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Abstract
The concept of a spindle matrix has long been proposed. Whether such a structure exists, however, and what its molecular and structural composition are have remained controversial. In this study, using a live-imaging approach in Drosophila syncytial embryos, we demonstrate that nuclear proteins reorganize during mitosis to form a highly dynamic, viscous spindle matrix that embeds the microtubule spindle apparatus, stretching from pole to pole. We show that this “internal” matrix is a distinct structure from the microtubule spindle and from a lamin B–containing spindle envelope. By injection of 2000-kDa dextran, we show that the disassembling nuclear envelope does not present a diffusion barrier. Furthermore, when microtubules are depolymerized with colchicine just before metaphase the spindle matrix contracts and coalesces around the chromosomes, suggesting that microtubules act as “struts” stretching the spindle matrix. In addition, we demonstrate that the spindle matrix protein Megator requires its coiled-coil amino-terminal domain for spindle matrix localization, suggesting that specific interactions between spindle matrix molecules are necessary for them to form a complex confined to the spindle region. The demonstration of an embedding spindle matrix lays the groundwork for a more complete understanding of microtubule dynamics and of the viscoelastic properties of the spindle during cell division.
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ABSTRACT The concept of a spindle matrix has long been proposed. Whether such a structure exists, however, and what its molecular and structural composition are have remained controversial. In this study, using a live-imaging approach in Drosophila syncytiotroch embryos, we demonstrate that nuclear proteins reorganize during mitosis to form a highly dynamic, viscous spindle matrix that embeds the microtubule spindle apparatus, stretching from pole to pole. We show that this “internal” matrix is a distinct structure from the microtubule spindle and from a lamin B–containing spindle envelope. By injection of 2000-kDa dextran, we show that the disassembling nuclear envelope does not present a diffusion barrier. Furthermore, when microtubules are depolymerized with colchicine just before metaphase the spindle matrix contracts and coalesces around the chromosomes, suggesting that microtubules act as “struts” stretching the spindle matrix. In addition, we demonstrate that the spindle matrix protein Megator requires its coiled-coil amino-terminal domain for spindle matrix localization, suggesting that specific interactions between spindle matrix molecules are necessary for them to form a complex confined to the spindle region. The demonstration of an embedding spindle matrix lays the groundwork for a more complete understanding of microtubule dynamics and of the viscoelastic properties of the spindle during cell division.

INTRODUCTION

During cell division the entire nucleus undergoes a dramatic reorganization as the cell prepares to segregate its duplicated chromosomes. For many years the prevailing view on organisms possessing an open mitosis has held that the nucleus completely disassembled during early mitotic stages, thus enabling cytoplasmic microtubules emanating from the separated centrosomes to form a mitotic spindle. This cytocentric view largely discounted any nuclear contributions to the formation and/or function of the mitotic spindle (Johansen and Johansen, 2009; Simon and Wilson, 2011; Sandquist et al., 2011). However, in Drosophila we recently identified two nuclear proteins, Chromator (Rath et al., 2004; Ding et al., 2009; Yao et al., 2012) and Megator (Qi et al., 2004; Lince-Faria et al., 2009), from two different nuclear compartments that interact with each other and redistribute during prophase to form a molecular complex that persists in the absence of polymerized tubulin (Johansen et al., 2011). Chromator is localized to polytene chromosome interbands during interphase (Rath et al., 2004, 2006; Yao et al., 2012), whereas Megator occupies the nuclear rim and the intranuclear space surrounding the chromosomes (Zimowska et al., 1997; Qi et al., 2004). Chromator has no known orthologues in other species; however, Megator is the homologue of mammalian Tpr (Zimowska et al., 1997). The Megator/Tpr family of proteins is highly conserved through evolution, and structural homologues are present from yeast to humans (De Souza and Osmani, 2009). Moreover, in addition to Megator, the Aspergillus Mlp1 and human Tpr spindle matrix proteins have a shared function as spatial regulators of spindle assembly checkpoint proteins during metaphase (Lee et al., 2008; De Souza et al., 2009; Lince-Faria et al., 2009). Both Chromator and Megator are essential proteins required for normal mitosis to occur in...
apparatus from pole to pole. The findings further suggest that the spindle matrix may directly contribute to the viscoelastic micromechanical properties (Shimamoto et al., 2011) of the spindle.

**RESULTS**

The spindle matrix embeds the microtubule spindle apparatus

Figure 1 shows time-lapse imaging of Chromator–green fluorescent protein (GFP) and tubulin-mCherry during mitosis in syncytial Drosophila embryos. (A) Relative dynamics of Chromator-GFP (green) and tubulin-mCherry (red) during a complete mitotic cycle. Scale bar, 10 μm. (B) Chromator-GFP at metaphase. Arrowheads indicate the gap between Chromator-GFP’s spindle matrix and centrosomal localization. Scale bar, 10 μm. (C) Relative localization of Jupiter-GFP (green) and tubulin-mCherry (red) at metaphase. Scale bar, 5 μm. (D) Relative localization of Chromator-GFP (green) and tubulin-mCherry (red) at metaphase. Scale bar, 5 μm. (E, G) Line-scan plots of pixel intensity across the spindle along the white lines in C and D for Jupiter-GFP/tubulin-mCherry and Chromator-GFP/tubulin-mCherry, respectively. The images in C and D are both from a single confocal optical plane. The asterisks indicate the likely position of microtubule K-fibers. (F, H) Plots of the correlation between pixel intensity between Jupiter-GFP/tubulin-mCherry and Chromator-GFP/tubulin-mCherry across the spindle along the white lines in C and D, respectively. The regression line and the value of Pearson’s coefficient are indicated for each plot.

Drosophila (Qi et al., 2004; Lince-Faria et al., 2009; Ding et al., 2009). These findings suggest that these proteins are molecular components of the hitherto-elusive spindle matrix that, based on theoretical considerations of the requirements for force production, has been proposed to help constrain and stabilize the microtubule-based spindle apparatus (Pickett-Heaps et al., 1982; Pickett-Heaps and Forer, 2009). Here we demonstrate that this nuclear-derived “internal” spindle matrix is a highly dynamic, self-contained structure that embeds the microtubule spindle apparatus from pole to pole. The findings further suggest that the spindle matrix may directly contribute to the viscoelastic micromechanical properties (Shimamoto et al., 2011) of the spindle.
strongly correlated ($r = 0.73 \pm 0.10, n = 17$; Figure 1F), whereas pixel intensities in line scans of Chromator-GFP and tubulin-mCherry showed little correlation ($r = 0.32 \pm 0.07, n = 17$; Figure 1H). Taken together, these observations are consistent with the hypothesis that the Chromator-defined spindle matrix is part of a viscous, gel-like structure that embeds the microtubule-based spindle apparatus. Furthermore, the findings suggest that although this matrix forms independently of microtubules, its morphology and dynamic behavior during mitosis are governed by microtubule spindle dynamics.

To further test this hypothesis, we depolymerized tubulin by injecting colchicine into embryos expressing GFP-Chromator and tubulin-mCherry or histone H2Av-RFP before prophase (Figure 2; Supplemental Movies S4 and S5). Under these conditions Chromator still relocates from the chromosomes to the matrix (Figure 2A and B); however, in the absence of microtubule spindle formation the Chromator-defined matrix did not undergo any dynamic changes but instead statically embedded the condensed chromosomes for extended periods (>20 min). The movement observed within the matrix is caused by Brownian motion of the chromosomes. Of interest, Chromator under these conditions still relocated to the centrosomes, suggesting that this is a microtubule-independent process. Control embryos injected with vehicle only underwent normal

**FIGURE 2:** Spindle matrix dynamics after colchicine injection before nuclear envelope breakdown. (A) Two image panels from the beginning and end of a time-lapse sequence of Chromator-GFP (green) and tubulin-mCherry (red) after colchicine injection. (B) Two image panels from the beginning and end of a time-lapse sequence of Chromator-GFP (green) and histone H2Av-RFP (red). (C) Plot of the average pixel intensity in regions of interest (ROIs) outside the nucleus (red) and inside the nucleus (blue) as a function of time in a colchicine-injected embryo. The two image inserts correspond to the area outlined by a white boxes in A before and after NE breakdown, respectively. The ROIs are indicated by white squares. The difference in expression levels of Chromator-GFP in A and B is due to use of high- and low-expression driver lines, respectively.
FIGURE 3: The 500-kDa dextran enters and accumulates in the nuclear space on the same timescale as tubulin in colchicine-injected embryos. (A) Image panels from a time-lapse sequence from a tubulin-mCherry (red)–expressing embryo coinjected with fluorescein-labeled dextran of molecular mass 500 kDa (green) and colchicine. Time is in seconds. Scale bar, 10 μm. (B) Plot of the normalized average pixel intensity in ROIs outside the nucleus and inside the nucleus of tubulin (red) and 500-kDa dextran (green) as a function of time in a colchicine-injected embryo. The solid and stippled lines correspond to areas inside and outside a nucleus, respectively, as outlined by the white boxes in A. The approximate time of NE breakdown is indicated by an arrow.

mitosis indistinguishable from wild-type preparations (Supplemental Movie S6). Moreover, as illustrated in Figure 2C, unpolymerized tubulin accumulates within the nuclear space, as measured by relative average pixel intensity, to 1.6 ± 0.2 (n = 12, from five different preparations) times the levels outside the nuclear space in the colchicine-injected embryos (see also Figure 2, A and C, and Supplemental Movie S4). This finding suggests the presence of one or more tubulin-binding proteins within the spindle matrix.

The nuclear envelope and lamin B do not contribute to the internal spindle matrix

Drosophila embryos have semiopen mitosis in which the nuclear envelope (NE) initially breaks down only in the region of the centrosomes, and NE breakdown and dispersal of nuclear lamins such as lamin B (lamin Dm0 in Drosophila) is not completed until just before the end of metaphase (Stafstrom and Staehelin, 1984; Paddy et al., 1996; Civelekoglu-Scholey et al., 2010). This raises the question of whether the NE or the nuclear lamina presents a diffusion barrier during the early stages of mitosis and thus may contribute to the confinement of spindle matrix proteins. To test whether this is the case, we injected fluorescein-labeled dextrans of molecular mass 70, 500, or 2000 kDa, which are up to 10 times the molecular mass of the spindle matrix proteins Chromator and Megator, into tubulin-mCherry–expressing embryos treated with colchicine. The results showed that all three molecular-mass dextrans entered the nuclear space after NE breakdown on approximately the same timescale as tubulin-mCherry (Figures 3 and 4), indicating the absence of any significant diffusion barriers to spindle matrix proteins. Furthermore, in colchicine-injected embryos lamin B disperses within 2 min, on a timescale similar to that of uninjected embryos (Figure 5), and does not accumulate in the nuclear space. In contrast, the Chromator-defined matrix persists around the chromosomes for at least 10 times longer. Taken together, these findings suggest that the Chromator-defined “internal” spindle matrix is a distinct and independent structure from both the microtubule-based spindle apparatus and from the lamin B–containing spindle envelope previously described in Xenopus egg extracts (Zheng, 2010) and that the spindle matrix is held together by cohesive molecular interactions within the matrix.

The 70- and 500-kDa dextrans incorporate into the spindle matrix

Of interest, we noted that 70- and 500-kDa dextrans accumulated within the nuclear space in a way similar to tubulin in colchicine-injected embryos, as illustrated in Figure 3 for 500-kDa dextran. This suggested that branched macromolecular polysaccharides can be incorporated into the spindle matrix. To further explore this possibility, we injected fluorescein-conjugated 70-, 500-, and 2000-kDa dextrans into tubulin-mCherry–expressing embryos without colchicine treatment. As exemplified in Figure 4A for 70-kDa dextran, both 70- and 500-kDa dextrans accumulate in the nuclear space before microtubule spindle formation, and its dynamics during mitosis until the end of telophase, when it gets excluded from the forming daughter nuclei (Supplemental Movie S7), closely resembles that of the spindle matrix proteins Chromator and Megator (Supplemental Movies S1 and S8). In contrast, although the 2000-kDa dextran did enter and equilibrate within the nuclear space at the time of NE breakdown, it did not show any enrichment within the spindle region (Figure 4B). We speculate that this difference between 70- and 2000-kDa dextrans is due to potential size exclusionary properties of the spindle matrix. These data provide additional support for the concept of a viscous matrix made up of macromolecules enriched in the spindle region by cohesive interactions.

The amino-terminal region of Megator is required for its spindle matrix localization

Megator is a large, 260-kDa protein (Mtor-FL) with an extended amino-terminal coiled-coil domain (Mtor-NTD) and an unstructured carboxy-terminal domain (Mtor-CTD). Coiled-coil domains are known protein interaction domains, as previously demonstrated for the spindle pole matrix protein NuMA (Radulescu and Cleveland, 2010). Therefore, to explore whether Megator's coiled-coil domain is required for Megator's spindle matrix localization, we conducted time-lapse imaging of full-length, yellow fluorescent protein (YFP)–tagged Megator (Mtor-FL), green fluorescent protein (GFP)–tagged...
Mtor-CTD, and GFP-tagged Mtor-NTD, together with histone H2Av-RFP in syncytial embryos (Figure 6). As illustrated in Figure 6A and Supplemental Movie S8, Mtor-FL localizes to the nuclear interior, as well as to the nuclear rim, at interphase and to the spindle matrix at metaphase. In contrast, Mtor-CTD, which contains the native nuclear localization signal (NLS), is diffusively present in the nucleoplasm without detectable nuclear rim localization at interphase and is absent from the spindle region at metaphase (Figure 6B and Supplemental Movie S9). Mtor-NTD is present at the nuclear rim with no or very little interior nuclear localization but relocalizes to the spindle matrix at metaphase (Figure 6C). The localization patterns of Mtor-FL, Mtor-NTD, and Mtor-CTD at interphase are illustrated at higher magnification in Figure 6D. These data suggest that the amino-terminal coiled-coil domain of Megator is required for localization to both nuclear pore complexes and to the spindle matrix, whereas Megator’s carboxy-terminal domain facilitates Megator’s interchromosomal localization during interphase. Furthermore, if microtubules are prevented from forming by colchicine injection before prophase, both Mtor-FL and Mtor-NTD still relocate to the spindle matrix and, as with the Chromator-defined matrix, do not undergo any dynamic changes but statically embed the condensed chromosomes (Figure 6E and Supplemental Movie S10). In contrast, under these conditions Mtor-CTD disperses on a rapid timescale in <2 min after NE breakdown (Figure 6E and Supplemental Movie S11). These findings provide further evidence that the cohesiveness of the spindle matrix depends on specific molecular interactions among the spindle matrix proteins.

**FIGURE 4:** The 70-kDa but not the 2000-kDa dextran incorporates into the spindle matrix during the cell cycle. (A) Image panels from a time-lapse sequence from a tubulin-mCherry (red)–expressing embryo injected with fluorescein-labeled dextran of molecular mass 70 kDa (green). (B) Image panels from a time-lapse sequence from a tubulin-mCherry (in red)–expressing embryo injected with fluorescein-labeled dextran of molecular mass 2000 kDa (green). Time is in minutes and seconds. Scale bars, 10 μm.

**FIGURE 5:** Depolymerization of microtubules at metaphase collapses but does not disassemble the spindle matrix.

To test the dependence of the spindle matrix on microtubule dynamics, we injected colchicine into Chromator-GFP– and
and lamin B in systems with semiopen mitosis may contribute to the robustness of spindle function and assembly during prometaphase and that the gradual disassembly of the lamin B envelope is coupled to proper spindle maturation during metaphase (Civelekoglu et al., 2010).

In this study we present evidence by injection of high–molecular weight dextrans that the disassembling NE and nuclear lamina after their initial breakdown are not likely to present a diffusion barrier to most known proteins. Of interest, even in the absence of such a diffusion barrier we show that free tubulin (possibly as α/β-tubulin dimers) accumulates coextensively with the spindle matrix protein Chromator in colchicine-treated embryos independently of tubulin polymerization. We propose that this enrichment is dependent on one or more proteins within the spindle matrix with tubulin-binding activity. A similar enrichment within the nuclear region of free tubulin after NE breakdown has recently been reported in Caenorhabditis elegans embryos (Hayashi et al., 2012). The enhanced accumulation of free tubulin within the nascent spindle region may serve as a general mechanism to promote the efficient assembly of the microtubule-based spindle apparatus (Hayashi et al., 2012) and be mediated by spindle matrix constituents. The accumulation of tubulin in the nucleus under microtubule depolymerization conditions is not a general property of cytoplasmic proteins, as exemplified by the dynactin complex component DNC-1 in the nematode (Hayashi et al., 2012).

A surprising finding of the present study is that nonproteinaceous polysaccharide macromolecules such as dextrans have the ability to be incorporated into the spindle matrix. However, the results of previous studies showed that the spindle pole protein NuMA is highly poly(ADP-ribosyl)ated (Radulescu and Cleveland, 2010) and that poly(ADP-ribose) is required for spindle assembly and function in Xenopus (Chang et al., 2004). Thus it is possible that the size,
FIGURE 6: Time-lapse analysis of the spindle matrix protein Megator in syncytial embryos. (A) Relative dynamics of full-length Megator-YFP (Mtor-FL) and histone H2Av-RFP (H2Av) during a complete mitotic cycle. The images show their distribution at interphase 1, metaphase, and interphase 2, respectively. The diagram beneath the images shows the domain structure of Megator with the coiled-coil region in black, the CTD in white, and the endogenous NLS in red. Scale bar, 20 μm. (B) Relative dynamics of a truncated, GFP-tagged, carboxy-terminal construct of Megator (Mtor-CTD) and histone H2Av-RFP (H2Av) during a complete mitotic cycle. The images show their distribution at interphase 1, metaphase, and interphase 2, respectively. Mtor-CTD is diagrammed below the images. Scale bar, 20 μm. (C) Relative dynamics of a truncated, GFP-tagged, amino-terminal construct of Megator (Mtor-NTD) and histone H2Av-RFP (H2Av) during interphase and metaphase. Mtor-NTD is diagrammed below the images. Scale bar, 10 μm. (D) The localization patterns of Mtor-FL, Mtor-NTD, and Mtor-CTD at interphase. Mtor-FL localizes to the nuclear interior, as well as to the nuclear rim. Mtor-NTD is present at the nuclear rim with no or very little interior nuclear localization, and Mtor-CTD is diffusively present in the nucleoplasm without detectable nuclear rim localization. (E) Top, three images from a time-lapse sequence of Mtor-CTD-GFP (green) and histone H2Av-RFP (red) after colchicine injection at interphase. Middle, three images from a time-lapse sequence of Mtor-NTD-GFP (green) and histone H2Av-RFP (red) after colchicine injection at interphase. Bottom, three images from a time-lapse sequence of Mtor-FL-YFP (green) and histone H2Av-RFP (red) after colchicine injection at interphase. Time is in minutes and seconds. Scale bars, 10 μm.
clear pore proteins have been shown to form a three-dimensional
region in both invertebrates and vertebrates (reviewed in De Souza
and Johansen, 2007, 2009; Simon and Wilson, 2011). For example,
simply disperse but rather reorganize, making important contribu-
tions to mitotic progression (De Souza and Osmani, 2009; Johansen
et al., 2000; Qi et al., 2005; Katsani et al., 2008; Lince-Faria et al.,
2009; Ding et al., 2009). Another candidate nuclear spindle matrix
protein that relocates to the spindle region during mitosis in a mi-
crotubule-independent manner is the nucleoporin Nup107 (Katsani
et al., 2008). Thus it is becoming clear that during mitosis many
disassembled components of interphase nuclear structure do not
simply disperse but rather reorganize, making important contribu-
tions to mitotic progression (De Souza and Osmani, 2009; Johansen
and Johansen, 2007, 2009; Simon and Wilson, 2011). For example,
many nuclear pore complex constituents in addition to Megator/Tpr
and Nup107 have been demonstrated to relocate to the spindle
region in both invertebrates and vertebrates (reviewed in De Souza
and Osmani, 2009; Johansen et al., 2011). Of interest, certain nu-
clear pore proteins have been shown to form a three-dimensional
branching, and charge distribution of such polymeric carbohydrate
modifications of spindle matrix proteins might play a role in regulat-
ing its assembly and function. Furthermore, these modifications
might contribute directly to the viscoelastic properties of the spindle
and contribute to the modulation of microtubule dynamics and
spindle stabilization.

An issue for the spindle matrix hypothesis has been to account
for its molecular composition and structure, especially as the num-
ber and diversity of its possible constituents has grown (reviewed in
Johansen et al., 2011). In Drosophila, in addition to Megator and
Chromator, the nuclear proteins Skeleton, EAST, and Mad2 have
been demonstrated to be associated with the spindle matrix (Walker
et al., 2000; Qi et al., 2005; Katsani et al., 2008; Lince-Faria et al.,
2009; Ding et al., 2009). Another candidate nuclear spindle matrix
protein that relocates to the spindle region during mitosis in a mi-
crotubule-independent manner is the nucleoporin Nup107 (Katsani
et al., 2008). Thus it is becoming clear that during mitosis many
disassembled components of interphase nuclear structure do not
simply disperse but rather reorganize, making important contribu-
tions to mitotic progression (De Souza and Osmani, 2009; Johansen
and Johansen, 2007, 2009; Simon and Wilson, 2011). For example,
many nuclear pore complex constituents in addition to Megator/Tpr
and Nup107 have been demonstrated to relocate to the spindle
region in both invertebrates and vertebrates (reviewed in De Souza
and Osmani, 2009; Johansen et al., 2011). Of interest, certain nu-
clear pore proteins have been shown to form a three-dimensional
polymer meshwork with hydrogel-like properties within the nuclear pore (Frey et al.,
2006). If, as suggested here, the spindle matrix is a similar gel-like assembly of weakly
associated protein polymers, its exact stoichiometry and composition may not be criti-
cal and it likely would be able to accommodate the inclusion of a wide array of proteins.
However, it is important to note that not all nuclear proteins relocate to the spindle ma-
trix during mitosis. For example, both lamin B and C (Paddy et al., 1996; Katsani et al.,
2008) disperse, as does the nucleoporin Nup58 (Katsani et al., 2008). Furthermore, in
this study we demonstrate that the amino-terminal coiled-coil region of Megator is re-
quired for its spindle matrix localization during mitosis, whereas the carboxy-terminal
region disperses. In future experiments it will be of interest to determine the nature of
the specific molecular interactions that govern which proteins are incorporated into the
matrix.

Regardless of the exact composition and structure of the spindle matrix, the
demonstration here of a self-contained macromolecular structure embedding the
spindle apparatus during mitosis will have important implications for our understand-
ing of microtubule dynamics (Dumont and Mitchison, 2009). Furthermore, in a recent
study of the micromechanical properties of the metaphase spindle, the effective viscous-
ity of the spindle region was measured to be ∼100 times higher than in the surround-
ing cytoplasm (Shimamoto et al., 2011).

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Drosophila melanogaster stocks and transgenic flies
Fly stocks were maintained according to standard protocols
(Roberts, 1998), and Canton S was used for wild-type preparations.
Full-length, GFP-tagged Chromator constructs under native or GAL-4
promoter control have been previously characterized (Ding et al.,
2009). Tubulin-mCherry, Jupiter-GFP, and lamin-GFP fly stocks (stocks
25774, 6836, and 7378, respectively) and a tubulin-GAL-4 driver line
(stock 7062) were obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock
Center, Indiana University (Bloomington, IN). The Megator YFP-trap
fly line (w1118; PBac[602.P.SVS-1]Mtor[CPT1001044]) was obtained
from the Drosophila Genetic Resource Center, Kyoto Institute of
Technology (Kyoto, Japan; stock 115129). The h2AvDmRFP1 trans-
genomic line was the gift of S. Heidmann and has been previously de-
scribed (Deng et al., 2005). For the Megator-CTD construct under
native promoter control a genomic region of 949 nucleotides up-
stream and 9 nucleotides downstream of the ATG start codon was
PCR amplified and fused with an in-frame GFP tag, as well as with
Megator carboxy-terminal coding sequence corresponding to
residues 1758–2347, and inserted into the pUAST vector using standard techniques (Sambrook and Russell, 2001). For the Megator-NDT construct under native promoter control the same upstream region as for the Mtor-CTD construct was fused with an in-frame GFP tag, with Megator-amino-terminal coding sequence corresponding to residues 1–1757, and with the NLS from the NLS-pECFP vector (Clontech, Mountain View, CA) and inserted into the pHFW vector (Murphy, 2003) using standard techniques (Sambrook and Russell, 2001). Transgenic Mtor-CTD and Mtor-NDT fly lines were generated by P-element transformation by BestGene (Chino Hills, CA). Fly lines expressing combinations of transgenes were generated by standard genetic crosses.

Time-lapse confocal microscopy and injections

Time-lapse imaging of the fluorescently tagged constructs in live syncytial embryos were performed using a TCS SP5 tandem scan confocal microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) or an UltraView spinning-disk confocal system (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA) as previously described (Ding et al., 2009). In brief, 0- to 1.5-h embryos were collected from apple juice plates and aged 1 h. The embryos were manually dechorinated, transferred onto a coverslip coated with a thin layer of heptane glue, and covered with a drop of halocarbon oil 700. Time-lapse image sequences of a single z-plane or of z-stacks covering the depth of the mitotic apparatus were obtained using a Plan-Apochromat 63×/1.4 numerical aperture objective. For colchicine injections, colchicine (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to a concentration of 100 mg/ml as a stock solution. The final concentration of colchicine for injection was 1 mg/ml by diluting the stock solution with PEM buffer (80 mM Na 1.4-piperazineethanesulfonic acid, pH 6.9, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM ethylene glycol tetraacetic acid, 5% glycerol). Injections of ~100–200 pl of 1 mg/ml colchicine into each embryo were performed with an IM-300 programmable microinjector system (Narishige, Tokyo, Japan) connected to the Leica confocal TCS SP5 microscope system, as previously described (Brust-Mascher and Scholey, 2009). For Taxol injections, ~100–200 pl of 20 mg/ml Taxol (Sigma-Aldrich) in DMSO was injected into each embryo. Control injections were performed with DMSO alone or with PEM buffer with 1% DMSO. Fluorescein-labeled dextrans of molecular mass 70, 500, or 2000 kDa (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) were injected into syncytial embryos using standard methods (Brust-Mascher and Scholey, 2009).

Image quantification and analysis

Image processing and quantification were carried out with the ImageJ 1.45 software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD) or with Photoshop (Adobe, San Jose, CA). Scattered plots, average pixel intensities of regions of interest, and determination of Pearson’s correlation coefficient of the measured fluorescence intensity of line scans generated in ImageJ were performed and calculated using Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, CA).
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