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Printed Graphene Electrochemical Biosensors Fabricated by Inkjet Maskless Lithography for Rapid and Sensitive Detection of Organophosphates

Abstract
Solution phase printing of graphene-based electrodes has recently become an attractive low-cost, scalable manufacturing technique to create in-field electrochemical biosensors. Here we report a graphene-based electrode developed via Inkjet Maskless Lithography (IML) for the direct and rapid monitoring of triple-O linked phosphonate organophosphates (OPs); these constitute the active compounds found in chemical warfare agents and pesticides that exhibit acute toxicity as well as long-term pollution to soils and waterways. The IML printed graphene electrode is nano/microstructured with a 1000 mW benchtop laser engraver and electrochemically deposited platinum nanoparticles (dia. ~25 nm) to improve its electrical conductivity (sheet resistance decreased from ~10,000 Ω/sq. to 100 Ω/sq.), surface area, and electroactive nature for subsequent enzyme functionalization and biosensing. The enzyme phosphotriesterase (PTE), also known as organophosphate hydrolase (OPH), was conjugated to the electrode surface via glutaraldehyde cross-linking. The resulting biosensor was able to rapidly measure (5 sec response time) the insecticide paraoxon (a model organophosphate) with a low detection limit (3 nM), and high sensitivity (370 nA/µM) with negligible interference from similar nerve agents. Moreover, the biosensor exhibited high reusability (average of 0.3% decrease in sensitivity per sensing event), stability (90% anodic current signal retention over 1000 seconds), longevity (70% retained sensitivity after 8 weeks), and the ability to selectively sense OP in actual soil and water samples. Hence, this work presents a scalable printed graphene manufacturing technique that can be used to create OP biosensors that are suitable for in-field applications as well as, more generally, for low-cost biosensor test strips that could be incorporated into wearable or disposable sensing paradigms.
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ABSTRACT: Solution phase printing of graphene-based electrodes has recently become an attractive low-cost, scalable manufacturing technique to create in-field electrochemical biosensors. Here, we report a graphene-based electrode developed via inkjet maskless lithography (IML) for the direct and rapid monitoring of triple-O linked phosphonate organophosphates (OPs); these constitute the active compounds found in chemical warfare agents and pesticides that exhibit acute toxicity as well as long-term pollution to soils and waterways. The IML-printed graphene electrode is nano/microstructured with a 1000 mW benchtop laser engraver and electrochemically deposited platinum nanoparticles (dia. ∼25 nm) to improve its electrical conductivity (sheet resistance decreased from ∼10 000 to 100 Ω/sq), surface area, and electroactive nature for subsequent enzyme functionalization and biosensing. The enzyme phosphotriesterase (PTE) was conjugated to the electrode surface via glutaraldehyde cross-linking. The resulting biosensor was able to rapidly measure (5 s response time) the insecticide paraoxon (a model OP) with a low detection limit (3 nM), and high sensitivity (370 nA/μM) with negligible interference from similar nerve agents. Moreover, the biosensor exhibited high reusability (average of 0.3% decrease in sensitivity per sensing event), stability (90% anodic current signal retention over 1000 s), longevity (70% retained sensitivity after 8 weeks), and the ability to selectively sense OP in actual soil and water samples. Hence, this work presents a scalable printed graphene manufacturing technique that can be used to create OP biosensors that are suitable for in-field applications as well as, more generally, for low-cost biosensor test strips that could be incorporated into wearable or disposable sensing paradigms.

KEYWORDS: electrochemical biosensor, graphene, inkjet printing, pesticide, phosphotriesterase, paraoxon

1. INTRODUCTION

Organophosphates (OPs) are widely used as insecticides to increase quality and yield of crops.1,2 While OPs are effective neurotoxins against several types of pests, they are also toxic to humans and the environment. High-level, acute exposure to OPs can lead to immediate detrimental health effects including miosis, rhinorrhea, apnea, convulsions, and death.3 Subsequently, OP neurotoxins have even been weaponized into G-type chemical warfare agents such as Sarin and Soman,4,5 which were used in an 1994 attack in Matsumoto Japan that poisoned 600 residents6 and the 1999 Tokyo subway attack injuring 640 victims.7 In addition to such high-level acute exposure to OPs, chronic low-level exposure to OP-based pesticides is also a concern especially for farmworkers.8 For example, farmworkers in both developed and developing countries who work on fields sprayed with OPs expressed lower neurobehavioral performance than control farmworker groups.9,10 Despite their short half-lives (days to months), OP residues have been found in soils, sediments, and watersheds—raising health concerns for chronic and early-life exposure to non-occupational populations especially those living in agricultural communities.11,12 Hence, rapid and accurate detection of even low-level concentrations of OPs in the environment is critical for effective remediation measures.13 While there are numerous techniques capable of detecting trace levels of OPs (e.g., gas/liquid chromatography, immunoassays, and mass spectroscopy), these tests are time-consuming and must be performed in a laboratory by trained technicians.14,15 Currently, a rapid inexpensive method of monitoring pesticide levels in water or soil slurries in the field does not exist on the market.16
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Electrochemical, enzymatic biosensors present a promising solution to in-field OP monitoring. Enzymatic biosensors exhibit favorable characteristics for point-of-service sensing (e.g., high selectivity, sensitivity, and reusability) for a wide variety of applications including medical screening, defense threat monitoring, and environmental testing. OP enzymatic biosensors have primarily focused on using cholinesterase enzymes (i.e., acetylcholinesterase or butyrylcholinesterase) that are inhibited in the presence of OP. While such inhibition-based enzyme biosensors have displayed high sensitivity and ultra-low OP detection limits, they also are prone to false-positive signals as cholinesterase enzymes can be inhibited by heavy metals or detergents that are found in soil and water samples. Comparatively, the enzyme phosphotriesterase [PTE (EC 3.1.8.1)] selectively binds to OPs via a three O-linked binding pocket that is selective to specific ester bonds found in many OPs such as paraoxon. PTE catalyzes paraoxon into equimoles of p-nitrophenol, an electroactive molecule, which can be readily monitored through direct oxidation at an applied potential of +0.95 V versus an Ag/AgCl reference electrode. Electrochemical detection of paraoxon oxidation at an applied potential of +0.95 V versus an Ag/AgCl electrode was consequently biofunctionalized with the enzyme PTE through covalent cross-linking with glutaraldehyde. This biofunctionalized PtNP-IML-PGE demonstrated the lowest recorded detection limit (3 nM) and highest sensitivity (370 nA/μM) for any reported amperometric PTE biosensor to date. Moreover, the biosensor exhibited high reusability (average of 0.3% decrease in sensitivity per time sensed), stability (90% anodic current over 1000 s), longevity (70% retained sensitivity after 8 weeks), and selectivity (negligible interference to six similar nerve agents, ability to detect OP in water and soil samples). The performance and potential scalable manufacturing protocols of the PtNP-IML-PGE suggests that they are well-suited for in-field pesticide detection or potential bioterror agent monitoring in actual biological matrices.

2. METHODS AND MATERIALS

2.1. Chemicals and Reagents. Completely chemically reduced single layer graphene was purchased from ACS materials (GN1P005). All pesticides (including paraoxon) were obtained from Chem Service (N-12816). All other chemicals and solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and were used without any other purification unless otherwise stated. Water was filtered through a B-Pure Water Purification system (resistivity, 18.2 MΩ•cm). Screen-printed carbon electrodes (SPCE) were purchased from CH Instruments (SE 101). River water was obtained from the Des Moines River in the state of Iowa.

2.2. Preparation and Purification of PTE. The gene encoding PTE (EC 3.1.8.1), also known as OP hydrolase, from Brevundimonas diminuta was synthesized by Genscript with flanking restriction enzyme sites that facilitated transfer to the bacterial expression vector pET28. Protein expression was performed in E. coli strain BL21(DE3) (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) as previously described. Briefly, cultures were grown in 500 mL shake flasks containing kanamycin (25 μg/mL) until midlog stage. Expression of recombinant PTE was induced with 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol and continued overnight at 30 °C. Cell pellets were collected by centrifugation and then lysed through a combination of ethylendiaminetetraacetic acid treatment, lysozyme treatment, and sonication. Insoluble material was pelleted via centrifugation and soluble proteins batched to immobilized metal affinity chromatography resin. Following an incubation to allow protein binding and batch washing to remove nonspecifically bound proteins, PTE was eluted with 200 mM imidazole. The recombinant PTE was further purified by fast protein liquid chromatography which also removed the imidazole. The protein concentration was determined using a Nanosight 1000 to measure the absorbance at 280 nm. Calculations were based on the theoretical extinction coefficient and the molecular weight of the protein.

2.3. Biosensor Fabrication. 2.3.1. Printing Graphene via IML. Similar to our work published earlier, graphene electrodes were manufactured through IML. In summary, 150 mg of ethyl cellulose (viscosity 46 cP, 5% in toluene/ethanol 80:20) was completely dissolved in 50 mL of aceton and 10 mL of terpineol. Ethyl cellulose was used as a surfactant to increase the surface tension of the ink and subsequently promote graphene flake suspension in the ink and detection limits. Herein, we report the first printed graphene biosensor for amperometric detection of the insecticide paraoxon, a model OP. Graphene electrodes were printed and patterned through a recently developed thin film manufacturing technique called inkjet maskless lithography (IML). Next, the printed graphene electrodes (PGEs) were laser-annaled and electrochemically deposited with platinum nanoparticles (PtNPs) to create a nano/microstructured surface that is highly conductive/electroactive with a high surface area for increased enzyme loading and heterogeneous charge transport during electrochemical sensing. The graphene-based electrode was consequently biofunctionalized with the enzyme PTE through covalent cross-linking with glutaraldehyde. Hydride This biofunctionalized PtNP-IML-PGE demonstrated the lowest recorded detection limit (3 nM) and highest sensitivity (370 nA/μM) for any reported amperometric PTE biosensor to date. Moreover, the biosensor exhibited high reusability (average of 0.3% decrease in sensitivity per time sensed), stability (90% anodic current over 1000 s), longevity (70% retained sensitivity after 8 weeks), and selectivity (negligible interference to six similar nerve agents, ability to detect OPC in water and soil samples). The performance and potential scalable manufacturing protocols of the PtNP-IML-PGE suggests that they are well-suited for in-field pesticide detection or potential bioterror agent monitoring in actual biological matrices.

Table 1. Performance of Various Direct PTE Amperometric Biosensors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>material/immobilize</th>
<th>sensitivity (nA/μM)</th>
<th>detection limit (nM)</th>
<th>linear range (μM)</th>
<th>ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GC-SWNT/EDC-NHS</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.5–8.5</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC-CLEC/GA</td>
<td>25.95</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>0.5–2.0</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC-MWNT/Na-FI</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.25–4</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fl-Au-Ny/GA</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1–10</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWNT-DNA/PEI</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Ny/GA-Si</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.02–18</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC-MC-CB/Na</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.2–8</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/Na</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>4.6–46</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC-MC/Na</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.5–25</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IML-PGE/GA</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.1–1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtNP-IML-PGE/GA</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1–1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4GC—glassy carbon; SWNT—single-walled carbon nanotubes; CLEC—cross-linked enzyme crystals; GA—glutaraldehyde; MWNT—multi-walled carbon nanotubes; NaF—NaF; Fl—flow injection; PEI—polyethylenimine; C—carbon; Ny—Nylon; Si—silicon oil; MC—mesoporous carbon; CB—carbon black; PtNPs—platinum nanoparticles; IML—inkjet maskless lithography; PGE—patterned graphene electrodes. *According to interpretation of Figure 5a in referenced manuscript.

graphene and carbon nanotubes synthesized via chemical vapor deposition, the potential of PTE biosensors for direct, in-field sensing has been limited especially as the maximum allowable OP concentration levels in drinking water begins to approach the sub-nanomolar range (European Union Drinking Water Directive 98/83/EC). This article addresses three key issues that often hinder enzymatic OP biosensors, i.e., scalable/ecomonomial manufacturing processes, surface-fouling, and low sensitivity/high electrical conductivity.
improve adhesion of graphene to the substrate upon printing. Pristine graphene flakes (150 mg) were added to the solution and were probe-sonicated for 4 h. Probe sonication was conducted at 70% amplitude with a 9 s pulse and 1 s rest cycle to reduce graphene size and increase graphene flake exfoliation. The ink was then filtered through a 0.8 μm syringe filter. Acetone was evaporated from the solution to yield a concentrated graphene ink (15 mg/mL) which was spin-coated over an inkjet-printed polymer sacrificial layer (10% formaldehyde resin, 80% cyclohexanol, 10% terpineol) on heat-treated polyethylene terephthalate (PET (Kemafol, Coveme TSL W)). The substrate was then postbaked under a heat gun (Steinel) at 110 °C for at least 12 h during enzyme incubation and were subsequently stored in this environment until biosensor testing.

2.3.2. Laser Annealing. The IML graphene electrodes were laser-annealed by a 1000 mW diode laser engraver (HPDPOWER). The wavelength of the laser was blue-violet (405 nm) which did not damage the heat-treated PET substrate. The carving time (i.e., burn time, is the amount of time the laser is positioned at one particular point on the substrate before moving onto a different substrate point) of the engraver was set at 20 ms. This carving time that was found to not degrade/destroy the integrity of the graphene electrode while maximizing its electrical conductivity and electroactive nature (Supporting Information Figures S1 & S5).

2.3.3. Electrodeposited PtNPs. PtNPs were electrodeposited onto the surface of the electrode using a standard three-electrode setup. A platinum wire (CH Instruments CH115) was used as the counter electrode and an Ag/AgCl single membrane electrode was used as the reference electrode (CH Instruments CH111). A platinum electro-plating solution of chloroplatinic acid and sodium sulfate was made by mixing 4 mM of H₃PtCl₆ and 0.5 M Na₂SO₄. The electrode was then placed into the solution, and PtNPs were electrochemically deposited onto the surface by pulsed chronopotentiometry (step) using pulses or cycles of 0.1 s steps of 0–250 μA. This procedure provided high current density across the graphene surface which enhanced the nucleation of PtNPs (25 ± 2.5 nm, n = 25) evenly across the graphene surface (Supporting Information Figure S2). The diameter of nanoparticles was determined using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) micrographs (Supporting Information Figure S2) and ImageJ pixel measurement tool with a sample size of n = 25 PtNPs.

2.3.4. PTE Ink Preparation and Deposition. A PTE ink was developed by mixing 50 μL of PTE (15 μM with a molecular weight of ~35 kDa), 400 μL of deionized water, and 50 μL of 50 mg/mL bovine serum albumin (BSA). The PTE ink was thoroughly mixed using a desktop vortex (Fisher Vortex Mixer #00215365) on high for 15 s. BSA was added as it has shown to increase the stability of enzymes and to a lesser extent to increase the biosensor sensitivity. Glutaraldehyde (50 μL of 0.25%) was added to the solution to cross-link the enzyme to the surface of the electrode. The PTE ink was then dropped onto the working electrode by hand-pipetting 10 μL directly onto the working electrode. The biosensors were then refrigerated (~4 °C) for at least 12 h during enzyme incubation and were subsequently stored in this environment until biosensor testing.

2.3.5. Electroanalytical Measurements. All electrochemical measurements were conducted using a CH Instruments potentiostat (600E series) with a typical three-electrode setup which included an Ag/AgCl reference electrode and a platinum wire counter electrode. All tests were conducted in 15 mL of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; 1X, Sigma Aldrich), modified to pH 8.0 with NaOH—a pH more favorable to PTE performance (Supporting Information Figure S3). Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was performed with a 50 mV/s scan rate; slow scan rates were chosen to minimize surface charging effects because of the double layer capacitance emanating from the high surface area of the laser-annealed graphene. To increase the diffusion of substrate to the enzyme, a magnetic stir bar was added and rotated at 300 rpm for amperometric measurements. Paraoxon stock solution (1 mM) was made daily as it readily photohydrolyzes and was pipetted at various volumes for desired molarity for all amperometric measurements unless otherwise noted. For selectivity tests, diluted pesticide stock solutions of 0.1 mM were used as many of the tested interference pesticides have low solubility in water. Paraoxon calibration plots for the biofunctionalized PtNP-IML-PGE were conducted with a working potential of +0.95 V (vs Ag/AgCl) as the biosensor detection limit was minimized at this working potential (Supporting Information Figure S4). To remove the electromagnetic noise that was applied by the magnetic stirrer, data were filtered by taking the running average of 100 points with a scan rate of 0.01 s⁻¹ (running average over 1 s). Amperometric biosensor response time was calculated by monitoring the time from the release of target analyte from the pipette tip in the test vial to the time a new steady state current response (90% max anodic current) was recorded. The biosensor detection limits were calculated using 3σ protocols (signal over noise (S/N)=3, noise estimated from the standard deviation over 25 s in PBS buffer).

2.3.6. SEM Images. SEM images were acquired using an FEI Quanta 250 FE-SEM. All images were magnified to 15,000× using backscattering electrons, spot size of 4.0, and with a 10 kV potential. A 2 nm thick iridium layer was sputter coated onto all imaged samples via a turbo-pump sputter coater to improve imaging by preventing electrons from tunneling through the graphene surface.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Overview of the Biosensor Fabrication. Paraoxon biosensors were fabricated by a simple four-step process that utilizes PGEs in lieu of graphene synthesized by chemical vapor deposition—a process requiring a high temperature (up to 1000 °C) vacuum synthesis environment that is costly and not well-suited for scalable manufacturing (Figure 1 & Methods).
and Materials). The PGEs used herein were first fabricated through our previously reported IML technique that includes inkjet printing a polymer mask, spin-coating a graphene flake solution, thermal postbaking the printed graphene, and finally performing an acetone rinse lift-off to form well-defined electrode patterns with a 5 mm diameter disk-shaped working electrode (Figure 1a). After the graphene patterning process, a laser diode engraver was used to anneal the graphene and remove nonconductive ink surfactants (Figure 1b). This laser annealing process significantly improves the electrical conductivity of the PGEs (sheet resistance decreases from ~10,000 to 100 Ω/sq) and adhesion of the graphene to the underlying PET surface while simultaneously nano/microstructuring the graphene surface, which increases the electrode surface area and electroactivity (Figure 1b). Next, PtNPs (diam. ~25 nm) were decorated onto the laser-annealed graphene through pulsed electrodeposition to further improve the electroreactivity of the biosensor (Figure 1c). Finally, PTE ink was drop-coated onto the graphene platinum hybrid surface and consequently covalently bound to the surface via glutaraldehyde cross-linking (Figure 1d).

3.1.1. Laser Annealing Process. The electrical conductivity of the IML-PGE was significantly increased by a laser annealing technique (see Methods and Materials). The laser carving time (inversely proportional to raster rate) was incrementally increased from 5 to 20 ms which subsequently decreased the graphene sheet resistance 2 orders of magnitude from ~10,000 to 100 Ω/sq (Supporting Information Figure S5) while increasing the porosity and micro/nanostructuring of the graphene surface (Figure 2a and Supporting Information Figure S1) resulting in a high electroactive surface (from no electroactive surface area to 53 mm² for non-annealed IML-PGE to 20 ms laser-annealed IML-PGE, respectively, see Supporting Information Figure S6). The electroactive surface area of the laser-annealed IML-PGE was calculated using the Randles–Sevcik equation (eq 1).

\[ i_p = 2.69 \times 10^5 A D^{1/2} n^{3/2} v^{1/2} C \]

where \( n \) is the number of electrons in the faradaic reaction, \( A \) is the effective electroactive surface area (cm²), \( D \) is the diffusion coefficient (7.6 \times 10^{-6} cm²/s), \( C \) is the concentration of the bulk redox species (5 mM), \( v \) is the scan rate (V/s), and \( i_p \) is the current (Å) at the oxidation peak acquired from the cyclic voltammograms in Figure S6. Such increases in electrical conductivity and electroactive surface area significantly improve the oxidation rate of p-nitrophenol at the electrode surface as the sensitivity increases from 25 to 230 nA/μM (Figure 2b). However, further increases in the laser carving time (i.e., 50 and 100 ms) begins to smooth the IML-PGE surface (electroactive surface area decreases from 53 mm² at 20 ms to 10 mm² at 100 ms, see Supporting Information Figures S1 & S6) by enhancing interfacial bonding via reforming of van der Waals bonds. Subsequently, this graphene smoothing process significantly decreases the sensitivity of the electrode, 3 orders of magnitude from 230 to 3 nA/μM, respectively. Hence, the IML-PGEs used for all future experiments in this work are laser-annealed with a carving time of 20 ms.

The laser annealing process most likely increased the electrical conductivity of the IML-printed graphene because of its ability to thermally degrade/destroy nonconductive surfactants and binders still present in the patterned graphene as well as to weld or sinter together individual printed graphene flakes. Note that this laser does not degrade the PET underlayer and can be tuned to not harm even paper-based substrates. Additionally, this laser annealing process, performed in ambient air, increases the number of superficial defects while adding oxygenated species (COOH, −C=O, and −OH) to the said defects as previously reported. The increase of graphene superficial defects has been shown to significantly increase the nucleation density of PtNPs during electrodeposition. Such superficial oxygen species are well-suited for subsequent enzymatic biofunctionalization via glutaraldehyde.
cross-linking as hydroxyl groups on the graphene surface bind to the aldehyde groups in glutaraldehyde. Moreover, this micro/nanostructuring also changed the surface wettability of the graphene from one that is hydrophilic (water contact angle (CA) < 10°) to one that is hydrophobic (CA ≈ 90°) (insets of Figure 2a). Such a hydrophobic electrode surface has been shown to repel the electrolyte sensing solution that consequently reduces the double layer capacitance and decreases the sensor background current; hydrophobic electrodes generally increase the signal-to-noise ratio of the output signal. Moreover, hydrophobic electrodes are generally less susceptible to surface-fouling as they tend to reduce the adsorption of nonspecific species that may be endogenously found in biological matrices.

3.1.2. PtNP Decoration. PtNPs (~25 nm in diameter) were electrodeposited onto the IML-PGE to further increase their electrocatalytic nature to p-nitrophenol oxidation (see Methods and Materials, Figure 3a). Initially, the incorporation of PtNPs on the surface of the graphene improved the biosensor sensitivity to paraoxon (note the electrode was functionalized with PTE for this experiment, see section 3.1.3) as the number of deposition pulses or cycles increases (0−75 cycles corresponding to 195 and 275 nA/μM, respectively, Figure 3b). However, after 75 electrodeposition cycles, larger ridge-like macrostructures were formed (Supporting Information Figure S2), which significantly decreases the sensitivity (1000 cycles corresponding to 32 nA/μM, Figure 3b). This decrease in sensitivity is most likely due to the lower surface area and less catalytic capability of larger PtNP macrostructures as opposed to smaller PtNPs as previously illustrated with glucose biosensors fabricated with platinum nano/microparticles electrodeposited on chemical vapor deposition-synthesized multilayer graphene.

CV was conducted to measure and compare the electrochemical sensitivity to p-nitrophenol with a SPCE to that of an IML-PGE that has been laser-annealed as well as decorated with PtNPs (PtNP-IML-PGE) (Figure 4a & Supporting Information S7). All electrodes displayed distinct anodic peaks at an applied potential of +0.95 V versus Ag/AgCl, which corresponds to the oxidation of p-nitrophenol (1 mM). The IML-PGE and PtNP-IML-PGE both display a substantial increase in sensitivity to p-nitrophenol over the SPCE as faradaic oxidation peaks (at +0.95 V) were exhibited as follows: SPCE ≈ 3 μA, IML-PGE ≈ 10 μA, and PtNP-IML-PGE ≈ 13 μA (Figure 4a). After the first scan cycle, the anodic current of the SPCE significantly decreased (>90%) because of surface-fouling (Figure 4a and Supporting Information Figure S7). As p-nitrophenol oxidizes, the phenol-leaving group polymerizes on the surface of the electrode creating an insulating/diffusion barrier. Comparatively, both the IML-PGE and the PtNP-IML-PGE greatly resisted surface-fouling and decrease faradic current by less than 5%. We speculate that this resistance to surface-fouling is most likely due to the hydrophobic nature of the IML-PGEs as previously mentioned; similarly, other carbon nanomaterials have reported varying degrees of antifouling properties.

The surface area of a SPCE and laser-annealed IML-PGE and PtNP-IML-PGE were evaluated by performing CV in ferri/ferrocyanide (Figure 4b and Supporting Information Figure S6). The anodic and cathodic currents both increase in magnitude with faster scan rates and were plotted verses the square root of scan rate. Figure 3. Platinum deposition. (a) SEM micrographs displaying the decorating of PtNPs (diam. ~25 nm) on the IML-PGE surface. Scale bar is 5 μm and the inset scale bar is 200 nm. (b) Paraoxon calibration plot for IML-PGEs with increasing electrodeposition cycles. Inset: Histogram depicting the sensitivity of the PtNP-IML-PGE to paraoxon.

Figure 4. Transduction layer characterization. (a) Cyclic voltammogram showing three repetitive scans in 1 mM p-nitrophenol with SPCE (green), laser-annealed IML-PGE (blue), and laser-annealed PtNP-IML-PGE (red). (b) Cyclic voltammogram of laser-annealed PtNP-IML-PGE in 5 mM ferro/ferricyanide with various scan rates (5−50 mV/s). Inset: Randles−Sevcik plot: anodic and cathodic currents vs square root of scan rate.
process is diffusion-controlled; however, the faradaic peaks shift further apart—a characteristic that is commonly attributed to porous materials that experience slower target analyte diffusion rates.\textsuperscript{53} Using the Randles–Sevcik equation (eq 1 & Supporting Information Figure S6), the electrochemically active surface area was estimated as 5, 53, and 56 mm\(^2\) for SPCE, laser-annealed IML-PGE, and laser-annealed PtNP-IML-PGE, respectively.

3.1.3. Enzyme Characterization and Functionalization. The enzyme PTE was converted into an ink with BSA and glutaraldehyde and assayed to monitor and improve its performance (see Methods and Materials). First, it should be noted that as paraoxon diffuses to the electrode surface, PTE catalyzes paraoxon into \(p\)-nitrophenol which is consequently oxidized at the PtNP-IML-PGE surface during electrochemical biosensing (Figure 5a). However, before electrochemical biosensing, absorption spectroscopy was used to verify the activity of the PTE that was expressed in \(E.\ coli\) strain BL21(DE3) (see Methods and Materials). The enzymatic activity of the resultant PTE ink was fluorescently monitored in a plate reader assay. PTE degrades paraoxon by hydrolyzing the P–O bond yielding diethyl phosphate and \(p\)-nitrophenol, which has a strong absorption at 405 nm with an extinction coefficient of \(\sim 18\,000\ \text{M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}\), opposed to paraoxon which has minimal absorption (Figure 5a).\textsuperscript{54} Similar to methods described,\textsuperscript{55} three varying ratios of PTE ink (0.5, 1, and 5\%) which accounts for 2, 4, and 20 nM PTE were assayed versus varying concentrations of paraoxon (1, 2, 4, and 8 \(\mu\)M). A standard enzymatic rate reaction model was constructed using Sigma plot’s enzyme module (Figure 5b). As expected the higher concentration of PTE yielded higher \(V_{\text{max}}\) as more substrate can hydrolyze more paraoxon, \(\sim 350, \sim 650, \sim 2300\ \text{nmol/s} \text{ (0.5, 1, and 5\%, respectively). All three ink concentrations yielded similar data for } k_{\text{cat}}\text{ (turnover number), } K_M\text{ (Michaelis constant), and } k_{\text{cat}}/K_M\text{ (enzymatic efficiency) of } \sim 160\ \text{s}^{-1}, \sim 0.270\ \mu\text{M, and } \sim 590\ \mu\text{M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}\) respectively. These enzyme performance characteristics were a slight improvement compared to our previous reports for PTE synthesized in a similar fashion and hence validated that the recombinant protein was properly expressed and incubated.\textsuperscript{33,56}

The PtNP-IML-PGE was biofunctionalized with PTE enzymes by covalent cross-linking with glutaraldehyde and albumin. Glutaraldehyde cross-linking offers a straightforward and inexpensive approach to immobilizing enzymes onto electrode surfaces and in particular has shown to enhance the biosensor thermostability, reusability, and shelf-life when used to covalently link enzymes to graphene/graphene oxide electrodes.\textsuperscript{57–59} Distinct concentrations of glutaraldehyde (0.125, 0.175, 0.25, 0.325, and 0.5\%) within a BSA/PTE mixture were tested to determine which improved the biosensor sensitivity the most (Supporting Information Figure S8). Increasing the concentration of glutaraldehyde from 0.125 to 0.25\% significantly improved the biosensor sensitivity to paraoxon (most likely through increased loading of active enzyme on the electrode surface). However, the sensitivity begins to decrease after glutaraldehyde concentrations of 0.25\% due to an increased diffusion barrier which hinders paraoxon from binding to the enzyme and impedes \(p\)-nitrophenol from reaching the graphene transduction material after catalysis.

3.2. Biosensor Performance Characterization. 3.2.1. Initial Calibration. The PTE-biofunctionalized PtNP-IML-PGE was first calibrated in buffer solution via amperometry at an applied +0.95 V versus Ag/AgCl (Figure 6a). The biosensor was capable of rapidly monitoring (response time < 10 s) successive paraoxon concentration increases with distinct amperometric response signals for consecutive 0.1 \(\mu\)M paraoxon additions (blue arrows) and 1 \(\mu\)M concentration additions (red arrows). The biosensor displayed a semilinear characteristic calibration plot that can be fitted with a second-order polynomial \(i_{\text{pa}} = -0.011[\text{parafoxan}]^2 + 0.350[\text{parafoxan}]\), where \(i_{\text{pa}}\) is the peak anodic current response with a coefficient of determination \((R^2)\) of 0.995. However, a linear fit of this calibration plot \((i_{\text{pa}} = 0.263[\text{parafoxan}]\) yielded a lower \(R^2\) value (0.978). This deviation from linearity is most likely due to the increased diffusion layer caused by the glutaraldehyde cross-linking that limits the diffusion of paraoxon to the enzyme as well as the product (\(p\)-nitrophenol) to the electrode surface. Note that both the PtNP-IML-PGE and IML-PGE were biofunctionalized and linearly calibrated (Figure 6b); PtNP-IML-PGE displayed a higher sensitivity (370 nA/\(\mu\)M) and lower detection limit (3 nM, \(S/N = 3\)) than the PTE-biofunctionalized IML-PGE (270 nA/\(\mu\)M and 12 nM).

These biosensor results compare quite favorably with other paraoxon electrochemical biosensors. For example, the PtNP-IML-PGE biosensor displayed the highest sensitivity and lowest detection limit of any reported electrochemical biosensor that
utilizes the PTE enzyme to detect paraoxon (Table 1). Note that the PtNP-IML-PGE biosensor paraoxon sensitivity, detection limit, and linear range reported in Table 1 were all calculated using the linear fit to the calibration plot to be congruent with standard reporting practices (Figure 6b). The developed biosensor could also be implemented into high-volume manufacturing protocols (e.g., roll-to-roll polymer printing and graphene coating) as there is no need for photolithography steps, and electrode patterning can be conducted on flexible, disposable substrates. Additionally, the biosensor design eliminated the need for multiple processing and sensing steps (e.g., electrode polishing, multiple electrodeposition steps, a Faraday cage, flow injection, or ion exchange membranes) that have been reported with previous paraoxon biosensors (Table 1).

3.2.2. Stability and Reusability. The PTE-biofunctionalized PtNP-IML-PGE also demonstrated a high degree of stability, reusability, and selectivity. For example, the biosensor retains a steady (90%) anodic current over 1000 s after 1 μM paraoxon concentration additions, demonstrating little surface polymerization and good operational stability (Figure 7a). Such resistance to surface fouling may be due to the hydrophobic nature of the laser-annealed IML-PGE, as previously mentioned. Long-term biosensor stability was evaluated by testing the biosensors each week for 8 weeks (note that the biosensors were stored at 4 °C when testing was not occurring). The biosensor retained nearly 70 and 50% of initial sensitivity to paraoxon for PtNP-IML-PGE and IML-PGE, respectively (Figure 7b). This retention in enzyme activity could be attributed to the biocompatibility of the graphene as well as the strong cross-linking of glutaraldehyde, all of which may prevent enzyme denaturation. The biosensor also demonstrated a strong degree of reusability and retained 95% sensitivity to paraoxon even after 12 repeated uses (average of 0.3% decrease per time sensed, Figure 7c). Hence, the original amperometric current response was nearly retained after each of the 12 sensing experiments.

Next, the selectivity of the designed biosensor was evaluated against potentially interfering nerve agents and within actual soil slurries. It should be noted here that OP sensors that rely on PTE are selective as the enzyme specifically targets triple O-linked phosphonate centers such as found in paraoxon, parathion, chlorpyrifos, and chemical warfare agents such as Sarin and Soman. The selectivity of the biosensor was analyzed
during amperometry by adding OP concentrations (5 μM additions) into buffer solution along with various pesticides. The biosensor exhibited a large current increase for paraaxon and p-nitrophenol, but a negligible response for methyl parathion and chlorpyrifos (Figure 7d). The biosensor’s inability to detect these ubiquitous phosphates is consistent with the reported class of PTE that catalyzes these pesticides at a much slower rate.\textsuperscript{51,54,62} Additional pesticides that do not contain phenolic-leaving groups or are not catalyzed by PTE (dichlofenthion, fenithrothion, phoxim, and dimethoate) were also tested and did not show any substantial increase in oxidation current. Paraaxon was then added again to the solution, and a similar step height was observed demonstrating that the immobilized PTE was still active. The biosensor was next tested in a variety of biological solutions (tap water, river water, and a soil slurry) to evaluate its selectivity within actual biological matrices. All solutions were filtered with a 0.45 μm syringe filter, pH adjusted to 8.0 with NaOH, and ionic strength increased to 0.01 M PBS. The biosensor was able to detect low levels (5 μM) of paraaxon in a variety of real-world solution media (Table 2). All biosensor test results were within 10% of pure buffer solutions (based on second-order polynomial calibration plot, Figure 6a), demonstrating that the biosensor is amendable to in-field or point-of-service testing in water and soil samples.

### 4. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, an amperometric biosensor for the detection of OPs (paraaxon) has been developed based on a printed graphene electrode (PGE) that has been nano/microstructured with post-print laser processing and electrodeposited platinum nanoparticles (PtNPs) as well as biofunctionalized with the enzyme phosphotriesterase (PTE) via glutaraldehyde crosslinking. The nano/microstructured printed graphene provides an effective transduction material for rapidly monitoring the oxidation of p-nitrophenol because of its high electrical conductivity, electroactive nature, and biocompatibility. The graphene transduction layer was further enhanced through laser annealing, which fused individual graphene flakes together, increased the electroactive surface area, and provided stable attachment locations for covalent enzyme functionalization with the increased superficial oxygen groups. The high surface area creates a hydrophobic biosensor that resists surface fouling by repelling nonspecific species from adsorbing on the electrode surface. The PtNPs were utilized to further increase the sensitivity of the biosensor by increasing the electroactive nature of the PGE. The resulting biosensor exhibited the lowest detection limit (3 nM) and highest sensitivity (370 nA/μM) of any electrochemical PTE biosensor. The designed biosensor displayed a stable response to paraaxon (retained 90% anodic current over 1000 s), long-term stability (70% over 8 weeks), reusability (90% after 12 repeated scans), and high selectivity to paraaxon. Finally, the biosensors were tested in real samples (tap water, river water, and a soil slurry) to demonstrate its effectiveness during sensing in biological matrices.

IML provides a rapid and low-cost process to manufacture highly defined graphene electrodes for electrochemical sensing. The PtNP-IML-PGEs can also be fabricated with high-volume manufacturing processes (e.g., roll-to-roll processing) as they do not require high-cost photolithography steps and can be patterned on low-cost, disposable substrates. The designed biosensor utilizes PTE that is selective to triple O-linked phosphate centers; therefore, it is advantageous over cholinesterase biosensors which require additional substrates, incubation periods, and are not selective to only OPs. In-field application of this biosensor could present farmers with a rapid, point-of-application sensor to monitor pesticide levels, which allows for a more accurate application of OPs, decreasing negative environmental impacts. The biosensor could be employed for drinking water testing\textsuperscript{63,64} or defense threat monitoring,\textsuperscript{20,65} via a Boolean enzyme cascade, for example, to provide high fidelity warnings for proactive measures to be taken to protect human life.\textsuperscript{66} Additionally, because the printing, laser annealing, and functionalization protocols can all be performed on thermally and chemically sensitive materials (e.g., polymers and paper), the developed graphene-based OP biosensor could be incorporated into wearable ring-based, glove-based, or textile-based sensor platforms for rapid in-field analysis and defense threat awareness of nerve agents.\textsuperscript{67} Finally, the PtNP-IML-PGE fabrication protocol could be used for the scalable manufacturing of printed graphene-based electrodes for other applications in addition to biosensors including high-performance supercapacitors,\textsuperscript{68} biofuel cells,\textsuperscript{69} as well as dye-sensitized solar cells.\textsuperscript{70}
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