies. By focusing on an analysis of book reviews in four scholarly history journals,
7 they explore how disciplines overlap departmental boundaries. Through their analysis they discovered
8 that 55% of the titles reviewed were “non-history” titles. This leaves bibliographers with the task of
9
10 figuring out how to cover the cost of acquiring materials they are not funded to purchase. Other articles
11
12 use different subject areas to explore this same question (Chuben, Porter, and Rossini, 1984; Searing
13
14 1992; Hurd, 1992). In their 2004 article Dilevko and Dali suggest that librarians use an analysis of
15
16 citation patterns to help identify interdisciplinary titles for collection development. While mainly
17
18 concerned with exploring how to develop core journal lists this article shows how a detailed analysis of
19
20 LC classes and subclasses used by scholars can help librarians “get a detailed sense of how
21
22 interdisciplinary scholars do their intellectual work, thus allowing them to better understand and
23
24 anticipate the future information needs of these scholars.” (Hickey and Arlen, 2002 p.216)
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 Patron-driven acquisitions (PDA), or selection based on usage by end-users, can also pick up many
33
34 interdisciplinary titles that fall through the cracks of normal collection development activities. This
35
36 includes purchasing books submitted as ILL requests when they meet certain criteria. Research from
37
38 Purdue done at two (2002) year and at ten (2010) year intervals since the implementation of PDA
39
40 programs Anderson, Freeman, Hérubel, Mykytiuk, Nixon, Ward (2002) showed that books selected
41
42 through a PDA program expanded the cross-disciplinary nature of the collection.
43
44
45
46

47 **Library Collection Development Support for Interdisciplinary Studies**

48
49 In the fall of 2010 the authors surveyed 79 Oberlin Group and 99 (U.S. academic only) Association of
50
51 Research Libraries (ARL) university websites to find out which institutions offer Interdisciplinary Studies
52
53 (IS), or similar titled, majors. Forty-four Oberlin Group and fifty-four Association of Research Libraries
54
55 (ARL) institutions clearly offer Interdisciplinary Studies majors.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Of the 54 ARL institutions with IS majors, nine have a library with a clearly designated IS collection
4 development librarian or team. There are at least two other variations on this type of support: librarians
5 designated for program-related interdisciplinary majors (African American Studies, LGBT studies,
6 Interdisciplinary Art, etc.), and a “General” librarian or one who covers all subject areas. Team support is
7 another support method. Two examples of well identified team support efforts are at the University of
8 Utah (International and Interdisciplinary Team) and the University of California at Berkeley (Contacts for
9 Cross-Disciplinary and Format-Based Resources). This approach may allow these institutions to more
10 effectively identify those subject areas and types of materials that often fall through the cracks of
11 traditional collection development models. There are also institutions where it is impossible to tell who
12 provides the support as the only online access is via an “Ask-A-Librarian form.”
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 Although they do not all call them interdisciplinary studies majors, 44 of the 79 colleges in the Oberlin
28 group have some such degree program. Of these institutions 10 Oberlin libraries have an identified IS
29 collection development librarian. Many of the Oberlin libraries have such small staffs that a large number
30 do not identify subject librarians at all.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 ***Choice: Current Reviews for Academic Libraries***

41 Finding a lack of formal collection development support for interdisciplinary studies left us wondering
42 what other tools might be available to help librarians tasked with these collections development
43 responsibilities. *Choice*, an ALA/ACRL publication, has long been an authoritative resource for the
44 selection of academic library books and other materials. It has been identifying interdisciplinary
45 monographs as such for over 15 years. *Choice* editor Irving Rockwood introduced readers to this new
46 index, called the “Topical Index” in the print December 1995 issue. According to Rockwood (1995, p.
47 562) the index was created “as an attempt to solve a problem with which we have long wrestled, namely
48 how to identify titles whose subject matter may be legitimately classified as both disciplinary or
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

interdisciplinary.” Cross-references to the appropriate disciplinary heading from the “Topical Index” were also put into place. The name was changed to “Interdisciplinary Index” with the December 2004 issue.

The overall number of subjects covered by this index has grown. Below is a comparative list illustrating the subjects *Choice* included in the “topical” and “interdisciplinary” indexes. “*Choice Reviews Online*,” offers an advanced search feature that includes the 15 “Interdisciplinary Categories” listed below for December 2010. Some *Choice* content is available free, but most content is accessible only with a paid subscription.

December 1995	December 2004	December 2010
African and African American Studies	African and African American Studies	African and African American Studies
Asian and Asian American Studies	Asian and Asian American Studies	Asian and Asian American Studies
Environmental Studies	Classical Studies	Canadian Studies
Food and Agriculture	Electronic Resources	Classical Studies
Latina/o Studies	Environmental Studies	Electronic Resources
Native American Studies	Food and Agriculture	Environmental Studies
Urban Studies	Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual & Transgender Studies	Food and Agriculture
Women’s Studies	Latin American & Latina/o Studies	Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual & Transgender Studies
	Middle Eastern Studies	Islamic Studies
	Native American Studies	Latin American & Latina/o Studies
	Urban Studies	Law & Society
	Women’s Studies	Middle Eastern Studies
		Native American Studies
		Urban Studies
		Women’s & Gender Studies

Publisher/Vendor Online Catalogs and Databases

If, as Wilson and Edelman (1996, p. 196) suggest, interdisciplinary studies are a growing trend as indicated by the increasing number of interdisciplinary subject areas identified by *Choice* in the

1
2
3 intervening years, then how will libraries continue to evolve to meet the new challenges this trend brings?

4
5 Can we rely on book vendors and publishers to help with this task?

6
7
8
9
10 In an effort to explore the impact of the move towards interdisciplinary studies on library book vendors a
11
12 survey of their web sites was conducted. A first step in that process was identifying a comprehensive list
13
14 of “academic” book vendors. There are a number of very comprehensive lists of book vendors available
15
16 online. AquWeb, an international directory of publishers and vendors, can be viewed at:

17
18 <http://www.acqweb.org/pubr.html>. The links on their list are not limited to vendors who supply books to
19
20 academic institutions and many of the vendors on this list are located overseas. The American
21
22 Association of University Professors also maintains a good list at:

23
24 http://www.aaupnet.org/index.php?option=com_contact&view=category&catid=7&Itemid=18

25
26
27 However, for the most part the AAUP list is limited to "university presses" and does not include some of
28
29 the other publishers commonly used by academic libraries (i.e. Routledge, Norton, Random House,
30
31 Greenwood). For purposes of this paper we wanted to narrow our focus to U.S. vendors who supply and
32
33 market their books to “academic” libraries. After exploring a number of other options we decided to rely
34
35 on an extensive publisher and vendor list compiled by the Department of Philosophy at Penn State
36
37 University. This list best fit our needs and provided quick links to some of the most frequently used
38
39 suppliers, publishers, and university presses. It can be accessed at:

40
41
42 <http://philosophy.la.psu.edu/Resources/Vendors.html>

43
44
45
46
47 After analyzing the 33 U.S. publisher/vendor sites found on the Penn State list, we grouped them into
48
49 three major categories based on their approach to identifying and making accessible interdisciplinary
50
51 titles. *In-depth* sites allow for enhanced search and sort options, and many of them include a Google
52
53 search box which allows for full- text searching of keywords. *Mixed sites* allow for some search and sort
54
55

options, but do not allow for as granular a search as the in-depth sites. Finally the *Limited sites* provide basic search functions with limited if any sort options.

Sixteen of the sites surveyed provided multiple points of access and allow for in-depth searching of their catalogs/databases. While not all sites included “interdisciplinary studies” as one of their subject categories, using those words as a search term provides users with an extensive list of resources. In addition, many of these sites incorporated a Google Smart Search box while also allowing users to refine their search results by publication date, relevance, format or other subcategory areas.

One caveat worth mentioning in terms of publisher/vendor websites relates to the constant evolution of technology available on the web. During the time we were working on this article many of the websites underwent upgrades and changes. A web site with a “Mixed” or “Limited” approach today may quickly evolve into one that provides a more in-depth approach to its users.

Presses/Databases roughly categorized:

In-depth approach

Wiley
Blackwell
Columbia
Cornell
MacMillan
Norton
Perseus
Princeton
Routledge
Oxford
Rutgers
Rowman & Littlefield
Temple
University of California
Coutts
YBP

Mixed

Pearson
Random House
Beacon
Indiana
MIT
Stanford
SUNY
Harvard
Midwest Library Service
Ambassador Books & Media
Book House

Limited

Fordham
Greenwood
Penguin
Northwestern
Chicago
Yale

Princeton University Press <http://press.princeton.edu/> is one example of an in-depth approach. The

“browse the Princeton catalog by subject” option includes a number of “interdisciplinary” subject areas

1
2
3 such as gender studies. The page also includes search boxes for keyword, author, title, ISBN, and more
4 options. A keyword search using the term “interdisciplinary” resulted in a message stating “limit of 200
5 hits exceeded” and gave an option to select either “More options” or “Power search” to continue.
6
7 Clicking “more options” provides users with a quick search box. This box searches the author, title, and
8 keywords in the descriptive text and allows for Boolean searching. This option has a 1000 record limit.
9
10 Power search includes the same options as quick search plus other advanced features such as selecting
11 whether the user wants their search to match ANY of the fields or ALL the fields, opt for partial word
12 matches or fixed string matches, in addition it allows for misspellings of up to four characters. The other
13 two search boxes on this site are Google search boxes. The first allows for a Google search of the site
14 only and the second allows users to “Google the full text of all our books.”
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 Random House <http://www.randomhouse.com/acmart/> is one of eleven vendors that fall into a category
28 we call “Mixed.” These sites provide some detailed search options, but are more limited than those
29 providing an in-depth approach. The Random House home page includes a left navigation bar organized
30 by subject areas. Some subjects are further divided into subcategories. When users click on a subject
31 area results are listed by title and can be sorted by Author, Date, Format, price or title. However, using
32 the advanced search option with the word interdisciplinary as a keyword only resulted in ten titles being
33 identified. Similar to other sites in this category, Boolean searching is not supported.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 Finally, six of the thirty-three sites fall into the “Limited” category. Fordham University
46 <http://www.fordhampress.com/> is one of those publishers. This home page includes three search boxes –
47 search our site, search our catalog, or choose a subject search. Interdisciplinary Studies is not listed as
48 one of the subject search options. A catalog search using that term only results in five titles. Using a
49 keyword search, one of the options listed under the “books” tab, results in a mix of university and book
50 related links. Clicking on the “search our catalog” link resulted in a very limited list of titles. These
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 titles were arranged alphabetically, but there was no indication of how many titles were pulled, or what
4
5 subcategories each title belonged to. There was no other option for sorting these results.
6
7

8 9 10 **Vendors' Proprietary Databases**

11
12 The authors' next step was to search five proprietary databases available to selectors through book
13
14 wholesalers: Ambassador Books & Media (OneSource), Midwest Library Service (InterACQ), and Book
15
16 House (Order System), YBP (GOBI), and Coutts (OASIS™). Each requires a login and password for
17
18 access.
19

20
21
22 Ways of finding interdisciplinary materials in these databases ranges from simplistic to complex. Within
23
24 Ambassador, Midwest, and Book House a known title search is easily retrieved. A selector can also
25
26 select to receive books within a specific or general LC classification range. More generic ways of
27
28 searching could include a Title or Subject search for “interdisciplinary” as a keyword or exact phrase. A
29
30 representative from Book House stated: “We have found when doing a keyword search using the subject
31
32 field is the most efficient. We were able to bring up around 50 titles by using interdisciplinary in a subject
33
34 search.” (Book House, 2011) YBP Library Services and Coutts offer more sophisticated interfaces.
35
36

37 38 39 **YBP Library Services**

40
41 YBP's platform, Global Online Bibliographic Information (GOBI), is an online acquisition and
42
43 collection management support system. GOBI has 3 search types: Quick Search, Standard
44
45 Search, and Advanced Search. Standard Search provides a list of 80+ “Interdisciplinary Topics”
46
47 to incorporate into a search. Individual or multiple interdisciplinary terms can be searched in
48
49 combination with other Standard Search parameters. Figure 1 shows a GOBI Standard Search
50
51 screen.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure 1 caption: YBP Library Services, GOBI³ database, standard search screen

[Figure 1]

For example, a search of “Pub Year > 2010” and “Language – English” and the Interdisciplinary Topic “Rural” yields 242 results.

The example in Figure 2 shows the full record for the first title retrieved, Across the Great Divide: a photo chronicle of the counterculture. The YBP assigned subject heading is: Counterculture – Colorado Pictorial Works. YBP interdisciplinary topics assigned are “Historical” and “Rural.”

Figure 2 caption: YBP Library Services, GOBI³ database, search results for “Interdisciplinary Descriptors”

The screenshot displays the GOBI 3 search results interface. The main search result is for the book "ACROSS THE GREAT DIVIDE: A PHOTO CHRONICLE OF THE COUNTERCULTURE." by PRICE, ROBERTA. The page includes a navigation menu on the left with options like "Select Cart", "Approval Bookshelf", and "Add To Folder". The search results section shows "Standard Search Result: 19 items." and provides detailed bibliographic data for the selected item, including author, publisher, ISBN, and subject headings. The subject headings listed are: COUNTERCULTURE--COLORADO--PICTORIAL WORKS, Historical; Rural, and COLORADO--RURAL CONDITIONS--PICTORIAL WORKS. The page also shows a list of other items at the bottom, including "ACROSS THE GREAT DIVIDE: BRIDGING THE GAP BETWEEN UNDERSTANDING OF TODDLERS' AND OLDER CHILDREN'S THINKING." by CHEN, ZHE.

[Figure 2]

WorldCat record subject headings assigned for Across the Great Divide are:

- Counterculture -- Colorado -- Pictorial works.
- Counterculture -- New Mexico -- Pictorial works.
- Colorado -- Rural conditions -- Pictorial works.
- New Mexico -- Rural conditions -- Pictorial works.
- Colorado -- Social life and customs -- 20th century.
- New Mexico -- Social life and customs -- 20th century.

1
2
3 GOBI's Advanced Search feature offers the same list of interdisciplinary topics (called
4
5 "Interdisciplinary Descriptors") but goes further to allow multiple interdisciplinary descriptors to
6
7 be combined using Boolean operators. An interdisciplinary descriptor can be composed into a
8
9 query along with a call number range, publisher, or subject headings. There are also 2 lists of
10
11 pre-defined categories that can be used in combination these search terms: Geographic
12
13 Descriptors and Non-Subject Descriptors (e.g., aspect, format, literary type).
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 **Coutts**

21
22 OASIS™ is an "online interface for bibliographic information and searching, book and e-book
23
24 acquisition, collection development and workflow management." Its "Search All Titles" menu
25
26 screen offers a wide variety of search options. Figure 3 shows the "Interdisciplinary Subjects"
27
28 filter that has 62 choices, including Aboriginal/Native Studies, AIDS, Canadiana, Gerontology,
29
30 Graphic Novel, Islamic Studies, Middle Eastern Studies, Pacific Rim, Reference, and Women's
31
32 Studies. Each OASIS™ full record displays all assigned LC subject headings, as well as Coutts
33
34 subject terms.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure 3 caption: Coutts, OASIS™ database, Interdisciplinary Subjects Filter screen

Coutts

OASIS™ is an “online interface for bibliographic information and searching, book and e-book acquisition, collection development and workflow management.” Its “Search All Titles” menu screen offers a wide variety of search options. Figure 3 shows the “Interdisciplinary Subjects” filter that has 62 choices, including Aboriginal/Native Studies, AIDS, Canadiana, Gerontology, Graphic Novel, Islamic Studies, Middle Eastern Studies, Pacific Rim, Reference, and Women’s Studies. Each OASIS™ full record displays all assigned LC subject headings, as well as Coutts subject terms.

The screenshot displays the OASIS™ search interface in a Mozilla Firefox browser window. The page title is "OASIS™ Aug 10, 2011 - Mozilla Firefox" and the URL is "http://www.couttsoasis.com/search". The interface is divided into several sections:

- Header:** "OASIS™ LIVE" logo, "ISU General - Iowa State University of Science and Technology", and navigation links for "Help", "Hide Menu", and "Logout".
- Search Bar:** Fields for "ISBN/EAN", "Title", "Author", "4+4" (with "Author" and "Title" sub-fields), "Copyright Year", "Date Search" (with a dropdown for "Select Below and Date"), "Readership Level", "Classification", "LC/MeSH Subject", and "Interdisciplinary Subjects" (set to "--No Filter--").
- Advanced Search Options:** "Exact" checkbox, "Publication Date", "Date Added to OASIS", and "Selection Cycle Complete" checkboxes.
- Filtering Options:** "Publisher", "Series Title", "ISSN", "Coutts Control No.", "Language" (set to "--No Filter--"), "Country" (set to "--No Filter--"), and "Binding Format" (set to "--No Filter--").
- Interdisciplinary Subjects List:** A list of checkboxes for "Environmental Studies", "Ethical", "European Union Studies", "Film", "Gender/Sexualities Studies", "Gerontology", and "Graphic Novel".
- Search Controls:** "Search Name" and "Saved Search" fields, a "Search" button, and a "Clear" button.
- Additional Features:** "Edition Preferences" (MyLibrary, Paper, Cloth), "Exclude digital reprint publishers" (checked), "Retain Edition Preferences as Default Setting", and "Maximum Results" set to 500.
- Footer:** "COUTTS" logo and a note: "For help identifying and sea... A wildcard (*) may be used w... We make every effort to ens... tion is dependent on third party sources and the price shown may not be the price at which the item is supplied. Titles marked Special Offer are not eligible for any further discount."

[Figure 3]

CONCLUSIONS

Disciplinary boundaries are increasingly collapsing with the combining of academic programs and the blurring of traditional academic fields in the changing technological and global environment. Klein (2010, p. 2) writes that “Interdisciplinarity is associated with bold advances in knowledge, solutions to urgent societal problems, an edge in technological innovation, and a more integrative educational experience.” These changes appear to be accelerating and libraries have to try to adapt to them. Although a search of university and college websites finds a myriad of interdisciplinary programs, institutes and courses, this activity is not mirrored on the infrastructure of most academic libraries. Relatively few libraries seem to have subject specialists devoted to interdisciplinary subject areas or even subject pages or collection development policies that address those issues. This is probably due to the fact that it can be more difficult to identify interdisciplinary materials. Traditional models are not very effective as call number ranges based on subject areas do not adequately address interdisciplinary topics. Librarians would appear to be relying on vendors and external resources such as *Choice*, YBP and individual publishers to identify interdisciplinary materials.

The identification of interdisciplinary monographs by individual publishers also varies a great deal. Some publishers thoroughly cover interdisciplinary topics with extensive search options to identify such publications, while others barely address the issue. In some cases this may be due to the fact that the size of their catalog makes any extensive subject classification unnecessary. Many of the more sophisticated publisher web sites have integrated Google Smart Search into their search options and this greatly increases the ability to identify interdisciplinary titles.

1
2
3
4
5
6 Many libraries make extensive use of book wholesalers' websites for the majority of their
7
8 selection, not just because of the ease of seeing many new titles in a single list, but also for the
9
10 assistance they provide with expedited labor-saving on-line ordering and other added value
11
12 services like preprocessing. Vendor assigned indexing may identify interdisciplinary materials,
13
14 and in addition these websites can provide the ability to create monograph searches using
15
16 extensive lists of interdisciplinary-related subject terms. Interdisciplinary descriptors assigned by
17
18 book vendors could be valuable metadata for bibliographic records.
19
20
21
22
23

24 Sara Lloyd, head of digital publishing at Pan Macmillan, wrote in *A Book Publisher's Manifesto for the*
25
26 *Twenty-first Century* (2008, p. 31): "Publishers ... will need to increasingly accept huge cultural,
27
28 social, economic, and educational changes and respond to these in a positive and creative way."
29
30 Taking advantage of technological innovations is one way of leveling the playing field for a publisher or
31
32 book vendors. For example, in terms of a web presence, the size of company and its physical inventory
33
34 matter less than its technical expertise and innovation.
35
36
37
38
39

40 A prototype for including more information that would be helpful to selectors exists with ONIX (ONline
41
42 Information Exchange) for books. ONIX allows publishers to use standardized data fields to transmit
43
44 information about books to vendors or databases. It is an XML-based standard format that "publishers
45
46 can use to distribute electronic information about their books to wholesale, e-tail and retail booksellers,
47
48 other publishers, and anyone else involved in the sale of books. ONIX enables book information to be
49
50 communicated between different organizations even if they have different technical infrastructures and
51
52 business needs" (BISG, 2011). It is possible that the ONIX platform or something similar would be
53
54 helpful in getting more data into publisher and vendor selection websites.
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Interdisciplinary studies offer students and researchers new ways to solve problems and to
4
5 produce new knowledge. Publishers could be providing a positive and creative service for
6
7 libraries and interdisciplinary studies programs by enhancing selection of interdisciplinary
8
9 materials.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review

REFERENCES

- Anderson, K. J., Freeman, R.S., Hérubel, J. V. M., Mykytiuk, L. J., Nixon, J. M. and Ward, S. M. (2002), "Buy, don't borrow: Bibliographers' analysis of academic library collection development through interlibrary loan requests," *Collection Management*, Vol. 27 No. 3/4, pp. 1-11.
- Anderson, K. J., Freeman, R. S., Hérubel, J. V. M., Mykytiuk, L. J., Nixon, J. M. and Ward, S. M. (2010), "Liberal arts books on demand: A decade of patron-driven collection development, part 1," *Collection Management* Vol. 35 No. 3/4 pp.125-141.
- BISG Book Industry Study Group, (2011), "ONIX for Books, "available at: <http://www.bisg.org/what-we-do-21-15-onix-for-books.php#What%20is> ((accessed 10 August 2011).
- Chubin, D.E., Porter, A.L., Rossini, F.A. (1984), "Citation Classics Analysis: an Approach to Characterizing Interdisciplinary Research," *Journal of the American Society for Information Science* Vol. 35, pp. 360-368.
- Coutts, OASIS™ database, available at: <http://www.couttsoasis.com/home> (accessed 8 August 2011).
- Dilevko, J, Dali, K. (2004), "Improving Collection Development and Reference Services for

1
2
3 Interdisciplinary Fields through Analysis of Citation Patterns: An Example Using
4
5 Tourism Studies,” *College & Research Libraries*, Vol. 65 No. 3, pp. 216-241.
6
7

8
9
10 Hickey, D. and Arlen, S. (2002), “Falling through the cracks: just how much “history” is
11
12 history?” *Library Collections, Acquisitions, and Technical Services*, Vol. 26, pp. 97-106.
13
14

15
16
17 Hurd, J.M. (1992), “Interdisciplinary Research in the Sciences: Implications for Library
18
19 Organization,” *College & Research Libraries* Vol. 53 No. 4, pp. 283-297.
20
21

22
23
24 Klein, J. T. (2010), *Creating Interdisciplinary Campus Cultures: A Model for Strength and*
25
26 *Sustainability*, Jossey-Bass, Hoboken, NJ.
27
28

29
30
31 kristam@thebookhouse.com. “re: Guest access to Order database.” 31 March 2011.
32
33

34
35
36 Lloyd, S. (2008), “A Book Publisher’s Manifesto for the Twenty-first Century: How Traditional
37
38 Publishers Can Position Themselves in the Changing Media Flows of a Networked Era,”
39
40 *Library Trends* Vol. 57 No.1, pp. 30-42.
41
42

43
44
45 Newell, W. (2001), “A Theory of Interdisciplinary Studies,” *Issues in Integrative Studies*, No.
46
47 19, pp. 1-25.
48
49

50
51
52 Repko, A. (2008), *Interdisciplinary Research: Process and Theory*, Sage Publications, Thousand
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

1
2
3
4
5
6 Rockwood, I. (2001), "Small Changes, Basic Principles," *Choice, Current Reviews of Academic*
7
8 *Books* Vol. 33 No. 4, pp. 562.
9

10
11
12 Searing, S.E. (1992), "How Libraries Cope with Interdisciplinarity: the Case of Women's
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Studies," *Issues and Integrative Studies* Vol. 10, pp. 7-25.

Wilson, M.C. and Edelman, H. 1996, "Collection Development in an Interdisciplinary Context,"
The Journal of Academic Librarianship Vol. 22 No. 3, pp.195-200.

YBP Library Services, GOBI³ database, available at:

<http://www.gobi3.com/hx/Falcon.ashx?location=searchadvancedparms> (accessed 8
August 2011).