

3-13-2019

Decomposing graphs into edges and triangles

Daniel Kral
University of Warwick

Bernard Lidicky
Iowa State University, lidicky@iastate.edu

Taisa L. Martins
University of Warwick

Yanitsa Pehova
University of Warwick

Follow this and additional works at: https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/math_pubs

Part of the [Discrete Mathematics and Combinatorics Commons](#)

The complete bibliographic information for this item can be found at https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/math_pubs/177. For information on how to cite this item, please visit <http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/howtocite.html>.

Decomposing graphs into edges and triangles

Abstract

We prove the following 30 year-old conjecture of Győri and Tuza: the edges of every n -vertex graph G can be decomposed into complete graphs C_1, \dots, C_ℓ of orders two and three such that $|C_1| + \dots + |C_\ell| \leq (1/2 + o(1))n^2$. This result implies the asymptotic version of the old result of Erdős, Goodman and Pósa that asserts the existence of such a decomposition with $\ell \leq n^2/4$.

Disciplines

Discrete Mathematics and Combinatorics | Mathematics

Comments

This is a manuscript of an article published as D. Král', B. Lidický, T. L. Martins, Y. Pehova. Decomposing Graphs into Edges and Triangles. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing* (2019), doi: [10.1017/S0963548318000421](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0963548318000421). Posted with permission.

Decomposing graphs into edges and triangles*

Daniel Král'[†] Bernard Lidický[‡] Taísa L. Martins[§]
 Yanitsa Pehova[¶]

Abstract

We prove the following 30-year old conjecture of Gyóri and Tuza: the edges of every n -vertex graph G can be decomposed into complete graphs C_1, \dots, C_ℓ of orders two and three such that $|C_1| + \dots + |C_\ell| \leq (1/2 + o(1))n^2$. This result implies the asymptotic version of the old result of Erdős, Goodman and Pósa that asserts the existence of such a decomposition with $\ell \leq n^2/4$.

AMS subject classifications: 05C70

1 Introduction

Results on the existence of edge-disjoint copies of specific subgraphs in graphs is a classical theme in extremal graph theory. Motivated by the following result of Erdős, Goodman and Pósa [11], we study the problem of covering edges of a given graph by edge-disjoint complete graphs.

Theorem 1 (Erdős, Goodman and Pósa [11]). *The edges of every n -vertex graph can be decomposed into at most $\lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor$ complete graphs.*

*This work has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Unions Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 648509). This publication reflects only its authors' view; the European Research Council Executive Agency is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.

[†]Mathematics Institute, DIMAP and Department of Computer Science, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK. E-mail: d.kral@warwick.ac.uk. The first author was also supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council Standard Grant number EP/M025365/1.

[‡]Department of Mathematics, Iowa State University. Ames, IA, USA. E-mail: lidicky@iastate.edu. This author was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1600390.

[§]Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK. E-mail: t.lopes-martins@warwick.ac.uk. This author was also supported by the CNPq Science Without Borders grant number 200932/2014-4.

[¶]Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK. E-mail: y.pehova@warwick.ac.uk.

In fact, they proved the following stronger statement.

Theorem 2 (Erdős, Goodman and Pósa [11]). *The edges of every n -vertex graph can be decomposed into at most $\lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor$ copies of K_2 and K_3 .*

The bounds given in Theorems 1 and 2 are best possible as witnessed by complete bipartite graphs with parts of equal sizes.

Theorem 1 actually holds in a stronger form that we now present. Chung [7], Győri and Kostochka [19], and Kahn [24], independently, proved a conjecture of Katona and Tarján asserting that the edges of every n -vertex graph can be covered with complete graphs C_1, \dots, C_ℓ such that the sum of their orders is at most $n^2/2$. In fact, the first two proofs yield a stronger statement, which implies Theorem 1 and which we next state as a separate theorem. To state the theorem, we define $\pi_k(G)$ for a graph G to be the minimum integer m such that the edges of G can be decomposed into complete graphs C_1, \dots, C_ℓ of order at most k with $|C_1| + \dots + |C_\ell| = m$, and we let $\pi(G) = \min_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \pi_k(G)$.

Theorem 3 (Chung [7]; Győri and Kostochka [19]). *Every n -vertex graph G satisfies $\pi(G) \leq n^2/2$.*

Observe that Theorem 3 indeed implies the existence of a decomposition into at most $\lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor$ complete graphs. McGuinness [31, 32] extended these results by showing that decompositions from Theorems 1 and 3 can be constructed in the greedy way, which confirmed a conjecture of Winkler of this being the case in the setting of Theorem 1.

In view of Theorem 2, it is natural to ask whether Theorem 3 holds under the additional assumption that all complete graphs in the decomposition are copies of K_2 and K_3 , i.e., whether $\pi_3(G) \leq n^2/2$. Győri and Tuza [20] provided a partial answer by proving that $\pi_3(G) \leq 9n^2/16$ and conjectured the following.

Conjecture 4 (Győri and Tuza [34, Problem 40]). *Every n -vertex graph G satisfies $\pi_3(G) \leq (1/2 + o(1))n^2$.*

We prove this conjecture. Our result also solves [34, Problem 41], which we state as Corollary 8. We remark that we stated the conjecture in the version given by Győri in several of his talks and by Tuza in [34, Problem 40]; the paper [20] contains a version with a different lower order term.

We would also like to mention a closely related variant of the problem suggested by Erdős, where the cliques in the decomposition have weights one less than their orders. Formally, define $\pi^-(G)$ for a graph to be the minimum m such that the edges of a graph G can be decomposed into complete graphs C_1, \dots, C_ℓ with $(|C_1| - 1) + \dots + (|C_\ell| - 1) = m$. Erdős asked, see [34, Problem 43], whether $\pi^-(G) \leq n^2/4$ for every n -vertex graph G . This problem remains open and was proved for K_4 -free graphs only recently by Győri and Keszegh [17, 18]. Namely, they proved that every K_4 -free graph with n vertices and $\lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor + k$ edges contains k edge-disjoint triangles.

2 Preliminaries

We follow the standard graph theory terminology; we review here some less standard notation and briefly introduce the flag algebra method. If G is a graph, then $|G|$ denotes the order of G , i.e., the number of vertices of G . Further, if W is a subset of vertices of G , then $G[W]$ is the subgraph of G induced by W .

In our arguments, we also consider fractional decompositions. A fractional k -decomposition of a graph G is an assignment of non-negative real weights to complete subgraphs of order at most k such that the sum of the weights of the complete subgraphs containing any edge e is equal to one. The weight of a fractional k -decomposition is the sum of the weights of the complete subgraphs multiplied by their orders, and the minimum weight of a fractional k -decomposition of a graph G is denoted by $\pi_{k,f}(G)$. Observe that $\pi_{k,f}(G) \leq \pi_k(G)$ for every graph G .

2.1 Flag algebra method

The flag algebra method introduced by Razborov [33] has changed the landscape of extremal combinatorics. It has been applied to many long-standing open problems, e.g. [1–6, 8–10, 12–16, 21, 23, 27–30]. The method is designed to analyze asymptotic behavior of substructure densities and we now briefly describe it.

We start by introducing some necessary notation. The family of all finite graphs is denoted by \mathcal{F} and the family of graphs with ℓ vertices by \mathcal{F}_ℓ . If F and G are two graphs, then $p(F, G)$ is the probability that $|F|$ distinct vertices chosen uniformly at random among the vertices of G induce a graph isomorphic to F ; if $|F| > |G|$, we set $p(F, G) = 0$. A type is a graph with its vertices labeled with $1, \dots, |\sigma|$ and a σ -flag is a graph with $|\sigma|$ vertices labeled by $1, \dots, |\sigma|$ such that the labeled vertices induce a copy of σ preserving the vertex labels. In the analogy with the notation for ordinary graphs, the set of all σ -flags is denoted by \mathcal{F}^σ and the set of all σ -flags with exactly ℓ vertices by \mathcal{F}_ℓ^σ .

We next extend the definition of $p(F, G)$ to σ -flags and generalize it to pairs of graphs. If F and G are two σ -flags, then $p(F, G)$ is the probability that $|F| - |\sigma|$ distinct vertices chosen uniformly at random among the unlabeled vertices of G induce a copy of the σ -flag F ; if $|F| > |G|$, we again set $p(F, G) = 0$. Let F and F' be two σ -flags and G a σ -flag with at least $|F| + |F'| - |\sigma|$ vertices. The quantity $p(F, F'; G)$ is the probability that two disjoint $|F| - |\sigma|$ and $|F'| - |\sigma|$ subsets of unlabeled vertices of G induce together with the labeled vertices of G the σ -flags F and F' , respectively. It holds [33, Lemma 2.3] that

$$p(F, F'; G) = p(F, G) \cdot p(F', G) + o(1) \tag{1}$$

where $o(1)$ tends to zero with $|G|$ tending to infinity.

Let $\vec{F} = [F_1, \dots, F_t]$ be a vector of σ -flags, i.e., $F_i \in \mathcal{F}^\sigma$. If M is a $t \times t$ positive semidefinite matrix, it follows from (1), see [33], that

$$0 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^t M_{ij} p(F_i, G) p(F_j, G) = \sum_{i,j=1}^t M_{ij} p(F_i, F_j; G) + o(1). \quad (2)$$

The inequality (2) is usually applied to a large graph G with a randomly chosen labeled vertices in a way that we now describe. Fix σ -flags F and F' and a graph G . We now define a random variable $p(F, F'; G^\sigma)$ as follows: label $|\sigma|$ vertices of G with $1, \dots, |\sigma|$ and if the resulting graph G' is a σ -flag, then $p(F, F'; G^\sigma) = p(F, F'; G')$; if G' is not a σ -flag, then $p(F, F'; G^\sigma) = 0$. The expected value of $p(F, F'; G^\sigma)$ can be expressed as a linear combination of densities of $(|F| + |F'| - |\sigma|)$ -vertex subgraphs of G [33], i.e., there exist coefficients α_H , $H \in \mathcal{F}_{|F|+|F'|-|\sigma|}$, such that

$$\mathbb{E} p(F, F'; G^\sigma) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{F}_{|F|+|F'|-|\sigma|}} \alpha_H \cdot p(H, G) \quad (3)$$

for every graph G . It can be shown that $\alpha_H = \mathbb{E} p(F, F'; H^\sigma)$.

Let $\vec{F} = [F_1, \dots, F_t]$ be a vector of ℓ -vertex σ -flags and let M be a $t \times t$ positive semidefinite matrix. The equality (3) yields that there exist coefficients α_H such that

$$\mathbb{E} \sum_{i,j=1}^t M_{ij} p(F_i, F_j; G^\sigma) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{F}_{2\ell-|\sigma|}} \alpha_H \cdot p(H, G) \quad (4)$$

for every graph G , which combines with (2) to

$$0 \leq \sum_{H \in \mathcal{F}_{2\ell-|\sigma|}} \alpha_H \cdot p(H, G) + o(1) \quad (5)$$

for every graph G , where

$$\alpha_H = \sum_{i,j=1}^t M_{ij} \cdot \mathbb{E} p(F_i, F_j; H^\sigma)$$

In particular, the coefficients α_H depend only on the choice of \vec{F} and M .

3 Main result

We start with proving the following lemma using the flag algebra method.

Lemma 5. *Let G be a weighted graph with all edges of weight one. It holds that*

$$\mathbb{E}_W \pi_{3,f}(G[W]) \leq 21 + o(1)$$

where W is a uniformly chosen random subset of seven vertices of G .

Proof. We use the flag algebra method to find coefficients c_U , $U \in \mathcal{F}_7$, such that

$$0 \leq \sum_{U \in \mathcal{F}_7} c_U \cdot p(U, G) + o(1) \quad (6)$$

and

$$\pi_{3,f}(U) + c_U \leq 21 \quad (7)$$

for every $U \in \mathcal{F}_7$. The statement of the lemma would then follow from (6) and (7) using $\sum_{U \in \mathcal{F}_7} p(U, G) = 1$ as we next show.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_W \pi_{3,f}(G[W]) &= \sum_{U \in \mathcal{F}_7} \pi_{3,f}(U) \cdot p(U, G) \\ &\leq \sum_{U \in \mathcal{F}_7} (\pi_{3,f}(U) + c_U) \cdot p(U, G) + o(1) \\ &\leq \sum_{U \in \mathcal{F}_7} 21 \cdot p(U, G) + o(1) = 21 + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

We now focus on finding the coefficients c_U , $U \in \mathcal{F}_7$, satisfying (6) and (7). Let σ_1 be a flag consisting of a single vertex labeled with 1 and consider the following vector $\vec{F} = (F_1, \dots, F_7)$ of σ_1 -flags from $\mathcal{F}_4^{\sigma_1}$ (the single labeled vertex is depicted by a white square and the remaining vertices by black circles).

$$\vec{F} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \square \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \square \quad \bullet \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \square \quad \bullet \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \square \quad \bullet \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \square \quad \bullet \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \square \quad \bullet \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \square \quad \bullet \end{array} \right)$$

Let M be the following 7×7 -matrix.

$$M = \frac{1}{12 \cdot 10^9} \begin{pmatrix} 1800000000 & 2444365956 & 640188285 & -1524146769 & 1386815580 & -732139362 & -129387078 \\ 2444365956 & 4759879134 & 1177441152 & -1783771230 & 2546923788 & -1397639394 & -143552208 \\ 640188285 & 1177441152 & 484273772 & -317303211 & 1038156300 & -591902130 & -6783162 \\ -1524146769 & -1783771230 & -317303211 & 1558870290 & -651906630 & 305728704 & 154602378 \\ 1386815580 & 2546923788 & 1038156300 & -651906630 & 2285399634 & -1283125950 & -10755036 \\ -732139362 & -1397639394 & -591902130 & 305728704 & -1283125950 & 734039016 & -1621938 \\ -129387078 & -143552208 & -6783162 & 154602378 & -10755036 & -1621938 & 23860164 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix M is a positive semidefinite matrix with rank six; the eigenvector corresponding to the zero eigenvalue is $(1, 0, 3, 1, 0, 3, 0)$. Let

$$c_U = \sum_{i,j=1}^7 M_{ij} \mathbb{E} p(F_i, F_j; U^{\sigma_1}).$$

The inequality (5) implies that

$$0 \leq \sum_{U \in \mathcal{F}_7} c_U \cdot p(U, G) + o(1),$$

which establishes (6). The inequality (7) is verified with computer assistance by evaluating the coefficient c_U and the quantity $\pi_{3,f}(U)$ for each $U \in \mathcal{F}_7$. Since $|\mathcal{F}_7| = 1044$, we do not list c_U and $\pi_{3,f}(U)$ here. The computer programs that we used and their outputs have been made available on arXiv as ancillary files and are also available at <http://orion.math.iastate.edu/lidicky/pub/tile23>. \square

The following lemma can be derived from the result of Haxell and Rödl [22] on fractional triangle decompositions or from a more general result of Yuster [35].

Lemma 6. *Let G be a graph with n vertices. It holds that $\pi_3(G) \leq \pi_{3,f}(G) + o(n^2)$.*

We now use Lemmas 5 and 6 to prove our main result.

Theorem 7. *Every n -vertex graph G satisfies $\pi_3(G) \leq (1/2 + o(1))n^2$.*

Proof. Fix an n -vertex graph G . By Lemma 6, it is enough to show that $\pi_{3,f}(G) \leq (1/2 + o(1))n^2$.

Fix an optimal fractional 3-decomposition of $G[W]$ for every 7-vertex subset $W \subseteq V(G)$, and set the weight $w(e)$ of an edge e to the sum of its weights in the optimal fractional 3-decomposition of $G[W]$ with $e \subseteq W$ multiplied by $\binom{n-2}{5}^{-1}$, and the weight $w(t)$ of a triangle t to the sum its weights in the optimal fractional 3-decomposition of $G[W]$ with $t \subseteq W$ also multiplied by $\binom{n-2}{5}^{-1}$. Since each edge e of G is contained in $\binom{n-2}{5}$ subsets W , we have obtained a fractional 3-decomposition of G . The weight of this decomposition is equal to

$$\frac{1}{\binom{n-2}{5}} \sum_{W \in \binom{V(G)}{7}} \pi_{3,f}(G[W]) \leq \frac{\binom{n}{7}}{\binom{n-2}{5}} (21 + o(1)) = n^2/2 + o(n^2),$$

where the inequality follows from Lemma 5. We conclude that $\pi_{3,f}(G) \leq n^2/2 + o(n^2)$, which completes the proof. \square

The next corollary follows directly from Theorem 7.

Corollary 8. *Every n -vertex graph with $n^2/4 + k$ edges contains $2k/3 - o(n^2)$ edge-disjoint triangles.*

4 Concluding remarks

Our first proof of this result, which can be found in [26], combined the flag algebra method and regularity method arguments. In particular, we proved the fractional relaxation of Conjecture 4 in the setting of weighted graphs and with an additional restriction on its support; this statement was then combined with a blow-up lemma for edge-decompositions recently proved by Kim, Kühn, Osthus and Tyomkyn [25]. It was then brought to our attention that the results

from [22, 35] allow obtaining our main result directly from the fractional relaxation, which is the proof that we present here. We believe that the argument using combinatorial designs that we applied in [26] to combine the flag algebra method and the blow-up lemma of Kim et al. [25] can be of independent interest and so we wanted to mention the original proof of our result and its idea here.

We also tried to prove Lemma 5 in the non-fractional setting, i.e., to show that $\mathbb{E}_W \pi_3(G[W]) \leq 21 + o(1)$. Unfortunately, the computation with 7-vertex flags yields only that $\mathbb{E}_W \pi_3(G[W]) \leq 21.588 + o(1)$. We would like to remark that if it were possible to prove Lemma 5 in the non-fractional setting, we would be able to prove Theorem 7 without using additional results as a blackbox: we would consider a random $(n, 7, 2, 1)$ -design on the vertex set of an n -vertex graph G as in [26] and apply the non-fractional version of Lemma 5 to this design.

Finally, we would also like to mention two open problems related to our main result. Theorem 7 asserts that $\pi_3(G) \leq n^2/2 + o(n^2)$ for every n -vertex graph G . However, it could be true (cf. the remark after Problem 41 in [34]) that $\pi_3(G) \leq n^2/2 + 2$ for every n -vertex graph G . The second problem that we would like to mention is a possible generalization of Corollary 8, which is stated in [34] as Problem 42. Fix $r \geq 4$. Does every n -vertex graph with $\frac{r-2}{2r-2}n^2 + k$ edges contain $\frac{2}{r}k - o(n^2)$ edge-disjoint complete graphs of order r ?

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Ervin Győri and Katherine Staden for their comments on the problems considered in this paper. The authors would also like to thank Allan Lo for drawing their attention to the paper [22].

References

- [1] R. Baber and J. Talbot. Hypergraphs do jump. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 20:161–171, 2011.
- [2] R. Baber and J. Talbot. A solution to the 2/3 conjecture. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 28:756–766, 2014.
- [3] J. Balogh, P. Hu, B. Lidický, and H. Liu. Upper bounds on the size of 4- and 6-cycle-free subgraphs of the hypercube. *European J. Combin.*, 35:75–85, 2014.
- [4] J. Balogh, P. Hu, B. Lidický, and F. Pfender. Maximum density of induced 5-cycle is achieved by an iterated blow-up of 5-cycle. *European J. Combin.*, 52:47–58, 2016.

- [5] J. Balogh, P. Hu, B. Lidický, F. Pfender, J. Volec, and M. Young. Rainbow triangles in three-colored graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 126:83–113, 2017.
- [6] J. Balogh, P. Hu, B. Lidický, O. Pikhurko, B. Udvari, and J. Volec. Minimum number of monotone subsequences of length 4 in permutations. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 24:658–679, 2015.
- [7] F. R. K. Chung. On the decomposition of graphs. *SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods*, 2:1–12, 1981.
- [8] L. N. Coregliano and A. A. Razborov. On the density of transitive tournaments. *J. Graph Theory*, 85:12–21, 2017.
- [9] J. Cummings, D. Král’, F. Pfender, K. Sperfeld, A. Treglown, and M. Young. Monochromatic triangles in three-coloured graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 103:489–503, 2013.
- [10] S. Das, H. Huang, J. Ma, H. Naves, and B. Sudakov. A problem of Erdős on the minimum number of k -cliques. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 103:344–373, 2013.
- [11] P. Erdős, A. W. Goodman, and L. Pósa. The representation of a graph by set intersections. *Canad. J. Math.*, 18:106–112, 1966.
- [12] C. Even-Zohar and N. Linial. A note on the inducibility of 4-vertex graphs. *Graphs Combin.*, 31:1367–1380, 2015.
- [13] V. Falgas-Ravry, E. Marchant, O. Pikhurko, and E. R. Vaughan. The codegree threshold for 3-graphs with independent neighborhoods. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 29:1504–1539, 2015.
- [14] E. Gethner, L. Hogben, B. Lidický, F. Pfender, A. Ruiz, and M. Young. On crossing numbers of complete tripartite and balanced complete multipartite graphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 84:552–565, 2017.
- [15] R. Glebov, D. Král’, and J. Volec. A problem of Erdős and Sós on 3-graphs. *Israel J. Math.*, 211:349–366, 2016.
- [16] X. Goaoc, A. Hubard, R. de Joannis de Verclos, J.-S. Sereni, and J. Volec. Limits of order types. In *31st International Symposium on Computational Geometry*, volume 34 of *LIPICs. Leibniz Int. Proc. Inform.*, pages 300–314. Schloss Dagstuhl. Leibniz-Zent. Inform., Wadern, 2015.
- [17] E. Győri and B. Keszegh. On the number of edge-disjoint triangles in K_4 -free graphs. Preprint available as arXiv:1506.03306, 2015.

- [18] E. Győri and B. Keszegh. On the number of edge-disjoint triangles in K_4 -free graphs. *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics*, 61:557–560, 2017.
- [19] E. Győri and A. V. Kostochka. On a problem of G. O. H. Katona and T. Tarján. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, 34:321–327 (1980), 1979.
- [20] E. Győri and Z. Tuza. Decompositions of graphs into complete subgraphs of given order. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 22:315–320, 1987.
- [21] H. Hatami, J. Hladký, D. Král’, S. Norine, and A. Razborov. Non-three-colourable common graphs exist. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 21:734–742, 2012.
- [22] P. E. Haxell and V. Rödl. Integer and fractional packings in dense graphs. *Combinatorica*, 21:13–38, 2001.
- [23] J. Hladký, D. Král’, and S. Norin. Counting flags in triangle-free digraphs. *Combinatorica*, 37:49–76, 2017.
- [24] J. Kahn. Proof of a conjecture of Katona and Tarján. *Period. Math. Hungar.*, 12:81–82, 1981.
- [25] J. Kim, D. Kühn, D. Osthus, and M. Tyomkyn. A blow-up lemma for approximate decompositions. Preprint available as arXiv:1604.07282, 2016.
- [26] D. Král’, B. Lidický, T. L. Martins, and Y. Pehova. Decomposing graphs into edges and triangles. *arXiv 1710.08486v2*, Oct. 2017.
- [27] D. Král’, C.-H. Liu, J.-S. Sereni, P. Whalen, and Z. B. Yilma. A new bound for the $2/3$ conjecture. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 22:384–393, 2013.
- [28] D. Král’, L. Mach, and J.-S. Sereni. A new lower bound based on Gromov’s method of selecting heavily covered points. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 48:487–498, 2012.
- [29] D. Král’ and O. Pikhurko. Quasirandom permutations are characterized by 4-point densities. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 23:570–579, 2013.
- [30] B. Lidický and F. Pfender. Semidefinite programming and Ramsey numbers. Preprint available as arXiv:1704.03592, 2017.
- [31] S. McGuinness. The greedy clique decomposition of a graph. *J. Graph Theory*, 18:427–430, 1994.
- [32] S. McGuinness. Greedy maximum-clique decompositions. *Combinatorica*, 14:335–343, 1994.
- [33] A. A. Razborov. Flag algebras. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 72:1239–1282, 2007.

- [34] Z. Tuza. Unsolved Combinatorial Problems, Part I. BRICS Lecture Series LS-01-1, 2001.
- [35] R. Yuster. Integer and fractional packing of families of graphs. *Random Structures & Algorithms*, 26:110–118, 2005.