

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY  
Digital Repository  
**Farm Progress Reports**

---

2016 Report  
Issue 1 2016 *Farm Progress Reports*

Number RFR-A16104

---

2017

## Conservation Tillage Study

Greg Brenneman  
*Iowa State University*, [gregb@iastate.edu](mailto:gregb@iastate.edu)

Myron Rees  
*Iowa State University*, [mrees@iastate.edu](mailto:mrees@iastate.edu)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farmprogressreports>

 Part of the [Agriculture Commons](#), and the [Bioresource and Agricultural Engineering Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Brenneman, Greg and Rees, Myron (2017) "Conservation Tillage Study," *Farm Progress Reports*: Vol. 2016 : Iss. 1 , Article 131.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.31274/farmprogressreports-180814-1696>  
Available at: <https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farmprogressreports/vol2016/iss1/131>

This Southeast Research and Demonstration Farm is brought to you for free and open access by the Extension and Experiment Station Publications at Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Farm Progress Reports by an authorized editor of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact [digirep@iastate.edu](mailto:digirep@iastate.edu).

# Conservation Tillage Study

## RFR-A16104

Greg Brenneman, extension agricultural engineering specialist  
Myron Rees, farm superintendent

### Introduction

The project goal is to compare yields of three different tillage systems on a sloping, moderately well-drained soil (Nira) and on a nearly level, poorly-drained soil (Kalona) in a continuous corn and a corn-soybean system. These plots began in 1990 and have been continued to present.

### Materials and Methods

In the chisel-disk system, the plots previously in corn are chiseled in the fall. Both corn and soybean plots in this system are spring disked and field cultivated.

In the “alternative” tillage system, the continuous corn ground is fall chiseled and then planted in the spring without further tillage. In the corn-soybean rotation, the soybeans are no-till planted in narrow rows and the corn is planted following one spring pass with a field cultivator over the soybean stubble.

No fall or spring tillage was done in the no-till system. For planting in the no-till system, the planter is equipped with a knife and coulter for the fertilizer opener and a fluted coulter and finger row-cleaning wheels for residue clearing.

Nitrogen was spring applied and an N-P-K dry fertilizer was applied with the planter. Soil tests were high to very high so a rate of P and K below crop removal was applied.

### Results and Discussion

Table 1 contains the past seven-year yields for each tillage system and crop sequence on both the Nira and Kalona soils. Yield results for 2012–2014 on the Kalona soil have been updated from previous reports to correct an error in the yield calculations. Yields prior to 2010 were summarized in previous annual reports.

During the past seven years in the continuous corn, the chisel-disk system has out-yielded the no-till system by 13 bushels/acre on the Kalona soil and by 8 bushels/acre on the Nira soil. On the rotated corn, seven-year yield averages have varied by only 2 bushels/acre across tillage systems on the Kalona soil and by 8.2 bushels/acre on the Nira soil.

The largest yield differences between the no-till and chisel-disk systems usually were seen on years with wet springs and perhaps less than ideal conditions at planting. These conditions can increase problems with sidewall or planter furrow compaction, causing yield reductions in the no-till planted corn.

Soybean yields between tillage systems were very similar. Seven-year averages showed only a 2.5 bushel/acre difference across tillage systems on both the Kalona soil and Nira soil. Year-to-year yield levels have fluctuated between tillage systems. This fits with other observations that soybeans usually do not suffer the sidewall compaction problems of corn, and yields are similar between tillage systems. In the past seven years, the 10-in. row no-till soybeans have not shown any yield difference from the 30-in. row soybeans.

**Table 1. Yield results for Kalona and Nira Soils.**

	<b>Kalona soil</b>			<b>Nira soil</b>		
	<b>Corn on corn yield - bu/acre</b>			<b>Corn on corn yield - bu/acre</b>		
	<b>No-till</b>	<b>Alternative</b>	<b>Chisel-disk</b>	<b>No-till</b>	<b>Alternative</b>	<b>Chisel-disk</b>
2010	178	138	199	114	104	85
2011	140	171	144	151	162	160
2012	62	70	84	100	92	109
2013	82	88	83	106	125	115
2014	185	183	186	199	211	213
2015	140	184	169	206	231	227
2016	202	219	215	196	205	222
<b>Average</b>	<b>141.5</b>	<b>150.2</b>	<b>154.3</b>	<b>153.2</b>	<b>161.6</b>	<b>161.5</b>
	<b>Corn on soybean yield - bu/acre</b>			<b>Corn on soybean yield - bu/acre</b>		
	<b>No-till</b>	<b>Alternative</b>	<b>Chisel-disk</b>	<b>No-till</b>	<b>Alternative</b>	<b>Chisel-disk</b>
	<b>No-till</b>	<b>Alternative</b>	<b>Chisel-disk</b>	<b>No-till</b>	<b>Alternative</b>	<b>Chisel-disk</b>
2010	170	170	165	130	128	121
2011	159	150	147	149	156	168
2012	97	113	121	99	93	108
2013	112	107	117	132	123	139
2014	182	181	163	191	186	196
2015	170	153	178	199	215	217
2016	211	217	215	209	200	212
<b>Average</b>	<b>157.3</b>	<b>156.1</b>	<b>158.1</b>	<b>158.3</b>	<b>157.4</b>	<b>165.6</b>
	<b>Soybean yield - bu/acre</b>			<b>Soybean yield - bu/acre</b>		
	<b>No-till</b>	<b>Alternative</b>	<b>Chisel-disk</b>	<b>No-till</b>	<b>Alternative</b>	<b>Chisel-disk</b>
	<b>No-till</b>	<b>Alternative</b>	<b>Chisel-disk</b>	<b>No-till</b>	<b>Alternative</b>	<b>Chisel-disk</b>
2010	53.1	48.3	48.4	60.8	55.1	62.7
2011	47.1	41.9	44.2	56.4	57.3	52.6
2012	46.9	50.3	49.1	51.5	50.5	53.1
2013	32.7	37.5	35.2	35.4	35.8	35.6
2014	55.0	54.6	55.6	67.5	73.3	72.4
2015	56.8	61.0	44.3	61.4	65.3	71.1
2016	61.0	57.4	57.4	76.3	74.8	79.1
<b>Average</b>	<b>50.4</b>	<b>50.1</b>	<b>47.7</b>	<b>58.5</b>	<b>58.9</b>	<b>60.9</b>