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INTRODUCTION

Concrete curing involves techniques and methods to maintain the moisture and temperature of fresh concrete within desired ranges at early ages to ensure continued strength and durability development due to the hydration of cementitious materials. ACI committee 308 (ACI 308 2016) recommends 7-day and 10-day curing for concrete mixtures that incorporate Type I and II portland cement, respectively. However, concrete mixtures containing supplementary cementitious materials reportedly need to be cured for up to 14 days.

Depending on the application and design characteristics, a variety of curing regimes can be used, including external wet curing, insulation membrane curing, and internal curing.

Maintaining adequate moisture content is crucial for facilitating hydration reactions in portland cement concrete mixtures (Bentz and Snyder 1999). The aim of internal curing is to provide water reservoirs inside the body of concrete that aid curing throughout the section without affecting the water-to-cementitious materials (w/cm) ratio of the mixture. Internal curing thus provides cementitious material particles with enough moisture for the hydration reactions, but negative capillary pressures in the pores are reduced, leading to reduced shrinkage (Babcock and Taylor 2015, Bentz and Weiss 2011, Byard and Schindler 2010).

The beneficial effects of internal curing are especially apparent when it is used in mixtures with a water-to-cement ratio lower than 0.42 (De la Varga et al. 2014, Justs et al. 2015), where the risk of desiccation is high and external water cannot easily penetrate into the concrete.

The advantages of internally cured (IC) concrete over conventionally cured concrete are as follows:

- Improved hydration in terms of uniform moisture distribution through the mixture (Bentz and Snyder 1999)
- Reduced autogenous, plastic, and drying shrinkage, which leads to a lower likelihood of shrinkage cracking (Hartman et al. 2014, Shen et al. 2015, Wyrzykowski and Lura 2015)
- Reduced concrete permeability (De la Varga et al. 2014) and enhanced resistance to sulfate attack (Bentz et al. 2014)
- Improved strength and permeability at the interface transition zone (ITZ) (Bentz and Stutzman 2008, Sun et al. 2015)
- Reduced modulus of elasticity (MoE) and enhanced residual stress relaxation due to the presence of lightweight aggregates (LWAs) or superabsorbent polymers (SAPs) (Schlitter et al. 2013, Shen et al. 2016)
- Reduced moisture gradient along the concrete section, leading to reduced warping in concrete pavements (Kim et al. 2016)

- Reduced coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) and thermal conductivity of concrete, resulting in reduced temperature gradients throughout the concrete section and reduced curling in concrete pavements (Amirkhanian and Roesler 2017, Byard et al. 2014)

LWAs and SAPs are two common materials used for internal curing. LWAs are cheaper and more available than SAPs in the United States and are therefore more widely employed.

The aim of this report is to investigate the effects of internal curing on the performance of practical concrete mixtures designed for the construction of jointed plain concrete pavements (JPCPs) in the state of Iowa. The study involved both laboratory investigation and field implementation and monitoring.

**WORK PLAN**

The research plan involved the construction of a sidewalk using a control mixture and a mixture containing lightweight fine aggregates (LWFAs). Samples of the mixtures were taken at the time of placement and sent to the laboratory for testing. Similar mixtures using the same materials were also prepared in the laboratory for comparison and additional testing. In addition, a number of sensors were embedded in the sidewalk concrete to monitor moisture and temperature over time. Periodic measurements were also taken throughout the winter to observe the dimensional stability of the slabs.

**MATERIAL PROPERTIES**

Type I/II portland cement (ASTM C150) and Class C fly ash (ASTM C618) were used for the preparation of both the control and LFWA mixtures. The chemical compositions of the cementitious materials are summarized in Table 1.
Table 1. Chemical compositions of portland cement (Type I/II) and fly ash (Class C)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical composition</th>
<th>Type I/II cement</th>
<th>Class C fly ash</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>20.10</td>
<td>36.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>19.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>6.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₃</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>62.94</td>
<td>25.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>4.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrO</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaO</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An air entraining agent complying with the specifications of ASTM C260 was incorporated into the mixtures. Some mixtures contained a commercially available water reducing admixture complying with ASTM C494.

Crushed limestone with a one-inch nominal maximum aggregate size (NMAS) was used as coarse aggregate, and river sand was used as fine aggregate. Table 2 summarizes the aggregate gradations.

Table 2. Cumulative percent passing gradation of aggregates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sieve Size</th>
<th>Coarse aggregate</th>
<th>Fine aggregate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-1/2”</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1”</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>99.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/4”</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>74.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2”</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>37.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/8”</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#4</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#8</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#16</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#30</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#50</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#100</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#200</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The specific gravities of the coarse and fine aggregates were 2.68 and 2.66, respectively. The LWFA was a commercially available product. The properties and gradation of the LWFA employed for internal curing are indicated in Table 3 and Figure 1.

Table 3. Properties of LWFA used for internal curing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LWFA type</th>
<th>Specific gravity</th>
<th>Absorption (%)</th>
<th>Desorption at 93% relative humidity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expanded clay</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>32.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24 hours</td>
<td>Ultimate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Aggregate gradations

MIXTURE PROPORTIONS

The mixture proportions of both the control and IC concrete used in both the field and laboratory studies are shown in Table 4. The proportions were based on those traditionally used for sidewalk construction. For the IC mixture, about 20% of the volume of fine aggregate was replaced by LWFA in order to provide 7% internal curing water by mass of cementitious materials (Schlitter et al. 2010).
Table 4. Mixture proportion design of control and IC concrete

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control concrete</th>
<th>IC concrete</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Portland cement (lb/yd³)</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fly Ash (lb/yd³)</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse aggregate (lb/yd³)</td>
<td>1,698</td>
<td>1,698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine aggregate (lb/yd³)</td>
<td>1375</td>
<td>942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightweight fine aggregate (lb/yd³)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w/cm ratio</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fly ash percentage, by mass (%)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target air content (%)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The concrete was prepared and delivered by a local ready-mix supplier. The LWFA was saturated prior to batching.

SITE LOCATION

The site plan of the constructed sidewalk on the Iowa State University campus is illustrated in Figure 2. Some slabs at the both ends of the control and IC sections have 8 ft joint spacing, while other slabs have 12 ft joint spacing.
Figure 2. Site plan of the constructed sidewalk
Figures 3 and 4 show sensor installation and field sampling.

Figure 3. Placing concrete and embedding sensors

Figure 4. Obtaining test specimens in the field
The IC section of the constructed sidewalk is shown in Figure 5.

![Constructed sidewalk (IC section)](image)

**Figure 5. Constructed sidewalk (IC section)**

**EXPERIMENTAL METHODS**

A wide range of standard laboratory and field tests were conducted:

- Workability of fresh concrete, slump test (ASTM C143)
- Air content of fresh concrete, pressure method (ASTM C231)
- Semi-adiabatic calorimetry (ASTM C1753) (see Figure 6)
- Compressive strength (ASTM C39)
- Static modulus of elasticity (MoE) (ASTM C469)
- Splitting tensile strength (ASTM C496)
- Electrical surface resistivity (AASHTO T 358) (see Figure 7)
- Rate of water absorption (ASTM C1585) (see Figure 8)
- Bulk water absorption of dried concrete specimen (ASTM C642)
- Bulk water sorption of dried concrete specimen (ASTM C1757)
- CTE of concrete (AASHTO T 336) (see Figure 9)
Figure 6. Calorimetry test device

Figure 7. Surface electrical resistivity test device (four-probe Wenner-Array device)

Figure 8. Preparation of a specimen for sorptivity testing
Additional tests are discussed below.

**Moisture Content**

Interest is increasing in the assessment of the internal moisture content of concrete in the hardened state. This because the amount of water present (degree of saturation) within the pore system has a direct impact on potential freeze-thaw distress as well as other chemical-related failure mechanisms. Additionally the moisture profile across a section influences the potential for warping of a slab.

Devices to measure the relative humidity (RH) of the pore system are readily available; however, such devices may be overloaded by the placement process and the very high RH in the pores during the early life of the mixture. In addition, the property being sought is the amount of liquid water in the pores rather than the amount of vapor in the air.

Traditionally, measurement of the moisture content of a sample has involved weighing a test sample at the point of sampling after oven drying (to establish the 0% datum) and after vacuum saturation (to establish the 100% datum). Such an approach is necessarily destructive and is typically conducted in a sample several inches in size, making it impossible to obtain local information or to establish a profile in a thin element.

An alternative approach is to use capacitance-based approaches. The dielectric constant or relative permittivity of a concrete material is made up of the relative permittivity values of the material’s individual constituents. As shown in Table 5, the permittivity of water is almost 20 times higher than that of other construction materials. Therefore, the dielectric constant of concrete is dependent on the volume of water in the pores. Measuring the dielectric constant is dependent on calibrating the porous system being investigated (Sakaki et al. 2011, Topp et al. 1980).
Table 5. Relative permittivity values of common materials in construction industry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Relative Permittivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil mineral</td>
<td>3–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic materials</td>
<td>2–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete</td>
<td>4–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (20°C)</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10 illustrates one of the most widely accepted calibration graphs suggested for soils (using equation from Topp et al. 1980). A customized calibration equation is required for both control and IC concrete mixtures.

The Decagon 5TE sensor (Figure 11) was used in this work to measure the relative permittivity of the concrete materials.

The Decagon 5TE sensor is a capacitance sensor based on frequency domain technology. The sensor uses a 70 MHz frequency to minimize salinity and texture effects. The sensor also measures the temperature and electrical conductivity of the surrounding media.
Figure 12 illustrates the installation of a Decagon 5TE sensor at the top and bottom of the sidewalk slab.

![Image of sensor installation](image)

**Figure 12. Installation of Decagon 5TE sensors at the top and bottom layers of JPCP**

The sensor should be installed horizontally with a predetermined cover of 1 in. In the laboratory study, the sensor was embedded in both directions, horizontally in a plastic pan with a 1 in. cover and vertically at the center of a 6 in. diameter cylinder. The results were almost the same, so the remaining tests were conducted using 6 in. diameter cylinders.

**Pore Water Pressure in Fresh Concrete**

Fresh concrete is assumed to be fully saturated at early ages, with a pore water pressure (PWP) of zero. However, as the moisture content of the mixture drops due to water consumption for hydration or from evaporation, the negative PWP increases. The variation of water content and the development of PWP in plastic concrete are illustrated in Figure 13.
In Figure 13, Point A is the concrete placement time, Point B is the balance point when the rate of bleeding water is equal to the rate of missing water, and Point E is the air entry point, which is the maximum PWP the system can tolerate before cracking exposes the tip of the sensor to the atmosphere.

A high negative PWP leads to plastic shrinkage over time; if PWP exceeds a given threshold for a concrete mixture, the likelihood of plastic shrinkage cracking increases. The correlation between PWP and shrinkage strain ($\varepsilon$) (Wittmann 1976) highlights the need for limiting the maximum PWP and postponing the development of PWP until the concrete can carry the associated stresses. The development of PWP can be slowed by protecting the surface or by providing extra water during curing.

Figure 14 illustrates a capillary pressure sensor system (CPSS), which is used to measure PWP in plastic concrete. The hydraulic connectivity between the CPSS and the plastic concrete is provided by a small plastic syringe filled with vacuumed deionized water. The CPSS also includes a set of light, temperature, and relative humidity sensors to monitor environmental conditions at the top of the concrete surface.
Portable Device for Measuring Curling and Warping of Concrete Pavements

Curling and warping of a concrete pavement are deformations that develop over time as a result of temperature and moisture gradients through the thickness of the concrete pavement. These deformations lead to increasing cyclic bending stresses at the edges of the pavement slab that can result in fatigue cracking at the corners. Figure 15 shows the upward (left) and downward (right) deformation of concrete pavement.

An inexpensive and portable device was developed at Iowa State University in 2016 to monitor curling and warping of concrete pavements over time (Ceylan et al. 2016). The benefit of this test method is that one technician can move the device and perform measurements on the site rapidly without the need for sensors to be embedded in the pavement. The test method involves installing two small steel stands at each end of the slab in the sawed joints and stretching a steel wire between them. The gap between the reference wire and the surface of the concrete slab is measured using a digital height gauge at several points along the wire. In this study, this measurement was carried out in the early morning and late evening over several months to monitor the maximum curling and warping of the pavements as a function of age and weather. Figure 16 and Figure 18 show the device and the digital height gauge, respectively.
Figure 16. Portable device for measuring deflection over time

Figure 17. Digital height gauge used for measurements
Figure 18 illustrates the measurement points on a concrete slab that are used to monitor curling and warping over time.

![Diagram of concrete slab with measurement points](image)

Figure 18. Ten measurement points on two lines for monitoring curling and warping on a concrete slab

As shown in Figure 18, the researchers took measurements on two parallel lines at the north and south sides of the pavement, with five measurements taken on each line.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Early-Age Properties**

In the laboratory study, the control concrete did not contain any water reducing admixture, but high-range water reducing agent (HRWRA) was added to the IC concrete to achieve the desired workability (2±1 in.). This shows that replacing river sand with LWFA in the mixture design may slightly decrease concrete workability. The fresh properties of the concrete mixtures, including slump, air content, and temperature, in both the laboratory and field environments are presented in Table 6 and Table 7, respectively.

**Table 6. Fresh properties of concrete mixtures prepared in the laboratory**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concrete</th>
<th>Slump (in.)</th>
<th>Air Content (%)</th>
<th>Concrete Temperature (°F)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>70.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>65.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7. Fresh properties of concrete mixtures sampled in the field

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concrete</th>
<th>Slump (in.)</th>
<th>Air Content (%)</th>
<th>Concrete Temperature (°F)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>87.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local weather conditions at the time of placement (the morning of July 22, 2016) were as follows:

- Ambient temperature: 108°F
- Relative humidity: 38%
- Maximum wind speed: 9.4 mph

Figure 19 shows the results of semi-adiabatic calorimetry tests obtained for the concrete mixtures in the laboratory.

![Figure 19. Semi-adiabatic calorimetry test results for laboratory mixtures](image)

The maximum recorded temperatures and the corresponding times of peak temperature, as well as the initial setting times predicted based on calorimetry results, are summarized in Table 8. As expected, little significant difference was observed between the IC and control samples.

Table 8. Results of calorimetry test for laboratory mixtures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concrete</th>
<th>Maximum Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Time of Peak Temperature (min)</th>
<th>Initial Setting Time (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Laboratory specimens were cured in closed 6 by 12 in. cylindrical specimens. IC concrete has some extra water stored in the LWA, which increases the permittivity of IC concrete mixtures compared to control concrete mixtures. As free water is removed from both systems due to hydration, the relative permittivity of both mixtures drops. According to the calorimetry test
results, cement hydration for both mixtures (Figure 20) was similar for the period monitored. Relative permittivity was in agreement, with both mixtures following almost the same trend.

The initial electrical conductivity of the IC mixture was higher at early ages, but both mixtures had almost the same conductivity after two days.

It is possible to predict both the initial and final setting time of concrete mixtures based on the variation in electrical conductivity (Li et al. 2007). The results of this analysis show that the time associated with the maximum electrical conductivity was almost the same for both mixtures (130 and 128 minutes for the control and IC mixtures, respectively) and is similar to the calorimetry test results.

Figure 22 shows the temperature variation in the control concrete in the field during the first 48 hours (measured using Decagon 5TE sensors). The first major temperature peak is due to the heat generated by hydration, while the other temperature variations follow the ambient temperature.

![Temperature variation in control concrete in the field](image)

**Figure 22. Temperature variation in control concrete in the field**

The relative permittivity results for the control mixture are illustrated in Figure 23. Variation in the relative permittivity is mainly dependent on the moisture content of the concrete. Relative permittivity generally decreased over the first 48 hours due to moisture loss, and the decrease was initially faster at the top of the slab.
Figure 23. Relative permittivity variation in control concrete in the field

Figure 24 and Figure 25 present the variation in temperature and relative permittivity, respectively, of the IC mixture.

Figure 24. Temperature variation in IC concrete in the field
The temperature variation in the IC concrete was similar to that in the control concrete. However, the IC concrete generally had a higher relative permittivity than the control concrete due to the stored water in the LWFA. As water was consumed due to cement hydration or evaporated due to environmental conditions in the field, the water potential increased and the LWFA released its reserved water. Both the IC and control mixtures had almost the same relative permittivity (18) at the end of the first 48-hour period.

The development of PWP in fresh concrete may contribute to plastic shrinkage strain and cracking. Figure 26 demonstrates PWP development in both control and IC concrete mixtures in the laboratory.
Table 9 summarizes the key factors related to the development of PWP in both mixtures.

**Table 9. Results of PWP development test in fresh concrete in laboratory mixtures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concrete</th>
<th>Air Entry Value (KPa)</th>
<th>Air Entry Time (min)</th>
<th>Slope of Developing PWP (KPa/min)</th>
<th>Intercept (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>-93.41</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC</td>
<td>-29.84</td>
<td>462.5</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>243.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The air entry value is the maximum PWP the system can tolerate. The air entry time is the time associated with the air entry value. The slope of the developing PWP is constant immediately before the air entry point.

PWP development started earlier in the control concrete and reached a value three times greater than that of the IC mixture, likely because the LWA in the IC mixture was providing water to the pores, as intended.

**Hardened Properties**

The mechanical properties of the control and IC concretes mixed in the laboratory and sampled in the field are reported in Table 10 and Table 11, respectively. All specimens were 4 by 8 in. cylinders demolded after the first day and then cured in a fog room until testing.

**Table 10. Mechanical properties of laboratory mixtures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concrete</th>
<th>Tensile Strength (psi)</th>
<th>Compressive Strength (psi)</th>
<th>MoE (ksi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28-day</td>
<td>91-day</td>
<td>28-day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>683</td>
<td>6824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>6925</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 11. Mechanical properties of field mixtures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concrete</th>
<th>Tensile Strength (psi)</th>
<th>Compressive Strength (psi)</th>
<th>MoE (ksi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28-day</td>
<td>91-day</td>
<td>28-day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>4785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>5540</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results indicate a 15% increase in compressive strength, while the split tensile strength was unchanged with the inclusion of the LWFA. The modulus of elasticity decreased by 15%, as expected.
It should be noted that all cylinders were subjected to standard wet curing until they were tested. Therefore, internal curing would be expected to have little influence on mechanical properties.

The trends exhibited by the control and IC mixtures were similar for both the field and laboratory mixtures, but the field mixtures were consistently weaker than the laboratory mixtures. This likely indicates differences in environment.

Laboratory and field resistivity results are shown in Figure 27 and Figure 28, respectively.

![Figure 27. Surface electrical resistivity of laboratory specimens](image)

![Figure 28. Surface electrical resistivity of field specimens](image)
The results indicate that although using porous LWFA increases the total porosity of IC concrete, its permeability is lower because of the improved permeability of the paste. The effect of wetting and drying cycles at two different temperatures (50°C and 110°C) on the surface resistivity of mixtures was also investigated. The results are presented in Appendix A.

The results of water desorption, sorption, absorption, and rate of absorption (sorptivity) tests on the laboratory specimens are shown in Table 12.

Table 12. Water desorption, sorption, absorption, and rate of absorption of laboratory specimens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concrete</th>
<th>Water Desorption (%)</th>
<th>Water Sorption (mm)</th>
<th>Water Absorption (%)</th>
<th>Rate of Absorption (mm/s\textsuperscript{0.5})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>12.6×10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>15.7×10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The tests were conducted on 4 by 8 in. cylindrical specimens at 91 days. The results show that although internal curing may improve the impermeability properties of paste (based on the results of the surface resistivity test), the water absorption properties of the IC concrete specimens are higher than those of the control concrete specimens. This is believed to be due to the effects of the porous LWA on IC concrete’s absorption/desorption properties.

Figure 29 and Figure 30 show the water absorption rates of control and IC laboratory specimens, respectively, tested in accordance with ASTM C1585. Both the initial and secondary rates of water absorption increased in the IC mixtures due to the presence of porous LWFA.
Table 13 presents the results of water desorption, sorption, and absorption tests on the field specimens.

Table 13. Water desorption, sorption, and absorption of field specimens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concrete</th>
<th>Water Desorption (%)</th>
<th>Water Sorption (mm)</th>
<th>Water Absorption (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>1.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These tests were carried out on 2 in. thick disks obtained from 4 in. diameter cylinders at 28 days. The results of the tests on field specimens confirm the laboratory results and show that the incorporation of LWA increases the water absorption properties of concrete mixtures.

The CTE was determined for both the control and IC laboratory specimens at 91 days. The test was carried out on each mixture using two cylindrical specimens, each with a 4 in. diameter and 7 in. height. The average CTE values were $3.79 \times 10^{-6}$ in./in./°F for the control concrete and $3.38 \times 10^{-6}$ in/in/°F for the IC concrete. This difference indicates that the LWFA decreased the CTE by more than 10%.

In order to quantify the variation in the relative permittivity of hardened concrete, it is necessary to calibrate the concrete moisture content as a function of permittivity. For this purpose, a Decagon 5TE sensor was embedded at the center of a 3 by 4 by 5 in. rectangular specimen. Drying at 50°C started after seven days of wet curing. The weight and permittivity of each specimen were recorded periodically until the specimen reached constant mass. The calibration
equation for quantifying volumetric water content (VWC) based on concrete permittivity is generally nonlinear (Topp et al. 1980). However, it can be assumed to be linear over small variations in VWC, such as those found in concrete. Therefore, the equations can be evaluated as illustrated in Figure 32 for both mixtures.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 31. Customized calibration of VWC versus relative permittivity of control and IC concrete**

The control concrete appears to be more conductive than the IC concrete (Figure 28), which leads to the higher energy dissipation and lower capacitance for IC concrete seen in Figure 32.

Because the pore structure and pore solution properties of cementitious materials change over time due to cement hydration, the ideal would be to develop calibrations for different ages. However, assuming that hydration slows after seven days, it can be accepted that the dielectric properties of the mixture do not vary significantly after that.

Figure 32 shows the temperature variation between the top and bottom layers of the sidewalk over time.
The maximum recorded temperature gradient for the investigated sidewalk was less than 3°C, while the difference between the peak gradients of the IC and control concrete sections was less than half a degree. Although the resolution of the temperature sensor is 0.1°C, its accuracy is closer to ±1°C. Therefore, it can be concluded that the temperature gradients for both sections were almost the same. It is also worth noting that the thermal conductivity and specific heat capacity of the IC concrete were, respectively, lower and higher than the same properties of the control concrete (Demirboğa and Gül 2003, Uysal et al. 2004). Therefore, it seems reasonable to have obtained comparable temperature gradients for both sections.

However, Figure 33 shows that the IC concrete section exhibited a 50% lower moisture gradient through the thickness of the slab, likely because of the moisture provided by the LWFA. This lower moisture gradient has the potential to reduce potential warping in the slab.
Figure 33. Variation in VWC between top and bottom layers

Curling and warping of the sidewalk were monitored using the portable device described above. Additionally, saw joints were monitored to study how they cracked in the control and IC concrete sections (Appendix B). The uncracked joint at one end of the 8 ft slab in the control section was also examined using ultrasonic shear-wave tomography to ensure there were no invisible subsurface cracks. From the results of these tests, it can be concluded that the two small 8 ft concrete slabs performed like a larger 16 ft slab.

The profile of the surface of the concrete pavements was measured in the early morning and late evening at 7 days and used as a baseline for later measurements. The measurements were repeated at the same points at 18, 76, and 96 days. The results are presented in Figure 34 through Figure 41.

Figure 34. Built-in variation for control section, morning, 8 ft
Figure 35. Built-in variation for control section, morning, 12 ft

Figure 36. Built-in variation for IC section, morning, 8 ft

Figure 37. Built-in variation for IC section, morning, 12 ft
Figure 38. Built-in variation for control section, evening, 8 ft

Figure 39. Built-in variation for control section, evening, 12 ft

Figure 40. Built-in variation for IC section, evening, 8 ft
Figure 41. Built-in variation for IC section, evening, 12 ft

The maximum differential results, after compensating for the initial shape, are presented in Figure 42 through Figure 45.

Figure 42. Curling and warping displacement at the north side of the control and IC concrete sections with 8 ft joint spacing
Figure 43. Curling and warping displacement at the south side of the control and IC concrete sections with 8 ft joint spacing

Figure 44. Curling and warping displacement at the north side of the control and IC concrete sections with 12 ft joint spacing
Figure 45. Curling and warping displacement at the south side of the control and IC concrete sections with 12 ft joint spacing

The results show that the IC section exhibited less curling and warping displacement for the period recorded. It may be therefore be expected that longer panels can be accommodated and/or the slabs will last longer under traffic.

Figure 46 summarizes the maximum vertical movements of the concrete pavement slabs over the measurement period.

Figure 46. Summary of maximum vertical displacements

The maximum movement for the IC section is negligible compared to that of the control section. It is also worth noting that, as Appendix B shows, the joints between the 8 ft designed slabs were
not cracked. This is why the slabs performed like a larger 16 ft slab and had a maximum vertical movement almost two times higher than that of the 12 ft slabs.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of this study indicate that replacing 20% of the volume of fine aggregate with LWFA for internal curing may lead to the following results:

- The workability of the fresh concrete may slightly decrease.
- The initial setting time and heat generation are not significantly affected.
- The risk of plastic shrinkage is decreased.
- The electrical resistivity and relative permittivity are higher at early ages.
- The permeability of the cement paste is improved.
- The CTE is reduced.
- The MoE is reduced.
- Warping is reduced.

It can be concluded that although replacing a portion of the fine aggregate with LWFA for internal curing may increase the initial investment by 2.7%, the strength and durability properties of such mixtures are improved, and pavement constructed using these mixtures will last longer.
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APPENDIX A: CYCLIC WETTING AND DRYING REGIME

Environmental cyclic loading (like moisture or temperature variation) can affect the transport properties of concrete by making microcracks in the pore structure of concrete. In this study, two control and two IC concrete specimens (4 by 8 in. cylinders) were investigated under wetting and drying cycles at the age of 91 days. Specimens were fully immersed in lime-saturated water for the wetting cycles and dried in ovens at two different temperatures, 50°C and 110°C, to represent normal and extreme temperature loading, respectively. Each wetting or drying cycle was stopped when the specimen reached a constant weight. The surface resistivity of the saturated specimens was then measured at the laboratory’s room temperature to indicate the permeability of the concrete specimen.

As demonstrated in Figure 48, drying the control specimens in an oven at 50°C increased resistivity, as expected. The resistivity of the IC concrete increased by a higher amount, likely due to improved hydration.

As demonstrated in Figure 48, drying the control specimens in an oven at 50°C increased resistivity, as expected. The resistivity of the IC concrete increased by a higher amount, likely due to improved hydration.

![Figure 47. Cyclic wetting and drying regime at 50°C](image)

In contrast, drying the specimens at 110°C significantly adversely affected concrete permeability (Figure 49), likely by propagating microcracks in the pore structure. The detrimental effects of temperature loading were significant in the first cycles.
Figure 48. Cyclic wetting and drying regime at 110°C

\[ y = 23.771x^{-0.426} \quad R^2 = 0.9133 \]

\[ y = 32.22x^{-0.272} \quad R^2 = 0.9017 \]
APPENDIX B: MONITORING JOINT CRACKING OF CONTROL AND IC SECTIONS

The schematic plan of the joints in the control section is illustrated in Figure 49.

![Figure 49. Schematic plan of joints in the control section](image)

As illustrated, only two of the joints located at the very beginning of the pavement were not cracked (monitored in July 2017). Joints 1 through 6, which were all cracked, are shown with scales in Figure 50 through Figure 55.

![Figure 50. Joint 1 in the control section](image)

![Figure 51. Joint 2 in the control section](image)
Figure 52. Joint number 3 in the control section

Figure 53. Joint 4 in the control section

Figure 54. Joint 5 in the control section
In addition, the uncracked joint between the 8 ft slabs in the control section was investigated using ultrasonic shear-wave tomography to determine whether invisible cracks were present. The device for performing ultrasonic shear-wave tomography, MIRA, contains 40 dry point contact (DPC) transducers in total, which can send and receive low-frequency (55 kHz) shear-wave ultrasonic pulses. The transducers are placed in 10 channels (each channel has four transducers) and are able to conduct 45 transmitting and receiving pair measurements during each test. The test was repeated 10 times, and the average results are presented in Figure 56.
The results showed that no invisible subsurface cracks were present in the joint, and the two 8 ft slabs performed like one large 16 ft slab in practice.

A schematic plan of the joints in the IC section is illustrated in Figure 57.
As presented, only two sets of joints, one at each end of the pavement, were not cracked. Joints 1 through 10 in the IC section, which were all cracked, are shown with scales in Figure 58 through 67.
Figure 60. Joint 3 in the IC section

Figure 61. Joint 4 in the IC section

Figure 62. Joint 5 in the IC section
Figure 63. Joint 6 in the IC section

Figure 64. Joint 7 in the IC section

Figure 65. Joint 8 in the IC section
Figure 66. Joint 9 in the IC section

Figure 67. Joint 10 in the IC section
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