

6-2010

Techniques for determining the minimum rank of a small graph

Laura DeLoss
Iowa State University

Jason Grout
Drake University

Leslie Hogben
Iowa State University, hogben@iastate.edu

Tracy McKay
Iowa State University

Jason Smith
Iowa State University

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/math_pubs

 Part of the [Algebra Commons](#), and the [Discrete Mathematics and Combinatorics Commons](#)

The complete bibliographic information for this item can be found at http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/math_pubs/64. For information on how to cite this item, please visit <http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/howtocite.html>.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mathematics at Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics Publications by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

Authors

Laura DeLoss, Jason Grout, Leslie Hogben, Tracy McKay, Jason Smith, and Geoff Tims

Techniques for determining the minimum rank of a small graph

Laura DeLoss* Jason Grout† Leslie Hogben‡ Tracy McKay* Jason Smith*
Geoff Tims*

September 3, 2009

Abstract

The minimum rank of a simple graph G is defined to be the smallest possible rank over all symmetric real matrices whose ij th entry (for $i \neq j$) is nonzero whenever $\{i, j\}$ is an edge in G and is zero otherwise. Minimum rank is a difficult parameter to compute. However, there are now a number of known reduction techniques and bounds that can be programmed on a computer; we have developed a program using the open-source mathematics software *Sage* to implement several techniques. We have also established several additional strategies for computation of minimum rank. These techniques have been used to determine the minimum ranks of all graphs of order 7.

Keywords. minimum rank, maximum nullity, mathematical software, symmetric matrix.

AMS subject classifications. 05C50, 15A03

1 Introduction

The *minimum rank problem* (for a simple undirected graph, over the real numbers) is to determine the minimum rank among all real symmetric matrices whose zero-nonzero pattern of off-diagonal entries is described by a given graph G . See [8] for a survey of known results and a discussion of the motivation for the minimum rank problem, as well as a lengthy bibliography. A website [2] with extensive information about minimum rank, including online catalogs of minimum rank and other parameters for families of graphs and small graphs was developed at the AIM workshop “Spectra of families of matrices described by graphs, digraphs, and sign patterns” held in October 2006.

Minimum rank is a difficult parameter to compute, but a number of bounds and reduction tools for computation are now known. In addition, the minimum ranks of numerous families of graphs are also known (e.g., see [1]). Prior to the work described in this paper, the minimum ranks of all graphs of order at most six were known [2]. We have developed a program [7] in the free open-source computer mathematics software system *Sage* [15] that utilizes many of the known bounds and reduction techniques to obtain bounds on the minimum rank of a given graph; the algorithm used by the program is described in the Appendix (Section 5), and Section 2 summarizes known results used by the program. We have also established several strategies for producing a matrix

*Department of Mathematics, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA (delolau@iastate.edu, tmckay16@iastate.edu, smithj@iastate.edu, gtims@iastate.edu).

†Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Drake University, Des Moines, IA 50311, USA (jason.grout@drake.edu). This work was done while this author was a postdoctoral associate at Iowa State University and he gratefully acknowledges this support.

‡Department of Mathematics, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA (lhogben@iastate.edu) and American Institute of Mathematics, 360 Portage Ave, Palo Alto, CA 94306, USA (hogben@aimath.org).

that realizes the minimum rank of a graph (see Section 3). The program, these strategies, and other known results have been used to determine the minimum ranks of all graphs of order 7, and those minimum ranks are now recorded in a spreadsheet; this is summarized in Section 4. The spreadsheet and program are available for examination on ArXiv [6, 7], and on the AIM workshop webpage [2], in both PDF and original source format (comma separated text and *Sage* source code, respectively).

A *graph* $G = (V, E)$ means a simple undirected graph (i.e., neither loops nor multiple edges allowed). For standard graph and minimum rank terminology not defined below, see [8] or [1]. The *order* of a graph G will be denoted by $|G|$, and the adjacency matrix of G will be denoted by $\mathcal{A}(G)$.

The set of $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices will be denoted by S_n . For $A \in S_n$, the *graph* of A , denoted by $\mathcal{G}(A)$, is the graph with vertices $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and edges $\{\{i, j\} : a_{ij} \neq 0, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$. Note that the diagonal of A is ignored in determining $\mathcal{G}(A)$. The *minimum rank* of a graph G is

$$\text{mr}(G) = \min\{\text{rank}(A) : A \in S_{|G|}, \mathcal{G}(A) = G\},$$

and the *maximum nullity* of G is

$$M(G) = \max\{\text{null}(A) : A \in S_{|G|}, \mathcal{G}(A) = G\}.$$

Clearly $\text{mr}(G) + M(G) = |G|$. An *optimal matrix* for G is a matrix A such that $\mathcal{G}(A) = G$ and $\text{rank}(A) = \text{mr}(G)$.

The *length* of a path is the number of edges in the path, the *distance* between two vertices in a connected graph G is the least length of a path between them, and the *diameter* of G , denoted by $\text{diam}(G)$, is the maximum distance between two vertices.

A *cut-vertex* of a connected graph is a vertex whose deletion disconnects G . A graph is *planar* if it has a drawing in the plane without edge crossings; such a drawing has one infinite face. A graph is *outerplanar* if it has such a drawing in which every vertex is on the boundary of the infinite face. A *polygonal path* is a “path” of cycles built up one cycle at a time by identifying an edge of a new cycle with an edge of the most recently added cycle that has a vertex of degree 2.

The *union* of a set of graphs $G_i = (V_i, E_i), i = 1, \dots, h$, is $\cup_{i=1}^h G_i = (\cup_{i=1}^h V_i, \cup_{i=1}^h E_i)$; note that the union need not be disjoint. A set of cliques $G_i, i = 1, \dots, h$, of G such that $G = \cup_{i=1}^h G_i$ is called a *clique covering* of G . The *clique covering number* of G , denoted by $\text{cc}(G)$, is the minimum number of cliques required to form a clique covering of G .

The zero forcing number $Z(G)$ was introduced in [1], where it was shown that $M(G) \leq Z(G)$. Colin de Verdière introduced the parameter $\mu(G)$ in [5]. It is clear from the definition of $\mu(G)$ that $\mu(G) \leq M(G)$ (see [13]).

2 Results used by the program for computation of minimum rank

This section summarizes the known minimum rank results used by the program [7]. Note that other results for the computation of minimum rank are known, cf. Section 3 or [8], but are not included here as they were not implemented in the program.

It is well known that if a graph G is disconnected with connected components $G_i, i = 1, \dots, h$, then $\text{mr}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^h \text{mr}(G_i)$; therefore the program first breaks the graph into connected components and then implements the results below on each component.

Theorem 2.1. [1] *If T is a tree, then $\text{mr}(T) = |T| - Z(T)$.*

Note that there are better algorithms for computing the minimum rank of a tree (e.g., [8]), but for a general purpose program that computes the minimum rank of a small graph, the result in Theorem 2.1 is satisfactory for a tree.

Theorem 2.2. Lower bounds for minimum rank

Let G be a connected graph.

1. [1] For any graph G , $M(G) \leq Z(G)$ and $|G| - Z(G) \leq \text{mr}(G)$.
2. [4] If G contains as an induced subgraph any of the graphs P_4 , Dart, \times , $K_{3,3,3}$, then $\text{mr}(G) \geq 3$.
3. [8] $\text{diam}(G) \leq \text{mr}(G)$.

Theorem 2.3. Upper bounds for minimum rank

Let G be a connected graph.

1. [8] $\text{mr}(G) \leq \text{cc}(G)$.
2. [4] If G does not contain as an induced subgraph any of the graphs P_4 , Dart, \times , $K_{3,3,3}$, then $\text{mr}(G) \leq 2$.
3. [9] $\text{mr}(G) = |G| - 1$ if and only if G is a path. Thus if G is not a path, then $\text{mr}(G) \leq |G| - 2$.
4. [5], [13]
 - (a) If G is not planar, then $M(G) \geq \mu(G) \geq 4$, so $\text{mr}(G) \leq |G| - 4$.
 - (b) If G is not outerplanar, then $M(G) \geq \mu(G) \geq 3$, so $\text{mr}(G) \leq |G| - 3$.

The following theorem is a reduction theorem that computes the minimum rank of a graph from the minimum ranks of lower order graphs.

Theorem 2.4. [3] Let v be a cut-vertex of G . For $i = 1, \dots, h$, let $W_i \subseteq V(G)$ be the set of vertices of the i th component of $G - v$, and let G_i be the subgraph induced by $\{v\} \cup W_i$. Then

$$\text{mr}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^h \text{mr}(G_i - v) + \min \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^h (\text{mr}(G_i) - \text{mr}(G_i - v)), 2 \right\}.$$

3 Additional techniques for computation of minimum rank

This section lists some known results and presents some new techniques for producing low rank matrices, all of which were used to determine by hand the minimum ranks of the order 7 graphs that were not computed by the program (cf. Section 4).

Theorem 3.1. [12] Let G be 2-connected. Then $\text{mr}(G) = |G| - 2$ if and only if G is a polygonal path.

The next observation is well known.

Observation 3.2. If $G = \cup_{i=1}^h G_i$, then $\text{mr}(G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^h \text{mr}(G_i)$. A matrix A having $\mathcal{G}(A) = G$ and rank at most $\sum_{i=1}^h \text{mr}(G_i)$ can be constructed as the sum of matrices $\alpha_i \tilde{A}_i$, with each \tilde{A}_i obtained by embedding an optimal matrix A_i for G_i in the appropriate place of a $|G| \times |G|$ matrix and the α_i are scalars chosen to avoid the cancellation of off-diagonal entries.

In a graph, vertices v and w that have the same set of neighbors (except possibly v and w) are called *twins*. Let v and w be twins; if v and w are not adjacent, then v and w are *independent twins*, whereas if v and w are adjacent, then v and w are *adjacent twins*.

Proposition 3.3. *Let G be a graph with twin vertices v and w .*

- *If v and w are independent twins and $G - w$ has an optimal matrix A such that the diagonal entry $a_{vv} = 0$, then $\text{mr}(G) = \text{mr}(G - w)$.*
- *If v and w are adjacent twins and $G - w$ has an optimal matrix A such that the diagonal entry $a_{vv} \neq 0$, then $\text{mr}(G) = \text{mr}(G - w)$.*

Proof. In either case, given such an optimal matrix A for $G - w$, a symmetric matrix \tilde{A} such that $\mathcal{G}(\tilde{A}) = G$ can be constructed by duplicating the row and column of A associated with v . Thus $\text{mr}(G - w) \leq \text{mr}(G) \leq \text{rank}(\tilde{A}) = \text{rank}(A) = \text{mr}(G - w)$. \square

Many of the matrices used to prove the following proposition appear in [11].

Proposition 3.4. *Let C_n ($n \geq 4$) be an n -cycle with the vertices labeled consecutively around the cycle. There exists an optimal matrix of the form $\mathcal{A}(C_n) + D$ with D being a diagonal matrix that has at least one zero and one nonzero diagonal entry.*

Proof. It suffices to exhibit such a matrix for each n .

- For $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, let $D = \text{diag}(\text{repeat}(0, -1, 0, 1))$.
- [11] For $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $n \geq 13$, let $D = \text{diag}(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$.
- [11] For $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $n \geq 10$, let $D = \text{diag}(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$.
- [11] For $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $n \geq 7$, let $D = \text{diag}(1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$.
- For $n = 5, 9$, let $D = \text{diag}(-1, -1, -1, 0, \dots, 0)$.
- For $n = 6$, let $D = \text{diag}(1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 0)$.

Here, ‘repeat()’ means the sequence enclosed in parentheses appears as many times as needed (possibly zero times) to obtain a vector of the correct length. For $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $\mathcal{A}(C_n) + \text{diag}(\text{repeat}(0, -1, 0, 1))$ has the two independent null vectors $[\text{repeat}(-1, 0, 1, 0)]^T$ and $[\text{repeat}(-1, -1, 0, 1)]^T$. The statements for $n = 5, 6, 9$ are readily verified by direct computation. \square

Corollary 3.5. *If G is a graph obtained from $C_k, k \geq 4$, by inserting one or more independent twins (or one or more adjacent twins) of a single vertex, then $\text{mr}(G) = k - 2$.*

Proposition 3.6. *For any polygonal path L and any vertex v , there exist optimal matrices A_0, A_1 having zero and nonzero (v, v) -entries respectively.*

Proof. Note that a polygonal path is a union of cycles, so a matrix A such that $\mathcal{G}(A) = L$ and $\text{rank}(A) = |L| - 2$ can be constructed as the sum of embedded optimal cycle matrices, as in Observation 3.2; Theorem 3.1 guarantees the optimality of A . Thus the result follows immediately from Proposition 3.4 except in the case that v is a vertex of a triangle and a zero entry is desired. If v is a vertex of degree at least 3, then v is on at least two cycles, and a zero diagonal at v can be created by cancellation. The only remaining case is where v is the degree two vertex of a terminal 3-cycle of L , and we need to obtain a zero entry. It suffices to produce the required matrix for a polygonal path consisting of a 3-cycle adjacent to an $(m - 1)$ -cycle, as this can then be embedded and added to an embedding corresponding to the rest of the polygonal path (overlapping on an edge of the $(m - 1)$ -cycle).

Let L_m be a polygonal path consisting of a triangle and a cycle, labeled as in Figure 1. We exhibit an $m \times m$ matrix A_m such that $\mathcal{G}(A_m) = L_m$ and $(A_m)_{11} = 0$, and two independent null vectors $\mathbf{v}_m, \mathbf{w}_m$ for A_m .




Figure 1: The polygonal path L_m consisting of a 3-cycle and an $(m - 1)$ -cycle

- For $m \equiv 1 \pmod 3, m \geq 4$, let $A = \mathcal{A}(L_m) + \text{diag}(0, 1, \dots, 1, 0, 1)$, $\mathbf{v}_m = [\text{repeat}(-1, 0, 1), 0]^T$, and $\mathbf{w}_m = [0, \text{repeat}(-1, 0, 1)]^T$.
- For $m \equiv 2 \pmod 3, m \geq 5$, let $A = \mathcal{A}(L_m) + \text{diag}(0, 1, \dots, 1, -1, -1, 0)$, $\mathbf{v}_m = [\text{repeat}(-1, 0, 1), 1, 0]^T$, and $\mathbf{w}_m = [1, -1, \text{repeat}(-1, 2, -1), -1, 0, 1]^T$.
- For $m \equiv 0 \pmod 3, m \geq 6$, let $A = \mathcal{A}(L_m) + \text{diag}(0, 1, \dots, 1, 2, 1, 3)$, $\mathbf{v}_m = [\text{repeat}(-1, 0, 1), -1, 1, 0]^T$, and $\mathbf{w}_m = [-2, \text{repeat}(-1, 2, -1), 0, 1]^T$. □

Corollary 3.7. *If G is a graph obtained from a polygonal path L by adding one or more independent twins (or one or more adjacent twins) of a single vertex, then $\text{mr}(G) = |L| - 2$.*

4 Computation of minimum ranks of graphs of order 7

The program was run on all graphs of order at most 7, and the minimum ranks of 1210 of 1252 (nonisomorphic) graphs were computed. This includes all 208 graphs of order at most 6 (whose minimum rank was known but not used when running the program to determine the minimum rank of these graphs) and 1002 of the 1044 graphs of order 7. The results in Section 3 and additional methods were used as described below to determine the minimum ranks of the remaining 48 graphs of order 7. The graph numbers below (e.g., G558) are taken from [14]. The minimum ranks of all graphs of order at most 7 are available in the spreadsheet [6]. The published program [7] now has access to the minimum ranks of all graphs at most order 7, using the results that we have obtained.

Proposition 4.1.

1. $\text{mr}(G679) = 4$ by lower bounds found by the program and Theorem 3.1.
2. $\text{mr}(G558) = 3$ by a lower bound found by the program and Corollary 3.5.
3. $\text{mr}(G669) = \text{mr}(G678) = \text{mr}(G791) = 3$ by lower bounds found by the program and Corollary 3.7.
4. $\text{mr}(G1086) = \text{mr}(G1135) = 3$ by lower bounds found by the program and by using Observation 3.2 (and the given subgraphs) to guarantee the existence of a matrix of rank 3: $G1086$ ($K_4 \cup G50$), $G1135$ ($G204 \cup K_3$).

Proposition 4.2. *The minimum rank of each of the following graphs is 3. In each case the program found a lower bound of 3 and we constructed a matrix of rank 3: $G721, G801, G812, G831, G832, G846, G863, G873, G878, G913, G918, G924, G932, G944, G953, G956, G958$,*

G970, G990, G995, G996, G1002, G1005, G1028, G1060, G1075, G1077, G1087, G1095, G1099, G1104, G1146, G1167, G1205, G1212.

The matrices referred to in Proposition 4.2 are available for examination on the AIM workshop webpage [2] and on ArXiv [6] in both PDF and *Sage* source code.

5 Appendix: Method used by the program

The minimum rank program is available on the AIM website [2] and on ArXiv [7] in both PDF and *Sage* source code. The program contains documentation that explains how to use the program in *Sage*, provides examples of the use of the program to compute the minimum ranks of various graphs, and describes the options to provide additional output. The default version of the program determines all possible information and checks for consistency; the program also allows the user to specify that fewer tests be performed. Additionally, the program contains a function to export data that was used to generate the table in [6].

The default version of the program uses the following general method:

1. Separate the graph into its connected components and work on each component separately.
2. If the order is less than eight, look up the minimum rank. The published version of the program [7] uses the data for order at most seven that is described in Section 4.
3. If G is a tree, determine the exact bound given by Theorem 2.1.
4. Determine the lower and upper bounds on minimum rank given by Theorems 2.2 and 2.3.
5. Search for a cut-vertex and apply Theorem 2.4 and recursion.

Note: Finding the clique cover number is NP-hard [10], but the default setting of program does compute $cc(G)$. In case the user chooses to omit this test as too time-consuming, an additional function to compute an upper bound on $cc(G)$ more efficiently is also included. The zero forcing number is found by brute force. In our experience, the program can almost always compute minimum rank bounds on a graph of order at most 10 in a few seconds, and on a graph of order 15 in less than ten minutes, on a 1.67 GHz Mac Powerbook G4. Contact the authors for further information.

References

- [1] AIM Minimum Rank – Special Graphs Work Group (F. Barioli, W. Barrett, S. Butler, S. M. Cioabă, D. Cvetković, S. M. Fallat, C. Godsil, W. Haemers, L. Hogben, R. Mikkelsen, S. Narayan, O. Pryporova, I. Sciriha, W. So, D. Stevanović, H. van der Holst, K. Vander Meulen, A. Wangsness). Zero forcing sets and the minimum rank of graphs. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 428 (7): 1628–1648, 2008.
- [2] Webpage for the 2006 American Institute of Mathematics workshop “Spectra of families of matrices described by graphs, digraphs, and sign patterns,” available at <http://aimath.org/pastworkshops/matrixspectrum.html>. This webpage has links to the AIM minimum rank graph catalog: Families of graphs (available directly at <http://aimath.org/pastworkshops/catalog2.html>), the AIM minimum rank graph catalog: Small graphs (<http://aimath.org/pastworkshops/catalog1.html>), and the spreadsheet, program, and specific order 7 optimal matrices described in this article.

- [3] F. Barioli, S.M. Fallat, and L. Hogben. Computation of minimal rank and path cover number for graphs. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 392: 289–303, 2004.
- [4] W. Barrett, H. van der Holst and R. Loewy. Graphs whose minimal rank is two. *Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra*, 11: 258–280, 2004.
- [5] Y. Colin de Verdière. On a new graph invariant and a criterion for planarity. In *Graph Structure Theory*. Contemporary Mathematics 147, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1993: 137–147.
- [6] L. DeLoss, J. Grout, L. Hogben, T. McKay, J. Smith, G. Tims. Table of minimum ranks of graphs of order at most 7 and selected optimal matrices. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/0812.0870> and linked to the AIM workshop website [2].
- [7] L. DeLoss, J. Grout, T. McKay, J. Smith, G. Tims. Program for calculating bounds on the minimum rank of a graph using *Sage*. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/0812.1616> and linked to the AIM workshop website [2].
- [8] S. Fallat and L. Hogben. The minimum rank of symmetric matrices described by a graph: A survey. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications* 426 (2-3): 558–582, 2007.
- [9] M. Fiedler. A characterization of tridiagonal matrices. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 2: 191–197, 1969.
- [10] J. Gramm, J. Guo, F. Hüffner, and R. Niedermeier. Data Reduction and Exact Algorithms for Clique Cover. *ACM Journal of Experimental Algorithmics* 13, Article 2.2 (15 pages), 2008.
- [11] L. Hogben. Spectral graph theory and the inverse eigenvalue problem of a graph. *Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra*, 14: 12-31, 2005.
- [12] L. Hogben and H. van der Holst. Forbidden minors for the class of graphs G with $\xi(G) \leq 2$. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 423 (1): 42–52, 2007.
- [13] H. van der Holst, L. Lovász, and A. Schrijver. The Colin de Verdière graph parameter. In *Graph Theory and Computational Biology (Balatonlelle, 1996)*. Mathematical Studies, Janos Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 1999: 29–85.
- [14] R. C. Read and R. J. Wilson. *An Atlas of Graphs*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1998.
- [15] W. Stein, *Sage: Open Source Mathematical Software (Version 3.1.2)*, The Sage Group, 2008, <http://www.sagemath.org>.

This is a manuscript of an article from *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 432 (2010): 2995, doi:10.1016/j.laa.2010.01.008. Posted with permission. © 2010.

This manuscript version is made available under the CCBY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>