

2015

Reply to Nott: Assessing biases in speleothem records of flood events

Rhawn F. Denniston
Cornell College

Gabriele Villarini
University of Iowa

Angelique N. Gonzales
Cornell College

Victor J. Polyak
University of New Mexico

Caroline C. Ummenhofer

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ge_at_pubs



Part of the [Climate Commons](#), and the [Sedimentology Commons](#)

The complete bibliographic information for this item can be found at http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ge_at_pubs/216. For information on how to cite this item, please visit <http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/howtocite.html>.

Reply to Nott: Assessing biases in speleothem records of flood events

Disciplines

Climate | Earth Sciences | Sedimentology

Comments

This article is published as Denniston, Rhawn F., Gabriele Villarini, Angelique N. Gonzales, Victor J. Polyak, Caroline C. Ummenhofer, Matthew S. Lachniet, Alan D. Wanamaker Jr, William F. Humphreys, David Woods, and John Cugley. "Reply to Nott: Assessing biases in speleothem records of flood events." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 112, no. 34 (2015): E4637. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1513354112](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1513354112). Posted with permission.

Authors

Rhawn F. Denniston, Gabriele Villarini, Angelique N. Gonzales, Victor J. Polyak, Caroline C. Ummenhofer, Matthew S. Lachniet, Alan D. Wanamaker, William F. Humphreys, David Woods, and John Cugley



Reply to Nott: Assessing biases in speleothem records of flood events

In our reconstruction of extreme rainfall events from the Australian tropics (1), we relied on a method still in its infancy: linking accumulations of sediment trapped within stalagmites to discrete cave flooding events. This sedimentological approach (2, 3) represents an important complement to speleothem-based isotopic methods (4, 5) that expand our understanding of prehistoric tropical cyclone activity.

In his Letter to the Editor (6), Nott notes that identifying mud layers represents a somewhat subjective component of this analysis. In particular, differentiation between thin mud layers and stains on stalagmite carbonate not associated with sediment accumulations is a possible source of error. As we discuss in our report (1) and the associated *Supporting Information*, recognizing flood-derived sediment layers in our stalagmites was complicated by this issue, as well as other factors, including biases associated with preservation of sediment on stalagmite caps and the location of the cuts used to bisect the stalagmites.

To provide the most robust and independent determination possible for identifying flood events, we relied on (i) replication of coeval sections of different stalagmites and (ii) calibration with historical records of extreme rainfall with a stalagmite formed over the same time period (A.D. 1908–1986). As Nott notes (6), we observed little difference in the temporal trends of extreme rainfall activity over the last two millennia, whether or not we interpreted these stains as cave flooding events.

In addition, we restricted our mud layer analysis to aragonite stalagmites (which comprise the late Holocene portion of the KNI-51 record) because they are faster growing (~1 mm/y) than calcite stalagmites and much more suitable for ^{230}Th dating techniques. Relative to most other studies, the accurate dates well constrained by small errors and the exceptionally high growth rates of KNI-51 stalagmites make this suite of samples unique.

With that said, high-resolution analysis via techniques such as fluorescence, as suggested by Nott (6), may provide new insight into the nature of flood activity in the aragonite speleothems of KNI-51. As Nott notes, abundances of fluorescent compounds such as organic acids would likely be exceptionally diluted in flood waters associated with extreme rainfall events. This would particularly be the case in areas such as the uplands of the eastern Kimberley, which are characterized by thin soils with little organic carbon content, and thus flood waters may contain a different fluorescent signature than traditionally infiltrated fluids.

**Rhawn F. Denniston^{a,1}, Gabriele Villarini^b,
Angelique N. Gonzales^a, Victor J. Polyak^c,
Caroline C. Ummerhofer^d, Matthew S.
Lachniet^e, Alan D. Wanamaker Jr.^f,
William F. Humphreys^g, David Woods^h,
and John Cugleyⁱ**

^aDepartment of Geology, Cornell College,
Mount Vernon, IA 52314; ^bIHR-Hydroscience
and Engineering, University of Iowa, Iowa
City, IA 52240; ^cDepartment of Earth and

Planetary Sciences, University of New Mexico,
Albuquerque, NM 87131; ^dDepartment of
Physical Oceanography, Woods Hole
Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA
02543; ^eDepartment of Geoscience, University
of Nevada Las Vegas, NV 89154; ^fDepartment
of Geological and Atmospheric Sciences, Iowa
State University, Ames, IA 50011; ^gWestern
Australia Museum, Welshpool DC, WA 6986,
Australia; ^hDepartment of Parks and
Wildlife, Broome, WA 6725, Australia; and
ⁱAustralian Speleological Federation,
Willetton, WA 6155, Australia

1 Denniston RF, et al. (2015) Extreme rainfall activity in the Australian tropics reflects changes in the El Niño/Southern Oscillation over the last two millennia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 112(15):4576–4581.

2 Dasgupta S, et al. (2010) Three thousand years of extreme rainfall events recorded in stalagmites from Spring Valley Caverns, Minnesota. *Earth Planet Sci Lett* 300(1–2):46–54.

3 Frappier A, et al. (2014) Two millennia of tropical cyclone-induced mud layers in a northern Yucatan stalagmite reveal multiple overlapping climatic hazards during the Maya Terminal Classic “megadroughts”. *Geophys Res Lett* 41(14):5148–5157.

4 Frappier A, et al. (2007) Stalagmite stable isotope record of recent tropical cyclone events. *Geology* 35(2):111–114.

5 Haig J, Nott J, Reichert G-J (2014) Australian tropical cyclone activity lower than at any time over the past 550–1,500 years. *Nature* 505(7485):667–671.

6 Nott J (2015) Identification of mud flood layers within stalagmites. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 112:E4636.

Author contributions: R.F.D. designed research; R.F.D., A.N.G., V.J.P., C.C.U., M.S.L., A.D.W., W.F.H., D.W., and J.C. performed research; R.F.D., G.V., V.J.P., M.S.L., and A.D.W. analyzed data; and R.F.D., V.J.P., C.C.U., and M.S.L. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: rdenniston@cornellcollege.edu.