

7-11-2019

# The impact of dietary supplementation of arginine during gestation in a commercial swine herd: I. Gilt reproductive performance


Elizabeth A. Hines  
*Iowa State University*

Matthew R. Romoser  
*Iowa State University*

Zoë E. Kiefer  
*Iowa State University, zekiefer@iastate.edu*

Aileen F. Keating  
*Iowa State University, akeating@iastate.edu*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ans\\_pubs](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ans_pubs)

Lance H. Baumgard  
 Part of the [Ag, Horticulture, and Food Science Commons](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ans_pubs), [Animal Experimentation and Research Commons](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ans_pubs), [Animal Sciences Commons](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ans_pubs), [Cellular and Molecular Physiology Commons](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ans_pubs), and the [Dietetics and Clinical Nutrition Commons](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ans_pubs)  
*See next page for additional authors*

The complete bibliographic information for this item can be found at [https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ans\\_pubs/493](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ans_pubs/493). For information on how to cite this item, please visit <http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/howtocite.html>.

---

# The impact of dietary supplementation of arginine during gestation in a commercial swine herd: I. Gilt reproductive performance

## Abstract

Supplemental Arg during gestation purportedly benefits fetal development. However, the benefits of a gestational Arg dietary strategy in commercial production are unclear. Therefore, objectives of this study examined Arg supplementation during different gestational stages and the effects on gilt reproductive performance. Pubertal gilts ( $n = 548$ ) were allocated into four treatment groups: Control ( $n = 143$ ; 0% supplemental Arg) or one of three supplemental Arg (1% as fed) treatments: from 15 to 45 d of gestation ( $n = 138$ ; Early-Arg); from 15 d of gestation until farrowing ( $n = 139$ ; Full-Arg); or from 85 d of gestation until farrowing ( $n = 128$ ; Late-Arg). At farrowing, the number of total born (TB), born alive (BA), stillborn piglets (SB), mummified fetuses (MM), and individual piglet birth weights (BiWt) were recorded. The wean-to-estrus interval (WEI) and subsequent sow reproductive performance (to third parity) was also monitored. No significant effect of supplemental Arg during any part of P0 gestation was observed for TB, BA, SB, or MM ( $P \geq 0.29$ ). Offspring BiWt and variation among individual piglet birth weights did not differ ( $P = 0.42$  and  $0.89$ , respectively) among treatment groups. Following weaning, the WEI was similar among treatments (average of  $8.0 \text{ d} \pm 0.8 \text{ d}$ ;  $P = 0.88$ ). Litter performance over three parities revealed a decrease ( $P = 0.02$ ) in BA for Early-Arg fed gilts compared to all other treatments, while TB and WEI were similar among treatments over three parities ( $P > 0.05$ ). There was an increased proportion of sows with average size litters (12 to 16 TB) from the Full-Arg treatment sows ( $76.8\% \pm 3.7\%$ ) as compared to Control ( $58.7\% \pm 4.2\%$ ;  $P = 0.01$ ), however the proportion of sows with high ( $> 16$  TB) and low ( $< 12$  TB) litters was not different among treatments ( $P = 0.20$ ). These results suggest that gestational Arg supplementation had a minimal impact on reproductive performance in first parity sows. These data underscore the complexity of AA supplementation and the need for continued research into understanding how and when utilizing a gestational dietary Arg strategy can optimize fetal development and sow performance.

## Disciplines

Agriculture | Animal Experimentation and Research | Animal Sciences | Cellular and Molecular Physiology | Dietetics and Clinical Nutrition

## Comments

This is a manuscript of an article published as Hines, Elizabeth A., Matthew R. Romoser, Zoë E. Kiefer, Aileen F. Keating, Lance H. Baumgard, Jarad Niemi, Nicholas K. Gabler et al. "The impact of dietary supplementation of arginine during gestation in a commercial swine herd: I. Gilt reproductive performance." *Journal of animal science* (2019). doi: [10.1093/jas/skz233](https://doi.org/10.1093/jas/skz233).

## Rights

Works produced by employees of the U.S. Government as part of their official duties are not copyrighted within the U.S. The content of this document is not copyrighted.

---

**Authors**

Elizabeth A. Hines, Matthew R. Romoser, Zoë E. Kiefer, Aileen F. Keating, Lance H. Baumgard, Jarad Niemi, Nicholas K. Gabler, John F. Patience, Benjamin Haberl, Noel H. Williams, Brian J. Kerr, Kevin J. Touchette, and Jason W. Ross

# The impact of dietary supplementation of arginine during gestation in a commercial swine herd: I. Gilt reproductive performance

Elizabeth A. Hines<sup>1\*</sup>, Matthew R. Romoser<sup>1</sup>, Zoë E. Kiefer<sup>1</sup>, Aileen F. Keating<sup>1</sup>, Lance H. Baumgard<sup>1</sup>, Jarad Niemi<sup>2</sup>, Nicholas K. Gabler<sup>1</sup>, John F. Patience<sup>1</sup>, Benjamin Haberl<sup>3</sup>, Noel H. Williams<sup>3</sup>, Brian J. Kerr<sup>4</sup>, Kevin J. Touchette<sup>5</sup>, and Jason W. Ross<sup>1‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Science, Iowa State University, Ames, IA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Statistics, Iowa State University, Ames, IA

<sup>3</sup>Iowa Select Farms, Iowa Falls, IA

<sup>4</sup>USDA-ARS-National Laboratory for Agriculture and the Environment, Ames, IA

<sup>5</sup>Ajinomoto Animal Nutrition North America, Inc., Chicago, IL

‡Corresponding author: Jason W. Ross, PhD  
Department of Animal Science, Iowa State University  
Ames, IA, 50011, United States  
Phone number: (515) 294-8647  
Fax number: 515-294-4471  
E-mail: [jwross@iastate.edu](mailto:jwross@iastate.edu)

\*Elizabeth A. Hines, Current Address: The Pennsylvania State University, Henning Building, University Park, PA 16802

This project was supported by the Iowa Pork Producers Association, Ajinomoto Animal Nutrition North America, Inc., and the National Institute of Food and Agriculture, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Hatch project numbers 1010162 and IOW04100.

Mention of trade names or commercial products in this publication is solely for the purpose of providing specific information and does not imply recommendation or endorsement by Iowa State University or the USDA. The USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

**ABSTRACT:** Supplemental Arg during gestation purportedly benefits fetal development.

However, the benefits of a gestational Arg dietary strategy in commercial production are unclear.

Therefore, objectives of this study examined Arg supplementation during different gestational stages and the effects on gilt reproductive performance. Pubertal gilts ( $n = 548$ ) were allocated into four treatment groups: Control ( $n = 143$ ; 0% supplemental Arg) or one of three supplemental Arg (1% as fed) treatments: from 15 to 45 d of gestation ( $n = 138$ ; Early-Arg); from 15 d of gestation until farrowing ( $n = 139$ ; Full-Arg); or from 85 d of gestation until farrowing ( $n = 128$ ; Late-Arg). At farrowing, the number of total born (TB), born alive (BA), stillborn piglets (SB), mummified fetuses (MM), and individual piglet birth weights (BiWt) were recorded. The wean-to-estrus interval (WEI) and subsequent sow reproductive performance (to third parity) was also monitored. No significant effect of supplemental Arg during any part of P0 gestation was observed for TB, BA, SB, or MM ( $P \geq 0.29$ ). Offspring BiWt and variation among individual piglet birth weights did not differ ( $P = 0.42$  and  $0.89$ , respectively) among treatment groups. Following weaning, the WEI was similar among treatments (average of  $8.0 \text{ d} \pm 0.8 \text{ d}$ ;  $P = 0.88$ ). Litter performance over three parities revealed a decrease ( $P = 0.02$ ) in BA for Early-Arg fed gilts compared to all other treatments, while TB and WEI were similar among treatments over three parities ( $P > 0.05$ ). There was an increased proportion of sows with average size litters (12 to 16 TB) from the Full-Arg treatment sows ( $76.8\% \pm 3.7\%$ ) as compared to Control ( $58.7\% \pm 4.2\%$ ;  $P = 0.01$ ), however the proportion of sows with high ( $> 16$  TB) and low ( $< 12$  TB) litters was not different among treatments ( $P = 0.20$ ). These results suggest that gestational Arg supplementation had a minimal impact on reproductive performance in first parity sows. These data underscore the complexity of AA supplementation and the need for continued research into

understanding how and when utilizing a gestational dietary Arg strategy can optimize fetal development and sow performance.

**Keywords:** arginine, litter, pig, production, sow, swine

## INTRODUCTION

Individual amino acid (AA) supplementation has been extensively studied in growing pigs; however, similar attention has not been given to specific AA requirements in sows, despite the fact that they have been continually selected for improved reproductive performance. Arginine (Arg) requirements has attracted attention due to its apparent positive influence on fetal development (Bérard and Bee, 2010) and litter size (Wu et al., 2013). Furthermore, Arg supplementation has been shown to benefit sows by reducing body condition loss during lactation (Laspiur and Trottier, 2001; Laspiur et al., 2006).

As a precursor for ornithine, nitric oxide, and creatine synthesis, Arg is a critical metabolic precursor for vascularization and rapid cellular growth during conceptus development and attachment (Fozard et al., 1980; Wyss and Kaddurah-Daouk, 2000; Wu et al., 2013). Due to increased fetal and placental requirement of compounds synthesized from Arg, it is possible that Arg could be a limiting AA during gestation. However, the effect of supplemental Arg and timing of dietary changes on reproductive performance is poorly understood in sows (Moehn et al., 2011). Therefore, the objectives of this study were to examine if Arg supplementation during different gestational stages affects gilt reproductive performance. Specifically, this project tested the hypothesis that supplementing 1% dietary Arg in gilts in a commercial production setting during specific stages of gilt (parity 0, P0) gestation would improve reproductive capacity as assessed by litter characteristics and subsequent reproductive performance.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### *Animals and experimental design*

All procedures involving animals were approved by the Iowa State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Commercially reared, pubertal gilts (PIC 1050,

Hendersonville, TN), were selected for breeding and included in the trial based on physical display of estrus during a 3-wk period. Gilts selected for breeding were approximately 240 d of age, with at least one prior estrus, and serviced twice via artificial insemination with pooled semen (DNA 600, Columbus, NE) before placement in gestation pens (15 head/pen). In total, 660 gilts were serviced and were assigned to the trial, with 165 gilts per dietary treatment over a 20 d breeding period.

### ***Diet formulation and gestation management***

All diets were supplied via an auger and feed drop system common in commercial swine facilities (Chore-Time, Milford, IN). Diets were mixed at a commercial mill, with 1% supplemental L-Arg (free-base; Ajinomoto Animal Nutrition North America, Inc., Chicago, IL) mixed into the diet with a red tracer for subsequent verification of protocol adherence. The Control diet formulation served as the base diet for all treatments, supplying 0.65% (approximately 15.9 g/d) Arg; treatment diet was formulated by adding 1% L-Arg, to the base control diet, supplying a total of 1.28% Arg (approximately 25g/d). Both the control and high arginine diets met or exceeded the requirements for gilts (NRC, 2012). Diet composition for both Control and Arg treatments are shown in Table 1. Diets were provided to the gilts as a mash. Each batch of feed delivered was sampled (0.5 kg sample) and analyzed for total AA content to verify appropriate nutrient levels (Table 2). All gilts were supplied with an average of 2.6 kg/d until d 30 of gestation to reduce aggression stress prior to pregnancy establishment, and 2.3 kg/d from d 30 to farrowing.

Gilts were assigned randomly to dietary treatment based on estrus expression and selection for breeding. Due to placement of gilts in gestation pens and group feeding, treatments were pre-assigned to gestation pens on a rotating basis. Each group of gilts serviced during the trial phase was included under a dietary treatment as follows: Control ( $n = 143$ ; 0% supplemental



L-Arg), or one of three Arg treatments (1% supplemental L-Arg) provided from d 15 to d 45 of gestation (**Early-Arg**;  $n = 138$ ); from d 15 of gestation until farrowing (**Full-Arg**;  $n = 139$ ); or from d 85 of gestation until farrowing (**Late-Arg**;  $n = 128$ ). Dietary treatments were initiated on d 15 of gestation because feeding excess levels of energy or protein prior to d 10 of gestation may negatively affect embryo survival prior to implantation (Bazer et al., 1968; Ashworth, 1991; Rehfeldt et al., 2012). All gilts that were removed from test pens due to illness, injury, or reproductive failure were recorded and omitted from farrowing data analysis. Removed gilts were not replaced and whole pen feed allocation was evaluated, and adjusted if necessary, a minimum of once per week, based on pen inventory.

Between d 85 and d 110 of gestation, gilts were delivered to the sow farm and placed in individual gestation stalls for transitional holding until approximately 5 d prior to farrowing; gilts remained on respective gestational dietary treatments during this time. Each farrowing room was filled based on breed date and contained no less than 5 gilts from each gestation diet treatment. Dietary treatment administration continued as a once daily hand feeding of approximately 2.3 kg per gilt until the d of farrowing. Once farrowed, each gilt was allowed *ad libitum* access to a common lactation diet, the composition of which is presented in Table 3.

### ***Data collection***

Within 24 h of farrowing, gilt performance was evaluated by litter characteristics including number of total pigs born (**TB**), pigs born alive (**BA**), stillborn piglets (**SB**) and mummified fetuses (**MM**), and offspring gender. Offspring classified for immediate euthanasia because of low viability, deformity, or injury, were recorded as BA and included as such in litter characteristics analysis. All fully formed offspring (BA and SB) were weighed individually at birth (**BiWt**). Pre-wean mortality (**PWM**) was evaluated within 24 h of birth and for the duration

of the lactation phase.

Finally, litters were classified by number of TB pigs to evaluate the possible interactions between litter size and gestational Arg treatment. Litters were classified based on the normal distribution of litter sizes was observed, with 68% of litters falling within the average, 12 to 16 TB pigs per litter. High TB (18%) were classified as litters > 16 TB and low TB (13%) included litters with < 12 TB.

### ***Wean-to-estrus interval and longevity evaluation***

Wean-to-estrus interval (**WEI**) was recorded as the number of d post-weaning until the first behavioral estrus. Wean-to-estrus interval, farrowing interval, lifetime TB, and number weaned through subsequent parities, P0 to parity 3 (**P3**), were extracted from production system databases (Metafarms, Burnsville, MN). Each sow completing the P0 maternal dietary treatments were then included in retention analysis through P3 production.

### ***Statistical analysis***

Statistical analyses were performed utilizing a mixed linear regression model (PROC MIXED, SAS 9.0, Cary, NC). Individual sow and litter data were evaluated with treatment as the main fixed effect, and breed week acting as a block, and gestation pen classified as a random effect. Breed week was utilized as a block to account for differences that may occur due to the extended breeding period. A mixed effect logistical regression (SAS, PROC GLIMMIX) was performed to conduct proportion analysis of litter sex structure, sow retention rate, and litters within TB classifications across maternal dietary treatments. Sex analysis included a random effect of sow nested in gestation pen, while retention rate analysis only included a fixed effect of maternal dietary treatment. Sows participating in cross-foster events were removed from pre-weaning mortality and WEI analyses. In cases of irreconcilable data errors, production anomaly or statistical outlier, litters were removed from the analysis ( $n = 27$ ). Standard error was

estimated with a Satterthwaite adjustment for estimating degrees of freedom under a random effect. All values reported are of least square mean and maximum estimated standard error of the mean was reported in tables for each main effect comparison. The Tukey-Kramer method was used to adjusted for multiple comparisons among classification groups.

## RESULTS

### *No significant effect of Arg supplementation on litter size was observed*

No significant effect of supplementing Arg during specific phases of gestation was observed ( $P \geq 0.29$ ) on the number of TB ( $14.3 \pm 0.2$ ), BA ( $13.1 \pm 0.2$ ), SB ( $0.9 \pm 0.1$ ), or MM ( $0.4 \pm 0.1$ ) farrowed during P1. Average BiWt of BA ( $P = 0.20$ ) and fully formed pigs (BA + SB;  $P = 0.33$ ) was not significantly different across treatments. Variation of BiWt within litter was also not different ( $P \geq 0.73$ ) among treatments. Number of males and females per litter nor BiWt by sex was not affected ( $P \geq 0.41$ ) by treatment (Table 4).

An increased ( $P = 0.01$ ) percentage of sows with average TB litters (Fig. 1A) was observed for sows in the Full-Arg (76.8%) treatment group as compared to the Control (58.7%), although no significant effect of maternal dietary Arg supplementation on the percentage of sows producing high TB (Fig. 1B) or low TB (Fig. 1C) litters was observed ( $P = 0.20$ ). The effect of maternal diet and TB classification interaction on BiWt was also evaluated. Interestingly, a tendency for increased BiWt ( $P = 0.08$ ) in low TB Control litters ( $1.56 \text{ kg} \pm 0.03 \text{ kg}$ ) was observed as compared to low TB Early-Arg litters ( $1.40 \text{ kg} \pm 0.04 \text{ kg}$ ). Offspring from Average TB litters had similar ( $P > 0.05$ ) BiWt when compared to low and high TB litters for Early-Arg (1.4 vs. 1.4 and 1.3, respectively), Full-Arg (1.4 vs. 1.5 and 1.3, respectively), and Late-Arg (1.4 vs. 1.4 and 1.3, respectively) treatments (Fig. 2), while a greater difference was observed in

BiWt of Control pigs from High (1.2), Average (1.3), and Low (1.6) TB litters, respectively ( $\pm$  0.03;  $P < 0.01$ ).

***No significant effect of Arg supplementation during gilt gestation was observed on sow retention rate***

Of gilts placed on trial, 86.7, 83.0, 83.6, and 78.2% completed gestation and farrowed for Control, Early-Arg, Full-Arg, and Late-Arg, respectively ( $P = 0.24$ ). Reasons for gilt removal from the breeding group included reproductive failure, lameness, prolapse, or death. Of those gilts that completed gestation and the entire treatment period, retention to P3 was 75.5, 78.1, 69.6, and 73.6% ( $P = 0.43$ ), indicating a fallout rate of 24.5, 21.9, 30.4, and 26.4% for Control, Early-Arg, Full-Arg, and Late-Arg, respectively (Fig. 3).

***No significant effect of Arg supplementation was observed on subsequent gilt reproductive performance***

Sow performance improved with increasing parity; however, no significant effect of maternal dietary treatment during P0 gestation was observed on subsequent reproductive performance. Average TB (Fig. 4A) increased ( $P < 0.01$ ) from P1 to P3, regardless of maternal dietary treatment (Fig. 4B). However, BA was decreased ( $P = 0.03$ ) over all parities from sows in the Early-Arg maternal diet group (13.0), as compared to the Control maternal diet group (13.7;  $P = 0.02$ ). Maternal dietary treatment did not affect WEI ( $P = 0.71$ ) for services between P1 and P2 or for services between P2 and P3 for sows remaining in production (Fig. 4).

## DISCUSSION

Maternal Arg supplementation during gestation purportedly increases litter size in gestating gilts (Mateo et al., 2007), as well as improves fetal weight and offspring BiWt (Wu et al., 2013). Approximately 40% of dietary Arg is thought to be utilized by the small intestine (Wu et al., 2005), suggesting that additional Arg could improve its availability for extra-intestinal use.

This experiment was designed to investigate the effect of supplementing L-Arg during gilt gestation within a commercial swine production system. Arginine was supplemented at 1% in this experiment due to observed benefits of Arg supplementation at 0.83 to 1% in gestation diets of previous studies (Mateo et al., 2007; Gao et al., 2012); additional arginine supplementation resulting in 1.28% total Arg in the treatment diet. However, results of this project do not support the hypothesis that Arg supplementation to gilts during specific stages of P0 gestation improves reproductive ability, as assessed by litter characteristics and subsequent reproductive performance.

In comparison to existing literature, the timing of Arg supplementation across studies is variable with respect to gestation stage. Improvements in litter size in commercial swine genetics is associated with increased mortality in embryonic, fetal, and pre-weaning phases of growth, regardless of energy or protein differences in gestation diets (Kraeling and Webel, 2015). Ovulation rates, which have been improved through genetic selection (Johnson et al., 1999), further compound the already high embryonic and prenatal mortality in pigs, which is estimated to range from 30 to 50% (Pope, 1994). Prenatal mortality occurs primarily during the peri-implantation period, and again when uterine capacity becomes limiting (around d 30 to 40 of gestation; Anderson, 1978; Wilson, 2001). The ability of the developing fetus to survive when uterine capacity becomes limited is related to the surface area of placental attachment to the uterine endometrium, as the mechanism for increasing placental nutrient uptake in domestic European swine breeds is through increasing placenta size (Knight et al., 1977; Vonnahme et al., 2001). In the current experiment, an increased percentage of average litter sizes from sows supplemented with Arg was observed for litters from Full-Arg treatment (d 15-farrowing). Average litter size in this herd was also reported at 14 TB per sow, indicating that litter size

performance of the herd may already be approaching uterine capacity; if this is the case, beneficial expression of Arg supplementation may be suppressed by physical capacity of the reproductive tract.

Arginine is a precursor molecule for endogenous synthesis of specific and metabolically necessary signaling proteins such as creatine, ornithine, and nitric oxide (Urschel et al., 2007; Puiman et al., 2010). This makes Arg an important contributor to embryonic and placental development. Acting specifically as a regulator of cell proliferation, Arg enables the release of GATOR proteins from CASTOR proteins, allowing for activation of protein synthesis and cellular proliferation through mTORC1 (Chantranupong et al., 2016). This supports existing evidence that Arg supplementation improves growth of porcine trophoctoderm, a critical component of placenta formation (Gao et al., 2012; Kong et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2014). Improved trophoctoderm cell proliferation and subsequent placental development during the establishment of the fetal-maternal interface is considered a mechanism through which Arg may contribute to increased litter size and birth weight. However, the effects of Arg supplementation on litter size is inconsistent (Bérard and Bee, 2010; Quesnel et al., 2014; Garbossa et al., 2015; Dallanora et al., 2017; Madsen et al., 2017).

Increased litter size is associated with decreased birth weight, a common artifact of intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) (Muns et al., 2016). Utilizing supplemental Arg during gestation has been previously suggested as a possible mitigation strategy for IUGR-induced embryonic mortality and low birth weight (Foxcroft et al., 2009; Oksbjerg et al., 2013). A significant decrease in stillborn piglets was observed by Mateo et al. (2007) when sows were supplemented with L-Arg, suggesting fetal survivability in late gestation was improved. Arginine levels in fetal fluids have been observed to decrease over the course of gestation (Wu et al.,

1995), suggesting that fetal Arg demand increases as gestation progresses. In this experiment, however, no benefits of Late-Arg (d 85 to farrowing) were observed in retention or number of pigs weaned, indicating that additional Arg during a period of high nutritional demand did not improve the number of pigs weaned per sow.

Commercial swine gestation diets are typically formulated to meet the minimum requirements of growth limiting AA, with little regard to excesses of AA. Arginine content is relatively high in corn, dried distillers grains with solubles (DDGS), and soybean meal (NRC, 2012). While swine diets are largely formulated based on AA ratios, crude protein (CP) levels for gestation diets were recommended to be kept between 12 to 13% (NRC, 1998). Due to the shift in focus from CP to AA, it is common for CP levels in commercial sow diets to be in excess of 13% and some suggest that this increase in dietary nitrogen load may limit the effectiveness of Arg on placental development and embryo survival (Ji et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2017). Supporting this posit, previous trials with diets formulated to contain approximately 12% CP observed that Arg supplementation improved litter size (Dellavalle et al., 2007; Mateo et al., 2007; Mateo et al., 2008). Data from the current experiment and others did not observe an increase in litter size when supplemental Arg was supplied in combination with CP levels > 13% in the diet (Quesnel et al., 2014; Garbossa et al., 2015; Bass et al., 2017; Dallanora et al., 2017). Even still, some studies have observed improved offspring birth weights with Arg supplementation despite CP levels > 13% (Bérard and Bee, 2010; Gao et al., 2012). Reasons for the inconsistencies are not clear, but the interaction between supplemental Arg and dietary CP coupled with litter size influences that interaction and requires more investigation.

In the current trial, the hypothesis that dietary supplementation of 1% L-Arg supplied to gilts in a commercial production setting during specific stages of P0 gestation would improve

reproductive capacity as assessed by litter characteristics and subsequent reproductive performance. Data from this study indicate that the additional 1% L-Arg, equal to 25 g/d or 1.28% of diet, did not provide benefits to sow reproductive performance at P0 or in subsequent performance to P3. All other reproductive performance parameters indicate that Arg supplementation during gestation provided no benefits or detriments to sow performance.

Overall, these data suggest that maternal dietary supplementation of 1% Arg during gestation had little, if any, impact on reproductive performance in P1 commercial sows. Based on this data, no physiological advantage is observed for utilizing Arg supplementation during gestation to improve reproductive performance of P0 sows. These data, taken together with existing literature, demonstrate the biological complexity of nutritional Arg supplementation to influence reproductive performance. Thus, this underscores the need for a better understanding of how AA can be utilized to optimize fetal development and sow reproductive performance in commercial production systems.

### **Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to acknowledge the assistance of Nikki Sterling, Rachel Edaburn, Jacob Baker, Ryan Johnson, Adam Swalla, and the animal care technicians at Iowa Select Farms, for assistance in conducting this trial. This project was funded in part by the Iowa Pork Producers Association, Iowa Select Farms, and Ajinomoto Animal Nutrition North America, Inc.

### **LITERATURE CITED**

- Anderson, L. L. 1978. Growth, protein content and distribution of early pig embryos. *Anat. Rec.* 190:143–53. doi:10.1002/ar.1091900112.
- Ashworth, C. J. 1991. Effect of pre-mating nutritional status and post-mating progesterone supplementation on embryo survival and conceptus growth in gilts. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.* 26:311–321.



- Ball, R. O., K. L. Urschel, and P. B. Pencharz. 2007. Nutritional consequences of interspecies differences in arginine and lysine metabolism. *J. Nutr.* 137:13226s–1641s. doi:137/6/1626S [pii].
- Bass, B. E., C. L. Bradley, Z. B. Johnson, C. E. Zier-Rush, R. D. Boyd, J. L. Usry, C. V. Maxwell, and J. W. Frank. 2017. Influence of dietary -arginine supplementation of sows during late pregnancy on piglet birth weight and sow and litter performance during lactation. *J. Anim. Sci.* 95:248–256. doi:10.2527/jas.2016.0986.
- Bazer, F. W., A. J. Clawson, O. W. Robison, C. K. Vincent, and C. Ulberg. 1968. Explanation for embryo death in gilts fed a high energy intake. *J. Anim. Sci.* 27:1021–1026.
- Bérard, J. and G. Bee. 2010. Effects of dietary l-arginine supplementation to gilts during early gestation on foetal survival, growth and myofiber formation. *Animal* 4:1680–1687. doi:10.1017/S1751731110000881.
- Chantranupong, L., S. M. Scaria, R. A. Saxton, M. P. Gygi, K. Shen, G. A. Wyant, T. Wang, J. W. Harper, S. P. Gygi, and D. M. Sabatini. 2016. The CASTOR proteins are arginine sensors for the mTORC1 pathway. *Cell.* 165:153–164. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.303790.
- Dallanora, D., J. Marcon, M. P. Walter, N. Biondo, M. L. Bernardi, I. Wentz, and F. P. Bortolozzo. 2017. Effect of dietary amino acid supplementation during gestation on placental efficiency and litter birth weight in gestating gilts. *Livest. Sci.* 197:30–35. doi:10.1016/j.livsci.2017.01.005.
- Dellavalle, A., M. Sampaolesi, R. Tonlorenzi, E. Tagliafico, B. Sacchetti, L. Perani, A. Innocenzi, B. G. Galvez, G. Messina, R. Morosetti, S. Li, M. Belicchi, G. Peretti, J. S. Chamberlain, W. E. Wright, Y. Torrente, S. Ferrari, P. Bianco, and G. Cossu. 2007. Pericytes of human skeletal muscle are myogenic precursors distinct from satellite cells. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 9:255–267.
- Dyck, G., W. Palmer, and S. Simaraks. 1980. Progesterone and luteinizing hormone concentration in serum of pregnant gilts on different levels of feed consumption. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.* 60:877–884.
- Foxcroft, G. R., W. T. Dixon, M. K. Dyck, S. Novak, J. C. S. Harding, and F. C. R. L. Almeida. 2009. Prenatal programming of postnatal development in the pig. *Soc. Reprod. Fertil. Suppl.* 66:213–231.
- Foxcroft, G. R., M. D. Vinsky, F. Paradis, W.-Y. Y. Tse, S. C. Town, C. T. Putman, M. K. Dyck, and W. T. Dixon. 2007. Macroenvironment effects on oocytes and embryos in swine. *Theriogenology* 68: S30-39. doi: 10.1016/j.theriogenology.2007.04.032.
- Fozard, J. R., M. L. Part, N. J. Prakash, J. Grove, J. Paul, S. Science, N. Series, N. May, P. J. Schechter, A. Sjoerdsma, and J. Koch-Weser. 1980. Ornithine decarboxylase: An essential role in early mammalian embryogenesis. *Science* 208:505–508. doi:10.1126/science.6768132.

- Gao, K., Z. Jiang, Y. Lin, C. Zheng, G. Zhou, F. Chen, L. Yang, and G. Wu. 2012. Dietary L-arginine supplementation enhances placental growth and reproductive performance in sows. *Amino Acids* 42:2207–2214. doi:10.1007/s00726-011-0960-9.
- Garbossa, C. A. P., F. M. Carvalho Júnior, H. Silveira, P. B. Faria, A. P. Schinckel, M. L. T. Abreu, and V. S. Cantarelli. 2015. Effects of ractopamine and arginine dietary supplementation for sows on growth performance and carcass quality of their progenies. *J. Anim. Sci.* 93:2872–2884. doi:10.2527/jas2014-8824.
- Ji, Y., Z. Wu, Z. Dai, X. Wang, J. Li, B. Wang, and G. Wu. 2017. Fetal and neonatal programming of postnatal growth and feed efficiency in swine. *J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol.* 8:1–15. doi:10.1186/s40104-017-0173-5.
- Jindal, R., J. R. Cosgrove, F. X. Aherne, and G. R. Foxcroft. 1996. Effect of nutrition on embryonal mortality in gilts: association with progesterone. *J. Anim. Sci.* 74:620–624. doi:10.2527/1996.743620x.
- Johnson, R. K., M. K. Nielsen, and D. S. Casey. 1999. Responses in ovulation rate, embryonal survival, and litter traits in swine to 14 generations of selection to increase litter size. *J. Anim. Sci.* 77:541–557. doi:/1999.773541x.
- Knight, J. W., F. W. Bazer, W. W. Thatcher, D. E. Franke, and D. Wallace. 1977. Conceptus development in intact and unilaterally hysterectomized-ovariectomized gilts: interrelations among hormonal status, placental development, fetal fluids and fetal growth. *J. Anim. Sci.* 44:620–637.
- Kong, X., B. Tan, Y. Yin, H. Gao, X. Li, L. A. Jaeger, F. W. Bazer, and G. Wu. 2012. L-Arginine stimulates the mTOR signaling pathway and protein synthesis in porcine trophectoderm cells. *J. Nutr. Biochem.* 23:1178–1183. doi:10.1016/j.jnutbio.2011.06.012.
- Kraeling, R. R., and S. K. Webel. 2015. Current strategies for reproductive management of gilts and sows in North America. *J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol.* 6:3. doi:10.1186/2049-1891-6-3.
- Laspiur, J. P., C. Farmer, B. J. Kerr, A. Zanella, and N. L. Trottier. 2006. Hormonal response to dietary L-arginine supplementation in heat-stressed sows. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.* 86:373–377.
- Li, X., F. W. Bazer, G. A. Johnson, R. C. Burghardt, D. W. Erikson, J. W. Frank, T. E. Spencer, I. Shinzato, and G. Wu. 2010. Dietary supplementation with 0.8% L-arginine between days 0 and 25 of gestation reduces litter size in gilts. *J. Nutr.* 140:1111–1116. doi:10.3945/jn.110.121350.
- Madsen, J. G., C. Pardo, M. Kreuzer, and G. Bee. 2017. Impact of dietary L -arginine supply during early gestation on myofiber development in newborn pigs exposed to intra-uterine crowding. *J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol.* 8:1–12. doi:10.1186/s40104-017-0188-y.

- Mateo, R. D., G. Wu, F. W. Bazer, J. C. Park, I. Shinzato, and S. W. Kim. 2007. Dietary L-arginine supplementation enhances the reproductive performance of gilts. *J. Nutr.* 137:652–656.
- Mateo, R. D., G. Wu, H. K. Moon, J. A. Carroll, and S. W. Kim. 2008. Effects of dietary arginine supplementation during gestation and lactation on the performance of lactating primiparous sows and nursing piglets. *J. Anim. Sci.* 86:827–35. doi:10.2527/jas.2007-0371.
- Moehn, S., D. Franco, C. Levesque, R. Samuel, and R. O. Ball. 2011. New energy and amino acid requirements for gestating sows. *Adv. Pork Prod.* 22:4–10.
- Muns, R., M. Nuntapaitoon, and P. Tummaruk. 2016. Non-infectious causes of pre-weaning mortality in piglets. *Livest. Sci.* 184:46–57. doi: 10.1016/j.livsci.2015.11.025.
- NRC. 1998. *Nutrient Requirements of Swine*. 10th revised edition. National Academies Press, Washington, DC, USA.
- NRC. 2012. *Nutrient Requirements of Swine*. 11th Revised Edition. The National Academies Press, Washington, DC, USA.
- Oksbjerg, N., P. M. Nissen, M. Therkildsen, H. S. Møller, L. B. Larsen, M. Andersen, J. F. Young, H. S. Moller, L. B. Larsen, M. Andersen, and J. F. Young. 2013. Meat science and muscle biology symposium: In utero nutrition related to fetal development, postnatal performance, and meat quality of pork. *J. Anim. Sci.* 91:1443–1453. doi:10.2527/jas.2012-5849.
- Prunier, A., and H. Quesnel. 2000. Nutritional influences on the hormonal control of reproduction in female pigs. *Livest. Prod. Sci.* 63:1–16. doi:10.1016/S0301-6226(99)00113-X.
- Puiman, P. J., B. Stoll, J. B. Van Goudoever, and D. G. Burrin. 2010. Enteral arginine does not increase superior mesenteric arterial blood flow but induces mucosal growth in neonatal pigs. *J. Nutr.* 63–70. doi:10.3945/jn.110.131888.63.
- Quesnel, H., N. Quiniou, H. Roy, A. Lottin, S. Boulot, and F. Gondret. 2014. Supplying dextrose before insemination and L -arginine during the last third of pregnancy in sow diets: Effects on within-litter variation of piglet birth weight. *J. Anim. Sci.* 1445–1450. doi:10.2527/jas2013-6701.
- Rehfeldt, C., L. Lefaucheur, J. Block, B. Stabenow, R. Pfuhl, W. Otten, C. C. Metges, and C. Kalbe. 2012. Limited and excess protein intake of pregnant gilts differently affects body composition and cellularity of skeletal muscle and subcutaneous adipose tissue of newborn and weanling piglets. *Eur. J. Nutr.* 51:151–165. doi:10.1007/s00394-011-0201-8.
- Urschel, K. L., A. R. Evans, C. W. Wilkinson, P. B. Pencharz, and R. O. Ball. 2007. Parenterally fed neonatal piglets have a low rate of endogenous arginine synthesis from circulating proline. *J. Nutr.* 137:601–606.

- Vonnahme, K. A., M. E. Wilson, and S. P. Ford. 2001. Relationship between placental vascular endothelial growth factor expression and placental/endometrial vascularity in the pig. *Biol. Reprod.* 64:1821–1825. doi:10.1095/biolreprod64.6.1821.
- Wang, X., J. W. Frank, D. R. Little, K. A. Dunlap, M. C. Satterfield, R. C. Burghardt, T. R. Hansen, G. Wu, and F. W. Bazer. 2014. Functional role of arginine during the peri-implantation period of pregnancy. I. Consequences of loss of function of arginine transporter SLC7A1 mRNA in ovine conceptus trophoctoderm. *FASEB J.* 28:2852–2863. doi:10.1096/fj.13-248757.
- Wilson, M. 2001. Role of placental function in mediating conceptus growth and survival. *J. Anim. Sci.* 80:195–201.
- Wu, G., F. W. Bazer, G. A. Johnson, C. Herring, H. Seo, Z. Dai, J. Wang, Z. Wu, and X. Wang. 2017. Functional amino acids in the development of the pig placenta. *Mol. Reprod. Dev.* 1–13. doi:10.1002/mrd.22809.
- Wu, G., F. W. Bazer, and T. Wenbin. 1995. Developmental changes of free amino acid concentrations in fetal fluids of pigs. *J. Nutr.* 125:2859–2868.
- Wu, G., D. A. Knabe, and N. E. Flynn. 2005. Ch. 5: Amino acid metabolism in the small intestine: biochemical bases and nutritional significance. *Biology of Metabolism in Growing Animals*. Volume 3, 1st edn. D. Burrin and H. Mersmann eds. Elsevier Ltd. pp 107-126.
- Wu, G. Y., F. W. Bazer, M. C. Satterfield, X. L. Li, X. Q. Wang, G. A. Johnson, R. C. Burghardt, Z. L. Dai, J. J. Wang, and Z. L. Wu. 2013. Impacts of arginine nutrition on embryonic and fetal development in mammals. *Amino Acids.* 45:241–256. doi:10.1007/s00726-013-1515-z.
- Wyss, M. and R. Kaddurah-Daouk. 2000. Creatine and creatinine metabolism. *Phys. Rev.* 80:1107–1214.

**Table 1. Composition of gestation diets (as-fed)<sup>1</sup>**

Ingredient, %	Control	Arginine
Corn	58.14	57.55
Dried distillers grains with solubles, 8% oil	37.73	37.35
Live yeast, single cell protein <sup>2</sup>	0.08	0.07
Biotin, 200 mg	0.03	0.03
Calcium	2.28	2.26
L-Lys (50%)	0.65	0.64
Salt	0.32	0.31
Antimicrobial <sup>3</sup>	0.33	0.32
Vitamin and mineral premix <sup>4</sup>	0.15	0.15
Choline chloride, 60%	0.15	0.15
Zinc sulfate	0.08	0.07
Phytase	0.05	0.05
L-Trp	0.02	0.02
L-Thr	0.01	0.01
L-Arg	-	1
Red tracer	-	0.03

<sup>1</sup>From d 15 of gestation to farrow (approximately 116 d of gestation) gestation dietary treatments were provided at 2.3 kg/d, based on timing treatment assignment.

<sup>2</sup>*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* live yeast (Actisaf Sc47 HR+, Phileo, France)

<sup>3</sup>Liquid antimicrobial blend of aqueous formaldehyde (37 % solution) and propionic acid for pathogen control in complete feeds (Sal CURB, Kemin, Des Moines, USA).

<sup>4</sup>Vitamin premix, supplied per kilogram of diet: 11,045 IU of vitamin A, 1,765 IU of vitamin D3, 83 IU of vitamin E, 2.2 mg of menadione, 44.2 mg of niacin, 7.1 mg of riboflavin, 22.1 mg of pantothenic acid, 0.03 mg of vitamin B12, 1.65 mg of folic acid, 0.23 mg of d-biotin, 1.1 mg of thiamin, 4.4 mg of pyridoxine, 120 mg of zinc, 97.5 mg of iron, 50.3 mg of manganese, 15.0 mg of copper, 0.50 mg of iodine, 0.30 mg of selenium, 0.20 mg of chromium, 110 mg of Celcan 9x (Nutriquest, Mason City, IA).

**Table 2. Assayed and determined dietary component analysis<sup>1</sup>**

Component	Control	Arginine
Assayed Components, %		
Dry Matter	87.46	87.63
Crude Protein	14.88	16.30
Arg	0.65	1.28
Cys	0.37	0.37
His	0.54	0.53
Leu	0.26	0.26
Lys	1.02	1.00
Met	0.70	0.69
Phe	0.53	0.53
Thr	1.60	1.58
Trp	0.75	0.78
Val	0.12	0.12
SID AA Content <sup>2</sup> , %		
Arg	0.54	1.19
Cys	0.21	0.23
His	0.29	0.29
Leu	1.34	1.38
Lys	0.61	0.62
Met	0.22	0.23
Phe	0.57	0.59
Thr	0.39	0.39
Trp	0.09	0.10
Val	0.52	0.53

<sup>1</sup>Complete feed samples were analyzed by Ajinomoto Heartland, Inc. Chicago IL.

<sup>2</sup>Standard Ileal Digestibility AA content, determined utilizing assayed total AA (%) adjusted by NRC (2012) SID values of diet ingredients.

**Table 3. Composition of lactation diet<sup>1</sup>, as fed basis<sup>1</sup>**

Ingredient, %	Lactation
Corn	45.05
Dried distillers grains with solubles, 8% oil	30.00
Soybean meal	17.00
Corn oil	4.42
Calcium	2.03
L-Lysine•HCL 78.8%	0.48
Antimicrobial <sup>2</sup>	0.33
Salt	0.30
Vitamin and mineral premix <sup>3</sup>	0.15
Choline, Liquid 70%	0.09
L-Thr	0.08
Phytase	0.05
Live yeast, single cell protein <sup>4</sup>	0.03
L-Trp	0.02

<sup>1</sup>Supplied *ad libitum* to all sows at farrowing

<sup>2</sup>Liquid antimicrobial blend of aqueous formaldehyde (37 % solution) and propionic acid for pathogen control in complete feeds (Sal CURB, Kemin USA).

<sup>3</sup>Vitamin and mineral premix, supplied per kilogram of diet: 11,045 IU of vitamin A, 1,765 IU of vitamin D3, 83 IU of vitamin E, 2.2 mg of menadione, 44.2 mg of niacin, 7.1 mg of riboflavin, 22.1 mg of pantothenic acid, 0.03 mg of vitamin B12, 1.65 mg of folic acid, 0.23 mg of d-biotin, 1.1 mg of thiamin, 4.4 mg of pyridoxine, 120 mg of zinc, 97.5 mg of iron, 50.3 mg of manganese, 15.0 mg of copper, 0.50 mg of iodine, 0.30 mg of selenium, 0.20 mg of chromium, 110 mg of Celcan 9x (Nutriquest, Mason City, IA).

<sup>4</sup>*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* live yeast (Actisaf Sc47 HR+, Phileo, France).

**Table 4. Effect of maternal supplementation of 1% Arg on first parity litter performance.**

Parameter	Treatment <sup>1</sup>				SEM <sup>2</sup>	<i>P</i> -value
	Control	Early-Arg	Full-Arg	Late-Arg		
Total born	14.3	14.3	14.2	14.4	0.2	0.96
Born alive (BA)	13.2	12.8	12.9	13.3	0.2	0.37
Stillborn (SB)	0.8	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.1	0.32
Mummified	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.29
Number of males	7.3	7.2	7.3	7.5	0.2	0.84
Male, birth weight, kg	1.36	1.39	1.39	1.37	0.02	0.42
Number of females	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.6	0.2	1.00
Female, birth weight, kg	1.28	1.32	1.31	1.29	0.02	0.41
BA birth weight, kg	1.35	1.38	1.39	1.35	0.02	0.20
BA weight variation <sup>3</sup> , kg	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.06	<0.01	0.73
Weight (BA and SB), kg	1.33	1.36	1.36	1.33	0.02	0.33
BA + SB weight variation <sup>3</sup> , kg	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.07	<0.01	0.89
Number pigs weaned <sup>4</sup>	11.6	11.7	11.5	11.4	0.3	0.90
Pre-wean mortality (%)	11.5	10.2	12.4	12.2	1.5	0.64

<sup>1</sup>Control (n = 143; 0% supplemental Arg); Early-Arg (n = 138; 1% supplemental Arg 15-45d of gestation); Full-Arg (n = 139; 1% supplemental Arg 15d of gestation until farrowing); and Late-Arg (n = 128; 1% supplemental Arg 85d of gestation until farrowing).

<sup>2</sup>Maximum value of standard error of the mean.

<sup>3</sup>Variance [an average of squared differences from the mean,  $\sum(x - X)^2/(n - 1)$ ] was calculated utilizing offspring within each litter to gain litter variance for sow. Variances for each sow litter were then statistically analyzed to evaluate trends related to each treatment.

<sup>4</sup>Number of pigs weaned.



## Figure Legend

**Figure 1. Percentage of litters produced by sows receiving 1% supplemental Arg during P0 gestation in each of the total born (TB) classifications.** **A.** The percentage of litters with an average litter size (12 to 16 TB) was increased among sows in the Full-Arg treatment, as compared to the Control. **B.** The percentage of sows with low litter size (< 12 TB) was not different across maternal dietary treatment. **C.** Percentage of litters with a high litter size (> 16 TB) was not different across maternal dietary treatment. Differing superscripts indicate  $P \leq 0.05$ .

**Figure 2. Effect of litter size on average birth weight of offspring from sows receiving 1% supplemental Arg during P0 gestation.** Interaction of maternal diet and litter size ( $P < 0.01$ ) show increased similarity in birth weights of offspring across litter size class from sows supplemented with Arg during gestation, as compared to the Control. As evidenced by an increase in similarity of values in Early-Arg, Full-Arg, and Late-Arg across high (> 16 total born (TB)), average (12 to 16 TB), and low (< 12 TB) total born (TB) litter size classifications. Differing superscripts indicate  $P \leq 0.10$ .

**Figure 3. Retention rate of sows receiving 1% supplemental Arg during P0 gestation to parity three of commercial production.** All sows serviced at the start of the trial were included. All gilts that completed the dietary treatment and achieved P1 status were included at the measured P1 retention and utilized to measure retention through subsequent parities. No differences were observed in retention rate x treatment interaction ( $P > 0.43$ ).

**Figure 4. Reproductive performance of sows that received 1% supplemental Arg during P0 gestation through three parities.** **A.** Number of total born was significantly affected by parity although was not influenced by maternal treatment or the interaction of maternal dietary treatment by parity (Treatment,  $P = 0.19$ ; Parity,  $P < 0.01$ ; Treatment  $\times$  Parity,  $P = 0.35$ ). **B.** Number of offspring born alive per maternal treatment across parities. (Treatment,  $P = 0.03$ ; Parity,  $P < 0.01$ ; Treatment  $\times$  Parity,  $P = 0.35$ ). Over all parities, average number of offspring born alive was increased in litters from the P1 Control maternal dietary treatment as compared to litters from the Early-Arg maternal dietary treatment ( $P = 0.02$ ); Full-Arg and Late-Arg maternal dietary treatments were not different. This decrease may be related to timing of service from P1 to P2, as it was during late July to September of 2016, a time that is typically related to seasonal reduction in reproductive performance. **C.** Wean-to-estrus interval (WEI) for services from P1 to P2 and services from P2 to P3. (Treatment,  $P = 0.71$ ; Parity,  $P = 0.52$ ; Treatment  $\times$  Parity,  $P = 0.96$ ). Maternal dietary treatment during P0 gestation did not affect maternal wean to estrus interval. Different superscripts indicate significant ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) differences.

Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4

