10-5-2018

AAC Viewfield Hard Red Spring Wheat

R. D. Cuthbert
Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada

R. M. DePauw
Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada

A. K. Singh
Iowa State University, singhak@iastate.edu

B. McCallum
Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada

T. Fetch
Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada

AAC Viewfield Hard Red Spring Wheat

Abstract
AAC Viewfield hard red spring wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) has grain yield significantly higher than the check cultivars Katepwa and Lillian and is similar to Carberry. AAC Viewfield matures significantly later than Katewpa and Lillian but is similar to Carberry. AAC Viewfield has an awned spike, a low lodging score indicative of strong straw, and significantly shorter plant stature than all checks. AAC Viewfield expressed resistance to prevalent races of yellow rust and stem rust, moderate resistance to leaf rust and common bunt, and intermediate resistance to Fusarium head blight. AAC Viewfield has quality attributes within the range of the check cultivars and is eligible for grades of Canada Western Red Spring.

Keywords
Triticum aestivum L., wheat, cultivar description, grain yield, disease resistance, semidwarf

Disciplines
Agriculture | Agronomy and Crop Sciences | Plant Breeding and Genetics | Plant Pathology

Comments
This is a manuscript of an article published as Cuthbert, Richard Douglas, R. M. DePauw, Ron Knox, Asheesh K. Singh, Brent McCallum, and Thomas Fetch. "AAC Viewfield Hard Red Spring Wheat." *Canadian Journal of Plant Science* (2018). doi: 10.1139/CJPS-2018-0147. Posted with permission.

Cultivar Description

AAC Viewfield Hard Red Spring Wheat

R.D. Cuthbert[1*], R.M. DePauw [1,2], R.E. Knox[1], A.K. Singh[3], B. McCallum[4], and T. Fetch[4]

[1] Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Swift Current Research and Development Centre, P.O. Box 1030, Swift Current, Saskatchewan, Canada, S9H 3X2; [2] Advancing Wheat Technology, 870 Field Drive, Swift Current, Saskatchewan, Canada, S9H 4N5; [3] Department of Agronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, USA; [4] Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Morden Research and Development Centre, 101 Route 100, Morden, Manitoba, Canada.

* Corresponding author: R.D. Cuthbert (email: Richard.cuthbert@agr.gc.ca)

Cuthbert, R.D., DePauw, R.M., Knox, R.E., Singh, A.K., McCallum, B. and Fetch, T. **AAC Viewfield Hard Red Spring Wheat.** Can. J. Plant Sci. ***** 2019.

AAC Viewfield hard red spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) has grain yield significantly higher than the check cultivars Katepwa and Lillian and is similar to Carberry. AAC Viewfield matures significantly later than Katewpa and Lillian but is similar to Carberry. AAC Viewfield has an awned spike, a low lodging score indicative of strong straw, and significantly shorter plant stature than all checks. AAC Viewfield expressed resistance to prevalent races of yellow rust and stem rust, moderate resistance to leaf rust and common bunt, and intermediate resistance to Fusarium head blight. AAC Viewfield has quality attributes within the range of the check cultivars and is eligible for grades of Canada Western Red Spring.

Key Words: *Triticum aestivum* L., wheat, cultivar description, grain yield, disease resistance, semidwarf

AAC Viewfield, a hard red spring wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) cultivar, was developed at the Swift Current Research and Development Centre (SCRDC), Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (AAFC), Swift Current, SK. It received registration No. 7919 from the Variety Registration Office, Plant Production Division, Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA) on 12 Feb, 2016. AAC Viewfield was granted Plant Breeders' Rights certificate No. 5575 by the Plant Breeders' Rights office, CFIA on 9 Nov, 2017.

Origin and Breeding: AAC Viewfield is a doubled haploid genotype derived from the cross Stettler/Glenn that was made at SCRDC in 2007. The cultivar Stettler (DePauw et al. 2009)

derives from a cross of the cultivars Prodigy (Graf et al. 2003) by Superb (Townley-Smith et al. 2010). The cultivar Glenn (Mergoum et al. 2006) was developed from the cross ND2831/Steele. ND2831 (Mergoum et al. 2005) is a hard red spring experimental line developed by North Dakota State University breeding program from the cross Sumai 3/Wheaton//Grandin/3/ND688. The parents were haplotyped using the molecular markers associated with Fusarium head blight (FHB) (Bokore et al. 2017). A total of 706 F_1-derived doubled haploid lines (B0763&) were generated between summer of 2007 and spring of 2009 using the maize pollen method (Knox et al. 2000). The '&' was assigned to the cross name to identify lines as doubled haploids and incrementing alpha characters were assigned to each F_1 plant of the cross followed by a numeric character that indicated the specific doubled haploid derivative of an F_1 plant. Each subset of doubled haploid lines were screened for diseases such as common bunt and leaf and stem rusts and agronomic traits, and seed multiplied using a contra season nursery prior to entry into replicated trials as described for the development of doubled haploid cultivars Stettler and Carberry (DePauw et al. 2011). The doubled haploid line, B0763&AA016, was in the second subset of DH lines. In 2008, seed of individual DH lines was inoculated with common bunt [*Tilletia laevis* Kühn in Rabenh., and *T. tritici* (Bjerk.) G. Wint. in Rabenh.] races L16 and T19 in a 1 :1 ratio (Hoffmann and Metzger 1976). The seed was planted in 1.5 m long rows spaced 23 cm apart, with every second row planted with CDC Kestrel winter wheat (Fowler 1997), which is susceptible to leaf rust (*Puccinia triticina* Eriks.) and stem rust (*P. graminis* Pers.:Pers. f.sp. *tritici* Eriks. & E. Henn.). CDC Kestrel was used as a secondary spreader of diseases. An irrigated leaf rust and stem rust epiphytotic nursery was established by planting genotypes susceptible to prevalent races of leaf and stem rust in every twelfth plot and needle inoculating 5 plants every 5 m in each row. Leaf rust races used were of representative races found the

previous year (McCallum and Seto-Goh 2006). Stem rust races used were: QTHJF (C25), RHTSC (C20), RKQSC (C63), RTHJF (C57), TMRTF (C10), and TPMKC (C53) (Roelfs and Martens 1988; Fetch et al. 2015). Two spikes were selected from each of 103 disease-resistant doubled haploid lines that matured within a range of acceptable maturity and had strong stems of semidwarf stature. Seed from each spike was grown out in 2-m long rows near Irwell, New Zealand. From these, 80 doubled haploid lines that were comparable to check commercial cultivars for time to maturity, plant height, straw strength, and shattering were selected and harvested as individual rows. In 2009, the 80 DH lines were assessed for agronomic performance by growing them in four row plots (3-m long) in nurseries near Swift Current and Indian Head, SK., and Morden, MB. Agronomic plots were harvested at maturity and grain weight of each plot was measured. Seed weight and kernel attributes were measured on the same whole grain sample. Grain protein concentration and volume weight were measured using near infrared reflectance spectroscopy (Williams 1979) on whole grain of each sample within each location. A subsample was submitted to the Central Quality Lab, Cereal Research Centre, AAFC, Winnipeg, MB to determine end-use suitability for the Canada Western Red Spring (CWRS) market class. Reaction to leaf and stem rust was assessed in an epiphytotic nursery near Glenlea, MB, response to *Fusarium graminearum* Schwabe (teleomorph *Gibberella zeae* (Schwein. Petch) was assessed in the Fursarium head blight (FHB) nursery near Carman, MB, and response to common bunt was assessed in a bunt nursery near Swift Current. Selected doubled haploid lines were screened for reaction to a T2, T9, T10 and T39 mixture of races of loose smut [*Ustilago tritici* (Pers.) Rostr.] (Nielsen 1987). The protocols for assessing these diseases are described in Appendix E of the Prairie Recommending Committee for Wheat, Rye and Triticale Operating procedures (Anonymous. 2015).

The above procedures resulted in the identification of the experimental doubled haploid line B0763&AA016 that met all of the selection criteria at each stage of selection. The experimental line B0763&AA016 was evaluated in the Western Bread Wheat 'A_3' test in 2010, in the Western Bread Wheat 'B' test in 2011, and as BW965 in the Western Bread Wheat Cooperative test from 2012 to 2014. Annually, the WBWC consisted of 25 experimental lines and five check cultivars grown in a 5 x 6 lattice design with three replications at up to 13 locations per year. The check cultivars were Laura (DePauw et al. 1988) and CDC Kernen (Hucl 2012) for 2012, Glenn (Mergoum et al. 2006) and 97B64-F9A3, the pure *Sm1* component of Unity VB (Fox et al. 2010), for 2013 and 2014, and Katepwa (Campbell and Czarnecki 1987), Carberry (DePauw et al. 2011) and Lillian (DePauw et al. 2005) from 2012 to 2014. The check cultivars were changed to reflect customer requests for a reduced range and increased gluten strength of cultivars eligible for grades of Canada Western Red Spring (CWRS) as part of the Canadian Wheat Class Modernization (Canadian Grain Commission 2015). In 2013, the extensograph instrument was added as a new assay of gluten strength as the farinograph did not adequately differentiate among medium strong gluten genotypes. The agronomic, disease, and end-use suitability variables measured and protocols followed in the WBWC test are described in the operating procedures of the Prairie Recommending Committee for Wheat, Rye and Triticale (Anonymous 2015). The MIXED procedure of SAS® (Littell et al. 2006) was used to perform yearly and multi-year analyses for agronomic data with years, environments and their interactions considered as random effects and cultivar treated as a fixed effect. Mean separation tests were performed using Fisher's protected LSD procedure.

Response to several diseases was assessed in specialized disease nurseries from 2012 to 2014. Stem rust seedling infection types were assessed using races QTHJF (C25), RHTSC

(C20), RKQSC (C63), RTHJF (C57), TMRTF (C10) and TPMKC (C53). Leaf rust seedling infection types were assessed using races MBDS (12-3), MBRJ (128-1), MGBJ (74-2), TDBG (06-1-1), TDBJ (11-180-1), and TJBJ (77-2) (McCallum and Seto-Goh 2006). Field evaluations of leaf and stem rust reactions, using leaf rust races representative of those found the previous year and the same stem rust races as for the seedling tests, were measured annually in epiphytotic nurseries near Glenlea, Portage la Prairie, Morden, or Brandon, MB as described by Bokore et al. (2017). Yellow rust (*Puccinia striiformis f. tritici* Eriks) was evaluated at Creston, BC and Lethbridge, AB in 2013 and 2014 in nurseries exposed to natural infection. Reaction to FHB was assessed in artificially inoculated field tests conducted annually near Glenlea, Portage la Prairie, or Carman, MB, Ottawa, ON, and Charlottetown, PE. To determine the response to loose smut, a mixture of the prevalent races T2, T9, T10 and T39 was injected into florets at anthesis of plants grown in the field and the inoculated seed subsequently grown out and rated in a greenhouse (Menzies et al. 2003). To determine the response to common bunt, a mixture of prevalent races L1, L16, T1, T6, T13 and T19 was used to inoculate the seed planted in mid-April of each year near Lethbridge, AB (Gaudet and Puchalski 1989). The race designations are those described by Nielsen (1987) for loose smut, and by Hoffmann and Metzger (1976) for common bunt. The protocols for assessing these diseases are described in Appendix E of the Prairie Recommending Committee for Wheat, Rye and Triticale Operating procedures (Anonymous. 2015).

A sample of grain of BW965 and the check cultivars from each location was submitted to the Canadian Grain Commission each year from 2012 to 2014 to determine grain grade and protein concentration. End-use suitability was determined on a composite sample made up from sites with grain samples representative only of the top hard red spring wheat grades available.

The quantity of grain from a location was adjusted to achieve a final composite protein concentration approximating that of the average for the crop that year. A consistent quantity of grain within a location for all experimental lines was used to make up the composite each year. All end-use suitability analyses were performed by personnel at the Grain Research Laboratory, Canadian Grain Commission, Winnipeg, MB following protocols of the AACC (American Association of Cereal Chemists, 2000).

Performance and Adaptation:

Averaged over 37 trials in three years, AAC Viewfield yielded significantly more grain than Katepwa and Lillian and was equal to Carberry (Table 1). AAC Viewfield matured significantly later than Katewpa and Lillian and was similar to Carberry (Table 2). Plant height of AAC Viewfield was significantly shorter than all of the checks. AAC Viewfield displayed significantly lower lodging than all checks except Carberry (Table 2). AAC Viewfield had higher test weight than Katepwa and Lillian (Table 2). The kernel weight of AAC Viewfield was smaller than Lillian and Carberry. AAC Viewfield had a grain protein concentration within the range of the checks.

AAC Viewfield tended to have lower FHB symptoms than Lillian and expressed intermediate resistance (Tables 3 and 4). AAC Viewfield expressed resistance to prevalent races of yellow rust and stem rust, and moderate resistance leaf rust and common bunt (Tables 5 and 6).

Other Characteristics

SPIKE: parallel sided in profile, medium density, inclined attitude at maturity, medium glaucosity, chaff colour at maturity white to blond, medium length awns

LOWER GLUME: glabrous with medium width and length

LOWER GLUME SHOULDER: medium broadness to broad, elevated shape

LOWER GLUME BEAK: medium to short length, slightly curved shape

KERNEL: hard red type

END-USE SUITABILITY: In general, AAC Viewfield had quality attributes within the range of the check cultivars (Table 7). AAC Viewfield is eligible for grades of CWRS and was retained as a new check cultivar for the Western Bread Wheat Cooperative test and the Central Bread Wheat Cooperative test representing a mid-range gluten strength check.

Maintenance and Distribution of Pedigreed Seed:

The 63 Breeder Lines originate from random single plants of the doubled haploid line B0763&AA016, which had been grown out as 72 Breeder Lines in 3-metre-long rows in isolation near Swift Current, SK in 2013 and again as 15 m rows near Indian Head, SK in 2014. Breeder Seed will be maintained by the Seed Increase Unit of the Research Farm, Indian Head, SK S0G 2K0. The distribution and multiplication of pedigreed seed stocks will be handled through a license to FP Genetics Inc. 426 McDonald Street, Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada S4N 6E1. Phone: 306-791-1045 Fax: 306-791-1046 https://www.fpgenetics.ca/contact.php email: info@fpgenetics.ca.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the producer funded Wheat Check-off (administered by the Western Grains Research Foundation); D. Niziol and J. Fehr of AAFC, Cereal Research Centre (CRC) (Winnipeg, MB) for providing end-use quality analyses; J. Gilbert of AAFC, CRC for FHB reactions; J. Menzies of AAFC, CRC for loose smut evaluation;

D. Gaudet and T. Despins of AAFC, Lethbridge Research Centre for providing reaction to common bunt; S. Fox, G. Humphreys and D. Brown of AAFC, CRC for agronomic assessment and FHB nursery at Portage la Prairie; A. Brûlé-Babel of the University of Manitoba for FHB evaluations at Carman; P. Hucl of the Crop Development Centre, University of Saskatchewan for co-ordinating the Western Bread Wheat B test and agronomic assessment at the Kernen research farm; N. Edwards and B.X. Fu of the Grain Research Laboratory, Canadian Grain Commission, Winnipeg, MB for end-use quality assessment; D. Gehl of AAFC Seed Increase Unit, Indian Head, SK for multiplication of Breeder seed; M. Steinley, B. Coward, J. Powell, S. Friesen, D. Finley, T. Greenwood, M. Olfert, J. Ross, L. Oakman, H. Campbell, and all members of the wheat genetic enhancement group at AAFC, Swift Current; M. Knelsen, AAFC Regina, SK; O. Thompson, AAFC Indian Head, SK; and B. Beres and R. Dyck, AAFC, Lethbridge, AB, for their assistance in conducting field trials. We are thankful to the members of the wheat molecular genetics lab at AAFC Swift Current for molecular markers associated with Fusarium head blight and leaf rust applied to the parents.

References

American Association of Cereal Chemists. 2000. Approved Methods of the AACC, 10th Ed. St. Paul, MN.

Anonymous. 2015. Operating Procedures, Prairie Recommending Committee for Wheat, Rye and Triticale. [Online Accessed 12 June 2018]. Available at: http://www.pgdc.ca/pdfs/wrt/Proposed%20PRCWRT%20OPS%20- 20FINAL%20DRAFT%20-%2027%20Nov%202013%20Updated%205%20December%202015.pdf

Bokore, F. E., Knox, R. E., DePauw, R. M., Clarke, F., Cuthbert, R. D., Campbell, H. L., Brûlé-

Babel, A. L., Gilbert, J. and Ruan, Y. 2017. Validation of molecular markers for use with adapted sources of fusarium head blight resistance in wheat. Plant Disease **101**:1292–1299. http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-10-16-1421-RE.

Campbell, A.B. and Czarnecki, E. 1987. Katepwa hard red spring wheat. Can. J. Plant Sci. **67**: 229-230. doi:10.4141/cjps87-027.

Canadian Grain Commission. 2015. Canadian Wheat Class Modernization. [Online Accessed 1 June 2018]. http://grainscanada.gc.ca/consultations/2015/classes-pdgc-2015-en.pdf

DePauw, R.M., Knox, R.E., Clarke, F.R., Clarke, J.M., and McCaig, T.N. 2009. Stettler hard red spring wheat. Can. J. Plant Sci. **89**: 943-951.

DePauw, R.M., Knox, R.E., McCaig, T.N., Clarke, F. and Clarke, J.M. 2011. Carberry hard red spring wheat. Can J. Plant Sci. **91**: 529-534. doi:10.4141/cjps10187.

DePauw, R.M., Townley-Smith, T.F., Humphreys, G., Knox, R.E., Clarke, F.R. and Clarke, J.M. 2005. Lillian hard red spring wheat. Can. J. Plant Sci. **85**: 397- 401. doi:10.4141/P04-137.

DePauw, R.M., Townley-Smith, T.F., McCaig, T.N. and Clarke, J.M. 1988. Laura hard red spring wheat. Can. J. Plant Sci. **68**: 203-206. doi:10.4141/cjps88-020.

Fetch, T., Mitchell Fetch, J., Zegeye, T. and Xue, A. 2015. Races of *Puccinia graminis* on wheat, oat, and barley in Canada in 2009 and 2010. Can. J. Plant Pathol. **37**: 476-484. doi.org/10.1080/07060661.2015.1119735

Fowler, D.B. 1997. CDC Kestrel winter wheat. Can. J. Plant Sci. **77**: 673-675. doi:10.4141/P96-193.

Fox, S. L., McKenzie, R. I. H., Lamb, R. J., Wise, I. L., Smith, M. A. H., Humphreys, D. G., Brown, P. D., Townley-Smith, T. F., McCallum, B. D., Fetch, T. G., Menzies, J. G., Gilbert, J. A. Fernandez, M. R., Despins, T., Lukow, O. and Niziol, D. 2010. Unity hard red spring wheat.

Can. J. Plant Sci. **90**: 71-78.

Gaudet, D.A. and Puchalski, B.L. 1989. Races of common bunt (*Tilletia caries* and *T. foetida*) of wheat in western Canada, Can. J. Plant Pathol. **11**: 415-418. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07060668909501089.

Gilbert, J. and Woods, S. 2006. Strategies and considerations for multi-location FHB screening nurseries. Pages 93-102. *in* T. Ban, J.M. Lewis, and E.E. Phipps, eds. The global fusarium initiative for international collaboration: A strategic planning workshop held at CIMMYT, El Batàn, Mexico; March 14-17, 2006. Mexico, D.F.: CIMMYT.

Graf, R. J., Potts, D. A., Hucl, P. and Hanson, K. M. 2003. Prodigy hard red spring wheat. Can. J. Plant Sci. **83**: 813 - 816. https://doi.org/10.4141/P02-168.

Hoffmann, J.A. and Metzger, R.J. 1976. Current status of virulence genes and pathogenic races of the wheat bunt fungi in the northwestern USA. Phytopathology **66**: 657-660. doi:10.1094/Phyto-66-657.

Hucl, P. 2012. CDC Kernen. [Online Accessed 29 May 2018]. http://www.inspection.gc.ca/english/plaveg/pbrpov/cropreport/whe/app00007709e.shtml

Knox, R.E., Clarke, J.M., and DePauw, R.M. 2000. Dicamba and growth condition effects on doubled haploid production in durum wheat crossed with maize. Plant Breed. **119**: 289-298.

Littell, R. C., Milliken, G. A., Stroup, W. W. and Wolfinger, R. D. 2006. SAS® system for mixed models. 2nd ed. SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC.

McCallum, B. D. and Seto-Goh, P. 2006. Physiologic specialization of *Puccinia triticina*, the causal agent of wheat leaf rust, in Canada in 2004. Can. J. Plant Pathol. **28**: 566-576. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07060660609507335.

Menzies, J.G., Knox, R.E., Nielsen, J. and Thomas, P.L. 2003. Virulence of Canadian isolates of

Ustilago tritici: 1964-1998, and the use of the geometric rule in understanding host differential complexity. Can. J. Plant Pathol. **25:** 62-72. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07060660309507050.

Mergoum, M., Frohberg, R.C., Miller, J.D., Rasmussen, J.B. and Stack, R.W. 2005. Registration of spring wheat germplasm ND 744 resistant to Fusarium head blight, leaf, and stem rusts. Crop Sci. 45:430–431.

Mergoum, M., Frohberg, R.C., Stack, R.W., Olson, T., Friesen, T.L. and Rasmussen, J.B. 2006. Registration of 'Glenn' Wheat. Crop Sci. **46**: 473 -474.

Nielsen, J. 1987. Races of *Ustilago tritici* and techniques for their study. Can. J. Plant Pathol. **9**: 91-105. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07060668709501888.

Roelfs, A.P. and Martens, J.W. 1988. An international system of nomenclature for *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici*. Phytopathology **78**: 525-533. doi:10.1094/Phyto-78-526.

SAS Institute Inc. 2003. SAS Software. Version 9. SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC, USA.

Townley-Smith, T.F., Humphreys, D.G., Czarnecki, E., Lukow, O.M., McCallum, B.M., Fetch, T.G., Gilbert, J.A., Menzies, J.G., and Brown, P.D. 2010. Superb hard red spring wheat. Can. J. Plant Sci. **90**: 347–352.

Williams, P.C. 1979. Screening wheat for protein and hardness by near infrared reflectance spectroscopy. Cereal Chem. **56**: 169-172.

Table 1. Grain yield (kg ha^{-1}) of AAC Viewfield compared to check cultivars and mean of the check cultivars in the Western Bread Wheat Cooperative test, 2012-2014.

	Zone 1[a]			Zone 2[a]			Zone 3[a]			2013-2014	2012-2014
Entry	2012[b]	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014		
Katepwa	2845	4486	4453	2825	4172	3359	3689	4667	4118	4025	3716
Lillian	2622	4536	4176	2768	3914	3203	3413	4957	4103	3932	3605
Carberry	2989	4475	4835	2891	4241	3749	4236	5535	4908	4441	4039
Glenn	-	4684	4698	-	4529	3746	-	5352	4692	4482	-
97B64-F9A3[c]	-	4875	4622	-	4935	3714	-	5764	4285	4540	-
Check Mean	2819	4611	4557	2828	4358	3554	3779	5255	4421	4284	3787
AAC Viewfield	3718	4416	5215	3146	4670	3932	3705	5631	4342	4580	4189
$LSD_{0.05}$[d]	663	-	-	284	-	-	584	-	-	450	-
$LSD_{0.05}$[e]	-	418	347		321	373	-	573	738	-	351
No. of tests	2	2	2	7	7	8	3	3	3	25	37

[a] Zone 1 Locations: Swift Current and Stewart Valley; Zone 2 Locations: Regina, Goodale, Indian Head, Kernen, Lethbridge (2012,2014), Scott(2013,2014), Vulcan and Watrous; Zone 3 Locations: Lacombe, Melfort, Ellerslie.

[b] Means are based on LS means procedure in SAS.

[c] 97B64-F9A3 is pure *Sm1* component of Unity VB.

[d] Appropriate LSD to make comparisons of AAC Viewfield to Katepwa, Lillian, Carberry, Glenn and Unity. $P \leq 0.05$, includes the appropriate genotype by environment interaction.

[e] Appropriate LSD to make comparisons of AAC Viewfield to Katepwa, Lillian and Carberry. $P \leq 0.05$, includes the appropriate genotype by environment interaction.

Table 2. Means[a] for agronomic characteristics of AAC Viewfield compared to the check cultivars in the Western Bread Wheat Cooperative test, 2012-2014.

Entry	Maturity (days)	Height (cm)	Lodging[b] (1-9)	Test weight (Kg hL^{-1})	Kernel weight (mg)	Protein (%)
Katepwa	97.7	105.0	3.5	78.0	32.9	14.5
Lillian	98.2	101.4	3.8	76.9	34.7	15.9
Carberry	101.4	87.1	1.3	79.4	34.5	14.5
Check Mean	99.0	97.8	2.9	78.1	33.8	15.0
AAC Viewfield	100.5	82.7	1.4	79.7	32.2	14.6
$LSD_{0.05}$ [c]	1.9	2.3	0.7	1.2	1.8	0.4
No. of tests	34	36	19	37	37	37

[a] Means based on LSMEANS procedure of SAS.
[b] Straw strength rated on a scale of 1 to 9, where 1indicates all plants within a plot are erect and 9 indicates all plants in a plot are lying horizontal.
[c] LSD, least significant difference ($P \leq 0.05$) includes the appropriate genotype × environment interaction variation.

Table 3. Response to fusarium head blight and the mycotoxin deoxynivalenol (DON) of AAC Viewfield and check cultivars based on the 2012 to 2014 Western Bread Wheat Cooperative test grown in inoculated nurseries near Glenlea, Carman, and Portage, MB, Ottawa, ON, and Charlottetown, PE.

	Carman - Inoculated FHB Nursery										Glenlea - Inoculated FHB Nursery						
	2012			2013		2014					2012					2013	
Entry	Index[a] (%)	Rating[b]	FDK[c] (%)	Index[a] (%)	Rating[b]	Index[a] (%)	Rating[b]	FDK (%)	DON (ppm)	ISD	Index[a] (%)	Rating[b]	DON (ppm)	ISD	Rating[b]	1st Rating	2nd Rating
Katepwa	33	I	16	45	MS	13	MR	5	6	5	16	MS	5	18	I	13	17
Lillian	76	S	23	70	S	51	S	9	16	13	11	I	3	17	I	29	31
Carberry	15	MR	4	21	MR	12	MR	6	10	8	11	I	5	17	I	16	16
Glenn	-	-	-	22	MR	6	MR	6	8	6	-	-	-	-	-	24	21
97B64-F9A3[f]	-	-	-	39	I	21	I	9	16	12	-	-	-	-	-	12	15
AAC Viewfield	26	I	6	34	I	23	I	9	13	10	16	MS	4	21	I	16	9

[a] Fusarium head blight disease index = (percentage of infected heads x percentage of diseased florets on infected heads)/100.
[b] Disease response category: R = resistant, MR = moderately resistant, I = intermediate in reaction, MS=moderately susceptible, S=susceptible.
[c] FDK, fusarium damaged kernels on a weight of kernels with Fusarium symptoms as a percent of the total sample weight.
[d] DON, deoxynivalenol (ppm).
[e] ISD, Incidence Severity DON Index = [(0.2*Incidence) + (0.2*Severity) + (0.6* DON)].
[f] 97B64-F9A3 is pure *Sm1* component of Unity VB.

Table 3: continued

	Portage		Ottawa					Charlottetown						Morden				
	2013		2012	2013		2014		2012		2013		2014		2014				
Entry	Index[a] (%)	Rating[b]	Index[f]	Index[f]	DON (ppm)	Index[f]	DON (ppm)	Index[a]	DON[V] (ppm)	Index[a]	DON (ppm)	Index[a]	DON (ppm)	Index[a]	Rating[b]	DON (ppm)	ISD	ISD Rating
Katepwa	25	I	50	50	7	67	5	48	1	56	7	30	13	60	S	28	20	R
Lillian	32	S	77	73	10	83	16	54	2	58	9	30	18	60	S	46	31	MR
Carberry	17	I	32	35	10	35	16	45	0	59	13	41	18	33	MR	41	27	MR
Glenn	10	MR	-	30	11	27	9	-	-	51	14	31	18	37	I	40	27	MR
97B64-F9A3[i]	20	I	-	43	9	43	13	-	-	56	12	29	14	63	S	49	33	MR
AAC Viewfield	15	I	38	73	8	38	20	48	1	59	21	37	13	32	MR	57	37	MR
CV				19		9												
$LSD_{0.05}$[h]				13		13		7			6	13						

[a] Fusarium head blight disease index = (percentage of infected heads x percentage of diseased florets on infected heads)/100.
[b] Disease response category: R = resistant, MR = moderately resistant, I = intermediate in reaction, MS=moderately susceptible, S=susceptible.
[c] FDK, fusarium damaged kernels on a weight of kernels with Fusarium symptoms as a percent of the total sample weight.
[d] DON, deoxynivalenol (ppm).
[e] ISD, Incidence Severity DON Index = [(0.2*Incidence) + (0.2*Severity) + (0.6* DON)].
[f] Percentage of spikes with Fusarium head blight symptoms.
[h] LSD, least significant difference ($P \leq 0.05$).
[i] 97B64-F9A3 is pure *Sm1* component of Unity VB.

Table 4. Fusarium damaged kernels and DON of AAC Viewfield and checks based on 5 reps in the 2014 FHB nursery near Portage la Prairie, MB.

	Fusarium damaged kernels[a] (%)		FHB Index		DON (ppm)	
Entry	Mean	Duncan[b] 0.05	FHB Index	Duncan 0.05	Mean	Duncan 0.05
Katepwa	25	d	20	b	17	c
AC Barrie	37	b	17	bc	29	b
Lillian	56	a	42	a	36	a
Carberry	12	f	3	d	9	d
97B64-F9A3[d]	14	ef	8	cd	16	c
AAC Viewfield	28	cd	4	d	18	c

[a] Fusarium damaged kernels as a percentage of total sample weight.
[b] Duncan's mean separation test (P≤0.05) using PROC MIXED, SAS, 2003.
[c] Fusarium head blight disease index = (percentage of infected heads x percentage of diseased florets on infected heads)/100.
[d] 97B64-F9A3 is pure *Sm1* component of Unity VB.

Table 5. Reactions of AAC Viewfield and check cultivars to leaf and stem rust in the 2012 to 2014 Western Bread Wheat Cooperative test grown at various locations.

	Field Leaf Rust						Field Stem Rust							
	2012		2013		2014		2012		2013		2014 Brandon		2014 Morden	
Entry	Severity[a]	Rating[a]	Severity	Rating	Severity	Rating	Severity[b]	Ratingc	Severity	Rating	Severity	Rating	Severity	Rating
Katepwa	57	MS	70	S	73	S	2	R	1	R	1	R	1	R
Lillian	5	R	18	MR	3	R	3	R	1	R	1	R	1	R
Carberry	8	R	4	R	1	R	5	R	1	R	15	MR	1	R
Glenn	-	-	25	MR	10	R	-	-	1	R	7	MR	1	R
97B64-F9A3[d]	-	-	22	MR	47	MS	-	-	20	I	3	R	3	MR
AAC Viewfield	17	MR	12	MR	5	R	5	MR	3	R	2	R	1	R

[a] Severity is the percentage of leaf area affected by leaf rust; Rating is the descriptive classification of disease resistance/susceptibility based on percent severity where R (resistant) = 0-10%, MR (moderately resistant) =11-30%, I (intermediate resistance) =31-39%, MS (moderately susceptible) =40-60%, and S (susceptible) >60%.
[b] Severity is the percentage of the stem infected with stem rust using the Modified Cobb Scale.
[c] Disease response categories: R=resistant, MR=moderately resistant, I= intermediate, MS=moderately susceptible, and S=susceptible.
[d] 97B64-F9A3 is pure *Sm1* component of Unity VB.

Table 6. Reactions of AAC Viewfield and check cultivars to yellow rust, common bunt, and loose smut in the 2012 to 2014 Western Bread Wheat Cooperative test grown at various locations.

	Yellow rust										Common bunt						Loose smut					
	2012		Creston 2013		Lethbridge 2013		Creston 2014		Lethbridge 2014		2012		2013		2014		2012		2013		2014	
Entry	Severity[a]	Rating[b]	Severity	Rating	Severity	Rating	Severity	Rating	Severity	Rating	Infection[c]	Reaction[b]	Infection	Reaction	Infection	Reaction	Infection[d]	Reaction[b]	Infection	Reaction	Infection	Reaction
Katepwa	28	MS	45	MS	60	S	45	S	65	S	26	I	11	R	11	MR	8	R	0	R	4	R
Lillian	0	VR	15	R	10	R	5	R	1	R	31	MS	5	R	3	R	15	R	52	I	9	R
Carberry	3	R	15	R	15	R	5	R	5	R	6	R	1	R	16	I	67	MS	8	R	0	R
Glenn	-	-	15	R	15	R	0	S	50	S	-	-	10	R	25	MS	-	-	23	MR	40	I
97B64-F9A3[e]	-	-	15	R	15	R	45	S	75	S	-	-	1	R	2	R	-	-	38	I	29	MR
AAC Viewfield	2	MR	15	R	10	R	5	R	5	R	10	MR	4	R	11	MR	81	S	75	MS	26	MR

[a] Severity is the percentage of leaf area affected by yellow rust.
[b] Disease reaction categories: R=resistant, MR=moderately resistant, I= intermediate, MS=moderately susceptible, and S=susceptible.
[c] Percentage of spikes with common bunt symptoms.
[d] Percentage of plants with loose smut symptoms.
[e] 97B64-F9A3 is pure Sm1 component of Unity VB.

Table 7. End-use suitability[a] analyses, using a 74% extraction flour for all flour testing, of AAC Viewfield, control cultivars, and mean of the control cultivars, based on the Western Bread Wheat Cooperative test 2013 to 2014.

Genotype	Wheat protein (%)	Flour protein (%)	Protein loss (%)	Hagberg Falling No. (s)	Amylo-graph viscosity (BU)[b]	Clean wheat flour yield (%)	Flour Ash	Flour yield 0.50 ash (%)	Starch damage (megazeme)
Carberry	13.7	12.9	0.9	398	570	75.2	0.40	79.3	7.7
Glenn	13.6	13.0	0.7	368	805	74.6	0.41	78.5	8.9
Lillian	14.5	13.7	0.8	453	640	75.4	0.47	75.8	7.6
97B64-F9A3[c]	13.3	12.5	0.7	460	963	76.7	0.43	77.8	8.4
AAC Viewfield	13.3	12.7	0.6	410	678	75.5	0.41	78.8	7.6
SD[d]	0.05	0.05		15	5	0.34	0.005	0.34	0.08

	Farinograph				Extensograph			Canadian short process (150 ppm ascorbic acid)			
Genotype	Absorption (%)	DDT[e] (min)	MTI[f]	Stability (min)	Area	Rmax	Length	Baking absorption (%)	Mixing time (min)	Mixing energy[g] (W-h kg^{-1})	Loaf volume (cc)
Carberry	65.3	6.6	30	10.8	104	417	19.8	69.0	5.0	11.1	1033
Glenn	67.1	8.6	18	17.8	139	689	17.1	71.0	6.0	13.1	1053
Lillian	67.6	5.3	25	9.3	80	335	18.4	71.5	3.6	7.4	1053
97B64-F9A3	65.7	4.9	28	8.0	87	380	17.9	69.0	4.3	9.6	1020
AAC Viewfield	65.0	7.3	20	14.8	115	494	18.7	69.0	5.1	11.8	1023
SD[d]	0.2	0.4	2.6	1.4				NA[h]	0.2	0.3	45

[a] American Association of Cereal Chemists methods were followed by the Grain Research Laboratory, Canadian Grain Commission for determining the various end-use suitability traits on a composite of 6 to 10 locations each year.
[b] Amylograph viscosity expressed in Brabender Units (BU).
[c] 97B64-F9A3 is pure Sm1 component of Unity VB.
[d] SD is the standard deviation based on repeated testing of Allis mill check samples, and standard bake flour sample with replicate tests carried out over an extended period of time each season, provided by Grain Research Laboratory, Canadian Grain Commission.
[e] DDT is the Farinograph dough development time measured in minutes.
[f] MTI is the Farinograph mixing tolerance index.
[g] Mixing energy expressed as watts hour per kg.
[h] NA not available