

2018

Rare Earth Arylsilazido Compounds with Inequivalent Secondary Interactions

Kasuni C. Boteju

Iowa State University and Ames Laboratory, kasuni@iastate.edu

Suchen Wan

Beijing Normal University

Amrit Venkatesh

Iowa State University and Ames Laboratory, amritv@iastate.edu

Arkady Ellern

Iowa State University, ellern@iastate.edu

Aaron Rossini

Iowa State University and Ames Laboratory, arossini@iastate.edu

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ameslab_manuscripts

 Part of the [Inorganic Chemistry Commons](#), and the [Materials Chemistry Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Boteju, Kasuni C.; Wan, Suchen; Venkatesh, Amrit; Ellern, Arkady; Rossini, Aaron; and Sadow, Aaron D., "Rare Earth Arylsilazido Compounds with Inequivalent Secondary Interactions" (2018). *Ames Laboratory Accepted Manuscripts*. 180.

https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ameslab_manuscripts/180

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Ames Laboratory at Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Ames Laboratory Accepted Manuscripts by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

Rare Earth Arylsilazido Compounds with Inequivalent Secondary Interactions

Abstract

A new bulky silazido ligand, $-\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{Dipp}$ ($\text{Dipp} = \text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{-2,6-iPr}_2$) supports planar, three-coordinate homoleptic rare earth complexes $\text{Ln}\{\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{Dipp}\}_3$ ($\text{Ln} = \text{Sc, Y, Lu}$) that each contain three secondary $\text{Ln}\leftarrow\text{HSi}$ interactions and one agostic CH bond. $\text{Y}\{\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{Dipp}\}_3$ and acetophenone react via hydrosilylation, rather than by insertion into the Y–N bond or enolate formation.

Disciplines

Chemistry | Inorganic Chemistry | Materials Chemistry

Authors

Kasuni C. Boteju, Suchen Wan, Amrit Venkatesh, Arkady Ellern, Aaron Rossini, and Aaron D. Sadow

Rare Earth Arylsilazido Compounds with Inequivalent Secondary Interactions†‡

Received 00th January 20xx,
Accepted 00th January 20xx

Kasuni C. Boteju,^a Suchen Wan,^b Amrit Venkatesh,^a Arkady Ellern,^c Aaron J. Rossini^a and Aaron D. Sadow^{*a}

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x


www.rsc.org/

A new bulky silazido ligand, $-\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{Dipp}$ (Dipp = $\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{-2,6-}i\text{Pr}_2$) supports planar, three-coordinate homoleptic rare earth complexes $\text{Ln}\{\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{Dipp}\}_3$ (Ln = Sc, Y, Lu) that each contain three secondary $\text{Ln}-\text{HSi}$ interactions and one agostic CH bond. $\text{Y}\{\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{Dipp}\}_3$ and acetophenone react via hydrosilylation, rather than by insertion into the Y–N bond or enolate formation.

The hexamethyl disilazido group's use as a ligand in homoleptic and heteroleptic complexes, which find myriad synthetic applications, spans the periodic table.¹ This ligand is particularly useful in early metal and lanthanide chemistry because its steric properties lead to monomeric, reactive species. Interestingly, solid-state structures of trivalent lanthanide compounds $\text{Ln}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_3$ are pyramidalized ($\sum_{\text{NLiN}} = 339\text{--}353^\circ$),² whereas smaller $\text{M}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_3$ (M = Ti, V, Cr, Fe, Al, Ga, In) are planar.³ The scandium compound $\text{Sc}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_3$ is planar in the gas-phase⁴ but pyramidal in the solid state. These compounds, as well as those supported by the less hindered tetramethyldisilazido, contain secondary interactions of the type $\text{Ln}-\text{RSi}$ (R = Me, H) with groups beta to the metal center;^{5, 6, 7} however, these interactions are not sufficient to prevent additional solvent coordination or dimerization in homoleptic tetramethyldisilazido rare earth compounds.^{5, 8} Indirect, but compelling, evidence for the existence of $\text{Ln}-\text{HSi}$ interactions is provided by distorted ligand conformations in X-ray diffraction studies, reduced one-bond coupling constants ($^1J_{\text{SiH}}$) in NMR spectra, or low energy Si–H stretching modes (ν_{SiH}) in infrared spectra.^{5, 6} Bulkier disilazido ligands $\text{N}(\text{Si}t\text{BuMe}_2)\text{SiMe}_3$ or $\text{N}(\text{Si}t\text{BuMe}_2)_2$ form planar homoleptic lanthanide compounds.⁹

The bulky silazido ligand $\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)\text{Dipp}$ (Dipp = 2,6-diisopropylphenyl) supports homoleptic divalent first row metal centers,¹⁰ and heteroleptic and homoleptic rare earth species, such as $\text{LuCl}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)\text{Dipp}\}_2\text{THF}$ and $\text{La}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)\text{Dipp}\}_3$.^{11, 12} The latter compound adopts a pyramidal geometry at La ($\sum_{\text{NLiN}} = 337^\circ$). Less bulky aryl substitution also gives THF-coordinated or "ate"-type rare earth compounds, including $\text{Ln}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)\text{Ph}\}_3\text{THF}$ (Ln = Y, Lu),¹¹ and $[\text{YCl}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2,6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Et}_2\}_3][\text{Li}(\text{THF})_4]$.¹³ Alternatively, a combination of the large *t*Bu and the small, β -SiH-containing SiHMe_2 substituents in a silazido provides homoleptic $\text{Ln}\{\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)t\text{Bu}\}_3$ (Ln = Sc, Y, Lu, Er).^{14, 15} $\text{Ln}\{\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)t\text{Bu}\}_3$ form pyramidal structures akin to $\text{Ln}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_3$, contain three bridging $\text{Ln}-\text{HSi}$ in a C_3 -symmetric structure, and will coordinate THF or Et_2O . The SiH group in $\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)t\text{Bu}$ is reactive. For example, $\text{Cp}_2\text{Zr}\{\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)t\text{Bu}\}\text{X}$ compounds react by H abstraction of the silicon hydride.¹⁶ The combination of an even larger substituent than *t*Bu with the small SiHMe_2 could result in further SiH bond activation by pushing the smaller group closer to the metal center. To explore this idea, we constructed $\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{Dipp}$ as a sterically off-balanced ligand.

The synthesis of $\text{HN}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{Dipp}$ is modified from that of $\text{HN}(\text{SiMe}_3)\text{Dipp}$.¹⁷ Deprotonation of H_2NDipp by *n*BuLi in pentane generates $\text{LiN}(\text{H})\text{Dipp}$ as a white precipitate. This crude material and Me_2HSiCl react in diethyl ether to provide $\text{HN}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{Dipp}$ (**1**; eqn (1)), which is isolated in good yield.



Deprotonation of **1** with *n*BuLi in pentane gives the desired lithium silazido $\text{LiN}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{Dipp}$ (**2**; eqn (2)) as a white solid. Recrystallization from a saturated pentane solution at -30°C provides analytically pure crystalline material. The ^1H NMR spectra of **1** and **2** contained resonances at 4.89 ppm ($^1J_{\text{SiH}} = 199$ Hz) and 5.09 ppm ($^1J_{\text{SiH}} = 177$ Hz), respectively, assigned to the

^a Department of Chemistry and US DOE Ames Laboratory, 1605 Gilman Hall, Iowa State University, Ames IA 50011, USA

^b College of Chemistry, Beijing Normal University, No.19, XinJieKouWai St., HaiDian District, Beijing 100875, P. R. China

^c Department of Chemistry, 1605 Gilman Hall, Iowa State University, Ames IA 50011, USA

† Dedicated to Professor R. A. Andersen on the occasion of his 75th birthday.

‡ Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: CCDC 1838230–1838233 for 3–6. For ESI, see DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

SiH groups. The higher frequency chemical shift (δ_{SiH}) of **2**, with respect to silazane **1**, contrasts the lower δ_{SiH} in $\text{LiN}(\text{SiHMe}_2)_2$ and $\text{LiN}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{tBu}$ compared to their silazanes (Table 1). The IR spectra for **1** and **2** revealed signals at 2112 cm^{-1} and 2022 cm^{-1} , respectively, that were assigned to ν_{SiH} .





Table 1. Room temperature ^1H NMR (in benzene- d_6) and IR spectroscopic properties of SiH groups in silazanes, disilazanes, lithium silazido, and rare earth silazido compounds.

Compound	δ_{SiH} (ppm)	$^1J_{\text{SiH}}$ (Hz)	ν_{SiH} (cm^{-1})
$\text{HN}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{Dipp}$ (1)	4.89	199	2112
$\text{LiN}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{Dipp}$ (2)	5.09	177	2022
$\text{HN}(\text{SiHMe}_2)_2^a$	4.82	170	2120
$\text{LiN}(\text{SiHMe}_2)_2^a$	4.49	168	1990
$\text{HN}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{tBu}^b$	4.82	192	2135 and 2104
$\text{LiN}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{tBu}^b$	4.49	168	2052
$\text{Sc}\{\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{Dipp}\}_3$ (3)	5.43	143	2105, 2046, 1908
$\text{Y}\{\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{Dipp}\}_3$ (4)	5.17	129	2107, 1934, 1883
$\text{Lu}\{\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{Dipp}\}_3$ (5)	5.43	128	2108, 1942, 1877

^aSee reference 5; ^bSee references 15, 18.

Salt metathesis reactions of $\text{LnCl}_3\text{THF}_n$ and **2** (3 equiv.) provide the homoleptic tris(silazido) rare earth compounds $\text{Ln}\{\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{Dipp}\}_3$ ($\text{Ln} = \text{Sc}$ (**3**), Y (**4**), Lu (**5**); eqn (3)).



The reactions proceed in diethyl ether at low temperature, and the products are isolated as donor-free and salt-free crystalline materials after extraction and crystallization from pentane. Their ^1H NMR spectra, measured at room temperature in benzene- d_6 , revealed one set of $\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{Dipp}$ resonances. The isopropyl groups appeared as a well-resolved doublet and a septet in each spectrum of **3**–**5**, indicating that the Dipp group rapidly rotates around the N–C bond at room temperature. The SiH signal appeared as a broad singlet in **3**, but the spectra of **4** and **5** contained the expected septet splitting pattern.

The room temperature δ_{SiH} for **3**–**5** were even higher than the corresponding values in the lithium silazido starting material **2** (Table 1), and $^1J_{\text{SiH}}$ values were also significantly reduced (143–128 Hz). We noticed, however, that the $^1J_{\text{SiH}}$ values in **3**–**5** were larger than those in the related series $\text{Ln}\{\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{tBu}\}_3$ ($\text{Ln} = \text{Sc}$, 125 Hz; Y , 124 Hz; Lu 121 Hz). The chemical shifts and coupling constants of the latter compounds' three bridging $\text{Ln}-\text{HSi}$ groups are temperature independent to 200 K.¹⁵

In contrast to $\text{Ln}\{\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{tBu}\}_3$, **3**–**5** are fluxional; moreover, the low temperature NMR spectra suggest a low

symmetry structure with three unique $\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{Dipp}$ ligands. For example, the ^1H NMR spectrum of **4** at 205 K in toluene- d_8 (Figure 1) contained δ_{SiH} at 5.41 ppm ($^1J_{\text{SiH}} = 132\text{ Hz}$), 5.26 ppm ($^1J_{\text{SiH}} = 141\text{ Hz}$) and 4.89 ppm ($^1J_{\text{SiH}} = 116\text{ Hz}$). In addition, the Dipp signals in the ^1H NMR spectra indicated that their rotation slowed at low temperature. The ^1H NMR spectrum of **4** at 205 K in toluene- d_8 contained six well-resolved resonances from 4.17 ppm to 2.34 ppm (1 H each) and twelve, partly overlapping resonances (1.63 ppm–0.65 ppm) assigned to the methine and methyl moieties in the three distinct Dipp groups.




Figure 1. SiH region of ^1H NMR spectra of **4** acquired in toluene- d_8 from 298 to 205 K.

A 2D $^1\text{H}-^{89}\text{Y}$ HSQC spectrum (Figure 2A), acquired at 205 K in toluene- d_8 , showed correlations between the three SiH signals and the ^{89}Y NMR signal at 378.5 ppm. The cross-peaks reveal inequivalent through-bond interactions between yttrium and the three bridging SiH moieties. The intensity of the cross-peaks is directly proportional to the strength of the $^1\text{H}-^{89}\text{Y}$ J -coupling, thus the most upfield ^1H NMR signal with the lowest $^1J_{\text{SiH}}$ value most strongly couples with the yttrium center. Note that although the ^1H T_2 also affects HSQC peak intensity, the three SiH groups have similar T_2 values.

The ^{29}Si NMR signal for **4** at room temperature appeared at -28.2 ppm , and this signal split at 205 K into three that ranged from only -29.4 to -30.2 ppm . In addition, the most upfield ^1H NMR signal at 4.89 ppm correlated to the middle ^{29}Si NMR signal at -29.6 ppm . These data suggest that the differences in $\text{Ln}-\text{HSi}$ between the three $\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{Dipp}$ ligands have minimal effect on the silicon centers.

Three bands in the IR spectra of **3**, **4** and **5** in the ν_{SiH} region (KBr pellet; Table 1) indicate that the three $\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{Dipp}$ ligands are also inequivalent in the solid state. This result is consistent with the solution phase structures measured by NMR spectroscopy at low temperature. Interestingly, the lowest frequency band in each compound is sharpest.

Recrystallizations of **3**–**5** from pentane provide X-ray quality crystals.[†] Interesting crystallographic features of these similarly structured molecules include trigonal planar LnN_3 cores, low symmetry, and short $\text{Ln}-\text{H}$ interatomic distances. First, the trigonal planar LnN_3 coordination geometry (see Figure 3 and ESI) contrast the pyramidal geometries of $\text{Ln}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_3$ ² and $\text{Ln}\{\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{tBu}\}_3$.^{14, 15} We have recently described solid state structures of alkyl compounds containing SiHMe_2 moieties and aryl groups, and these also give planar LnC_3 geometries.¹⁹ Second, the three ligands are not related by crystallographic- or pseudo-symmetry elements in $\text{Ln}\{\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{Dipp}\}_3$. Two of the Dipp groups are located on one side of the LnN_3 plane, whereas the third Dipp is on the other side of the LnN_3 plane. In contrast, $\text{La}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)\text{Dipp}\}_3$,¹²




Figure 2. ^1H - ^{89}Y HSQC NMR spectra of **4** acquired at (A) 205 K in toluene- d_8 and (B) 190 K in pentane- d_{12} . 1D ^1H NMR spectra (red) are overlaid above the ^1H projections of the 2D spectra.





Figure 3. Rendered thermal ellipsoid plot of $\text{Sc}(\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{Dipp})_3$ (**3**) with ellipsoids represented at 50% probability. One of two crystallographically independent molecules is illustrated (see ESI), and the H atoms included in the image are <3 Å from the Sc1 center, are located objectively and included in the refinement.

$\text{Ln}\{\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)t\text{Bu}\}_3$ and $\text{Ln}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_2t\text{Bu})\text{SiMe}_3\}_3$ form pseudo- C_3 symmetric molecules.^{9, 14, 15} The inequivalence of the $\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{Dipp}$ ligands extends to their interactions with the metal center. In **3** for example, the $\text{Sc}1\text{--N}3$ distance (2.124(2) Å) is significantly longer than $\text{Sc}1\text{--N}1$ and $\text{Sc}1\text{--N}2$ (2.089(2) and 2.098(2) Å). The non-classical $\text{Sc}\text{--H}$ Si interactions, as characterized by the $\text{Sc}\text{--H}$ interatomic distances (H atoms

bonded to Si are located objectively and refined) and $\angle\text{Sc}\text{--N}\text{--Si}$ (94 – 105°), and $\text{Sc}\text{--N}\text{--Si}\text{--H}$ torsion angles (2.6 – 9.8°) are all consistent with $\text{Sc}\text{--H}$ Si interactions and also are all inequivalent. In particular, the $\text{Sc}1\text{--H}3\text{s}$ distance of 2.05(2) Å is considerably shorter than the $\text{Sc}1\text{--H}1\text{s}$ and $\text{Sc}1\text{--H}2\text{s}$ distances (2.47(2) and 2.56(2) Å, respectively).

Remarkably, the H40 bonded to the methine of one isopropyl group, also approaches the scandium center with a very short distance ($\text{Sc}1\text{--H}40$, 2.34(3) Å) and suggests an agostic $\text{Sc}\text{--HC}$ interaction. At this point, we re-examined the $^1\text{H}\text{--}^{89}\text{Y}$ HSQC NMR spectrum to look for additional correlations; however, at 205 K, no cross-peaks were observed. Instead, the $^1\text{H}\text{--}^{89}\text{Y}$ HSQC NMR spectrum acquired at 190 K in pentane showed weak correlations between ^{89}Y and the methine and methyl signals at 2.24 and 1.59 ppm, respectively (Figure 2B).

The reaction of **4** and one equiv. of acetophenone in benzene- d_6 instantaneously provides the product $\text{Y}\{\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_2\text{OCHMePh})\text{Dipp}\}\{\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{Dipp}\}_2$ (**6**) resulting from hydrosilylation of the ketone by one of the SiH groups (eqn (4)).



The ^1H NMR spectrum of **6** at room temperature showed one peak at 5.33 ppm (2 H, $^1J_{\text{SiH}} = 136$ Hz). A new quartet and doublet at 5.0 and 1.42 ppm, which correlated in a COSY experiment, were assigned to the CHMe that resulted from acetophenone insertion into one of the SiH in **4**. These signals also correlated in $^1\text{H}\text{--}^{13}\text{C}$ HMQC and HMBC experiments with a ^{13}C NMR signal at 77.5 ppm assigned to the carbon bonded to oxygen. A ^1H NMR spectrum of **6** acquired at 205 K contained two resonances at 5.55 ppm ($^1J_{\text{SiH}} = 133$ Hz) and 5.11 ppm ($^1J_{\text{SiH}} = 124$ Hz) assigned to the SiH in inequivalent $\text{N}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{Dipp}$ ligands. These coupling constant values indicate that two non-classical interactions $\text{Y}\text{--H}$ Si are present in compound **6**. The IR data also showed two ν_{SiH} bands at 1997 cm^{-1} and 1891 cm^{-1} . An X-ray single crystal diffraction study on **6** confirms that acetophenone has inserted into one of the SiH groups (Figure 4).[†] The structural features of **6** also include a planar YN_3 core and short Ln–H distances (2.43(3) and 2.48(3) Å) indicative of two $\text{Y}\text{--H}$ Si interactions.

In contrast, mixtures of the silazane $\text{HN}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{Dipp}$ and acetophenone contain only starting materials after 1.5 d at room temperature in benzene- d_6 . In addition, the lithium silazido, which reacts with acetophenone in benzene- d_6 to provide $\text{HN}(\text{SiHMe}_2)\text{Dipp}$ and the lithium enolate. Related reactions of $[\text{Cp}_2\text{ZrN}(\text{SiHMe}_2)_2]^+$ and formaldehyde or acetone afford double addition products $[\text{Cp}_2\text{ZrN}(\text{SiMe}_2\text{OR})_2]^+$ (R = Me, $i\text{C}_3\text{H}_7$).²⁰ Lanthanide-catalyzed hydrosilylations of carbonyl compounds are uncommon,²¹ although we recently reported a cerium-catalyzed hydrosilylation of acrylates that provides α -silyl esters.²² In the present case, attempts to add more than one equivalent of acetophenone to **4** provided multiple, unidentified products.




Figure 4. Thermal ellipsoid plot of $Y\{N(SiMe_2OCHMePh)Dipp\}\{N(SiHMe_2)Dipp\}_2$ (**6**). H atoms bonded to Si were located in the Fourier difference map, refined, and are included in the illustration. H31, which was placed in a calculated position, is included in the image. All other H atoms, crystallographically independent **6**, and pentane are not shown for clarity.

Thus, one of three $Y-HSi$ secondary interactions in **4** reacts inequivalently than the other two. Here, we note that the $Y-HSi$ moiety with the lowest δ_{SiH} and smallest $^1J_{SiH}$ also shows the greatest $^1H-^{89}Y$ HSQC peak intensity. The latter measurement probes through-bond interactions (J) and it is tempting to assign this group as the most activated and reactive toward hydrosilylation; however, the covalent contribution may be a small component to the overall bonding in $Ln-HSi$ interactions. In this context, we are currently studying the reactions of **3** – **5** with other electrophiles, including more hindered ketones, to identify which SiH group reacts.

This research was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences. The Ames Laboratory is operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Iowa State University under Contract No. DE-AC02-07CH11358. AV and AJR acknowledge the donors of ACS-PRF (58627-DNI6) for support for Y NMR experiments.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

† X-ray data for $Sc\{N(SiHMe_2)Dipp\}_3$ (**3**) (CCDC 1838231): $C_{42}H_{72}N_3ScSi_3$; FW 748.25; monoclinic; a : 12.3546(5), b : 20.087(1), c : 35.984(2), β : 90.113(2), volume: 8929.9(7); $P2_1/c$; Z = 8; temp. 173 K; reflections: collected, 380 031; independent, 20 360; R_{int} 0.1121; 17 130 data $I > 2\sigma(I)$; R_1 0.0456, wR_2 0.0935; R_{all} : R_1 0.0689, wR_2 0.1038.

‡ X-ray data for $Y\{N(Si(OCHMePh)Me_2)Dipp\}\{N(SiHMe_2)Dipp\}_2$ (**6**) (CCDC 1838232): $C_{50}H_{80}N_3O_1Si_3Y_1,3(C_5H_{12})$; FW 1020.56; monoclinic; a : 18.7125(3), b : 31.0400(6), c : 20.6586(4), β : 93.071(1), volume: 11982.0(4); $P2_1/n$; Z = 8; temp. 173 K; reflections: collected, 241 230; independent, 20 073; R_{int} 0.0861;

16 158 data $I > 2\sigma(I)$; R_1 0.0387, wR_2 0.0948; R_{all} : R_1 0.0542, wR_2 0.1041.

- M. Lappert, A. Protchenko, P. Power and A. Seeber, *Metal Amide Chemistry*, John Wiley, New York, 2008.
- R. Anwender, in *Organolanthoid Chemistry: Synthesis, Structure, Catalysis*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1996, pp. 33-112.
- P. G. Eller, D. C. Bradley, M. B. Hursthouse and D. W. Meek, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1977, **24**, 1-95.
- T. Fjeldberg and R. A. Andersen, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 1985, **128**, 49-57.
- R. Anwender, O. Runte, J. Eppinger, G. Gerstberger, E. Herdtweck and M. Spiegler, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*, 1998, 847-858.
- M. P. Conley, G. Lapadula, K. Sanders, D. Gajan, A. Lesage, I. del Rosal, L. Maron, W. W. Lukens, C. Copéret and R. A. Andersen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 3831-3843; J. Eppinger, M. Spiegler, W. Hieringer, W. A. Herrmann and R. Anwender, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 3080-3096; T. D. Tilley, R. A. Andersen and A. Zalkin, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1984, **23**, 2271-2276.
- W. A. Herrmann, R. Anwender, F. C. Munck, W. Scherer, V. Dufaud, N. W. Huber and G. R. J. Artus, *Z. Naturforsch., B: Chem. Sci.*, 1994, **49**, 1789-1797.
- H. F. Yuen and T. J. Marks, *Organometallics*, 2009, **28**, 2423-2440.
- C. A. P. Goodwin, K. C. Joslin, S. J. Lockyer, A. Formanuk, G. A. Morris, F. Ortu, I. J. Vitorica-Yrezabal and D. P. Mills, *Organometallics*, 2015, **34**, 2314-2325.
- C.-Y. Lin, J.-D. Guo, J. C. Fettinger, S. Nagase, F. Grandjean, G. J. Long, N. F. Chilton and P. P. Power, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 13584-13593; I. C. Cai, M. I. Lipschutz and T. D. Tilley, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 13062-13065.
- H. Schumann, J. Winterfeld, E. C. E. Rosenthal, H. Hemling and L. Esser, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 1995, **621**, 122-130.
- M. Visseaux, M. Terrier, A. Mortreux and P. Roussel, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, 2867-2876.
- S.-w. Wang, H.-m. Qian, W. Yao, L.-j. Zhang, S.-l. Zhou, G.-s. Yang, X.-c. Zhu, J.-x. Fan, Y.-y. Liu, G.-d. Chen and H.-b. Song, *Polyhedron*, 2008, **27**, 2757-2764.
- W. S. J. Rees, O. Just, H. Schumann and R. Weimann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1996, **35**, 419-422.
- N. Eedugurala, Z. Wang, K. Yan, K. C. Boteju, U. Chaudhary, T. Kobayashi, A. Ellern, I. I. Slowing, M. Pruski and A. D. Sadow, *Organometallics*, 2017, **36**, 1142-1153.
- L. J. Procopio, P. J. Carroll and D. H. Berry, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1991, **113**, 1870-1872; L. J. Procopio, P. J. Carroll and D. H. Berry, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1994, **116**, 177-185.
- Y. W. Chao, P. A. Wexler and D. E. Wigley, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1989, **28**, 3860-3868.
- G. H. Wiseman, D. R. Wheeler and D. Seyferth, *Organometallics*, 1986, **5**, 146-152.
- K. C. Boteju, A. Ellern and A. D. Sadow, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 716-719; A. Pindwal, K. Yan, S. Patnaik, B. M. Schmidt, A. Ellern, I. I. Slowing, C. Bae and A. D. Sadow, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 16862-16874.
- K. Yan, J. J. D. Heredia, A. Ellern, M. S. Gordon and A. D. Sadow, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 15225-15237.
- A. K. Roy, in *Adv. Organomet. Chem.*, eds. R. West, A. F. Hill and M. J. Fink, Academic Press, 2007, vol. 55, pp. 1-59.
- A. Pindwal, S. Patnaik, W. C. Everett, A. Ellern, T. L. Windus and A. D. Sadow, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 628-631.