

2018

# Soybean Aphid Efficacy Screening Program, 2017

Erin W. Hodgson

*Iowa State University*, [ewh@iastate.edu](mailto:ewh@iastate.edu)

Greg VanNostrand

*Iowa State University*, [gregvn@iastate.edu](mailto:gregvn@iastate.edu)

Follow this and additional works at: [https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ent\\_pubs](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ent_pubs)

Part of the [Agriculture Commons](#), [Agronomy and Crop Sciences Commons](#), and the [Entomology Commons](#)

The complete bibliographic information for this item can be found at [https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ent\\_pubs/506](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ent_pubs/506). For information on how to cite this item, please visit <http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/howtocite.html>.

---

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Entomology at Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Entomology Publications by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact [digirep@iastate.edu](mailto:digirep@iastate.edu).

---

# Soybean Aphid Efficacy Screening Program, 2017

## Abstract

Soybean aphid, *Aphis glycines* Matsumura, has drastically changed soybean pest management in the North Central region. To date, SBA can be successfully managed by timely scouting and foliar insecticides in Iowa, but pyrethroid resistance is an emerging issue in the North Central region.

## Disciplines

Agriculture | Agronomy and Crop Sciences | Entomology

## Comments

This article is published as Hodgson, E. W., and G VanNostrand. Soybean Aphid Efficacy Screening Program, 2017. *Arthropod Management Tests* 43 (2018): tsy015. doi: [10.1093/amt/tsy015](https://doi.org/10.1093/amt/tsy015).

## Creative Commons License



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

SOYBEAN: *Glycine max* L.

## Soybean Aphid Efficacy Screening Program, 2017

Erin W. Hodgson<sup>1,3</sup> and Greg VanNostrand<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Entomology, 103 Insectary Building, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011-3140, Phone: 515-294-2847 ([ewh@iastate.edu](mailto:ewh@iastate.edu)), <sup>2</sup>Department of Entomology, 021 Insectary Building, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011-3140, Phone: 515-294-6124 ([gregvn@iastate.edu](mailto:gregvn@iastate.edu)) and <sup>3</sup>Corresponding author, e-mail: [ewh@iastate.edu](mailto:ewh@iastate.edu)

Subject Editor: Jawwad Qureshi

Soybean | *Glycine max*Soybean aphid (SBA) | *Aphis glycines* Matsumura

Soybean aphid, *Aphis glycines* Matsumura, has drastically changed soybean pest management in the North Central region. To date, SBA can be successfully managed by timely scouting and foliar insecticides in Iowa, but pyrethroid resistance is an emerging issue in the North Central region.

In 2017, we established plots at two Iowa State University Research Farms (Northeast and Northwest) on 14 May and 30 May, respectively. NK S24-K2 soybean variety was used for all treatments. Plots were arranged in an RCB design with four replications. Each plot was six rows in width and 50 ft in length at the Northeast location and six rows in width by 44 ft in length at the Northwest location. Two treatments contained a seed treatment and were applied by Syngenta; seventeen treatments received a foliar insecticide (Table 1). Foliar applications at both locations were made on 18 Aug when plants were in the R5 growth stage. For Northeast location, foliar treatments were applied using a backpack sprayer and TeeJet (Springfield, IL) twinjet nozzles (TJ 11002) with 20 gpa at 40 lb psi. For Northwest location, foliar treatments were applied using a custom sprayer and TeeJet (Springfield, IL) flatfan nozzles (TJ 8002) with 14 gpa at 40 lb psi. Soybean aphids were counted on randomly selected whole plants within each plot. The number of plants sampled per plot started at 20 at plant emergence and were gradually decreased to five as plants reached senescence. To estimate the total exposure of soybean plants to SBA, we calculated cumulative aphid days (CAD) based on the number of aphids per plant counted on each sampling date. Yields (bu/

acre) were determined by weighing grain with a hopper and corrected to 13% moisture. One-way ANOVA was used to determine treatment effects within each experiment. Means separation for all studies was achieved using a least significant difference test ( $\alpha = 0.10$ ). All statistical analyses were performed using SAS software.

The plots at each farm were uniformly colonized in June and there was light SBA pressure at both locations until after full bloom, or early Aug. At the Northeast location, SBA in the untreated control plots averaged 12 per plant 4 days prior to the 18 Aug application and peaked on 12 Sep at 132 aphids per plant. All treatments provided significant reduction of aphid exposure compared with the untreated check which had 1,872 CAD (Table 1). There was some variability in yield between treatments, but most seed and foliar products labeled for SBA did not produce enough impact to improve yield compared with the untreated control. At the Northwest location, SBA in the untreated control plots averaged 241 per plant 3 days prior to the 18 Aug application and peaked on 9 Sep at 651 aphids per plant. The untreated control had significantly higher CAD compared with seed and foliar treatments (Table 2). There was some variability in yield between treatments, but most seed and foliar products labeled for SBA did not produce enough impact to improve yield compared with the untreated control. Most of the CAD accumulated in late Aug and early Sep and did not affect yield among treatments. This research was supported by industry gift(s) of seed and pesticide funding.

**Table 1.**

Treatment and formulation <sup>a</sup>	Rate <sup>b</sup>	CAD <sup>c</sup>	Yield <sup>d</sup>
Untreated control	–	1,872.49E	60.21ABCD
Cruiser 5FS	79.95g	703.09BCD	59.35ABCDE
Cruiser 5FS +	79.95g	321.53AB	60.10ABCD
Warrior II 2.08CS	1.6 fl oz		
Warrior II 2.08CS	1.92 fl oz	960.78CD	58.77ABCDE
Lorsban Advanced 3.76EC	16.0 fl oz	152.73A	58.09DE
Warrior II 2.08CS +	1.92 fl oz	134.20A	59.77ABCDE
Lorsban Advanced 3.76EC	16.0 fl oz		
Dimethoate 4E	16.0 fl oz	177.66A	59.32ABCDE
Hero 1.24EC +	5.0 fl oz	193.72A	60.67ABC
Dimethoate 4E	16.0 fl oz		
Agri-Mek 0.75C	2.5 fl oz	982.96CD	58.29CDE
Brigadier 2SC	6.1 fl oz	147.26A	59.67ABCDE
Carbine 50WG	2.8 oz	182.30A	60.76ABC
Cobalt Advanced 2.63EC	16.0 fl oz	132.67A	60.63ABC
Transform 50WG	1.0 oz	128.69A	60.96AB
Seeker 2.09SE	2.1 fl oz	137.78A	61.13A
Sivanto 200 1.76SL	7.0 fl oz	207.07A	59.24ABCDE
Movento 2SC	4.0 fl oz	615.03BC	57.41E
Endigo ZC 2.06SC	3.5 fl oz	131.68A	60.33ABCD
Leverage 360 3SC	2.8 fl oz	1,067.80D	58.58BCDE
Tundra 2EC	6.4 fl oz	391.21AB	58.05DE

Means within columns not followed by the same letter are significantly different. Least significant difference for mean separation of cumulative aphid days ( $P < 0.0001$ ;  $F = 6.87$ ;  $df = 18, 3$ ). Least significant difference for mean separation of yield ( $P = 0.1950$ ;  $F = 1.34$ ;  $df = 18, 3$ ).

<sup>a</sup>Formulations are given in pounds of active ingredient per gallon of product for liquids and in percent active ingredient for solids.

<sup>b</sup>Foliar product rates are given as formulated product per acre and seed treatments are given as grams active ingredient per 100-kg seed.

<sup>c</sup>Cumulative aphid days.

<sup>d</sup>Yield in bu per acre.

**Table 2.**

Treatment and formulation <sup>a</sup>	Rate <sup>b</sup>	CAD <sup>c</sup>	Yield <sup>d</sup>
Untreated control	–	14,004.47F	57.46AB
Cruiser 5FS	79.95g	6,601.77DE	60.35AB
Cruiser 5FS +	79.95g	1,344.30AB	58.14AB
Warrior II 2.08CS	1.6 fl oz		
Warrior II 2.08CS	1.92 fl oz	2,657.48ABC	59.02AB
Lorsban Advanced 3.76EC	16.0 fl oz	1,796.35ABC	62.28A
Warrior II 2.08CS +	1.92 fl oz	3,854.51BCD	58.42AB
Lorsban Advanced 3.76EC	16.0 fl oz		
Dimethoate 4E	16.0 fl oz	1,718.64AB	58.47AB
Hero 1.24EC +	5.0 fl oz	1,070.83AB	59.07AB
Dimethoate 4E	16.0 fl oz		
Agri-Mek 0.75C	2.5 fl oz	8,442.74E	57.67AB
Brigadier 2SC	6.1 fl oz	1,796.60ABC	55.35B
Carbine 50WG	2.8 oz	1,394.15AB	57.06AB
Cobalt Advanced 2.63EC	16.0 fl oz	1,488.24AB	61.45AB
Transform 50WG	1.0 oz	1,235.70AB	59.20AB
Seeker 2.09SE	2.1 fl oz	658.33A	60.37AB
Sivanto 200 1.76SL	7.0 fl oz	2,467.79ABC	57.42AB
Movento 2SC	4.0 fl oz	4,613.69CD	62.21A
Endigo ZC 2.06SC	3.5 fl oz	2,369.28ABC	57.52B
Leverage 360 3SC	2.8 fl oz	3,235.24ABC	55.74B
Tundra 2EC	6.4 fl oz	1,466.81AB	62.24A

Means within columns not followed by the same letter are significantly different. Least significant difference for mean separation of cumulative aphid days ( $P < 0.0001$ ;  $F = 6.47$ ;  $df = 18, 3$ ). Least significant difference for mean separation of yield ( $P < 0.0001$ ;  $F = 3.79$ ;  $df = 18, 3$ ).

<sup>a</sup>Formulations are given in pounds of active ingredient per gallon of product for liquids and in percent active ingredient for solids.

<sup>b</sup>Foliar product rates are given as formulated product per acre, and seed treatments are given as grams active ingredient per 100-kg seed.

<sup>c</sup>Cumulative aphid days.

<sup>d</sup>Yield in bu per acre.