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Ragweed and sagebrush pollen can distinguish between vegetation types at broad spatial scales

Abstract
Patterns of vegetation distribution at regional to subcontinental scales can inform understanding of climate. Delineating ecoregion boundaries over geologic time is complicated by the difficulty of distinguishing between prairie types at broad spatial scales using the pollen record. Pollen ratios are sometimes employed to distinguish between vegetation types, although their applicability is often limited to a geographic range. The Neotoma Paleoecology Database offers an unparalleled opportunity to synthesize a large number of pollen datasets. *Ambrosia* (ragweed) is a genus of mesic-adapted species sensitive to summer moisture. *Artemisia* (sagebrush, wormwood, mugwort) is a genus of dry-mesic-adapted species resilient to drought. The log pollen ratio between these two common taxa was calculated across the North American midcontinent from surface pollen samples housed in the Neotoma Paleoecology Database. The relative proportion of *Ambrosia* has roughly doubled since European settlement, likely due to widespread disturbance, while *Artemisia* proportions are nearly unchanged. Correcting surface samples for the disturbance signal in modern *Ambrosia* proportions will allow *Ambrosia*, a strong indicator of summer moisture, to be more accurately represented. In surface samples where both *Ambrosia* and *Artemisia* are reported as nonzero proportions of the pollen sum, mean annual precipitation explains approximately 78% of the variation in the log *Ambrosia*-to-*Artemisia* ratio. Application of this model to Little Ice Age pollen samples produces precipitation reconstructions which generally agree with reconstructions from independent non-pollen proxies. In addition, we find that modern ecoregions within the North American midcontinent can be successfully distinguished from one another using the log *Ambrosia*-to-*Artemisia* ratio. These relationships can improve reconstructions of past climate and improve delineation of past ecoregion boundaries.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Vegetation distributions at regional to subcontinental scales are a result of the interaction between climate, fire, dispersal, disturbance, and competition over millennia (e.g., Williams 2008). These complex processes create distinct regions of habitat that change dramatically over geologic time in response to both external and internal climate forcings. Understanding past vegetation distributions has been a major focus of paleoecological work since Gleason (1922) outlined the five floristic types present at the margins of the late-stage Wisconsin ice sheet. Subsequent research refined and extended Gleason’s ground-breaking ideas from localized to landscape scales (e.g., Baker et al. 1992), from landscape to regional scales (e.g., Davis and Shaw 2001), and from regional to subcontinental scales (e.g., Williams et al. 2004). Delineating past ecoregion
boundaries has long presented a challenge due to the need to access, standardize, and synthesize large amounts of data through space and time. Reconstructions of past ecoregion distributions have improved dramatically with the advent of paleoecological databases such as the North American Pollen Database (NAPD), FAUNAL MAP (FAUNMAP), and the Neotoma Paleoecology Database, the latter of which now serves as the primary repository for pollen data in the Western Hemisphere and has partially subsumed the two former (Grimm et al. 2018). These massive efforts provide access to thousands of contributions from individual researchers and make it possible to stitch records together over landscape and subcontinental scales and from glacial to modern time periods. It is now possible to refine estimates of past ecoregion extent, and therefore climate, at ever-higher spatiotemporal resolutions.

Grasslands have dominated much of the North American midcontinent since the early Miocene (Axelrod 1985, Edwards et al. 2010). Current vegetation assemblages, however, are post-glacial in origin (Jackson and Williams 2004). Prairies quickly expanded their range as the climate warmed following the last glacial maximum and reclaimed much of the North American midcontinent by the mid-Holocene (e.g., Wright 1992, Baker et al. 2000). The modern tallgrass prairie region extends from the Blackland Prairie region of east-central Texas, USA, to southwestern Saskatchewan, Canada, and east into the prairie peninsula of Illinois (Risser et al. 1981; Fig. 1). The western boundary of the contemporaneous tallgrass prairie region extends from east-central Texas through eastern North Dakota before veering westward into far west Saskatchewan. Through all but the most northerly portion, the western boundary is flanked by regions of mixed grass and shortgrass prairie extending from southeastern Saskatchewan to New Mexico and into southern Texas. An international, multiagency effort to precisely delineate the present-day extent of all major vegetation types across the continent resulted in the publication of the Ecoregions of North America (CEC 1997).

One existing limitation in the effort to improve ecoregion delineations over geologic time is the difficulty of distinguishing between prairie types at broad spatial scales using the pollen record. Multiple approaches have been proposed with mixed levels of success. In the southwestern United States, an eight-taxon pollen signature derived from the modern pollen rain was shown to successfully differentiate between extant vegetation types (Hoyt 2000), but this study covered a small geographical area with extreme differences in climate unlike those experienced by much of the Great Plains region. The ratio of Artemisia to Chenopodiaceae pollen has been applied to differentiate between vegetation types in arid to semiarid regions worldwide but was shown to be applicable only within a narrow precipitation range of 450–500 mm annually and is not comparable across geographic regions (Zhao et al. 2012). The ratio of Artemisia to Cyperaceae pollen was shown to differentiate reliably between high-alpine meadow and steppe but is likely only applicable in these regions (Herzschuh 2007).

One promising technique for delineating ecoregions is the ratio of Ambrosia-to-Artemisia pollen, which has been shown to differentiate between tallgrass, mixed grass, and shortgrass prairie over a small portion of the climate space occupied by the modern Great Plains of North America (Morris 2013). Both Ambrosia and Artemisia are wind-pollinated dicotyledons in the Asteraceae (sunflower family), subfamily Asteridoideae. Ambrosia (Supertribe Helianthodae, Tribe Heliantheae, Subtribe Ambrosiinae; e.g., Baldwin 2009), commonly known as ragweed, is a genus of mesic-adapted, herbaceous plants notable for high disturbance tolerance. Artemisia (Supertribe Asterodae, Tribe Anthemideae; e.g., Oberprieler et al. 2009), variously called sagebrush, wormwood, and mugwort, is a genus of dry-adapted woody or herbaceous plants. Ambrosia, in general, is sensitive to summer moisture, while Artemisia is tolerant of drought, except for some winter sensitivity (Grimm 2001). Although the ranges of Ambrosia and Artemisia overlap over most of the North American continent, their autecology differs enough to employ them as complementary indicators. It is this feature of their habitat tolerances that makes them particularly useful as a tool to aid in delineating ecoregions.

We here extend the Ambrosia-to-Artemisia ratio technique of Morris (2013) to the full geographical extent of the modern Great Plains, as defined by CEC (1997), and demonstrate its ability to
distinguish between adjacent ecoregions, as well as prairie types, over broad geographical areas. A noteworthy complication present in the modern pollen record is the extreme disturbance created by the arrival of large numbers of European colonists in the 19th century. This disturbance appears in the pollen record as a sharp increase in the proportion of *Ambrosia* pollen that

Fig. 1. Map of the EPA Level II Ecoregions of North America as defined by CEC (1997). Thick black outline is the boundary of the Great Plains region. Thick blue outline is the 2 decimal degree buffer used to select pollen datasets from the Neotoma Paleoecology Database.
has been dubbed the “settlement horizon.” Pollen assemblages before and after this horizon cannot typically be treated as analogs, even if they are closely separated in time and space (Kujawa et al. 2016). For this reason, many studies necessarily exclude the highly disturbed Midwestern United States from their modern analog training sets. We present a tentative correction for this disturbance signal so that records from this region may be incorporated into training sets.

In this study, we evaluate the effectiveness of using the Ambrosia-to-Artemisia ratio as a technique to robustly delineate ecoregions in modern presettlement pollen records. We hypothesize that the ratio of Ambrosia-to-Artemisia pollen can be used to distinguish between ecoregions of the North American midcontinent. Additionally, we outline a statistical approach to better align presettlement assemblages with their modern counterparts in order to assess how pollen assemblages change through space and time. We hypothesize that, following appropriate correction for post-settlement disturbance, the ratio of Ambrosia-to-Artemisia pollen can be used to reconstruct past precipitation.

Methods

Data acquisition and handling

Pollen data were obtained from the Neotoma Paleoecology Database (Williams et al. 2018) via package neotoma ver. 1.7.2 (Goring et al. 2015). All analyses were performed in R ver. 3.5.1 (R Core Team 2018). A full list of datasets included in this study is given in Appendix S1 (Appendix S1: Tables S1:S2 surface pollen records and S3:S4 presettlement pollen records).

Pollen records for the North American midcontinent were downloaded from Neotoma as type pollen (i.e., fossil pollen), or type surface sample (i.e., modern pollen). Taxa from raw assemblages were standardized using the Whitmore Full list (Whitmore et al. 2005). Non-pollen palynomorphs and spikes (i.e., microspheres or Lycopodium tablets) were removed from the dataset before calculations were made. Iva pollen is sometimes indistinguishable from that of Ambrosia, and therefore, we cannot exclude the possibility that Iva contributes to reported Ambrosia counts in some regions.

Raw age models for fossil pollen datasets were calibrated to IntCal09 after Reimer et al. (2009). Fossil pollen datasets were then subset to just those that were 250–500 yr in age, corresponding to Little Ice Age climate in the North American midcontinent before the well-documented and sharp increase in the proportion of Ambrosia pollen resulting from the arrival of European colonists and implementation of widespread land clearing, known as the “settlement horizon.” Hereafter, these datasets are referred to as “presettlement.”

Pollen records were clipped to within two decimal degrees (approximately 222 km) of the boundary of the Great Plains region (Fig. 1) as defined by CEC (1997). A two decimal degree buffer was chosen because it is approximately double the 50% source area of ragweed pollen entering the center of a basin 750 m in diameter as predicted by Sugita (1993). Basin size is unknown for most of the datasets obtained from Neotoma, and therefore, source distance can only be roughly estimated. The two decimal degree buffer around the boundary of the Great Plains region accounts for the source area of pollen entering all but the largest of basins and reflects the uncertainty inherent in delineating ecoregion boundaries. We excluded datasets in both surface and presettlement sets that were located within regions which were likely receiving most or all of their pollen rain from non-grassland taxa. These comprise the modern-day Western Cordillera, Cold Deserts, and Upper Gila Mountains.

A total of 549 records (462 unique sites) of surface sample type (hereafter, “surface”) were returned by Neotoma. Of these, 26 were located in the Western Cordillera region and 5 were located in the Cold Deserts, and were removed. Of those that remained (518), 11 records (2.1%) reported neither Ambrosia nor Artemisia and were removed. A total of 507 records were included in analyses. Eighty-three records (16.4%) included Ambrosia but no Artemisia. A total of 101 records (19.9%) did not include Ambrosia but did include Artemisia. Both taxa were reported as nonzero in 323 records (63.7%). Records in which at least one of the two taxa was reported as nonzero were retained.

A total of 843 records (211 unique sites), each spanning a portion of the past 250–500 calendar years before present, were available from...
Neotoma at the time of analysis. We removed 62 records which were located within the 2 degree buffer region but at high elevations and likely to reflect pollen from non-grassland taxa. These were sites in the modern-day Western Cordillera (58 datasets), Cold Deserts (3), and Upper Gila Mountains (1). Of those that remained, 68 (8.7%) contained neither *Ambrosia* nor *Artemisia* and were removed. The remaining 713 records (169 unique sites) were included in analyses. Of these, 13 records (1.8%) reported *Ambrosia* but no *Artemisia*, and 64 (8.98%) reported *Artemisia* but no *Ambrosia*. Both *Ambrosia* and *Artemisia* were reported in 636 datasets (89.2%).

Locations in which either *Ambrosia* or *Artemisia*, but not both, were reported represent ecologically distinct areas and are important to include in analyses. Therefore, in both surface and pre-settlement records in which either taxon was reported as zero, and the other nonzero, the proportion was recoded to a dummy value. This allows variation in the relative proportion of the nonzero taxon to be expressed in the resulting ratio. Zero occurrences of either *Ambrosia* or *Artemisia* were recoded to 1 × 10⁻³. This coding produces a ratio two orders of magnitude different than the smallest ratio in either dataset in which both *Ambrosia* and *Artemisia* were nonzero. The ratio of *Ambrosia*-to-*Artemisia* is calculated from the relative proportion of each in their respective records. In surface records, the *Ambrosia* proportion was divided by the median increase relative to the pre-settlement proportion (2.201) prior to the calculation of the ratio. The log ratio was used in all analyses.

**Ecoregion and climate space information**

Site location information for each record was used to match records to their respective EPA level II ecoregions via spatial join in R package sp (Pebesma and Bivand 2005). Records were then joined to a raster containing climate information from the Bioclim 2 dataset (Fick and Hijmans 2017) at a 2.5-arcminute (roughly 5-km) resolution. For a table of the number of datasets per ecoregion included in analyses, see Appendix S2: Table S1.

**Statistical analyses**

Discriminant function analysis was used to test whether ecoregion could successfully be predicted from the *Ambrosia*-to-*Artemisia* ratio and precipitation in the surface pollen dataset. Data were z-scored (centered and scaled) using the package caret (Kuhn et al. 2019). Discriminant function analysis was performed in package mda (Hastie et al. 2017) on a split training set (80%) and a test set (20%) bootstrapped in package boot (Davison and Hinkley 1997, Canty and Ripley 2019) using 1000 random splits. Ecoregions with fewer than 10 datasets were excluded (Softwood Shield and Texas–Louisiana Coastal Plain).

**RESULTS**

The proportion of *Ambrosia* pollen in the surface pollen dataset (median proportion 0.059) is approximately double (2.201) that of the pre-settlement set (median proportion 0.027). Only small changes were found between the *Artemisia* proportion in surface (median proportion 0.02) vs. pre-settlement samples (median proportion 0.031; Fig. 2). After correcting the surface dataset for the doubling in median proportion between pre-settlement and surface *Ambrosia*, where both taxa were present, the log *Ambrosia*-to-*Artemisia* ratio ranged from −5.61 to 4.22 with a mean of 0.13 (Table 1; Fig. 3). In cases where zero *Artemisia* was reported, the log *Ambrosia*-to-*Artemisia* ratio ranged from 4.65 to 10.16 with a mean of 8.35. In cases where zero *Ambrosia* was reported, the log *Ambrosia*-to-*Artemisia* ratio ranged from −11.83 to −7.17 with a mean of −9.36.

Co-occurrences of *Ambrosia* and *Artemisia* were reported across a wide range of precipitation in the surface set, from 269 to 1,182 mm annually, with a mean of 598 mm. Where *Ambrosia* occurred but *Artemisia* did not, precipitation ranged from 492 to 1,631 mm annually, with a mean of 1,006 mm. Where *Artemisia* occurred but *Ambrosia* did not, precipitation ranged from 295 to 579 mm annually, with a mean of 458 mm.

The relationship between mean annual precipitation (MAP) and the log *Ambrosia*-to-*Artemisia* ratio differs among the three scenarios. Where either *Ambrosia* or *Artemisia*, but not both, occurs, the relationship between MAP and the log ratio is mostly linear (Fig. 4). MAP for the zero *Ambrosia* cases explains 31.0% of the variation in the *Ambrosia*-to-*Artemisia* ratio. The model is weak for the zero-*Artemisia* cases, with MAP
explaining 21.7% of the variation in the log Ambrosia-to-Artemisia ratio. Where both types of pollen are present, the relationship between MAP and the Ambrosia-to-Artemisia ratio is modeled using a bootstrapped B-spline fit with three knots (Appendix S3: Fig. S1), which explains 77.7% of the variation in the Ambrosia-to-Artemisia ratio and produces normally distributed residuals (Appendix S3: Fig. S2). The relationship between MAP and the Ambrosia-to-Artemisia ratio is positive between approximately 200 and 800 mm MAP. Between approximately 800 mm and the maximum of approximately 1200 mm MAP, the slope of the relationship between the log Ambrosia-to-Artemisia ratio and precipitation is positive but decreases slightly.

**Pre-European settlement**

The surface set models were applied to a pre-settlement dataset in order to validate the relationships between the Ambrosia-to-Artemisia ratio and precipitation estimates. Where both taxa were present (706 records), the log Ambrosia-to-Artemisia ratio ranged from −5.37 to 4.04, with relationships between the Ambrosia-to-Artemisia ratio and precipitation estimates. Where both taxa were present (706 records), the log Ambrosia-to-Artemisia ratio ranged from −5.37 to 4.04, with
a mean of −0.28. Where *Artemisia* was present but *Ambrosia* was not (75 records), the log *Ambrosia*-to-*Artemisia* ratio ranged from −10.69 to −5.34, with a mean of −7.59.

**Model performance: pre-European settlement precipitation estimates**

Application of the B-spline model to presettlement *Ambrosia*-to-*Artemisia* log ratios produced a mean MAP of 538.5 mm (range 349.4–927.3 mm) where both *Ambrosia* and *Artemisia* are present in the record (Table 2). This is, on average, 135.8 mm/yr less than modern observed precipitation over the midcontinent (range −209.0 to 677.9 mm/yr less relative to modern values; Fig. 5). Where *Artemisia* is present but *Ambrosia* is not, the linear model produced a mean annual precipitation of 525.0 mm and resulted in a reconstructed presettlement precipitation at these locations, on average, 26.5 mm/yr greater than at present (Fig. 6). There are too few cases of zero *Artemisia* in the presettlement set (13 records from seven unique locations) to adequately test the model. In the surface pollen set, the model fit to zero-*Artemisia* cases is not only weak, but also produces a low slope, leading to a high risk of error. It is unlikely to produce realistic precipitation estimates and we therefore exclude it from further consideration.

**Ecoregions**

The *Ambrosia*-to-*Artemisia* ratio varies across the midcontinent, being generally low in the northern regions and increasing toward the south and east (Fig. 7). The ratio is closely tied to precipitation (Fig. 8a, b) and varies less with temperature (Fig. 8c). See Supporting Information Appendix S4: Fig. S1 for an interactive three-dimensional visualization. Discriminant analysis (DA) suggests that an additive model including the log *Ambrosia*-to-*Artemisia* ratio and MAP can distinguish between ecoregions with between 48.5% and 77.3% success, producing a mean success rate of 61.3% on 1000 random partitions of the dataset. ANOVA followed by adjustment for multiple comparisons using Tukey’s honest significant difference (HSD) indicates that there are statistically significant differences in the *Ambrosia*-to-*Artemisia* ratio between the three subregions of the Great Plains: West-Central Semiarid Prairies vs. Temperate Prairies (difference = −2.13, *P* = 0.002), Temperate Prairies vs. South-Central Semiarid Prairies (difference = −3.09, *P* < 0.0001), and West-Central

---

**Table 2. Precipitation values for the presettlement dataset.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Modern MAP (mm)</th>
<th>Reconstructed MAP (mm)</th>
<th>Difference (mm) (modern – reconstructed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>Max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both present</td>
<td>674.2</td>
<td>346.7</td>
<td>1178.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero Ambrosia</td>
<td>498.5</td>
<td>357.9</td>
<td>823.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero Artemisia</td>
<td>970.8</td>
<td>720.4</td>
<td>1178.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The zero-*Artemisia* model has a low slope, producing a very high likelihood of erroneous precipitation values and is therefore not recommended for use.

---

Fig. 5. Difference in modern vs. reconstructed precipitation at all presettlement dataset locations. Diagonal line is 1:1.
Semiarid Prairies vs. South-Central Semiarid Prairies (difference = 5.22, \( P < 1 \times 10^{-7} \); Fig. 9; see Appendix S5: Table S1 for a full pairwise comparison between ecoregions). Inclusion of the interaction between latitude and longitude (to control for spatial autocorrelation) produced no change in the ANOVA coefficients and was therefore excluded from the final model.

**DISCUSSION**

Vegetation responses to climate are complex, yet predictable patterns often emerge. The *Ambrosia*-to-*Artemisia* ratio is strongly driven by precipitation in a predictable pattern across the North American midcontinent. *Ambrosia* species in this region tend to be moderately drought sensitive, especially in summer, whereas *Artemisia* demonstrates high drought tolerance, with some sensitivity in winter (Grimm et al. 2011). These differing climate tolerances make it possible to use their relationship to each other to understand hydroclimate at multiple spatiotemporal scales. Where both taxa are present, MAP explains nearly 78% of the variation in the *Ambrosia*-to-*Artemisia* ratio, and the addition of temperature as a variable does not markedly improve the model. Where no *Artemisia* is present and *Ambrosia* is reported as non-zero, the model is extremely weak and its use is inadvisable. This may be due to differences in moisture sensitivity; *Artemisia* does not occur in the surface pollen set where moisture is above 1200 mm annually, whereas *Ambrosia* occurs over the full range of precipitation in the dataset. It may also be a result of spatial bias in surface pollen samples housed in the Neotoma Paleoeckology Database, which has been thoroughly analyzed by Inman et al. (2018). In the zero-*Artemisia* cases, the linear relationship is strongly affected by groups of samples taken within a very narrow range of precipitation and the removal of those groups effectively changes the apparent relationship with precipitation. For this reason, the *Ambrosia*-to-*Artemisia* ratio should not be applied where *Artemisia* is absent. Records where *Ambrosia* is absent and *Artemisia* is present invariably occur in areas that receive less than ~600 mm of precipitation annually; given that the median relative proportion of *Artemisia* is only slightly different in pre-European settlement vs. modern records, this appears to be an especially strong aridity signal.

Our findings agree with Commerford et al. (2018), who report that inclusion of *Ambrosia* in transfer functions drove precipitation estimates, and that precipitation appears to be a much stronger factor in *Ambrosia* pollen abundance than is temperature. We suggest that the strong signal of disturbance in the post-European settlement pollen record can be adequately controlled for using a correction factor. Our simple two-taxon ratio also avoids the difficulties inherent in accounting for post-settlement disturbance to a large number of taxa with a wide variety of apparent disturbance signals.

**Ecoregions**

Morris (2013) successfully employed the *Ambrosia*-to-*Artemisia* ratio to distinguish between tallgrass, mixed grass, and shortgrass prairie over a small region within the Great Plains. Our models suggest the tool is widely...
Fig. 7. Map of the log Ambrosia-to-Artemisia ratio for every surface sample in the analysis.
applicable over the entirety of the Great Plains region and that modern ecoregions carry distinct signals driven largely by precipitation. This can improve our ability to define past vegetational boundaries and refine precipitation estimates at a range of spatial scales. We find tentative support for our hypothesis that the ratio of *Ambrosia*-*Artemisia* pollen can be used to distinguish

Fig. 8. (a) Three-dimensional plot of the log *Ambrosia*-to-*Artemisia* ratio vs. mean annual precipitation and mean annual temperature by EPA Level II Ecoregion. (b) Log *Ambrosia*-to-*Artemisia* ratio vs. mean annual precipitation and (c) mean annual temperature by EPA Level II Ecoregion. For an interactive 3-dimensional version of this figure, see Appendix S4: Fig. S1.
between ecoregions of the North American mid-continent.

**Pre-European settlement**

We reconstruct unchanged to slightly increased LIA precipitation in the central Great Plains relative to the present, with areas of moderately to substantially reduced precipitation at the eastern and western margins of the Great Plains. A paucity of presettlement pollen records in the central Great Plains, because of the scarcity of natural lakes, hampers precise estimation. However, tentative conclusions can be drawn from the relatively few records that are available, and we here consider independent precipitation proxies (salinity, lake level, stable isotope, tree ring, speleothem, etc.) to evaluate the ability of the *Ambrosia*-to-*Artemisia* ratio to accurately reconstruct precipitation.

Reconstructions of reduced precipitation north-central Great Plains immediately preceding European settlement broadly agree with records based on lake levels and salinity in eastern North Dakota (Fritz et al. 1994, Valero-Garcés et al. 1997). We reconstruct unchanged to slightly wetter conditions over the same period in south-central Canada, which is corroborated by reduced lake salinity near Medicine Hat, Alberta (Vance et al. 1992). High apparent spatial heterogeneity of moisture near the northern and northwestern limits of the tallgrass prairie region has been reported from diatom-inferred salinity records and may indicate instability of the jet stream during the LIA (Laird et al. 2003).
CONCLUSIONS

We provide past precipitation estimates to demonstrate that our calibration of modern pollen to modern precipitation produces models which can be applied across time and space. Precipitation reconstructions broadly agree with the majority of independent proxy records available throughout the North American midcontinent during the LIA. Despite pollen being a primarily regional signal, high spatial heterogeneity of precipitation appears to be reflected in the records satisfactorily. The ratio is strongly driven by precipitation, while temperature appears to be a less critical factor. It remains to be seen whether this holds true further back in time when climate was much different than at present. It is also unknown whether the *Ambrosia-to-Artemisia* ratio is applicable outside of the North American midcontinent. Most pollen ratio methods are applicable to the modern precipitation produces models which can be applied across time and space. Precipitation reconstructions broadly agree with the majority of independent proxy records available throughout the North American midcontinent during the LIA. Despite pollen being a primarily regional signal, high spatial heterogeneity of precipitation appears to be reflected in the records satisfactorily. The ratio is strongly driven by precipitation, while temperature appears to be a less critical factor. It remains to be seen whether this holds true further back in time when climate was much different than at present. It is also unknown whether the *Ambrosia-to-Artemisia* ratio is applicable outside of the North American midcontinent. Most pollen ratio methods are applicable only to the regions for which they are developed, although the *Ambrosia-to-Artemisia* ratio is apparently useful over a much larger area than most. However, *Ambrosia* is an introduced species outside of North America and the use of the ratio on other continents is not advisable.

Our reconstructions of past precipitation generally agree with independent non-pollen proxies. Limitations occur where spatiotemporal coverage of pollen datasets is poor. However, for well-studied areas, the application of a correction factor to the modern *Ambrosia* proportion may enable researchers to include it in training sets and precipitation models. It remains to be seen whether alternative plant-derived markers (macrofossils, phytoliths, etc.) may be employed in a similar manner.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank K.A. Moloney and G. Kuttubekova for valuable suggestions regarding data analysis. We thank H.S. Rogers, R.G. Baker, E.A. Ernst, D.D. Houston, and two anonymous reviewers for comments on earlier versions of the manuscript that greatly improved its quality. Data were obtained from the Neotoma Paleocology Database (http://www.neotomadb.org) and its constituent database, the North American Pollen Database. The work of data contributors, data stewards, and the Neotoma community is gratefully acknowledged. No outside funding supported this work. HMC wrote the R code used in this work, performed analyses, and wrote the manuscript. BJW contributed the idea for the project and provided guidance on analyses and interpretation. ADW and LGC provided further guidance in the development of the manuscript. All authors read the manuscript and contributed to its development. The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

LITERATURE CITED


Morris, C. J. 2013. An analysis of modern pollen data from the prairies of central North America. Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, USA.


DATA AVAILABILITY

All data used in analyses are publicly available through the Neotoma Paleoecology Database (http://www.neotomadb.org). Raw data obtained from Neotoma and R scripts used to perform analyses are available via a public GitHub repository at https://github.com/hannahcarroll/AmbrosiatoArtemisia.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online at: http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecs2.3120/full