Summary of Sulfur Strip Trials Conducted in Central and Northeast Iowa Preliminary 2009 Results

John E. Sawyer  
*Iowa State University*, jsawyer@iastate.edu

Daniel W. Barker  
*Iowa State University*, dbarker@iastate.edu

Brian J. Lang  
*Iowa State University*, bjlang@iastate.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports

Part of the *Agricultural Science Commons*, *Agriculture Commons*, and the *Agronomy and Crop Sciences Commons*

Recommended Citation  
http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports/321

This report is brought to you for free and open access by Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.
Summary of Sulfur Strip Trials Conducted in Central and Northeast Iowa
Preliminary 2009 Results

Abstract
Sulfur (S) strip trials were conducted by ISU Extension field agronomists Brian Lang, George Cummins, and Mark Wuebker; Heartland Co-op; Innovative Ag; Five Star Co-op; Calcium Products, Inc; and cooperating growers.

Keywords
RFR A9132, Agronomy

Disciplines
Agricultural Science | Agriculture | Agronomy and Crop Sciences
Summary of Sulfur Strip Trials Conducted in Central and Northeast Iowa
Preliminary 2009 Results

RFR-A9132

John Sawyer, professor
Daniel Barker, assistant scientist
Brian Lang, field agronomist
Department of Agronomy and University Extension

Introduction
Sulfur (S) strip trials were conducted by ISU Extension field agronomists Brian Lang, George Cummins, and Mark Wuebker; Heartland Co-op; Innovative Ag; Five Star Co-op; Calcium Products, Inc; and cooperating growers.

Materials and Methods
Treatments were spring preplant broadcast gypsum, with comparison to no sulfur (S) application. One rate of S was used in each field, but the rate varied between sites (Table 1). Because only one S rate was used, the needed S application rate cannot be determined, but potential yield increase from S application can be determined as an adequate to above adequate S rate was applied. With and without S alternating strips were replicated from 3 to 9 times in a field. The S fertilizer was applied with commercial applicators, with strip width dependent on equipment (50 to 90 ft). Strip applications were located either by manual flagging or with GIS mapping and GPS directed application.

Corn was combined by the cooperating producer, using yield monitor equipment when available. Yields for treatment strips were determined from weigh wagon, yield monitor strip summary, or yield monitor recorded point data using GIS software to clean and average recorded yields for each strip. Strip average yield data was analyzed for treatment significance with PROC MIXED using SAS ver. 9.2 statistical analysis software.

A total of 15 fields had treatment strips applied. However, due to several reasons, four fields were not used for yield comparison. Three fields that had the planter split with two hybrids were kept for this preliminary summary, but may be excluded later if the hybrid yields are found to be different or influence results. Site information and yield data summarization will continue, therefore this report is considered preliminary.

These strip trials are considered a survey of potential S response in corn, rather than detailed research. Due to funding and time constraints, there were no soil or plant samples collected from the sites. Plant canopy sensing, as a potential in-season measurement for S deficiency, was performed at three of the sites using a Crop Circle ACS-210 canopy sensor at the V10 corn growth stage. We will continue to gather site information (such as soils and soil texture from soil survey, recent manure history, and recent P and K application) for these 2009 sites.

Results and Discussion
Six of the eleven field sites had a corn yield increase from the S application (Table 1). The other five sites had no yield difference with or without S application. This is a 55% response rate to S application, which is similar to other recent small plot research conducted in north central to northeast Iowa on S response in corn. For the six responding sites, the average yield increase from S application was 9 bushels/acre, with a range of 5 to
13 bushels/acre. These yield increases are large enough to more than pay for an S application (for corn, suggested rates are 15 lb S/acre for fine-textured soils and 25 lb S/acre for coarse-textured soils).

This initial strip trial work indicates that S deficiency is occurring across a wide geographic area of Iowa from central to northeast Iowa, and at a frequency that justifies continued research on S fertilization and deficiency identification across Iowa corn and soybean production.
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**Table 1. Sulfur strip trials conducted in central and northeast Iowa.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Order no.</th>
<th>Cooperator</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Previous crop</th>
<th>Previous special remarks</th>
<th>Sulfur rate - S (lb S/acre)</th>
<th>Sulfur rate + S (lb S/acre)</th>
<th>Corn yield - S (bu/acre)</th>
<th>Corn yield + S (bu/acre)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30-12122</td>
<td>Weaver (N)</td>
<td>Greene</td>
<td>corn</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>229</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>30-12123</td>
<td>Weaver (S)</td>
<td>Greene</td>
<td>corn</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>215†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>30-12124</td>
<td>Wenger</td>
<td>Greene</td>
<td>corn</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>228†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>32-25146</td>
<td>Taylor</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>soybean</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>25-7490</td>
<td>Collins</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>corn</td>
<td>c, f</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>152†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>25-7491</td>
<td>Rowe</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>corn</td>
<td>a, d</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>134</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Gilbertson CEA</td>
<td>Fayette</td>
<td>soybean</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>236†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Howard Co.</td>
<td>Howard</td>
<td>soybean</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>192†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Pottebaum</td>
<td>Dubuque</td>
<td>soybean</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>229†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>McGregor</td>
<td>Floyd</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>Winneshiek</td>
<td>soybean</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>212</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Special remarks.
- a) Planter split with two hybrids.
- b) Sixteen of twenty four rows cultivated.
- c) Visual S deficiency symptoms on June 17, corn at V6-V7 growth stage.
- d) Field has manure history.
- e) Only two replications and considerable yield data missing from two strips.
- f) In-season canopy sensing for S deficiency.

† Significantly different yield than with no S applied, P < 0.10. If no symbol, then yields are not significantly different.