

9-30-2019

Making K_{r+1} -Free Graphs r -partite

József Balogh

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Felix Christian Clemen

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Mikhail Lavrov

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Bernard Lidický

Iowa State University, lidicky@iastate.edu

Florian Pfender

University of Colorado, Denver

Follow this and additional works at: https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/math_pubs



Part of the [Discrete Mathematics and Combinatorics Commons](#)

The complete bibliographic information for this item can be found at https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/math_pubs/214. For information on how to cite this item, please visit <http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/howtocite.html>.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mathematics at Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics Publications by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

Making K_{r+1} -Free Graphs r -partite

Abstract

The Erdős–Simonovits stability theorem states that for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that if G is a K_{r+1} -free graph on n vertices with $e(G) > \text{ex}(n, K_{r+1}) - \alpha n^2$, then one can remove ϵn^2 edges from G to obtain an r -partite graph. Füredi gave a short proof that one can choose $\alpha = \epsilon$. We give a bound for the relationship of α and ϵ which is asymptotically sharp as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Disciplines

Discrete Mathematics and Combinatorics

Comments

This pre-print is made available through arXiv: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.00028>.

Making K_{r+1} -Free Graphs r -partite

József Balogh ^{*} Felix Christian Clemen [†] Mikhail Lavrov [‡]
 Bernard Lidický [§] Florian Pfender [¶]

Abstract

The Erdős–Simonovits stability theorem states that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that if G is a K_{r+1} -free graph on n vertices with $e(G) > \text{ex}(n, K_{r+1}) - \alpha n^2$, then one can remove εn^2 edges from G to obtain an r -partite graph. Füredi gave a short proof that one can choose $\alpha = \varepsilon$. We give a bound for the relationship of α and ε which is asymptotically sharp as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

1 Introduction

Erdős asked how many edges need to be removed in a triangle-free graph on n vertices in order to make it bipartite. He conjectured that the balanced blow-up of C_5 with class sizes $n/5$ is the worst case, and hence $1/25n^2$ edges would always be sufficient. Together with Faudree, Pach and Spencer [5], he proved that one can remove at most $1/18n^2$ edges to make a triangle-free graph bipartite.

Further, Erdős, Győri and Simonovits [6] proved that for graphs with at least $n^2/5$

^{*}Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA, and Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Russian Federation. E-mail: jobal@illinois.edu. Research is partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-1764123, Arnold O. Beckman Research Award (UIUC Campus Research Board RB 18132) and the Langan Scholar Fund (UIUC).

[†]Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA, E-mail: fclemen2@illinois.edu.

[‡]Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA, E-mail: mlavrov@illinois.edu

[§]Iowa State University, Department of Mathematics, Iowa State University, Ames, IA., E-mail: lidicky@iastate.edu. Research of this author is partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1855653.

[¶]Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, University of Colorado Denver, E-mail: Florian.Pfender@ucdenver.edu. Research of this author is partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1855622.

edges, an unbalanced C_5 blow-up is the worst case. For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, denote $D_r(G)$ the minimum number of edges which need to be removed to make G r -partite.

Theorem 1.1 (Erdős, Győri and Simonovits [6]). *Let G be a K_3 -free graph on n vertices with at least $n^2/5$ edges. There exists an unbalanced C_5 blow-up of H with $e(H) \geq e(G)$ such that*

$$D_2(G) \leq D_2(H).$$

This proved the Erdős conjecture for graphs with at least $n^2/5$ edges. A simple probabilistic argument (e.g. [6]) settles the conjecture for graphs with at most $2/25n^2$ edges.

A related question was studied by Sudakov; he determined the maximum number of edges in a K_4 -free graph which need to be removed in order to make it bipartite [13]. This problem for K_6 -free graphs was solved by Hu, Lidický, Martins, Norin and Volec [10].

We will study the question of how many edges in a K_{r+1} -free graph need at most to be removed to make it r -partite. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a graph H , $\text{ex}(n, H)$ denote the Turán number, i.e. the maximum number of edges of an H -free graph. The Erdős–Simonovits theorem [7] for cliques states that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that if G is a K_{r+1} -free graph on n vertices with $e(G) > \text{ex}(n, K_{r+1}) - \alpha n^2$, then $D_r(G) \leq \varepsilon n^2$.

Füredi [8] gave a nice short proof of the statement that a K_{r+1} -free graph G on n vertices with at least $\text{ex}(n, K_{r+1}) - t$ edges satisfies $D_r(G) \leq t$; thus providing a quantitative version of the Erdős–Simonovits theorem. In [10] Füredi’s result was strengthened for some values of r . For small t , we will determine asymptotically how many edges are needed. For very small t , it is already known [3] that G has to be r -partite.

Theorem 1.2 (Brouwer [3]). *Let $r \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2r + 1$ be integers. Let G be a K_{r+1} -free graph on n vertices with $e(G) \geq \text{ex}(n, K_{r+1}) - \lfloor \frac{n}{r} \rfloor + 2$. Then*

$$D_r(G) = 0.$$

This result was rediscovered in [1, 9, 11, 15]. We will study K_{r+1} -free graphs on fewer edges.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer. Then for all $n \geq 3r^2$ and for all $0 \leq \alpha \leq 10^{-7}r^{-12}$ the following holds. Let G be a K_{r+1} -free graph on n vertices with*

$$e(G) \geq \text{ex}(n, K_{r+1}) - t,$$

where $t = \alpha n^2$, then

$$D_r(G) \leq \left(\frac{2r}{3\sqrt{3}} + 30r^3\alpha^{1/6} \right) \alpha^{3/2}n^2.$$

Note that we did not try to optimize our bounds on n and α in the theorem. One could hope for a slightly better error term of $30r^3\alpha^{5/3}$ in Theorem 1.3, but the next natural step would be to prove a structural version.

To state this structural version we introduce some definitions. The blow-up of a graph G is obtained by replacing every vertex $v \in V(G)$ with finitely many copies so that the copies of two vertices are adjacent if and only if the originals are.

For two graphs G and H , we define $G \otimes H$ to be the graph on the vertex set $V(G) \cup V(H)$ with $gg' \in E(G \otimes H)$ iff $gg' \in E(G)$, $hh' \in E(G \otimes H)$ iff $hh' \in E(H)$ and $gh \in E(G \otimes H)$ for all $g \in V(G)$, $h \in V(H)$.

Conjecture 1.4. *Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer and n sufficiently large. Then there exists $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that for all $0 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_0$ the following holds. For every K_{r+1} -free graph G on n vertices there exists an unbalanced $K_{r-2} \otimes C_5$ blow-up H on n vertices with $e(H) \geq e(G)$ such that*

$$D_r(G) \leq D_r(H).$$

This conjecture can be seen as a generalization of Theorem 1.1. We will prove that Theorem 1.3 is asymptotically sharp by describing an unbalanced blow-up of $K_{r-2} \otimes C_5$ that needs at least that many edges to be removed to make it r -partite. This gives us a strong evidence that Conjecture 1.4 is true.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{4r^4}$. Then there exists a K_{r+1} -free graph on n vertices with*

$$e(G) \geq \text{ex}(n, K_{r+1}) - \alpha n^2 + \frac{4r}{3\sqrt{3}}\alpha^{3/2}n^2 - \frac{2r(r-3)}{9}\alpha^2n^2$$

and

$$D_r(G) \geq \frac{2r}{3\sqrt{3}}\alpha^{3/2}n^2.$$

In Kang-Pikhurko's proof [11] of Theorem 1.2 the case $e(G) = \text{ex}(n, K_{r+1}) - \lfloor n/r \rfloor + 1$ is studied. In this case they constructed a family of K_{r+1} -free non- r -partite graphs, which includes our extremal graph, for that number of edges.

We recommend the interested reader to read the excellent survey [12] by Nikiforov. He gives a good overview on further related stability results, for example on guaranteeing large induced r -partite subgraphs of K_{r+1} -free graphs.

We organize the paper as follows. In Section 2 we prove Theorem 1.3 and in Section 3 we give the sharpness example, i.e. we prove Theorem 1.5.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let G be an n -vertex K_{r+1} -free graph with $e(G) \geq \text{ex}(n, K_{r+1}) - t$, where $t = \alpha n^2$. We will assume that n is sufficiently large. Furthermore, by Theorem 1.2 we can assume

that

$$\alpha \geq \frac{\lfloor \frac{n}{r} \rfloor - 2}{n^2} \geq \frac{1}{2rn}.$$

This also implies that $t \geq r$ because $n \geq 3r^2$. During our proof we will make use of Turán's theorem and a version of Turán's theorem for r -partite graphs multiple time. Turán's theorem [14] determines the maximum number of edges in a K_{r+1} -free graph.

Theorem 2.1 (Turán [14]). *Let $r \geq 2$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,*

$$\frac{n^2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) - \frac{r}{2} \leq ex(n, K_{r+1}) \leq \frac{n^2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right).$$

Denote $K(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ the complete r -partite graph whose r color classes have sizes n_1, \dots, n_r , respectively. Turán's theorem for r -partite graphs states the following.

Theorem 2.2 (folklore). *Let $r \geq 2$ and $n_1, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_r$. For a K_r -free subgraph H of $K(n_1, \dots, n_r)$, we have*

$$e(H) \leq e(K(n_1, \dots, n_r)) - n_1 n_2.$$

For a proof of this folklore result see for example [2, Lemma 3.3].

We denote the maximum degree of G by $\Delta(G)$. For two disjoint subsets U, W of $V(G)$, write $e(U, W)$ for the number of edges in G with one endpoint in U and the other endpoint in W . We write $e^c(U, W)$ for the number of non-edges between U and W , i.e. $e^c(U, W) = |U||W| - e(U, W)$.

Füredi [8] used Erdős' degree majorization algorithm [4] to find a vertex partition with some useful properties. We include a proof for completeness.

Lemma 2.3 (Füredi [8]). *Let $t, r, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and G be an n -vertex K_{r+1} -free graph with $e(G) \geq ex(n, K_{r+1}) - t$. Then there exists a vertex partition $V(G) = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_r$ such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^r e(G[V_i]) \leq t, \quad \Delta(G) = \sum_{i=2}^r |V_i| \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} e^c(V_i, V_j) \leq 2t. \quad (1)$$

Proof. Let $x_1 \in V(G)$ be a vertex of maximum degree. Define $V_1 := V(G) \setminus N(x_1)$ and $V_1^+ = N(x_1)$. Iteratively, let x_i be a vertex of maximum degree in $G[V_{i-1}^+]$. Let $V_i := V_{i-1}^+ \setminus N(x_i)$ and $V_i^+ = V_{i-1}^+ \cap N(x_i)$. Since G is K_{r+1} -free this process stops at $i \leq r$ and thus gives a vertex partition $V(G) = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_r$.

In the proof of [8, Theorem 2], it is shown that the partition obtained from this algorithm satisfies

$$\sum_{i=1}^r e(G[V_i]) \leq t.$$

By construction,

$$\sum_{i=2}^r |V_i| = |V_1^+| = |N(x_1)| = \Delta(G).$$

Let H be the complete r -partite graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and all edges between V_i and V_j for $1 \leq i < j \leq r$. The graph H is r -partite and thus has at most $\text{ex}(n, K_{r+1})$ edges. Finally, since G has at most t edges not in H and at least $\text{ex}(n, K_{r+1}) - t$ edges total, at most $2t$ edges of H can be missing from G , giving us

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} e^c(V_i, V_j) \leq 2t$$

and proving the last inequality. \square

For this vertex partition we can get bounds on the class sizes.

Lemma 2.4. *For all $i \in [r]$, $|V_i| \in \{\frac{n}{r} - \frac{5}{2}\sqrt{\alpha n}, \frac{n}{r} + \frac{5}{2}\sqrt{\alpha n}\}$ and thus also*

$$\Delta(G) \leq \frac{r-1}{r}n + \frac{5}{2}\sqrt{\alpha n}.$$

Proof. We know that

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} |V_i||V_j| \geq e(G) - \sum_{i=1}^r e(G[V_i]) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) \frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{r}{2} - 2t.$$

Also,

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} |V_i||V_j| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^r |V_i|(n - |V_i|) = \frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^r |V_i|^2.$$

Thus, we can conclude that

$$\sum_{i=1}^r |V_i|^2 \leq \frac{n^2}{r} + r + 4t. \quad (2)$$

Now, let $x = |V_1| - n/r$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^r |V_i|^2 &= \left(\frac{n}{r} + x\right)^2 + \sum_{i=2}^r |V_i|^2 \geq \left(\frac{n}{r} + x\right)^2 + \frac{(\sum_{i=2}^r |V_i|)^2}{r-1} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{n}{r} + x\right)^2 + \frac{\left(n\left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) - x\right)^2}{r-1} \geq \frac{n^2}{r} + x^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2), we get $|x| \leq \sqrt{r+4t} \leq \frac{5}{2}\sqrt{t} = \frac{5}{2}\sqrt{\alpha n}$, and thus

$$\frac{n}{r} - \frac{5}{2}\sqrt{\alpha n} \leq |V_1| \leq \frac{n}{r} + \frac{5}{2}\sqrt{\alpha n}.$$

In a similar way we get the bounds on the sizes of the other classes. \square

Lemma 2.5. *The graph G contains r vertices $x_1 \in V_1, \dots, x_r \in V_r$ which form a K_r and for every i*

$$\deg(x_i) \geq n - |V_i| - 5r\alpha n.$$

Proof. Let $V_i^c := V(G) \setminus V_i$. We call a vertex $v_i \in V_i$ *small* if $|N(v_i) \cap V_i^c| < |V_i^c| - 5r\alpha n$ and *big* otherwise. For $1 \leq i \leq r$, denote B_i the set of big vertices inside class V_i . There are at most

$$\frac{4t}{5r\alpha n} = \frac{4}{5r}n$$

small vertices in total as otherwise (1) is violated. Thus, in each class there are at least $n/10r$ big vertices, i.e. $|B_i| \geq n/10r$. The number of missing edges between the sets B_1, \dots, B_r is at most $2t < \frac{1}{100r^2}n^2$. Thus, using Theorem 2.2 we can find a K_r with one vertex from each B_i . \square

Lemma 2.6. *There exists a vertex partition $V(G) = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_r \cup X$ such that all X_i s are independent sets, $|X| \leq 5r^2\alpha n$ and*

$$\frac{n}{r} - 3\sqrt{\alpha n} \leq |X_i| \leq \frac{n}{r} + 3r\sqrt{\alpha n}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.5 we can find vertices x_1, \dots, x_r forming a K_r and having $\deg(x_i) \geq n - |V_i| - 5r\alpha n$. Define X_i to be the common neighborhood of $x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_r$ and $X = V(G) \setminus (X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_r)$. Since G is K_{r+1} -free, the X_i s are independent sets. Now we bound the size of X_i using the bounds on the V_i s. Since every x_j has at most $|V_j| + 5r\alpha n$ non-neighbors, we get

$$|X_i| \geq n - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq r \\ j \neq i}} (|V_j| + 5r\alpha n) \geq |V_i| - 5r^2\alpha n \geq \frac{n}{r} - 3\sqrt{\alpha n}.$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \deg(x_i) \geq n(r-1) - 5r^2\alpha n. \quad (3)$$

A vertex $v \in V(G)$ cannot be incident to all of the vertices x_1, \dots, x_r , because G is K_{r+1} -free. Further, every vertex from X is not incident to at least two of the vertices x_1, \dots, x_r . Thus,

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \deg(x_i) \leq n(r-1) - |X|. \quad (4)$$

Combining (3) with (4), we conclude that

$$|X| \leq 5r^2\alpha n.$$

For the upper bound on the sizes of the sets X_i we get

$$|X_i| \leq n - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq r \\ j \neq i}} |X_j| \leq n - \frac{r-1}{r}n + 3r\sqrt{\alpha}n = \frac{n}{r} + 3r\sqrt{\alpha}n.$$

□

We now bound the number of non-edges between X_1, \dots, X_r .

Lemma 2.7.

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} e^c(X_i, X_j) \leq t + e(X, X^c) + |X|^2 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)n|X| + r.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n^2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) - \frac{r}{2} - t &\leq e(G) = e(X, X^c) + e(X) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} e(X_i, X_j) \\ &\leq e(X, X^c) + \frac{|X|^2}{2} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) \left(\frac{(n - |X|)^2}{2}\right) - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} e^c(X_i, X_j). \end{aligned}$$

This gives the statement of the lemma. □

Let

$$\bar{X} = \left\{ v \in X \mid \deg_{X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_r}(v) \geq \frac{r-2}{r}n + 3\alpha^{1/3}n \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{X} := X \setminus \bar{X}.$$

Let $d \in [0, 1]$ such that $|\bar{X}| = d|X|$. Further, let $k \in [0, 5r^2]$ such that $|X| = k\alpha n$. Now we shall give an upper bound the number of non-edges between X_1, \dots, X_r .

Lemma 2.8.

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} e^c(X_i, X_j) \leq 20r^2\alpha^{4/3}n^2 + \left(1 - (1-d)\frac{1}{r}k\right)\alpha n^2.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.7,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} e^c(X_i, X_j) \leq t + e(X, X^c) + |X|^2 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) n|X| + r \\
& \leq t + d|X|\Delta(G) + (1-d)|X| \left(\frac{r-2}{r}n + 3\alpha^{1/3}n\right) + |X|^2 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) n|X| + r \\
& \leq t + d|X| \left(n\frac{r-1}{r} + \frac{5}{2}\sqrt{\alpha n}\right) + (1-d)|X| \left(\frac{r-2}{r}n + 3\alpha^{1/3}n\right) \\
& \quad + |X|^2 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) n|X| + r \\
& \leq \frac{5}{2}d|X|\sqrt{\alpha n} + 3(1-d)|X|\alpha^{1/3}n + |X|^2 + t + n|X|\frac{d-1}{r} + r \\
& \leq \frac{5}{2}k\alpha^{3/2}n^2 + 3k\alpha^{4/3}n^2 + |X|^2 + \left(1 - (1-d)\frac{1}{r}k\right)\alpha n^2 + r \\
& \leq \frac{25}{2}r^2\alpha^{3/2}n^2 + 15r^2\alpha^{4/3}n^2 + 25r^4\alpha^2n^2 + \left(1 - (1-d)\frac{1}{r}k\right)\alpha n^2 + r \\
& \leq 20r^2\alpha^{4/3}n^2 + \left(1 - (1-d)\frac{1}{r}k\right)\alpha n^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let

$$C(\alpha) := 20r^2\alpha^{4/3} + \left(1 - (1-d)\frac{1}{r}k\right)\alpha.$$

For every vertex $u \in X$ there is no K_r in $N_{X_1}(u) \cup \dots \cup N_{X_r}(u)$. Thus, by applying Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.8, we get

$$\min_{i \neq j} |N_{X_i}(u)| |N_{X_j}(u)| \leq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} e^c(X_i, X_j) \leq C(\alpha)n^2. \quad (5)$$

Bound (5) implies in particular that every vertex $u \in X$ has degree at most $\sqrt{C(\alpha)n}$ to one of the sets X_1, \dots, X_r , i.e.

$$\min_i |N_{X_i}(u)| \leq \sqrt{C(\alpha)n}. \quad (6)$$

Therefore, we can partition $\hat{X} = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_r$ such that every vertex $u \in A_i$ has at most $\sqrt{C(\alpha)n}$ neighbors in X_i .

By the following calculation, for every vertex $u \in \bar{X}$ the second smallest neighborhood to the X_i 's has size at least $\alpha^{1/3}n$.

$$\min_{i \neq j} |N_{X_i}(u)| + |N_{X_j}(u)| \geq \frac{r-2}{r}n + 3\alpha^{1/3}n - (r-2) \left(\frac{n}{r} + 3r\sqrt{\alpha n}\right) \geq 2\alpha^{1/3}n,$$

where we used the definition of \bar{X} and Lemma 2.6. Combining the lower bound on the second smallest neighborhood with (5) we can conclude that for every $u \in \bar{X}$

$$\min_i |N_{X_i}(u)| \leq \frac{C(\alpha)}{\alpha^{1/3}} n. \quad (7)$$

Hence, we can partition $\bar{X} = B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_r$ such that every vertex $u \in B_i$ has at most $C(\alpha)\alpha^{-1/3}n$ neighbors in X_i . Consider the partition $A_1 \cup B_1 \cup X_1, A_2 \cup B_2 \cup X_2, \dots, A_r \cup B_r \cup X_r$. By removing all edges inside the classes we end up with an r -partite graph. We have to remove at most

$$\begin{aligned} e(X) + d|X| \frac{C(\alpha)}{\alpha^{1/3}} n + (1-d)|X| \sqrt{C(\alpha)n} &\leq 6r^2 \alpha^{5/3} n^2 + (1-d)k \sqrt{C(\alpha)\alpha n^2} \\ &\leq 6r^2 \alpha^{5/3} n^2 + (1-d)k \left(\sqrt{20r^2 \alpha^{4/3}} + \sqrt{\left(1 - (1-d)\frac{1}{r}k\right)\alpha} \right) \alpha n^2 \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2r}{3\sqrt{3}} + 30r^3 \alpha^{1/6} \right) \alpha^{3/2} n^2 \end{aligned}$$

edges. We have used (6), (7) and the fact that

$$(1-d)k \sqrt{1 - (1-d)\frac{k}{r}} \leq \frac{2r}{3\sqrt{3}},$$

which can be seen by setting $z = (1-d)k$ and finding the maximum of $f(z) := z\sqrt{1 - \frac{z}{r}}$ which is obtained at $z = 2r/3$.

3 Sharpness Example

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.5, i.e. that the leading term from Theorem 1.3 is best possible.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let G be the graph with vertex set $V(G) = A \cup X \cup B \cup C \cup D \cup X_1 \cdots \cup X_{r-2}$, where all classes $A, X, B, C, D, X_1, \dots, X_{r-2}$ form independent sets; A, X, B, C, D form a complete blow-up of a C_5 , where the classes are named in cyclic order; and for each $1 \leq i \leq r-2$, every vertex from X_i is incident to all vertices from $V(G) \setminus X_i$.

The sizes of the classes are

$$|X| = \frac{2r}{3}\alpha n, \quad |A| = |B| = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{3}}n, \quad |C| = |D| = \frac{1 - \frac{2r}{3}\alpha}{r}n - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{3}}n, \quad |X_i| = \frac{1 - \frac{2r}{3}\alpha}{r}n.$$

The smallest class is X and the second smallest are A and B . By deleting all edges between X and A ($|X||A| = \frac{2r}{3\sqrt{3}}\alpha^{3/2}n^2$) we get an r -partite graph. Since the classes A




Figure 1: Graph G

and X are the two smallest class sizes, one cannot do better as observed in [6, Theorem 7]. Hence

$$D_r(G) \geq \frac{2r}{3\sqrt{3}}\alpha^{3/2}n^2.$$

Let us now count the number of edges of G . The number of edges incident to X is

$$\begin{aligned} e(X, X^c) &= \left(\frac{2r}{3}\alpha\right) \left(2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{3}}\right) n^2 + \left(\frac{2r}{3}\alpha\right) \left(\frac{1 - \frac{2r}{3}\alpha}{r}(r-2)\right) n^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{3}(r-2)\alpha + \frac{4r}{3\sqrt{3}}\alpha^{3/2} - \frac{4r(r-2)}{9}\alpha^2\right) n^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using that $|A| + |C| = |B| + |D| = |X_1|$, we have that the number of edges inside $A \cup B \cup C \cup D \cup X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_{r-2}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} e(X^c) &= |X_1|^2 \binom{r}{2} - |A||B| = \left(\frac{1 - \frac{2r}{3}\alpha}{r}n\right)^2 \binom{r}{2} - \frac{1}{3}\alpha n^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{r^2} \binom{r}{2} n^2 - \frac{4r}{3} \frac{1}{r^2} \alpha \binom{r}{2} n^2 + \frac{4}{9} \alpha^2 \binom{r}{2} n^2 - \frac{1}{3} \alpha n^2 \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) \frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{2}{3}(r-1)\alpha n^2 - \frac{1}{3}\alpha n^2 + \frac{4}{9}\alpha^2 \binom{r}{2} n^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the number of edges of G is

$$\begin{aligned} e(G) &= e(X^c) + e(X, X^c) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) \frac{n^2}{2} - \alpha n^2 + \frac{4r}{3\sqrt{3}} \alpha^{3/2} n^2 - \frac{2r(r-3)}{9} \alpha^2 n^2 \\ &\geq \text{ex}(n, K_{r+1}) - \alpha n^2 + \frac{4r}{3\sqrt{3}} \alpha^{3/2} n^2 - \frac{2r(r-3)}{9} \alpha^2 n^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we applied Turán's theorem in the last step. \square

4 References

- [1] K. Amin, J. Faudree, R. J. Gould, and E. Sidorowicz. On the non- $(p-1)$ -partite K_p -free graphs. *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory*, 33(1):9–23, 2013.
- [2] J. Balogh, R. Morris, W. Samotij, and L. Warnke. The typical structure of sparse K_{r+1} -free graphs. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 368(9):6439–6485, 2016.
- [3] A. E. Brouwer. *Some lotto numbers from an extension of Turán's theorem*, volume 152 of *Afdeling Zuivere Wiskunde [Department of Pure Mathematics]*. Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1981.
- [4] P. Erdős. On the graph theorem of Turán. *Mat. Lapok*, 21:249–251, 1970.
- [5] P. Erdős, R. Faudree, J. Pach, and J. Spencer. How to make a graph bipartite. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 45(1):86–98, 1988.
- [6] P. Erdős, E. Győri, and M. Simonovits. How many edges should be deleted to make a triangle-free graph bipartite? In *Sets, graphs and numbers (Budapest, 1991)*, volume 60 of *Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai*, pages 239–263. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992.
- [7] P. Erdős and M. Simonovits. A limit theorem in graph theory. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar*, 1:51–57, 1966.
- [8] Z. Füredi. A proof of the stability of extremal graphs, Simonovits' stability from Szemerédi's regularity. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 115:66–71, 2015.
- [9] D. Hanson and B. Toft. k -saturated graphs of chromatic number at least k . *Ars Combin.*, 31:159–164, 1991.
- [10] P. Hu, B. Lidický, T. Martins, S. Norin, and J. Volec. Large multipartite subgraphs in H -free graphs. manuscript.
- [11] M. Kang and O. Pikhurko. Maximum K_{r+1} -free graphs which are not r -partite. *Mat. Stud.*, 24(1):12–20, 2005.
- [12] V. Nikiforov. Some new results in extremal graph theory. In *Surveys in combinatorics 2011*, volume 392 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 141–181. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2011.

- [13] B. Sudakov. Making a K_4 -free graph bipartite. *Combinatorica*, 27(4):509–518, 2007.
- [14] P. Turán. Eine Extremalaufgabe aus der Graphentheorie. *Mat. Fiz. Lapok*, 48:436–452, 1941.
- [15] M. Tyomkyn and A. J. Uzzell. Strong Turán stability. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 22(3):Paper 3.9, 24, 2015.