

2015

Further guidance on where real property interests constitute an interest in a closely-held business for purposes of I.R.C. § 6166

Neil E. Harl

Iowa State University, harl@iastate.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/agdm>



Part of the [Agribusiness Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Harl, Neil E. (2015) "Further guidance on where real property interests constitute an interest in a closely-held business for purposes of I.R.C. § 6166," *Ag Decision Maker Newsletter*: Vol. 10 : Iss. 12 , Article 2.

Available at: <http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/agdm/vol10/iss12/2>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Ag Decision Maker at Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Ag Decision Maker Newsletter by an authorized editor of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.



Further guidance on where real property interests constitute an interest in a closely-held business for purposes of I.R.C. § 6166*

by Neil E. Harl, Charles F. Curtiss Distinguished Professor in Agriculture and Emeritus Professor of Economics, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa. Member of the Iowa Bar, harl@iastate.edu

The rules for “15-year” installment payment of federal estate tax require that the value of an “interest in a closely held business” exceeds 35 percent of the adjusted gross estate. The statute specifies that an interest in a closely held business means an interest as a proprietor in a trade or business carried on by a proprietorship, an interest as a partner in a partnership carrying on a trade or business or stock in a corporation carrying on a trade or business. However, there is no statutory guidance on the circumstances in which ownership of real property is deemed to be a trade or business. This has long been a matter of concern in farm and ranch estate (and business) planning because of the almost dominant role played by real estate in farm and ranch operations.

Recently, the Internal Revenue Service provided additional guidance, including a nonexclusive list of factors, to be used in determining whether a decedent’s interest in real property is an interest in an active trade or business so as to constitute an interest in a closely held business for purposes of installment payment of federal estate tax.

Revenue Rulings issued in 1975

In 1975, the Internal Revenue Service issued three revenue rulings in an attempt to provide guidance on the circumstances in which real estate would meet the “trade or business” requirement. Two of the rulings, Rev. Rul. 75-366 and Rev. Rul. 75-367 provided guidance primarily on commercial and residential real property. The other ruling, Rev. Rul. 1975-366 focused on farm real estate.

The recently issued guidance, Rev. Rul. 2006-34, **Reprinted with permission from the August 4, 2006 issue of Agricultural Law Digest, Agricultural Law Press Publications, Eugene, Oregon. Footnotes not included.*

revoked Rev. Rul. 1975-365 and revoked a portion of Rev. Rul. 1975-367. The 2006 ruling did not disturb the farm ruling, Rev. Rul. 1975-366 which involved a share rent lease in which the decedent, the landlord, paid 40 percent of the expenses, received 40 percent of the crops and actively participated in the important management decisions of the farming operation. That ruling, along with subsequent rulings and cases made it clear that cash-rented farm real estate is unlikely to be considered an interest in a trade or business. A 2005 ruling, with non-farm facts, acknowledged that cash rental prior to death precludes eligibility for installment payment of federal estate tax, even as to paying deficiencies, unless the land is used as an integral part of a trade or business in which the decedent was involved.

Over the years, rulings resolved two other issues not made clear in Rev. Rul. 1975-366–

- (1) whether only the decedent could provide the necessary management to meet the trade or business test and
- (2) whether material participation was required for eligibility to be established.

The 2006 ruling

Rev. Rul. 2006-34, states that, to be an interest in a trade or business under I.R.C. § 6166, a decedent must conduct an active trade or business or must hold an interest in a partnership, LLC or corporation that itself carries on an active trade or business. The 2006 ruling goes on to state that, to determine whether a decedent’s interest in real property is an interest in an active trade or business, IRS will consider the following non-exclusive factors –

Guidance on real property interests constitute an interest in closely-held business for purposes of IRC § 6166, continued from page 4

- (1) the amount of time the decedent (or agents or employees, the decedent's partnership, LLC or corporation) devoted to the trade or business;
- (2) whether an office was maintained from which the activities were conducted or coordinated and whether regular office hours were maintained;
- (3) the extent to which the decedent (or employees or agents of the decedent, partnership, LLC or corporation) were actively involved in finding new tenants and negotiating and executing leases;
- (4) the extent to which the decedent (or employees and agents) provided landscaping, grounds care or other services beyond the furnishing of the leased premises;
- (5) the extent to which the decedent (or employees and agents) personally made, arranged for or supervised repairs and maintenance of the property and

- (6) the extent to which the decedent (or employees and agents) handled tenant repair requests and complaints).

The 2006 ruling also states that the fact that some of the activities are conducted by third parties such as independent contractors who are neither agents nor employees of the decedent, partnership, LLC or corporation will not prevent the business from qualifying as an active trade or business so long as the third party activities are not of such a nature that the activities are reduced to the level of merely holding investment property.

The fact that the 2006 ruling did not disturb the 1975 farm and ranch guidance and the fact that the five examples in the 2006 ruling all involve non-farm fact situations indicate that the guidance for farm and ranch estates will continue to come, predominantly, from the 1975 farm ruling and the other guidance that has emerged since 1975.

Updates, continued from page 1

Decision Tools

The following decision tools have been added to www.extension.iastate.edu/agdm.

Alfalfa or Alfalfa-grass Hay with Oat Companion Crop Budget – Use this *Decision Tool* to project the costs and returns of growing hay with an oat companion crop.

Oat or Other Small Grain Budget – Use this *Decision Tool* to project the costs and returns of growing oats or other small grains.

Alfalfa or Alfalfa-grass Hay Budget – Use this *Decision Tool* to project the costs and returns of growing hay with no companion crop.

6th Annual Iowa Organic Conference

The world market for organic products reached \$25 billion in 2005, with the U.S. portion increasing to \$14.6 billion. The demand for organic crops currently exceeds supply and growers everywhere are encouraged to consider the potential for organic production.

The 6th Annual Iowa Organic Conference will include two new sessions: "How to Transition to Organic Farming" taught by farmers who have successfully made the transition, and the inaugural meeting of the Iowa Organic Association, which, along with the ISU Organic Ag Program, has been established to help transitioning and certified farmers with their educational and marketing needs. In addition, presentations will be available on organic grains, vegetables, herbs, fruits, live-stock and incentives to get you started or enhance your marketing skills.

A trade show of organic products and services will be held during the conference with ample time for visiting. Our all-organic, four-course lunch will include delicious organic products from Iowa and the Midwest. Register today at <http://www.ucs.iastate.edu/mnet/organic06/home.html>! Early bird registration is \$85.

For more information on the Organic Agriculture program at Iowa State University, please see the website at: <http://extension.agron.iastate.edu/organic/>.

... and justice for all

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, and marital or family status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Many materials can be made available in alternative formats for ADA clients. To file a complaint of discrimination, write

USDA, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 14th and Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call 202-720-5964. Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work, Acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture. Jack M. Payne, director, Cooperative Extension Service, Iowa State University of Science and Technology, Ames, Iowa.

Permission to copy

Permission is given to reprint ISU Extension materials contained in this publication via copy machine or other copy technology, so long as the source (Ag Decision Maker Iowa State University Extension) is clearly identifiable and the appropriate author is properly credited.