2011

Subsurface Drainage Water Management

Greg Brenneman  
*Iowa State University*, gregb@iastate.edu

Matthew J. Helmers  
*Iowa State University*, mhelmers@iastate.edu

Follow this and additional works at: [https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports)

Part of the [Agricultural Science Commons](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports), [Agriculture Commons](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports), and the [Bioresource and Agricultural Engineering Commons](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports)

**Recommended Citation**

[https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports/274](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports/274)

This report is brought to you for free and open access by Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.
Subsurface Drainage Water Management

Abstract
Drainage water management, in the context of subsurface agricultural drainage, consists of managing outflow with a goal of reducing drainage volume. Because less water is leaving the system, a corresponding reduction in the amount nitrate-nitrogen can be expected, although concentrations tend to be similar when compared with conventional drainage. The objective of this work is to determine the impact of drainage water management on drainage outflow, water table depth, and crop yields.
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Introduction
Drainage water management, in the context of subsurface agricultural drainage, consists of managing outflow with a goal of reducing drainage volume. Because less water is leaving the system, a corresponding reduction in the amount nitrate-nitrogen can be expected, although concentrations tend to be similar when compared with conventional drainage. The objective of this work is to determine the impact of drainage water management on drainage outflow, water table depth, and crop yields.

Materials and Methods
The drainage water management study is located at the Southeast Research Farm near Crawfordsville, IA. The site consists of Taintor silty clay loam and Kalona silty clay loam soils. The research site has eight plots with two replications for each treatment (Figure 1). Individual plots range in size from approximately three to six acres for a total project area of 42 acres. Plots are split down the middle and cropped east to west in both corn and soybeans each year. The four treatments are:

- No drainage.
- Conventional drainage (48-in. tile depth with 60-ft spacing).
- Shallow drainage (30-in. tile depth with 40-ft spacing).
- Controlled drainage (48-in. tile depth with 60-ft spacing with controls during the winter and summer and free flow during planting and harvesting).

Tiles lines are laid out in a North-South orientation with interior tiles continuously monitored for flow rate. Water samples for nitrate-nitrogen levels were taken on a weekly basis. The control gates for the controlled drainage plots are opened in early April prior to planting and closed after planting is completed generally in the first two weeks of June. Control gates are then reopened in early to mid-September prior to harvest and closed again after fall tillage is completed, generally in early November.

Results and Discussion
Annual drainage in the conventional tile plots is noticeably more than drainage from the shallow and controlled tile systems (Table 1). Averaging treatments over the three-year study period, accounting for annual variation, shows a major increase in drainage volume coming from the conventionally drained plots.

Water table monitoring midway between tile lines show water tables responding very rapidly to rainfall events. Following rainfall events, the undrained plots would often have a water table within 1 to 1.5 ft of the soil surface. The shallow and controlled drainage plots track similarly throughout the year with the shallow drain plots and have a water table at about 3 ft. The controlled drain plots have a water table at about 3.5 ft. The conventional drained plots had the deepest water table at 4 to 4.5 ft deep (Figure 2).

Average yields varied widely over the years and treatments. In three of the four years, the undrained treatment had the lowest yield for both corn and soybeans. Averaged over the four years, the drained plots had soybean yields 6 to 10 bushels/acre and corn yields 8 to 14 bushels/acre more than the undrained plots. Over the four-year study period, the drainage water management treatments did not produce
crop yields that were different from the conventional drainage treatment.
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**Table 1. Total annual drainage – inches.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Conventional</th>
<th>Control drain</th>
<th>Shallow drain</th>
<th>March-Oct. rainfall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>42.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>44.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-year avg.</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>40.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Corn and soybean yields by year.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drainage system</th>
<th>Soybeans</th>
<th>Corn</th>
<th>Soybeans</th>
<th>Corn</th>
<th>Soybeans</th>
<th>Corn</th>
<th>Soybeans</th>
<th>Corn</th>
<th>Soybeans</th>
<th>Corn</th>
<th>Soybeans</th>
<th>Corn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No tile</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Drainage water management layout.

Figure 2. Depth to water table – 2009.