

Nov 28th, 12:00 AM

# Probability of return on investment with using soybean seed treatments

Paul Esker

*University of Wisconsin–Madison*

Shawn Conley

*University of Wisconsin–Madison*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/icm>



Part of the [Agriculture Commons](#), and the [Plant Pathology Commons](#)

---

Esker, Paul and Conley, Shawn, "Probability of return on investment with using soybean seed treatments" (2012). *Proceedings of the Integrated Crop Management Conference*. 13.

<https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/icm/2012/proceedings/13>

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Conferences and Symposia at Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Proceedings of the Integrated Crop Management Conference by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact [digirep@iastate.edu](mailto:digirep@iastate.edu).

## Probability of return on investment with using soybean seed treatments

Paul Esker, Field Crops Extension Plant Pathologist and Shawn Conley, State Soybean Specialist, University of Wisconsin-Madison.

With soybean commodity prices at record high prices, the number of questions regarding key management considerations also remains high. One of the questions that we often receive regards the use of seed treatments, in particular the use of seed treatment fungicides and/or insecticides. Since 2008, we have conducted trials throughout Wisconsin to examine if seed treatments are economically viable for soybean production. In particular, we are most interested in trying to answer the following question: “what is the probability that if I use a seed treatment, the cost of the application is covered?”

Our research trials have been conducted under what we call generation one (2008-2010) and generation two (starting in 2011). The first generation trials were conducted at nine locations each year, for a total of 27 location-years. Results from these trials were recently published in the journal *Crop Science* (Esker, P.D., and Conley, S.P. 2012. Probability of yield response and breaking even for soybean seed treatments. *Crop Science* 52:351-359) and have also been discussed during the past several winter extension meeting seasons. In this article, we will focus on summarizing those results in some detail.

In our generation one trials, two seed treatments and an untreated control were examined. They were: ApronMaxx RFC (fludioxonil + mefenoxam) and CruiserMaxx (fludioxonil + mefenoxam + thiamethoxam) (Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc., Greensboro, NC). There were four soybean varieties per location per year and the varieties did differ over years, although within a year, the same varieties were examined at all locations.

In addition to examining the effect of seed treatment and variety on yield, we were very interested in quantifying the probability of breaking even. To do this, we examined different components of cost and expected return. For example, the two seed treatments differ in the cost per unit (\$4 for ApronMaxx RFC and \$10 for CruiserMaxx, respectively). Also, the observed yield across trial years ranged from 35 to 85 bushels per acre so it was important to examine what we might term as “low” (40 bushels per acre), “medium” (60 bushels per acre), and “high” (80 bushels per acre) yield potential environments. Lastly, it was important to examine these responses across a variety of soybean commodity prices and we started by examining \$6, \$9, and \$12 per bushel soybean. Using the different cost-price structures, we quantified the probability of breaking even based on the percentage increase or decrease in yield with the use of a seed treatment compared to the untreated control.

Results from the generation one trials suggest that the decision to use a fungicide and/or insecticide seed treatment is not a simple yes/no answer. Across the 27 location-years where we tested these products, the relative yield ranged from -6.4 to +11.6% across environments. The relative yield ranged from -3.2 to +7.7% across the seven varieties that were also examined over the course of the study.

Specifically examining the two seed treatments indicated that the probability of at least a break-even response to the seed treatment was driven by both cost of seed treatment and yield environment (Table 1). For example, at \$6 per bushel soybean and a 40 bushel per acre yield environment, the probability that the use of a seed treatment covered the cost of the application was 42% for ApronMaxx and 3% for CruiserMaxx. As both yield potential and soybean commodity price increased, the probability of covering cost also increased to well over 50%. How does this translate back to yield though? Across the different relative yield ratios and cost-price structures, the actual increase in yield ranged from 0.6 bushels per acre to 2.3 bushels per acre. Our original analyses that did not factor the cost-price structures but just focused on yield response were very similar with what we found factoring in the cost-price structures.

**Table 1.** Probability of breaking even with the use of either seed treatment fungicides (ApronMaxx) seed treatment fungicides and insecticide (CruiserMaxx) across different environments and soybean varieties.

Product	RR <sup>a</sup>	Grain sale price=\$6/bu			Grain sale price=\$9/bu			Grain sale price=\$12/bu		
		AY <sup>b</sup> = 40	AY = 60	AY = 80	AY = 40	AY = 60	AY = 80	AY = 40	AY = 60	AY = 80
		----- bu per acre -----			----- bu per acre -----			----- bu per acre -----		
ApronMaxx	1.5 *	42 <sup>c</sup>	72	84	72	87	92	84	92	94
CruiserMaxx	2.9 *	3	56	88	56	93	100	88	98	98

<sup>a</sup> Relative ratio (RR) is the percentage gain or loss in terms of yield with a seed treatment compared to the untreated control. The \* indicates a relative ratio that was significantly different from 0 at a level of 0.05.

<sup>b</sup> Actual yield (AY) is the observed yield based on these trials, standardized to a “low” (40 bu/ac), “medium” (60 bu/ac), and “high” (80 bu/ac) yield potential environment.

<sup>c</sup> The value in each cell is the probability (as a percentage) that the use of a seed treatment covered the cost of the product across all trial conditions.

How can such information be used? Our experience has been that when spring conditions are cool and wet and when planting date is in late April to early May, the use of seed treatment fungicides are an effective tool, especially given the current value of seed. Additionally, the probabilities we quantified in our generation one trial provide a framework for the grower to improve their understanding of how often they might expect a response over time thus enabling questions such as: (1) holding my seeding rate constant, what does the additional cost per unit for seed that is treated require in terms of yield to maximize the return on investment, and (2) given the increase in cost per unit for seed, can I consider reducing my seeding rate without affecting yield? Lastly growers can use this table to impose their own level of risk to determine the value of a seed a treatment to their own operation.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the Wisconsin Soybean Marketing Board for partial funding support for these studies.