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Abstract
In this study, we couple iron isotope analysis to microscopic and mineralogical investigation of iron speciation during circumneutral Fe(II) oxidation and Fe(III) precipitation with photosynthetically produced oxygen. In the presence of the cyanobacterium Synechococcus PCC 7002, aqueous Fe(II) (Fe(II)aq) is oxidized and precipitated as amorphous Fe(III) oxyhydroxide minerals (iron precipitates, Feppt), with distinct isotopic fractionation (ε56Fe) values determined from fitting the δ56Fe(II)aq (1.79‰ and 2.15‰) and the δ56Feppt (2.44‰ and 2.98‰) data trends from two replicate experiments. Additional Fe(II) and Fe(III) phases were detected using microscopy and chemical extractions and likely represent Fe(II) and Fe(III) sorbed to minerals and cells. The iron desorbed with sodium acetate (FeNaAc) yielded heavier δ56Fe compositions than Fe(II)aq. Modeling of the fractionation during Fe(III) sorption to cells and Fe(II) sorption to Feppt, combined with equilibration of sorbed iron and with Fe(II)aq using published fractionation factors, is consistent with our resulting δ56FeNaAc. The δ56Feppt data trend is inconsistent with complete equilibrium exchange with Fe(II)aq. Because of this and our detection of microbially excreted organics (e.g., exopolysaccharides) coating Feppt in our microscopic analysis, we suggest that electron and atom exchange is partially suppressed in this system by biologically produced organics. These results indicate that cyanobacteria influence the fate and composition of iron in sunlit environments via their role in Fe(II) oxidation through O2 production, the capacity of their cell surfaces to sorb iron, and the interaction of secreted organics with Fe(III) minerals.
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ABSTRACT: In this study, we couple iron isotope analysis to microscopic and mineralogical investigation of iron speciation during circumneutral Fe(II) oxidation and Fe(III) precipitation with photosynthetically produced oxygen. In the presence of the cyanobacterium *Synechococcus* PCC 7002, aqueous Fe(II) ([Fe(II)]aq) is oxidized and precipitated as amorphous Fe(III) oxyhydroxide minerals (iron precipitates, Feppt), with distinct isotopic fractionation ($\delta^{56}\text{Fe}^{aq}$) values determined from fitting the $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}^{aq}$ (1.79‰ and 2.15‰) and the $\delta^{56}\text{Feppt}$ (2.44‰ and 2.98‰) data trends from two replicate experiments. Additional Fe(II) and Fe(III) phases were detected using microscopy and chemical extractions and likely represent Fe(II) and Fe(III) sorbed to minerals and cells. The iron desorbed with sodium acetate (FeNaAc) yielded heavier $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ compositions than Fe(II)aq. Modeling of the fractionation during Fe(III) sorption to cells and Fe(II) sorption to Feppt, combined with equilibration of sorbed iron and with Fe(II)aq using published fractionation factors, is consistent with our resulting $\delta^{56}\text{FeNaAc}$. The $\delta^{56}\text{Feppt}$ data trend is inconsistent with complete equilibrium exchange with Fe(II)aq. Because of this and our detection of microbiologically excreted organics (e.g., exopolysaccharides) coating Feppt in our microscopic analysis, we suggest that electron and atom exchange is partially suppressed in this system by biologically produced organics. These results indicate that cyanobacteria influence the fate and composition of iron in sunlit environments via their role in Fe(II) oxidation through O2 production, the capacity of their cell surfaces to sorb iron, and the interaction of secreted organics with Fe(III) minerals.

INTRODUCTION

Fe(II)-oxidizing bacteria (FeOB) gain energy from the chemical oxidation of Fe(II) coupled to reduction of oxygen or nitrate or using light energy coupled to reduction of CO2, e.g., anoxygenic photosynthesis.1 At the near neutral pH of many surface waters, the oxidation of Fe(II) is spontaneous and rapid in the presence of dissolved oxygen. For that reason, cyanobacteria, which generate oxygen as a result of oxygenic photosynthesis, can act as indirect Fe(II)-oxidizing bacteria where anoxic and Fe(II)-containing waters encounter sunlit surface environments.

The contribution of cyanobacteria to Fe(II) oxidation has been quantitatively addressed in Fe(II)-rich hot spring environments2 and in benthic photosynthetic communities living at the sediment–water interface.3 Although the modern oceans are predominantly oxygenated to great depths, promoting the speciation of iron as ferric [Fe(III)] rather than ferrous [Fe(II)], Fe(II) may be increasingly mobilized out of sediments4–7 and stabilized in the marine water column due to expanding low-oxygen conditions in so-called oxygen minimum zones (OMZ).8 Where OMZ intersect with the photic zone, Fe(II) oxidation by planktonic oxygen-producing cyanobacteria could contribute to the marine iron cycle. Furthermore, anoxic and Fe(II)-rich bottom waters are a pervasive feature of oceans in the Precambrian Era [before about 500 Million years (My) ago]9,10 at a time when oxygen was building up in the surface oceans as a result of cyanobacteria and other oxygenic phototrophs.11–13 Therefore, redox interfaces between anoxic and Fe(II)-containing waters and photosynthetically produced oxygen were likely common throughout much of Earth’s history.

Iron redox processes fractionate the naturally occurring isotopes of iron dependent on their mass (e.g., $^{54}$Fe, $^{56}$Fe, $^{57}$Fe, and $^{58}$Fe), such that the quantitative contribution of biotic and abiotic iron cycling at the Earth’s surface may be recorded in sediments composed of iron-rich minerals.14,15 Due to the large fractionations between Fe(II) and Fe(III) species,16 Fe(II) oxidation...
oxidation generally produces a solid iron phase that is enriched in heavy isotopes of iron relative to aqueous Fe(II), regardless of the mechanism of oxidation. This makes it difficult to parse the contribution of enzymatic Fe(II)-oxidizing bacteria from abiotic Fe(II) oxidation, not to mention indirect Fe(II) oxidation by oxygen-producing cyanobacteria by using iron isotopes. However, subtle differences in the mechanism of oxidation and precipitation, and in the characteristics of the iron minerals or phases (e.g., mineralogy, particle size, or presence of impurities) formed, can influence the overall fractionation between aqueous Fe(II) and iron minerals. Furthermore, the role of cyanobacteria in direct or indirect redox cycling of iron at the cell surface is increasingly recognized and may be associated with distinct isotope fractionation. Therefore, detailed mechanistic studies of iron isotope fractionation during different pathways of Fe(II) oxidation are warranted and may help to define isotopic, mineralogical, or microscopic signatures associated with certain biological processes.

Furthermore, the isotopic composition of iron minerals is known to be modified by electron and atom exchange between aqueous Fe(II) and Fe(III) (oxyhydr)oxide minerals. These processes have been most effectively characterized under reducing conditions, when a supply of aqueous Fe(II) is produced by, for instance, microbial Fe(III) reduction. However, at Fe(II)−O₂ interfaces with a flux of aqueous Fe(II), electron and atom-exchange could also occur on newly formed Fe(III) (oxyhydr)oxide minerals. Although the effect of some organics as well as Si and low pH on blocking electron and atom exchange have been investigated, the effect of cell surfaces and microbiologically produced organics on this reaction and via blocking sites on Fe(III) minerals, particularly in an oxidizing system, are not known.

In this contribution, we tracked the iron isotope composition of different pools of iron during Fe(II) oxidation by the marine planktonic cyanobacterium Synechococcus PCC 7002. Several prior studies have characterized the interaction of this oxygen-producing strain with Fe(II), which gives us a body of work to aid in interpreting the nature of different iron phases in the system, and their mechanism of transformation. Additional microscopy and mineral characterization in this study are used to build the picture of how iron is processed during indirect Fe(II) oxidation resulting from oxygenic photosynthesis. The results have implications for understanding the reactivity of iron minerals as well as identifying isotopic signatures associated with biological activity.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Bacterial Growth Medium.** Synechococcus PCC 7002 was routinely cultivated on pH 6.8 Marine Phototroph (MP) medium containing 6 mg L⁻¹ ferric ammonium citrate as the Fe(III) source at 24 °C under an irradiance of 12.8 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ from a standard 40W tungsten light bulb as measured by a Li-250A light probe (Li-corr, Inc.). For Fe(II) oxidation experiments, MP medium was made without ferric ammonium citrate. Fe(II) amendments were added from a sterile, anoxic FeCl₃ stock solution, and the medium was filtered twice through a 0.22 μm filter in an anoxic glovebox (100% N₂), separated by 48 h incubations at 4 °C to ensure that all Fe(II) precipitated as carbonate and phosphate minerals with growth media components were removed. The final Fe(II) concentration in the medium after filtration was 2 mM as measured by the spectrophotometric Ferrozine assay. A log-phase culture of Synechococcus PCC 7002 grown with ferric ammonium citrate was degassed for 5 min with sterile N₂/CO₂ (90:10%) and inoculated into the 2 mM Fe(II)-containing medium to a final concentration of 5 × 10⁶ cells mL⁻¹. Growth conditions were as above.

Glass media bottles were acid washed in 1 M HCl for 24 h and then soaked in fresh ultrapure water (resistivity of 18.2 MΩ cm⁻¹) for two successive 24 h treatments before use. Experiments utilized 100 mL bottles filled to 80 mL with growth medium. All anoxic bottles were closed with butyl rubber stoppers that had been washed in 1 N HCl for 24 h and then thrice boiled in ultrapure water.

This concentration of 2 mM Fe(II) was chosen for experiments because a freshly inoculated culture of Synechococcus PCC 7002 took about 10 days to oxidize this, during which time we could sample sufficiently often to have resolution on the evolution of the isotopic composition of different iron pools. Despite the fact that this strain grows more slowly at 2 mM Fe(II) than at lower Fe(II) concentrations, due to Fe(II) toxicity, sufficient growth did occur to fully oxidize all Fe(II). Although this concentration is at the upper end of Fe(II) concentrations in modern sunlit environments, it is within the range documented for environments where cyanobacteria have been documented as having a role in Fe(II) oxidation.

**Iron Species Separation and Fe(II) and Total Iron Concentration Determination.** During Fe(II) oxidation, which lasted about 10 days, volumes of 2 mL were repeatedly removed with a syringe from the bottles of two contemporaneous replicate experiments (bottles 1 and 2) in an anoxic glovebox. Before extracting, the bottles were shaken to yield a homogeneous slurry of iron precipitates. The aliquots were subsequently centrifuged for 10 min at 16,000g, and the supernatants were filtered through a 0.22 μm centrifuge tube filter (Costar Spin-X, Corning, International) to yield particle-free aqueous Fe(II), henceforth Fe(II)aq. The solids were washed with anoxic ultrapure water to remove any loosely bound iron. A second wash utilized anoxic 0.5 M sodium acetate (adjusted to pH 4.85 using acetic acid) to recover sorbed iron (FeNaAc) from the solids (24 h incubation in the dark). The remaining solids were considered the precipitated fraction (Feₚₚₜ).

The concentrations of Fe(II) and total iron in the four different iron fractions were measured with the ferrozine assay. The Fe(II) in the Fe(II)aq, water wash, and FeNaAc was stabilized in a final concentration of anoxic 1 M HCl prior to measurements. The Feₚₚₜ was dissolved in anoxic 6 N HCl before analysis. Fe(III) was determined as the difference between Fe(II) measurement and total iron measurements (after reduction of iron by hydroxylamine hydrochloride).

**Fe Isotope Analysis.** Purification of the Fe(II)aq FeNaAc and Feₚₚₜ fractions was performed in positively pressured clean laboratories of the Isotope Geochemistry group at the University of Tuebingen under conditions and with reagents that have previously been described. The concentrations of iron in the water washes of Feₚₚₜ were below the detection limit of the ferrozine assay (<0.01 mM, 0.56 μg mL⁻¹), and so, these samples were not purified. Sample aliquots of the separated iron fractions containing 5 μg of iron were purified for iron isotope measurements using anion exchange chromatography according to prior methodology. An adequate amount of Fe−⁵⁷Fe double spike was added to the samples prior to Fe purification to ensure accurate correction of the instrumental
Iron isotope analyses were performed on the ThermoFisher Scientific NeptunePlus multicollector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (MC-ICP-MS) of the Isotope Geochemistry group of the University of Tuebingen. Polyatomic interferences, such as $^{44}\text{Ar}^{18}\text{O}^{+}$ on $^{56}\text{Fe}^{+}$ or $^{40}\text{Ar}^{16}\text{O}^{+}$ on $^{56}\text{Fe}^{+}$ were resolved using the high mass-resolution mode (16 μm slit). The four iron isotope beams were simultaneously detected with 90 integration cycles at 8 s each during the runs. Background corrections for sample signals were based on on-peak-zero measurements on the pure analyte solution (0.3 M HNO$_3$) run before and after each sample. Iron isotope data are reported relative to the isotopically certified international reference material IRMM-014 (Institute for Reference Materials and Measurements in Gent, Belgium) using the δ-notation:

$$\delta^{56}\text{Fe} = \left(\frac{^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{sample}}}{^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{IRMM-014}}} - 1\right) \times 1000$$

The results are reported in units of per mil (‰). The reproducibility of the double-spike measuring method as determined by repeated δ$^{56}\text{Fe}$ measurements of the IRMM-014 reference material in between sample runs was 0.00‰ ± 0.032‰ (2SD; n = 18). Interspersed measurements of our in-house iron standard, HanFe, yielded δ$^{56}\text{Fe} = 0.282‰ ± 0.039‰$ (2SD; n = 12), which is in excellent agreement with previously published values of 0.28‰ ± 0.05‰ (2SD; n = 19)$^{11}$ and 0.279‰ ± 0.030‰ (2SD; n = 5).$^{18}$

Rayleigh fits of the isotopic δ$^{56}\text{Fe(II)}_{eq}$ and δ$^{56}\text{Fe}_{ppt}$ at different fractions (f) of Fe(II) remaining were utilized to determine the isotopic enrichment factor ($\alpha^{56}\text{Fe}$) using the following equations:

$$\delta^{56}\text{Fe(II)}_{eq} = (\delta^{56}\text{Fe(eq)}_{0} + 1000) \times f^{\alpha - 1} - 1000$$

(1)

$$\delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{ppt} = (\delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{eq}0 + 1000) \times \left[ (1 - f^{\alpha})/(1 - f) \right] - 1000$$

(2)

δ$^{56}\text{Fe(II)}_{eq}$ indicates the δ$^{56}\text{Fe(II)}_{eq}$ at the beginning of the experiment. The isotopic fractionation $\epsilon^{56}\text{Fe}$ (‰) is related to $\alpha^{56}\text{Fe}$ by the equation:

$$\epsilon^{56}\text{Fe} = 1000 \times \ln \alpha^{56}\text{Fe}$$

(3)

The fitting parameters were determined by minimizing the sum of $\chi^2$ values. Data were also fit by linear regression, with slope and intercept determined by minimizing the sum of $\chi^2$ values.

**Mineral Characterization.** High energy synchrotron X-ray scattering experiments were performed on the solid, dry products of Fe(II) oxidation by *Synechococcus PCC 7002* at the Advanced Photon Source at Argonne National Laboratory, Beamline 11-ID-B. The solids were collected from a culture grown with ca. 5 mM Fe(II), freeze-dried, and washed three times with Millipore water to remove excess salt. Data collection and analysis protocols were previously described.$^{18}$ Additional mineral characterization methods and results are described in the Supporting Information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>replicate</th>
<th>sample</th>
<th>fraction of Fe(II) oxidized</th>
<th>$\delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{eq}$ (‰)</th>
<th>2SE $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{eq}$</th>
<th>$\delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{ppt}$ (‰)</th>
<th>2SE $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{ppt}$</th>
<th>$\delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{eq(eq)}$ (%)</th>
<th>2SE $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{eq(eq)}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bottle 1</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>$-0.34$</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>$-0.97$</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>$-1.22$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>$-0.36$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F1</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>$-1.49$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>$-0.65$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G1</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>$-1.75$</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H1</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>$-2.05$</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>$-0.42$</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I1</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>$-2.53$</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>$-0.73$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J1</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>$-3.25$</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>$-0.59$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K1</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rayleigh fit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\epsilon^{56}\text{Fe}$</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\Sigma^{56}\text{Fe}$</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linear fit</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\epsilon^{56}\text{Fe}$</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\Sigma^{56}\text{Fe}$</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bottle 2</td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-0.08$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B2</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>$-0.57$</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>$-11.11^{b}$</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>$-1.08$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>$-0.33$</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>$-8.75^{b}$</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>$-0.31$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G2</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>$-1.59$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>$-0.18$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H2</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>$-1.75$</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>$-0.09$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I2</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>$-1.96$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>$-0.57$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J2</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>$-2.04$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K2</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rayleigh fit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\epsilon^{56}\text{Fe}$</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\Sigma^{56}\text{Fe}$</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linear fit</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\epsilon^{56}\text{Fe}$</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\Sigma^{56}\text{Fe}$</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^{a}$Each sample was measured twice. ND = not determined, due to a low amount of sample. NA = not applicable. $^{b}$Samples from B2 and E2 had anomalous values for δ$^{56}\text{Fe}_{eq(eq)$ and δ$^{56}\text{Fe}_{ppt}$ respectively. These samples were most likely lost after drying due to electrostatic charging of the Teflon beakers. These results are therefore excluded from further analysis. 1SE refers to standard error.
CONFOCAL LASER SCANNING MICROSCOPY. Cells of Synechococcus PCC 7002 were grown under similar conditions as described above until the initial ca. 4.5 mM Fe(II) had been oxidized. The cell–mineral aggregates were imaged by confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM; Leica SPE, Mannheim, Germany). A 635 nm laser was used for excitation autofluorescence of Synechococcus PCC 7002, with a maximum emission peak at 660 nm (detected range of emission, 640–700 nm). The Fe(III) minerals were visualized using the reflection signal of the 488 nm laser. Several lectin–Alexa dye conjugates were screened in order to optimize visualization of exopolysaccharides (EPS) without overlap with the autofluorescence emission maximum of the pigments of Synechococcus PCC 7002 (660 nm). SBA–Alexa 488 (maximum emission peak at 520 nm) was chosen because SBA bound to the EPS in SBA-488, which binds terminal β-galactose, respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fractionation Patterns during Fe(II) Oxidation and Fe(III) Precipitation. The δ56Fe(II)aq values from two replicated experiments evolved from an initial value near 0‰ to lighter values during oxidation (Table 1, Figure 1). The Fe(II)aq fraction, measured after the sample was centrifuged and filtered, consisted of only Fe(II). All iron concentration and speciation data (measured by ferrozine) is reported in Supplementary Table 1. The first Feppt samples analyzed, at about 40% Fe(II) oxidized, had δ56Feppt of about 2.44‰, trending toward 0‰ at 100% Fe(II) oxidized. The speciation of Feppt, which was measured after washing with water and sodium acetate, consisted of predominantly Fe(III), with generally <10% Fe(II). Iron in the water wash of the precipitates was below the detection limit of the ferrozine assay (<0.01 mM, 0.56 μg mL−1). Therefore, the iron isotope composition of the water washes was not analyzed. The sodium acetate wash removed sorbed iron, which contained both Fe(II) and Fe(III). The FeNaAc fraction represented 10–20% of total iron in the system after Feppt began to form. The FeNaAc had an intermediate isotopic composition between Fe(II)aq and Feppt but was variable and generally lighter than 0‰.

The fast reaction between Fe(II) and oxygen47 favors the heavy isotopes of iron in the resulting Fe(III) minerals that precipitate. Abiotic and biotic Fe(II) oxidation both follow this trend, resulting in ε56Fe of ~2–4‰ between aqueous Fe(II) and Fe(III) minerals, with minerals enriched in heavy isotopes.17,18,29,31 Our ε56Fe for δ56Fe(II)aq (1.79–2.15‰) for bottles 1 and 2, respectively; Table 1), determined from a Rayleigh fit of the δ56Fe(II)aq data, is on the low end of this range, similar to what was previously documented for Fe(II) oxidation by anoxygenic phototrophs.18,48 The Rayleigh fit of the δ56Feppt data from both replicates resulted in ε56Fe of 2.44‰ and 2.98‰, larger than that attained for the δ56Fe(II)aq data (Table 1) and within the literature range. Prior explanation for the offset in ε56Fe between these two fractions is that, following precipitation, the Feppt underwent partial equilibration with another phase of iron in the system, possibly a ligand-bound Fe(III).
bound or sorbed Fe(III) phase\textsuperscript{18,48} or Fe(II)\textsubscript{aq.}\textsuperscript{29,49} Below, we use our mineralogical and microscopic characterizations of the experiment to explore possible exchange processes in this system.

**Iron Sorption to Cells and Minerals.** The third, quantitatively significant fraction of iron in the system in addition to Fe(II)\textsubscript{aq} and Fe\textsubscript{ppt} was Fe\textsubscript{NaAc} (up to 18% of total Fe). The $\delta^{56}$Fe\textsubscript{NaAc} data had an intermediate isotopic composition between Fe(II)\textsubscript{aq} and Fe\textsubscript{ppt} from 0.10‰ to $\sim$0.73‰ throughout the experiment, and contained both Fe(II) and Fe(III) (Supplementary Table 1). The presence of Fe(III) in Fe\textsubscript{NaAc} has previously been observed in Fe(II) oxidation experiments with anoxygenic phototrophs\textsuperscript{18} but not with nitrate-dependent Fe(II)-oxidizing bacteria.\textsuperscript{29} The Fe\textsubscript{NaAc}
must have been sorbed onto one of the surfaces, either Fe\textsubscript{ppt} or cells, on the basis of its extraction with sodium acetate. In order to infer whether equilibration processes were occurring between Fe\textsubscript{NaAc} and Fe\textsubscript{ppt} it is necessary to know (1) where Fe\textsubscript{NaAc} was in our experiments and (2) what type of iron species [i.e., Fe(II) or Fe(III)] that it was.

Our use of a lectin-binding dye in confocal microscopy documents that EPS was also forming during Fe(II) oxidation with *Synechococcus* PCC 7002 (Figure 3). We can use this data set to first determine whether EPS was important in binding/sorbing iron extracted as Fe\textsubscript{NaAc} and then to determine whether iron was associated with the surface of cells and/or Fe\textsubscript{ppt}. An overlay of Figure 3a–c, which show the location of cells, EPS, and Fe\textsubscript{ppt} indicates that EPS is colocalized with Fe\textsubscript{ppt} (Figure 3d). The correlation analysis in Figure 3e implies there is no spatial overlap of EPS with cells. In previous work with *Synechococcus* PCC 7002 under identical growth conditions as in Figure 3, a fluorescent sensor for soluble or ligand-bound Fe(III) was used in CLSM, and fluorescence was localized directly at the *Synechococcus* PCC 7002 cell surface. While spectral interferences prevented us from simultaneously labeling EPS and Fe(III) in our current CLSM experiments, we can infer from comparing our data set with the previously published one that there was Fe(III) sorbed to the surface of cells but not EPS or Fe\textsubscript{ppt}. In support of this, EPS is expected to stay with the aqueous phase during filtration through a 0.2 μm filter or be washed off of Fe\textsubscript{ppt} in the water wash. We did not detect any Fe(III) in the Fe(II)\textsubscript{aq} fraction or measure any detectable iron in the water wash. From these results, we exclude EPS as having a major role in binding soluble Fe(III) in the current system. This data indicates that cell surfaces sorbed Fe(III). Previous experiments with *Synechococcus* PCC 7002 cells demonstrated that sorption to cells is a major fate for aqueous iron, although the oxidation state of sorbed iron was not determined in those experiments, so we cannot rule out that some Fe(II) was also sorbed to cells. However, sorption onto cells has previously been documented as a fate for aqueous iron with diverse cyanobacteria, with Fe(III) more commonly detected at the cell surface than Fe(II), via attachment of Fe−O−Fe polymers to phosphoryl groups, strengthening the inferences made from CLSM that *Synechococcus* PCC 7002 cells sorbed Fe(III).

The other surface in our experiments that could have sorbed iron extracted as Fe\textsubscript{NaAc} was Fe\textsubscript{ppt}. The three techniques we used to address mineralogy indicate that our Fe\textsubscript{ppt} was a mixture of 58% ferrihydrite, 22% goethite, and 20% lepidocrocite (Figure 2), and ferrihydrite was likely the predominant mineral present during the experiments (see Supporting Information). Minerals such as ferrihydrite and goethite, similar to what was present in our experiments, can sorb Fe(II). Both Fe(II) and Fe(III) were detected in the Fe\textsubscript{NaAc} (Supplementary Table 1), raising the possibility that Fe(III) was extracted from the mineral. However, we verified that no Fe(III) was extracted from synthetic ferrihydrite with our 0.5 M sodium acetate solution prior to beginning experiments (data not shown), consistent with previous reports that used a 1 M sodium acetate solution. A further inference in support of sorbed Fe(II) being extracted from Fe\textsubscript{ppt} by sodium acetate is that Fe\textsubscript{ppt} still contained some Fe(II) after extraction, as measured by ferrozine (Supplementary Table 1). We take this as evidence that sorbed iron associated with the mineral was predominantly Fe(II), although we cannot exclude that some Fe(III) may also be sorbed to the mineral surface.

**Fractionation Processes.** We observed evidence for three reactions in our experiments that are essential for understanding the observed fractionations of iron isotopes, and these are summarized in Figure 4. They are (1) Fe(II) oxidation to Fe(III), which forms Fe\textsubscript{ppt} (2) sorption of Fe(III) to cells, and (3) sorption of Fe(II) to Fe\textsubscript{ppt}. These observations fit a two step-model of Fe(II) oxidation, where Fe(II) is oxidized and undergoes rapid isotopic equilibration with a pool of Fe(III), which then precipitates as Fe(III) minerals. We suggest, however, that in our experiments, Fe\textsubscript{ppt} undergoes subsequent partial equilibration with Fe(II)\textsubscript{aq}.

The fitting of our δ\textsuperscript{56}Fe(II)\textsubscript{aq} and δ\textsuperscript{56}Fe\textsubscript{ppt} with Rayleigh equations representing isolation of the Fe\textsubscript{ppt} pool from Fe(II)\textsubscript{aq} after precipitation and a linear equation representing complete isotopic equilibrium are helpful in interpreting the fractionation mechanisms taking place. The larger Σ\textsuperscript{2} values for linear fits of all data as compared to Rayleigh fits indicate that complete isotopic equilibrium between Fe(II)\textsubscript{aq} and Fe\textsubscript{ppt} is not occurring during Fe(II) oxidation and precipitation (Table 1). The smaller ε\textsuperscript{56}Fe values for Rayleigh fits of the δ\textsuperscript{56}Fe(II)\textsubscript{aq} (1.79‰ ± 2.15‰) as compared to 2.44‰ ± 2.98‰ for δ\textsuperscript{56}Fe\textsubscript{ppt} are on the order of fractionation observed in other biological Fe(II) oxidation experiments in batch at circumneutral pH: 1.5‰ ± 1.5–2‰, and 1–2‰. Such small net fractionations have been noted when the presence of significant quantities of sorbed or ligand-bound Fe(III) has been detected or observed.

Up to a few percent of total iron was found as Fe(III) in the Fe\textsubscript{NaAc} fraction (Supplementary Table 1). On the basis of detection of iron sorbed to cells with a dye that is specific for an aqueous or ligand-bound Fe(III), we suggest that this
Fe(III) could equilibrate with Fe(II)\textsubscript{aq}.

During experiments with *Synechococcus* sp. cells at pH 6, added Fe(II) \{which was adsorbed as Fe(III)\} was 1.84\% heavier than aqueous Fe(II),

and equilibrium with Fe(II)\textsubscript{aq} was inferred from the data. This fractionation is very similar to our \(\delta^{56}\text{Fe}\) values derived from Rayleigh fits of \(\delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{Feppt}}\) (1.79\%e and 2.15\%e). Because of the similar type of organism that we used, it is likely that equilibrium fractionation between Fe(II)\textsubscript{aq} and Fe(III) sorbed to cells is a relevant process in our experiments.

While the \(\delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{Feppt}}\) data are not well fit by a linear model representing complete equilibrium exchange with Fe(II)\textsubscript{aq}, the range of the \(\delta^{56}\text{Fe}\) determined from Rayleigh fits of the two \(\delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{Feppt}}\) data sets (2.44\%e and 2.98\%e) is of the same magnitude expected for equilibrium between Fe(II)\textsubscript{aq} and Fe(II)\textsubscript{Feppt}.

Wu et al.\textsuperscript{31} inferred a \(\Delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{ferricyanide-Fe(II)aq}}\) (where \(\Delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{ferricyanide-Fe(II)aq}} = \delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{ferricyanide}} - \delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{Fe(II)aq}}\)) of 1.1\%e,\textsuperscript{25,55} Considering the 58% ferricyanide, 22\% goethite, and 20\% lepidocrocite in our precipitates as determined from X-ray scattering (Figure 2), the equilibrium \(\Delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{Feppt-Fe(II)aq}}\) for our minerals could range from 2.3\%e to 2.7\%e, depending on whether the assumed fractionation for lepidocrocite is the same as for goethite or ferricyanide, respectively. The larger \(\delta^{56}\text{Fe}\) we calculate for our second data set (2.98\%e) may reflect that ferricyanide, with a larger \(\Delta^{56}\text{Fe}\) value, was likely the mineral present during active Fe(II) oxidation (see Supporting Information).

In our batch experiments, Fe(II)\textsubscript{aq} may continue to react with Fe(II)\textsubscript{Feppt} given their proximity and the time frame of experiments (10 days). Sorption of Fe(II) on Feppt provides a likely mechanism for partial isotope equilibrium and is supported by our detection of Fe(II) in Feppt (Supplementary Table 1). Sorption of Fe(II) is an important pathway in the process and phases described here can overprint the anticipated fractionations and compositions of Fe(III) minerals and organic-associated iron present in the environment and can challenge interpretation of the genesis of Fe(III) minerals in the geological record, where the residual Fe(II)\textsubscript{aq} pool is no longer present.\textsuperscript{12,58–66}

Although iron atom and electron exchange has received the most attention as a process relevant to Fe(III)-reducing systems where Fe(II) is in contact with Fe(III) minerals, our data suggest this process could also be relevant in environments where Fe(II) is abundant during Fe(II) oxidation and Fe(III) mineral formation. This includes oxidizing environments with high enough fluxes of Fe(II) for Fe(II) to persist even in the face of rapid oxidation,\textsuperscript{2,61} such as Fe(II)-rich springs or seeps and marine upwelling zones that tap ferruginous bottom waters, past or present.\textsuperscript{13} Furthermore, our work documents atom and electron exchange in the presence of iron minerals whose formation pathways are biologically induced and when organic phases coat iron minerals. Finally, iron redox cycling and sorption of iron at the surface of cyanobacteria may be an important component of modern and
ancient aquatic iron cycling, and our work highlights the effect of such processes on iron isotope systematics.
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