## IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Digital Repository

Iowa State University Patents

Iowa State University Research Foundation, Inc.

5-7-2013

# Logic element architecture for generic logic chains in programmable devices

Arun K. Somani *Iowa State University*, arun@iastate.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/patents Part of the <u>Electrical and Computer Engineering Commons</u>

**Recommended** Citation

Somani, Arun K., "Logic element architecture for generic logic chains in programmable devices" (2013). *Iowa State University Patents*. 70. http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/patents/70

This Patent is brought to you for free and open access by the Iowa State University Research Foundation, Inc. at Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Iowa State University Patents by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

## Logic element architecture for generic logic chains in programmable devices

## Abstract

A reconfigurable device includes an arrangement of a plurality of cells and routing resources for transmitting signals between the cells. The plurality of cells comprises carry-select reuse cells, each of the carry-select reuse cells configured to provide for performing non-arithmetic operations using a reuse arithmetic carry chain interconnecting adjacent cells.

## Disciplines

Electrical and Computer Engineering



US008438522B1

## (12) United States Patent

### Frederick et al.

#### (54) LOGIC ELEMENT ARCHITECTURE FOR GENERIC LOGIC CHAINS IN PROGRAMMABLE DEVICES

- (75) Inventors: Michael T. Frederick, Colorado Springs, CO (US); Arun K. Somani, Ames, IA (US)
- (73) Assignee: Iowa State University Research Foundation, Inc., Ames, IA (US)
- (\*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 904 days.
- (21) Appl. No.: 12/237,076
- (22) Filed: Sep. 24, 2008
- (51) Int. Cl. *G06F 17/50* (2006.01)

See application file for complete search history.

#### (56) **References Cited**

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,230,355	Α	1/1966	Chu
3,296,426	Α	1/1967	Ball
3,313,926	Α	4/1967	Minnick
3,400,379	Α	9/1968	Harman
3,566,153	Α	2/1971	Spencer, Jr.
3,702,985	Α	11/1972	Proebsting
3,731,073	Α	5/1973	Moylan
3,803,587	Α	4/1974	Mead
3,816,725	Α	6/1974	Greer
3,818,203	Α	6/1974	Perlowski et al.
3,849,638	Α	11/1974	Greer
3,912,914	Α	10/1975	Moylan
3,936,812	Α	2/1976	Cox et al.
3,974,366	Α	8/1976	Hebenstreit

## (10) Patent No.: US 8,438,522 B1

## (45) **Date of Patent:** May 7, 2013

3,975,623 A	8/1976	Weinberger
3,983,538 A	9/1976	Jones
3,987,286 A	10/1976	Muehldorf
3,987,287 A	10/1976	Cox et al.
4,029,970 A	6/1977	Hong et al.
4.032.894 A	6/1977	Williams
4,034,356 A	7/1977	Howley et al.
4,037,089 A	7/1977	Horninger
4,041,459 A	8/1977	Horninger
4,051,358 A	9/1977	Schwartz
4,056,807 A	11/1977	Thornber
4,124,899 A	11/1978	Birkner et al.
4,140,921 A	2/1979	Balasubramanian et al.
4,195,352 A	3/1980	Tu et al.
4,207,556 A	6/1980	Sugiyama et al.
4,233,667 A	11/1980	Devine et al.
4,237,542 A	12/1980	Cukier
4,249,246 A	2/1981	Nanya et al.
4,293,783 A	10/1981	Patil

(Continued)

#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Cong, Jason, "FlowMap: An Optimal Technology Mapping Algorithm for Delay Optimization in Lookup-Table Based FPGA Designs", IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems, vol. 13, No. 1, Jan. 1994, pp. 1-12.

#### (Continued)

Primary Examiner — Vuthe Siek

Assistant Examiner — Mohammed Alam

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm — McKee, Voorhees & Sease, P.L.C.

#### (57) **ABSTRACT**

A reconfigurable device includes an arrangement of a plurality of cells and routing resources for transmitting signals between the cells. The plurality of cells comprises carryselect reuse cells, each of the carry-select reuse cells configured to provide for performing non-arithmetic operations using a reuse arithmetic carry chain interconnecting adjacent cells.

#### 14 Claims, 8 Drawing Sheets



#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4 336 601 A	6/1982	Tanaka
1 3 18 726 A	0/1082	Wainbargar
4,548,750 A	9/1982	weindergei
4,380,811 A	4/1983	Gotze et al.
4.395.646 A	7/1983	Cases et al.
4 300 516 A	8/1083	Blabut at al
4,333,510 A	0/1903	Blanut et al.
4,409,499 A	10/1983	Zapisek et al.
4.415.818 A	11/1983	Ogawa et al.
4 420 228 4	1/1004	Useriase
4,429,238 A	1/1984	Harrison
4,433,331 A	2/1984	Kollaritsch
4 468 735 A	8/1984	Gotze et al
4,400,755 14	12/1004	D'
4,488,246 A	12/1984	Brice
4.490.812 A	12/1984	Guterman
1 105 500 1	1/1085	Mitchell Ir
4,495,590 A	1/1905	Millenen, JI
4,500,800 A	2/1985	Cases et al.
4.501.977 A	2/1985	Koike
4 504 004 4	2/1085	Maara at al
4,304,904 A	5/1985	Moore et al.
4,506,173 A	3/1985	Yum
4 506 341 A	3/1985	Kalter et al
4 508 077 A	4/1085	Daga at al
4,508,977 A	4/1985	Page et al.
4,511,812 A	4/1985	Satake
4 514 650 A	4/1985	Vum
4,516,040	5/1005	Zaulaslast sl
4,516,040 A	5/1985	Zapisek et al.
4,525,641 A	6/1985	Cruz et al.
1 534 008 A	8/1085	Fuchs et al
4,534,008 A	0/1905	ruens et al.
4,546,273 A	10/1985	Osman
4.551.814 A	11/1985	Moore et al.
1 551 815 A	11/1095	Mooro ot al
4,551,615 A	11/1905	Moore et al.
4,554,640 A	11/1985	Wong et al.
4 578 771 A	3/1986	O'Hara Ir
4.500.015	4/1006	Mantan
4,380,215 A	4/1980	Morton
4,583,012 A	4/1986	Smith et al.
4 609 986 4	0/1086	Hartmann et al
4,009,980 A	10/1000	
4,617,479 A	10/1986	Hartmann et al.
4.645.953 A	2/1987	Wong
4 659 947 A	4/1087	Oqura et al
4,030,040 A	4/1007	Ogula et al.
4,659,948 A	4/1987	Sunter et al.
4.660.171 A	4/1987	Moore et al.
4 661 022 4	4/1097	Thiorbooh
4,001,922 A	4/1907	Thierbach
4,675,556 A	6/1987	Bazes
4.677.318 A	6/1987	Veenstra
4 607 105 4	0/1097	Mary
4,097,105 A	9/198/	MOY
4,703,206 A	10/1987	Cavlan
4.717.844 A	1/1988	Shima et al.
4 720 120 4	2/1000	Beglratt
4,730,130 A	3/1988	Daskett
4,740,721 A	4/1988	Chung et al.
4 742 252 A	5/1988	Agrawal
4 7 45 572 4	5/1000	T -ll
4,/45,5/5 A	5/1988	Lebel
4,745,579 A	5/1988	Mead et al.
4 758 746 A	7/1988	Birkner et al
4 759 747 4	7/1000	Varia a st al
4,/38,/4/ A	//1988	roung et al.
4,758,985 A	7/1988	Carter
4 761 570 A	8/1988	Williams
4 762 020 1	0/1000	Talaata at al
4,703,020 A	0/1900	Takata et al.
4,772,811 A	9/1988	Fujioka et al.
4 774 421 A	9/1988	Hartmann et al
4 786 004 4	11/1000	Crohom III at al
4,780,904 A	11/1900	Gianani, in et al.
4,796,229 A	1/1989	Greer, Jr. et al.
4.815.022 A	3/1989	Glaeser et al.
A 818 002 A	4/1080	Brockmann
4,010,902 A	5/1000	Diockinaini
4,831,285 A	5/1989	Gaiser
4.831.573 A	5/1989	Norman
4 830 530 A	6/1080	Talcata at al
4,839,339 A	0/1989	
4,858,178 A	8/1989	Breuninger
4.860.235 A	8/1989	Kondou et al.
4 870 302 4	0/1090	Freeman
7,070,302 A	J/1909	r iceman
4,876,466 A	10/1989	Kondou et al.
4.906.870 A	3/1990	Gongwer
1 018 641 4	4/1000	ligour et el
7,710,041 A	4/1990	ngour et al.
4,931,671 A	6/1990	Agrawal
4.937.475 A	6/1990	Rhodes et al
4 0 4 2 2 1 0 4	7/1000	Dialrott et al
4,942,319 A	7/1990	i ickett et al.
4,963,768 A	10/1990	Agrawal et al.
4.965.472 A	10/1990	Anderson
1 072 105 4	11/1000	Burton at c1
4,972,105 A	11/1990	Burton et al.
5,015,884 A	5/1991	Agrawal et al.
5.033.017 A	7/1991	Taniai et al.
5 046 035 1	0/1001	ligour et al
5,040,035 A	J/1J/J1	argour et al.
	1001	Snizukuishi et al.
5,055,047 A	10/1771	

5,075,576	Α	12/1991	Cavlan
5,168,177	Α	12/1992	Shankar et al.
5,204,555	Α	4/1993	Graham et al.
5,210,448	Α	5/1993	Takata
5,212,652	Α	5/1993	Agrawal et al.
5,231,588	Α	7/1993	Agrawal et al.
5.233.539	Α	8/1993	Agrawal et al.
5.302.865	Α	4/1994	Steele et al.
5,329,460	Ā	7/1994	Agrawal et al.
5,359,536	Ā	10/1994	Agrawal et al.
5 381 551	A	1/1995	Maeda et al
5 398 198	Å	3/1995	Mahant-Shetti et al
5 4 5 7 6 4 4	Δ	10/1995	McCollum
5 403 230	Δ	2/1006	Zlotnick
5 530 430	<u>л</u>	6/1006	Steele et al
5,550,455	A A	6/1007	Erickson et al
5,040,100	A	6/1007	Ellekson et al.
5,042,304	A	0/1997	Change
5,087,325	A	11/1997	Chang
5,742,531	A	4/1998	Freidin et al.
5,794,033	A	8/1998	Aldebert et al.
5,805,477	A	9/1998	Perner
5,844,829	A	12/1998	Freidin et al.
5,877,742	A	3/1999	Klink
5,877,972	Α	3/1999	Aoki et al.
5,961,576	Α	10/1999	Freidin et al.
5,995,988	Α	11/1999	Freidin et al.
6,038,652	Α	3/2000	Phillips et al.
6,052,770	Α	4/2000	Fant
6,065,028	Α	5/2000	Dhong et al.
6,081,903	Α	6/2000	Vorbach et al.
6,119,048	Α	9/2000	Kondo et al.
RE37,048	Е	2/2001	McCollum
6.188.240	B1	2/2001	Nakava
6.209.077	B1	3/2001	Robertson et al.
6.311.200	B1	10/2001	Hanrahan et al.
6.353.841	BI	3/2002	Marshall et al.
6.404.227	BI	6/2002	Wasson
6 449 628	BI	9/2002	Wasson
6 476 634	BI	11/2002	Bilski
6 539 477	BI	3/2003	Seawright
6 553 395	B2	4/2003	Marshall et al
6 573 749	B2	6/2003	New et al
6 6 25 7 21	B1	0/2003	Chen
6 704 816	BI	3/2003	Burke
6 732 126	B1	5/2004	Wang
6 754 686	DI DI	6/2004	Valig Vovioni
6 873 181	DI B1*	3/2004	Dederson 226/28
6 028 222	D1 D1	8/2005	Barrana at al
7,007,264	D2 D1	0/2003	Boppana et al.
7,007,204	DI D1	5/2006	Danaca
7,047,100	D2 D2	1/2007	Dancea
7,104,288	B2 D1	1/2007	Leijten-Nowak
7,195,455	DI	3/2007	Young
7,196,541	B2	3/2007	Nowak-Leijten
7,205,791	BI	4/2007	Lee et al.
7,240,263	B2	7/2007	Bialas, Jr. et al.
7,663,400	В1*	2/2010	Plants 326/38
2001/0049816	AI*	12/2001	Кирр 716/16
2006/0005090	AI*	1/2006	Langhammer et al 714/725
2006/0091903	A1*	5/2006	Sood 326/40

#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Frederick, Michael T. et al., "Beyond the Arithmetic Constraint: Depth-Optimal Mapping of Logic Chains in LUT-based FPGAs", FPGA '08 Feb. 24-26, 2008, Moneterey, CA, ACM 978-1-59593-934-0/08/02, pp. 37-46.

Frederick, Michael T. et al., "Beyond the Arithmetic Constraint: Depth-Optimal Mapping of Logic Chains in LUT-based FPGAs", Iowa State University, 2008 Dissertation, 167 pages.

Frederick, Michael T. et al., "Multi-Bit Carry Chains for High-Performance Reconfigurable Fabrics", Aug. 2006, Research paper partially supported by NSF grants and the Jerry R. Junkins Endowment at Iowa State University, 6 pages.

Frederick, Michael T. et al., "Non-arithmetic Carry Chains for Reconfigurable Fabrics", IEEE 2007, #1-4244-1258-7/07, pp. 137-143.

\* cited by examiner



FIG. 1



FIG. 2 (PRIOR ART)



FIG. 3



FIG. 4



NS







FIG. 8

5

10

45

#### LOGIC ELEMENT ARCHITECTURE FOR GENERIC LOGIC CHAINS IN PROGRAMMABLE DEVICES

#### GRANT REFERENCE

This invention was made with government support under Grant No. CCF0311061 awarded by NSF. The government has certain rights in the invention.

#### FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to reconfigurable fabrics, and more particularly to carry chains used in reconfigurable fabrics.

#### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Carry chains in reconfigurable fabrics serve a very important, yet very specific purpose: to facilitate the efficient imple-<sup>20</sup> mentation of arithmetic functions. Carry chains allow arithmetic functions to bypass the performance-costly general routing array. However, if a carry chain is not used for an arithmetic function, it becomes a superfluous adjacent cell interconnection resource. There are several challenges to <sup>25</sup> carry chain reuse for non-arithmetic chains, some architectural, while others are based on tool support. What is needed is a modified cell design that facilitates carry chain reuse.

Therefore, it is a primary object, feature, or advantage of the present invention to improve over the state of the art.

It is a further object, feature, or advantage of the present invention to provide a modified cell design that facilitates carry chain reuse.

It is a still further object, feature, or advantage of the present invention to provide a means of improving design <sup>35</sup> performance at a minimal cost.

Another object, feature, or advantage of the present invention is to provide a means of improving design performance in a manner which is transparent to a designer.

One or more of these and/or other objects, features, or <sup>40</sup> advantages of the present invention will become apparent from the specification and claims that follow.

#### BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Commercially available SRAM-based Field Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGAs) have gained traction in the engineering community as a highly flexible and reusable embedded system design tool. FPGAs are also increasingly being incorporated into production designs because their program-50 mability allows the addition of functionality, hardware fixes/ upgrades, or fault tolerance by way of scrubbing or elimination of faulty components. Due to their increasing inclusion in deployed systems, the need to fully utilize every architectural resource is imperative so as to close the speed, area, and 55 power consumption gap between FPGAs and ASICs. The carry chain is one resource that has yet to be exploited to its full capacity.

According to one aspect of the present invention, a reconfigurable device includes an arrangement of a plurality of 60 cells and routing resources for transmitting signals between the cells. The plurality of cells comprises carry-select reuse cells, each of the carry-select reuse cells configured to provide for performing non-arithmetic operations reusing an arithmetic carry chain interconnecting adjacent cells. 65

According to another aspect of the present invention, a reconfigurable device including an arrangement of a plurality

of cells and routing resources for transmitting signals between the cells. The plurality of cells comprises carryselect reuse cells, each of the carry-select reuse cells comprising carry chain elements, look up tables, and sequential logic and wherein the carry chain elements being configured to provide for performing non-arithmetic operations reusing an arithmetic carry chain interconnecting adjacent cells.

According to another aspect of the present invention, a device has an architecture which includes an arrangement of a plurality of cells and routing resources for transmitting signals between the cells. The plurality of cells includes carry-select reuse cells, each of the carry-select reuse cells comprising carry chain elements, look up tables, and sequential logic and wherein the carry chain elements being configured to provide for performing arithmetic operations and being further configured for performing non-arithmetic operations reusing an arithmetic carry chain interconnecting adjacent cells.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 illustrates distribution of net fanout.

FIG. 2 is a schematic of a carry-select traditional cell.

FIG. 3 is a schematic of a carry-select reuse cell.

FIG. **4** is schematic of a propagate-generate and carry-select addition logic.

FIG. **5** is a graph illustrating speedup of reuse flows over unmodified flow for LUT of 4, 5, and 6 inputs.

FIG. 6 is a graph illustrating required FPGA channel width
of reuse flows over unmodified flow for LUT of 4, 5, and 6 inputs.

FIG. **7** is a graph illustrating total routed wire length for reuse flows over unmodified flow for LUT of 4, 5, and 6 inputs.

FIG. 8 is a schematic of a static mode output multiplexer.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

Applications vary greatly from one to another—DSP applications are heavily arithmetic in nature, while many security algorithms are based on Boolean logic and use chains sparingly. For instance, an FFT is dominated by addition and subtraction with 57.6 percent cells in chains, while DES3, despite its complexity, predominately uses tabular look-ups with only 0.8 percent chain cells. FIG. 1 shows an aggregate distribution of net fanout for a sampling of designs available at OpenCores [4]. Greater than 60 percent of the nets in the designs are a direct connection between adjacent logic cells.

Carry chain reuse is defined as a logic chain that has been converted to use the arithmetic chain as a fast interconnect structure between adjacent cells. This forces these cells to be placed next to each other during place and route (PNR), and eliminates the need for that connection to traverse a configurable routing structure. In general, this sampling of designs seems to underutilize carry chains and could possibly benefit from their reuse.

This work presents a modified cell design that facilitates carry chain reuse. Post-technology map experiments show how reuse can benefit all applications. The academic tool flow of SIS [5], ChainMap [7], and VPR [2] is used to measure the effect of chain reuse on routing utilization and maximum clock frequency. The delimiting performance factors are identified and indicate that incorporating tool support into the design flow and making small changes to cell design have the ability to improve design performance at minimal cost and transparently to the designer. A hardwired connection has been allocated in the Altera Stratix architecture [1] that is capable of connecting LUTs residing in the same block in a chain. This has the advantage of avoiding general routing for Boolean chains of up to 10 LEs, the size of a block in the Stratix architecture. The LUT 5 chain is mapped by Quartus during PNR according to undisclosed metrics. Their functionality is similar to that of the architecture proposed by this work. The major difference between the approaches is that the LUT chain function can be replaced by a reusable carry chain. It is important to note that 10 the Stratix II/III architectures appear to have discontinued the LUT chain.

FPGAs use ripple-carry schemes, or slight variations thereof, for area efficient arithmetic computation. The Xilinx Virtex series FPGAs are prime examples of highly-optimized 15 ripple-carry use in commercial reconfigurable fabrics, using their LUT logic to provide a propagate signal as the selection input of a multiplexer choosing between generate and cell carry-in (cin) [6], as shown in FIG. 4(a). The Altera Stratix also uses ripple-carry as its base carry method, providing for 20 a 2-level chain for Stratix, and parallel ripple-chains with LUT logic and dedicated full adders for the Stratix II [1]. The Stratix is based on the carry-select version of carry computation. Each multiplexer in FIG. 4(b) chooses between precomputed sum (f) and carry out  $(c_{1-1})$  based on the cell carry in 25  $(c_{1-1})$ . The carry-select scheme in Stratix directly facilitates chain reuse. The carry in to each cell is directly mapped to the selection input of a multiplexer choosing between 2-LUTs. Logically, the carry in serves as the  $3^{rd}$  input in a 3-LUT meaning that any LE configured as a 3-LUT can use the native 30 architecture to reuse the carry chain.

This invention is a Stratix-inspired reuse cell design for full-width LUT output. A K-LUT describes the ability of an LUT to implement any K-input Boolean function. Thus, a 4-LUT implements all Boolean operations with 4 inputs. 35 Traditional carry-select based LEs working in random logic (normal) mode implement one K-input, 1-output function, and in arithmetic mode implement two independent (K–1)input, 1-output functions with K–1 shared inputs. This invention supports the traditional normal and arithmetic modes, 40 and in addition, provides the capability for a K-input, 2-output function.

Tool support for carry chain synthesis is limited. Current software packages, such as Altera Quartus II and Xilinx ISE, identify arithmetic carry chains through high-level HDL mactors and primitives. The Xilinx V2P library guide denotes how wide homogeneous Boolean expressions (e.g. 16-input AND) can be formed using the carry chain, but synthesis does not identify and implement all such components, nor are the primitives recognized by ISE v8.2. The only recourse is for the designer to implement such expressions using low level LUT and carry chain primitives. The most common academic synthesis tool, SIS [5] doesn't support arithmetic chains in its internal representation.

FIG. **2** shows a rendition of the LE, similar to the stratix\_ 55 lcell LE primitive, dubbed the traditional cell that will be used as the point of comparison to the reuse architecture depicted in FIG. **3**. Several modifications have been made to the traditional cell that preserve its functionality and facilitate chain reuse. In the following description, dataa' will be used to refer 60 to the output of the XOR gate with inputs dataa and addsub, while x will be used to denote an ambiguous component or signal.

In FIG. **3**, a reuse cell **10** is shown. Data inputs datac **12**, datab **14**, dataa **16**, datad **18**, and cin **44** are shown. A mode 65 multiplexer **20** is provided which receives input from datac **12** and may be used to set arithmetic or non-arithmetic mode. A

4

possible implementation of multiplexer 20 is shown in FIG. 8. LUTs  $s_1$  22 and  $s_0$  24 are shown, and serve the role of computing the traditional arithmetic sum for the cases when the carry in 44 is a binary 1 or 0. Sum multiplexers 26, 28 are provided and multiplexer 4 is used to compute a sum. Carry chain elements are also shown. LUTs  $c_1$  30 and  $c_0$  32 serve the role of computing the traditional arithmetic sum for the cases when the carry in cin 44 is a binary 1 or 0, and pass results through multiplexers car<sub>1</sub> 34 and car<sub>0</sub> 36. A carry multiplexer 38 is also shown which provides the carry output cout 40.

In arithmetic mode, the traditional cell computes the sum of dataa' and datab using LUTs s1 and s0 and the sum multiplexer, whose result is passed through multiplexer 4. The reuse cell on the other hand, passes each of the sum LUT values through a level of multiplexers and uses 42 (multiplexer 4) to compute sum. This is functionally equivalent when the mode multiplexer is set in arithmetic mode (i.e. static output). Similarly, carry computation is performed after allowing the LUT results to pass through multiplexers car, 34 and  $car_0$  36. Like the traditional design, carry computation is completed once the carry in to the cell is available. The additional level of multiplexing in the reuse design institutes a delay on the carry once dataa' and datab 14 become available. Only the first cell in a carry chain is affected because it is the only one dependent on the arrival of routing inputs. It is assumed that in an synchronous design all routing inputs become available at the same time, causing the carry chain to be the critical path of the circuit. All cells in the chain, with the exception of the first, have computed the carry for both cin conditions before the arrival of cin to the carry multiplexer 38. The sum computation expects identical latency, while the carry latency only differs for the first cell in a chain. Arithmetic mode preserves cout=f (dataa', datab, cin).

The normal operating mode of both cells is appreciably different. In the traditional cell the mode multiplexer passes routing input datad to multiplexer 4, while the reuse cell the mode multiplexer 20 passes datac to the  $car_x$  (34, 36) and  $sum_x$  (26, 28) multiplexers—a slight difference allowing the cout=f(dataa', datab, datac, cin) for non-arithmetic chains. The combout function is computed similarly in both cell designs, the difference being that the LUT mask is rearranged in the reuse cell. In arithmetic mode the carry and sum LUT outputs must pass through the  $car_x$  (34, 36) and  $sum_x$  (26, 28) multiplexers without performing a computation, which causes the middle nibbles of the 4-LUT mask to be interchanged in normal mode, as presented in the reuse mask in Table 3 and the traditional mask in Table 4. This is an innocuous change easily dealt with at the technology mapping stage. In summary, no extra delays are introduced in the reuse cell for Boolean operations, the cout can accommodate a f (dataa', datab, datac, cin), and the combout can be the same function as the traditional cell of three general inputs and an input from the 4:1 multiplexer. The 4:1 multiplexer options include an external data input, datax, cin, the register feedback of the cell, regout, and addsub. The only functionality difference between the two cells is the value of datax (datad for reuse, datac for traditional).

TABLE 3

	inputs		norm	ıal	arithm	etic
cin/datad	datac	datab 14,	comb-	cout	comb-	cout
44/18	12	data 16	out	40	out	40
0	0	XX	c <sub>0</sub> 32	c <sub>0</sub> 32	s <sub>0</sub> 24	c <sub>0</sub> 32
0	1	XX	s <sub>0</sub> 24	s <sub>0</sub> 24	s <sub>0</sub> 24	c <sub>0</sub> 32

5

10

35

TA	BL	Æ	3	-continu	led
----	----	---	---	----------	-----

	inputs		norm	nal	arithm	etic
cin/datad 44/18	datac 12	datab 14, data 16	comb- out	cout 40	comb- out	cout 40
1 1	0 1	xx xx	c <sub>1</sub> 30 s <sub>1</sub> 22	$c_1 30 \\ s_1 22$	s <sub>1</sub> 22 s <sub>1</sub> 22	$c_1 30 \\ c_1 30$

TABLE 4

-	netic	arithr	mal	nor	inputs		
15	cout 40	comb- out	cout 40	comb- out	datab 14, data 16	cin/datac 44/12	datad 18
•	c <sub>0</sub> 32	s <sub>0</sub> 24	c <sub>0</sub> 32	s <sub>0</sub> 24	XX	0	0
	c <sub>1</sub> 30	s <sub>1</sub> 22	c <sub>1</sub> 30	s <sub>1</sub> 22	XX	1	0
	c <sub>0</sub> 32	s <sub>0</sub> 24	$c_0 32$	c <sub>0</sub> 32	xx	0	1
	c <sub>1</sub> 30	s <sub>1</sub> 22	c <sub>1</sub> 30	c <sub>1</sub> 30	xx	1	1
• 20							

Custom circuit layout and simulation results for the reuse and traditional cell in Table 1 indicate that cout<sub>o</sub>, the initial cell in a chain, and the combout for all cells both suffer a 1.01x delay at 3.3 v 0.6 µm process technology. Intermediate cells, 25 count<sub>n-1,1</sub>, experience no increase in delay. R:T gives the ratio of the reuse cell delay and area to that of the traditional cell.

TABLE 1

	μm × μm	cout <sub>o</sub>	$\operatorname{cout}_{n-1,1}$	combout
Reuse	97.95 × 82.35	6.04 ns	3.50 ns	5.94 ns
Trad	97.95 × 79.95	6.01 ns	3.49 ns	5.90 ns
R:T	1.03	1.01	1.00	1.01

A caveat of this work is that the layout results obtained are not necessarily representative of commercial cell implementations. The assumptions are that the traditional and reuse cell designs have been treated equally and that the performance of the reuse cell relative to the traditional cell can be adequately 40 estimated by assessing an overall performance penalty when applicable. It is applicable during the first cout computation in a chain and the combout of every cell in the design. In the overall cell layout, area is dominated by the 20 SRAM configuration bits, D flip-flop, pass transistor 4-LUT structure, <sup>45</sup> and the output control. In this context, the two additional 2:1 pass transistor multiplexers of the reuse cell yield a 1.03x area increase.

Combining this invention with a generic logic chain assignment method such as that presented in [7] allows FPGA LEs to have equal access to the fast chain resources ubiquitous in today's commercial architectures. In experiments presented in [8], HDL chains are preserved through synthesis and technology mapping (after, normal), through only syn- 55 thesis (before), and not preserved (forget). Each circuit is mapped to an FPGA with the minimum amount of component and routing resources required for implementation. LUT widths of 4, 5, and 6 inputs are tested.

Speedup is defined as the ratio of the critical path delay of 60 the reuse cell to that of traditional cell; higher speedup indicates a performance increase for the reuse cell. The effect of this invention on the average speedup of circuits, as depicted in FIG. 5, is approximately 1.05x, with a minimum of 0.73x and maximum of 1.45x witnessed. Increasing the width of the 65 LUT, the current trend in commercial architectures, generally yields higher performance increases for the reuse cell.

The change in channel width is defined as the ratio of the channel width of the reuse cell to that of the traditional cell; higher channel width ratio indicates a performance decrease for the reuse cell. FIG. 6 indicates that an increase in speedup for the reuse cell often comes at the cost of an increase in the FPGAs required channel width. The average change in channel width is a 1.05x increase with a minimum of 0.7x and maximum of 1.4x observed. This indicates that an increase in the routing resources of an FPGA is required if performance increases are to be reaped by the invention.

The change in total routed wire length is defined as the ratio of the total routed wire length of the reuse cell to that of the traditional cell; higher total routed wire length ratio indicates a performance decrease for the reuse cell. FIG. 7 indicates 15 that an increase in speedup for the reuse cell often comes at the cost of a small increase in the FPGAs required total routed wire length. The average change in total wire is neutral, at 1.0x, with a minimum of 0.78x and maximum of 1.35x observed. This indicates that this invention more completely uses the routing resources offered by an FPGA.

The present invention provides a way to reuse arithmetic carry chains in FPGAs to offer benefits to non-arithmetic operations. A modified cell architecture based on the carryselect ripple chain is presented and shown to increase delay through the cell by 1.01x and increase utilization by 1.03x. Traditional functionality is preserved at minimum cost, and the added delay in the carry chain is only an issue at the first cell in a chain. The result is an architecture that is able to pass its full K-LUT value along the carry chain. To study the effect 30 of chain reuse for a commercial design tool and architecture, the chain assignment technique presented in [7] and the rest of the academic design flow were used by [8] for four separate strategies of treating HDL based arithmetic chains. Speedup, channel width ratio, and total routed wire length ratio results indicate that circuits can benefit from this invention's inclusion in commercial FPGA architectures. While some circuits often simultaneously increase performance and decrease routing consumption, others may do quite the opposite. The success of the invention in a particular application may depend on the characteristics exhibited by the design, such as number of arithmetic chain cells, number of cells in the design, the average fanout of each net, and, most importantly, the chain assignment algorithm chosen.

Logic chains may use the carry chain as a 0 delay interconnection structure regardless of HDL macros. The post-place and route experiments show chain reuse has great potential based in this invention's ability to obviate the difference between arithmetic and non-arithmetic chains. At a time when FPGA vendors are increasing the width of the LUT from 4 to 5 to 6 inputs and beyond, this invention provides a basis to support chain operations that exceed the minimal requirements of simple arithmetic chains in an efficient and minimally invasive manner. Such support combats the underutilization caused by 3-input arithmetic operations using a 6-input LUT.

Carry chain reuse can be a valuable addition to reconfigurable architectures and their design flow. Results indicate that even with naive algorithms, a design/algorithm pair can be found that can potentially increase maximum clock frequency, with an observed maximum of 1.45x, and decrease routing consumption, with an observed minimum of 0.7x, for the presented circuits. It is another step toward fully harnessing the complete computing capacity of FPGAs.

Therefore a configurable device which was carry chain reuse cells has been disclosed. The present invention contemplates numerous variation options and alternatives within the spirit and scope of the claimed invention.

15

#### REFERENCES

All references listed are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety.

- [1] Altera. *Stratix Series User Guides*. http://www.altera. 5 com.
- [2] V. Betz, J. Rose, and A. Marquardt. Architecture and CAD for Deep-Submicron FPGAs. Kluwer, Norwell, Mass., 1999.
- [3] S. Malhotra, T. Borer, D. Singh, and S. Brown. The quartus university interface program: enabling advanced fpga research. In *Proceedings of the 2004 IEEE Intl Conference* on *Field-Programmable Technology*, pages 225-230, December 2004.
- [4] OpenCores. http://www.opencores.org/, 2007.
- [5] E. Sentovich et al. Sis: A system for sequential circuit synthesis. Technical Report UCB/ERL M92/41, EECS Department, University of California, Berkeley, 1992.
- [6] Xilinx. Virtex Series User Guides. http://www.xilinx.com
- [7] M. T. Frederick and A. K. Somani. Beyond the arithmetic 20 constraint: depth-optimal mapping of logic chains in LUT-based FPGAs. In Proceedings of the Sixteenth ACM/ SIGDA Int'l Symposium on Field-Programmable Gate Arrays, pages 37{46, February 2008.
- [8] M. T. Frederick. Beyond the arithmetic constraint: depthoptimal mapping of logic chains in reconfigurable fabrics. Iowa State University Dissertation, May 2008.
   What is claimed is:

1. A reconfigurable device including: an arrangement of a plurality of cells and routing resources for transmitting sig-<sup>30</sup> nals between the cells, wherein the plurality of cells comprises carry-select reuse cells, each of the carry-select reuse cells configured to provide for performing non-arithmetic operations using a reuse arithmetic carry chain interconnecting adjacent cells when a non-arithmetic mode input is <sup>35</sup> received to provide a non-arithmetic mode and further configured to perform arithmetic operations using the reuse arithmetic carry chain when an arithmetic mode input is received to provide an arithmetic mode, wherein in the arithmetic mode, the carry-select reuse cells provide for two indepen-<sup>40</sup> dent (K–1) input, 1-output functions with K–1 shared inputs;

- wherein in the non-arithmetic mode, the carry-select reuse cells provide for one K-input 1-output function;
- wherein the carry-select reuse cells further provide for a K-input, 2-output function; wherein K is a number of <sup>45</sup> inputs of a lookup table associated with the carry select reuse cells.

**2**. The reconfigurable device of claim **1** wherein the reconfigurable device is a field programmable gate array (FPGA).

**3**. The reconfigurable device of claim **1** wherein the reuse <sup>50</sup> arithmetic carry chain provides a carry output, the carry output providing an output of a function defined by the carry-select reuse cells for non-arithmetic chains.

**4**. The reconfigurable device of claim **1** wherein each of the carry-select reuse cells comprises carry chain elements, look <sup>55</sup> up tables, and sequential logic configured to allow the reuse arithmetic carry chain to be used for arithmetic or non-arithmetic operations.

**5**. The reconfigurable device of claim **1** wherein the arrangement being at least partially determined by applying <sup>60</sup> an algorithm for creating non-arithmetic chains.

**6**. A reconfigurable device including: an arrangement of a plurality of cells and routing resources for transmitting sig-

nals between the cells, wherein the plurality of cells comprises carry-select reuse cells, each of the carry-select reuse cells comprising carry chain elements, look up tables, and sequential logic and wherein the carry chain elements being configured to provide for performing non-arithmetic operations using a reuse arithmetic carry chain interconnecting adjacent cells when a non-arithmetic mode input is received to provide a non-arithmetic mode and further configured to perform arithmetic operations using the carry chain elements when an arithmetic mode input is received to provide an arithmetic mode, wherein in the arithmetic mode, the carryselect reuse cells provide for two independent (K-1) input, 1-output functions with K-1 shared inputs;

- wherein in the non-arithmetic mode, the carry-select reuse cells provide for one K-input, 1-output function;
- wherein the carry-select reuse cells further provide for a K-input, 2-output function; wherein K is a number of inputs of a lookup table associated with the carry select reuse cells.

7. The reconfigurable device of claim 6 wherein the reconfigurable device is a field programmable gate array (FPGA).

**8**. The reconfigurable device of claim **6** wherein the carry chain elements provide a carry output, the carry output providing an output of a function defined by the carry-select reuse cells for non-arithmetic chains.

**9**. The reconfigurable device of claim **6** wherein the arrangement being at least partially determined by applying an algorithm for creating non-arithmetic chains.

10. A device having an architecture comprising: an arrangement of a plurality of cells and routing resources for transmitting signals between the cells, wherein the plurality of cells comprises carry-select reuse cells, each of the carry-select reuse cells comprising carry chain elements, look up tables, and sequential logic and wherein the carry chain elements being configured to provide for performing arithmetic operations when an arithmetic mode input is received to provide a non-arithmetic operations using a reuse arithmetic carry chain interconnecting adjacent cells when a non-arithmetic mode input is received to provide, wherein in the arithmetic mode, the carry-select reuse cells provide for two independent (K-1) input, 1-output functions with K-1 shared inputs;

wherein in the non-arithmetic mode, the carry-select reuse cells provide for one K-input, 1-output function;

wherein the carry-select reuse cells further provide for a K-input, 2-output function; wherein K is a number of inputs of a lookup table associated with the carry select reuse cells.

**11**. The device of claim **10** wherein the device is a field programmable gate array (FPGA).

12. The device of claim 10 wherein the carry chain elements provide a carry output, the carry output providing an output of a function defined by the carry-select reuse cells for non-arithmetic chains.

13. The device of claim 10, wherein in the arithmetic mode, a sum is computed using sum lookup tables, values from the sum lookup tables passing through a level of multiplexers and then an additional multiplexer to compute a sum.

14. The device of claim 13, wherein carry computation is performed after allowing lookup table results to pass through an additional level of multiplexing.

\* \* \* \* \*