IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Digital Repository

Geological and Atmospheric Sciences Publications

Geological and Atmospheric Sciences

8-2014

Making things geological: 3-D printing in the geosciences

Franciszek J. Hasiuk *Iowa State University,* franek@iastate.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ge_at_pubs Part of the Atmospheric Sciences Commons, and the Earth Sciences Commons

The complete bibliographic information for this item can be found at http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ge_at_pubs/222. For information on how to cite this item, please visit http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/howtocite.html.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Geological and Atmospheric Sciences at Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Geological and Atmospheric Sciences Publications by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

Making things geological: 3-D printing in the geosciences

Abstract

Geoscientists are some of most prolific producers of three-dimensional (3-D) data. These data do not belong in our computers—they belong in our hands. The power of computers to make accurate and speedy calculations on 3-D data sets is impossible for humans to match. Likewise, the power of the human mind and its sensory system to perceive qualitative aspects of physical objects is currently beyond computers. Threedimensional printing, shorthand for a host of technologies more broadly described as "rapid prototyping," is transforming how scientists, engineers, doctors, and artists interact with and understand 3-D data and models by allowing those data to be touched and viewed from any angle under real-world conditions. Importantly, 3-D printing produces tangible objects that are obviously intuitive to students, non-geoscientists, and decision makers.

Disciplines

Atmospheric Sciences | Earth Sciences

Comments

This article is published as Hasiuk, Franciszek. "Making things geological: 3-D printing in the geosciences." GSA Today 24, no. 8 (2014): 28-29. doi:10.1130/GSATG211GW.1. Posted with permission.

Creative Commons License

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.



Making things geological: 3-D printing in the geosciences

Franciszek Hasiuk, GeoFabLab, Dept. of Geological and Atmospheric Sciences, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011, USA, franek@iastate.edu

INTRODUCTION

Geoscientists are some of most prolific producers of three-dimensional (3-D) data. These data do not belong in our computers—they belong in our hands. The power of computers to make accurate and speedy calculations on 3-D data sets is impossible for humans to match. Likewise, the power of the human mind and its sensory system to perceive qualitative aspects of physical objects is currently beyond computers. Three-dimensional printing, shorthand for a host of technologies more broadly described as "rapid prototyping," is transforming how scientists, engineers, doctors, and artists interact with and understand 3-D data and models by allowing those data to be touched and viewed from any angle under real-world conditions. Importantly, 3-D printing produces tangible objects that are obviously intuitive to students, nongeoscientists, and decision makers.

3-D PRINTING IS HERE

While some products result from a perfect mental vision, most manufacturing utilizes an iterative process. Human interaction with prototypes allows model qualities to be assessed in realworld situations. Computer-aided design (CAD) has yielded increasing design accuracy and has allowed design performance to be simulated computationally. However, the same fundamental need often still exists to validate models "in the *real* world."

Rapid prototyping technologies have developed to meet this challenge. These consist of a family of methods for producing physical models from digital designs (cf. Pham and Gault, 1998). Most commonly, these systems are additive, building an object by fusing together feedstock (e.g., plastic, metal, mineral) delivered to the print area as a powder, liquid, film, bead, or thread. The combination of 3-D printing with any of the multitude of 3-D scanning technologies, like computed tomography (CT) or photogrammetry, creates a 3-D photocopier (e.g., Rengier et al., 2010).

Rapid prototyping is being put to such disparate uses as producing art installations, fabricating aircraft parts, designing jewelry, reproducing paleontological specimens, engineering bone implants, making textiles, creating edible foods, and fulfilling the human need for self-defense (i.e., making weapons). This transformative technology has been widely covered in the popular press, resulting in broad public awareness.

Among geoscientists, paleontologists have been early adopters of this technology (e.g., Bristowe et al., 2004; Balanoff and Rowe,

GSA Today, v. 24, no. 8, doi: 10.1130/GSATG211GW.1.

2002; Pouech et al., 2010; Hasiotis et al., 2011; Hyatt and Rosiene, 2013)—seeking to digitally extract fossils from stubborn matrices or reconstruct disarticulated specimens. Other geoscientific uses have been reported mainly through meeting abstracts: printing CT, LiDAR, and seismic data to understand morphology and stratigraphy (Reyes et al., 2008); printing extraterrestrial topography (Horowitz and Schultz, 2012); and visualizing earthquake distribution (Lindqvist et al., 2012).

3-D PRINTING IS EASY

Reliable desktop 3-D printers cost less than US\$3000, and feedstock is commodity priced (unlike 2-D printer ink). 3-D scanning has become easier and less-expensive as consumer-grade solutions have proliferated (e.g., Microsoft's Kinect sensor and free smartphone apps that use cloud services to stitch multiple images into a 3-D model). Free online services (e.g., thingiverse.com, tinkercad .com, 123Dapp.com) allow basic CAD modeling with options to export printable files or have a printing service deliver a completed model. These services provide free platforms for the distribution of models, often including social media and metrics for tracking views and downloads (i.e., impact). While academic and government institutions provide online warehouses of 3-D data and models (cf. DigiMorph.org, GB3D), a peer-review mechanism is sorely needed to ensure uniform standards of accuracy and printability while providing easy access and citability.

3-D PRINTING IS USEFUL

In the geosciences, we struggle with a fundamental problem we love nature, but its aspects can be truly enormous or fantastically miniscule, very far away or exceedingly rare. Our burden is to overcome these conditions and communicate effectively about nature. With equal ease, 3-D printing can make hand-samples out of subduction zones and foraminifera, Martian topography, and seismic data.

Such models are immediately useful because much of what we need to communicate concerns shape and form (Fig. 1). For these purposes, we can produce inexpensive teaching models on demand, saving acquisition costs while bringing unique specimens to broader audiences. Three-dimensional printing makes the natural specimen the starting point. Digital models can be transformed (e.g., scaled, mirrored, distorted) by an instructor or a student to explore concepts like morphology, vertical exaggeration, or strain. With a little CAD work, we can make *flexible fossils* to more effectively communicate how organisms, extinct and extant, locomote.



Figure 1. 3-D printed geological things. (A) Flexible fossil ("*Comura articulatum*," thingiverse.com). (B) "Artificial reservoir rock" with through-going porosity (GeoFabLab). (C) Crystal model (rhombic dodecahedron, 3Dlapidary.com). (D) Topography of Ames, Iowa, USA, near the football stadium (GeoFabLab). Models were printed in ABS (acrylonitrile butadiene styrene) plastic, the same material used to make LEGO[®]. Photograph by Bob Elbert.

Students might more easily develop a sense of scale from a *touchable topography*—that they themselves choose and print—that combines local elevation data showing natural and human features. By printing in multiple colors, geological attributes (like geologic formations or geophysical measurements) can be printed over elevation data as a way to better understand a new field area or check field results. Medical researchers already 3-D print hydroxyapatite for bone implants (e.g., Dorozhkin, 2010), and some researchers have 3-D printed using silica, marble, and gypsum feedstocks.

Destructive testing yields valuable information at the expense of repeatability. *Geo-cloning* uses 3-D scanning or computational methods to create a digital model of a specimen that can be 3-D printed for analysis with traditional laboratory methods. Three-dimensionally printed river cobbles have been used to investigate weathering processes (Bourke et al., 2008). Others have used CT scanning and 3-D printing to reproduce pore networks (Otten et al., 2012). *Recombinant geology* involves modifying the intermediate digital model to test hypotheses about the original specimen. For example, parametrically modeled pelecypod shells have been 3-D printed to assess their burrowing functionality (Germann et al., 2014).

3-D PRINTING IS INCLUSIVE

Perhaps the most compelling reason to adopt 3-D printing into your teaching and research philosophies is that it will help you communicate more effectively. Some people do not "get" 3-D data on a computer screen, even with 3-D glasses or in 3-D visualization rooms. By printing 3-D data sets, we can interact with them in a more intuitive, more *human* way. Microfossils and pore networks can be scaled up to sizes more easily explored. A tangible model can help make the most of the short amount of time with a key decision maker at your company or funding agency. Visually impaired geoscientists may benefit greatly from having the opportunity to touch their data (Horowitz and Schultz, 2012).

With 3-D printers in our classrooms and laboratories, by taking them to conferences and K–12 science nights, we can help cement geoscience in the public's perception as a high-tech field and career. Students watch 3-D printers with a fervor usually reserved for athletic events. Let's capitalize on this passion and use 3-D printers in the same way we have used dinosaurs to sneak into young hearts and minds, making them more engaged with the geosciences and more aware of how much fun we have.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I gratefully thank Dr. Chris Harding, Mark Mathison, Nathan Alms, and 3Dlapidary.com for assistance in building 3-D models. A growing bibliography of geoscientific articles on 3-D printing can be found at the GeoFabLab website: www.public.iastate.edu/~franek/gfl/gfl.html.

REFERENCES CITED

- Balanoff, A., and Rowe, T.B., 2002, Osteological description of an elephant bird embryo using computed tomography and rapid prototyping, with a discussion of growth rates in Aepyornis: Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology, v. 22, p. 34A.
- Bourke, M., Viles, H., Nicoli, J., Lyew-Ayee, P., Ghent, R., and Holmund, J., 2008, Innovative applications of laser scanning and rapid prototype printing to rock breakdown experiments: Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, v. 33, p. 1614–1621, doi: 10.1002/esp.1631.
- Bristowe, A., Parrott, A., Hack, J., Pencharz, M., and Raath, M.A., 2004, A nondestructive investigation of the skull of the small theropod dinosaur, *Coelophysis rhodesiensis*, using CT scans and rapid prototyping: Palaeontologia Africana, v. 40, p. 159–163.
- Dorozhkin, S.V., 2010, Bioceramics of calcium orthophosphates: Biomaterials, v. 31, p. 1465–1485, doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2009.11.050.
- Germann, D.P., Schatz, W., and Hotz, P.E., 2014, Artificially evolved functional shell morphology of burrowing bivalves: Palaeontologia Electronica, v. 17, p. 1–25.
- Hasiotis, S.T., Hirmas, D.R., Platt, B.F., and Reynolds, J., 2011, New frontiers in ichnology using MLT and rapid prototyping for 3-D analysis, printing, and sharing of modern and ancient traces with other ichnophiles: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 43, no. 1, p. 81.
- Horowitz, S.S., and Schultz, P.H., 2012, Printing space: 3D printing of digital terrain models for enhanced student comprehension and educational outreach: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 44, no. 7, p. 95.
- Hyatt, J.A., and Rosiene, J., 2013, Preparing image maps, physical models, and analyzing topographic form using terrestrial laser scanning data collected at Dinosaur State Park, CT: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 45, no. 1, p. 111.
- Lindqvist, K., Khudobakhshova, S., Meng, S., Urazayeva, S., and Zakhidova, D., 2012, A 3D visualization of earthquake incidence correlated to geological main structures within parts of the Tien Shan and Pamir Mountains, Central Asia: International Geological Congress Abstracts, v. 34, p. 3305.
- Otten, W., Pajor, R., Schmidt, S., Baveye, P.C., Hague, R., and Falconer, R.E., 2012, Combining X-ray CT and 3D printing technology to produce microcosms with replicable, complex pore geometries: Soil Biology & Biochemistry, v. 51, p. 53–55, doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.04.008.
- Pham, D.T., and Gault, R.S., 1998, A comparison of rapid prototyping methodologies: International Journal of Machine Tools & Manufacture, v. 38, p. 1257–1287, doi: 10.1016/S0890-6955(97)00137-5.
- Pouech, J., Mazin, J.M., and Tafforeau, P., 2010, High quality 3D imaging of vertebrate microremains using X-ray synchrotron phase contrast microtomography: Comptes Rendus. Palévol, v. 9, p. 389–395, doi: 10.1016/ j.crpv.2010.07.010.
- Rengier, F., Mehndiratta, A., von Tengg-Kobligk, H., Zechmann, C.M., Unterhinninghofen, R., Kauczor, H.U., and Giesel, F.L., 2010, 3D printing based on imaging data: Review of medical applications: International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery, v. 5, p. 335–341, doi: 10.1007/ s11548-010-0476-x.
- Reyes, R., Bellian, J.A., Dunlap, D.B., and Eustice, R.A., 2008, Cyber techniques used to produce physical geological models: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 40, no. 6, p. 136.

Manuscript received 20 Feb. 2014; accepted 7 June 2014. ▼