

7-18-2018

# Ozone pollution in US national parks is nearly the same as in large cities

David Keiser

*Iowa State University*, [dkeiser@iastate.edu](mailto:dkeiser@iastate.edu)

Gabriel E. Lade

*Iowa State University*, [gelade@iastate.edu](mailto:gelade@iastate.edu)

Ivan Rudik

*Cornell University*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/econ\\_las\\_pubs](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/econ_las_pubs)

 Part of the [Environmental Health and Protection Commons](#), [Environmental Indicators and Impact Assessment Commons](#), [Environmental Policy Commons](#), and the [Natural Resource Economics Commons](#)

The complete bibliographic information for this item can be found at [https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/econ\\_las\\_pubs/627](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/econ_las_pubs/627). For information on how to cite this item, please visit <http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/howtocite.html>.

---

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Economics at Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Economics Publications by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact [digirep@iastate.edu](mailto:digirep@iastate.edu).

---

# Ozone pollution in US national parks is nearly the same as in large cities

## Abstract

Most Americans associate U.S. national parks with pristine environments that represent the very best of nature. In the 1916 law that established the National Park Service, Congress directed the new agency to “conserve the scenery and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations.”

## Disciplines

Environmental Health and Protection | Environmental Indicators and Impact Assessment | Environmental Policy | Natural Resource Economics

## Comments

This article is published as David Keiser, Gabriel E. Lade, and Ivan Rudik. Ozone Pollution in U.S. National Parks is Nearly the Same as in Large Cities. *The Conversation*. July 2018. For more information click [here](#).

## Creative Commons License



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License](#).

## THE CONVERSATION

Academic rigor, journalistic flair

# Ozone pollution in US national parks is nearly the same as in large cities

July 18, 2018 2.31pm EDT

A clear day at Acadia National Park in Maine. John Marino, CC BY

# Ozone pollution in US national parks is nearly the same as in large cities

July 18, 2018 2.31pm EDT

“Another glorious day, the air as delicious to the lungs as nectar to the tongue” – John Muir, *My First Summer in the Sierra* (1911)

Most Americans associate U.S. national parks with pristine environments that represent the very best of nature. In the 1916 law that established the National Park Service, Congress directed the new agency to “conserve the scenery and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations.”

But over the past century it has become increasingly hard to protect the parks from impacts of human activities outside their boundaries. In 2015 the National Parks Conservation Association, a national advocacy group, released a blistering report giving many popular parks poor grades for unhealthy air, haze and impacts from climate change.

In a study just published in *Science Advances*, we analyzed levels of ozone, the most widely monitored pollutant in parks, and their impact on visits to 33 national parks from 1990 to 2014. The sites we studied included popular parks such as Acadia, the Grand Canyon, Great Smoky Mountains, Joshua Tree, Sequoia and Kings Canyon and Yosemite. We found that while cities once had more “bad air days” with unhealthy ozone levels than national parks, today parks and metro areas have virtually the same number of unhealthy ozone days per year on average. We also found that park visits fall on high ozone days – especially during summer and fall, when peak ozone levels typically occur.

## Authors



**David Keiser**

Assistant Professor of Economics, Iowa State University




**Gabriel E. Lade**

Assistant Professor of Economics, Iowa State University



**Ivan Rudik**

Assistant Professor of Applied Economics, Cornell University



Trends in ozone concentrations and unhealthy ozone days. (A) Average annual maximum daily 8-hour ozone concentrations. (B) Average summertime maximum daily 8-hour ozone concentrations. (C) Average days per year with maximum daily 8-hour ozone concentrations exceeding 70 ppb. (D) Unhealthy ozone days at Sequoia National Park and the Los Angeles metro area. Keiser et al., *Science Advances* eaat1613, 18 July 2018, CC BY-NC

## The impact of bad air days

Regulatory efforts to protect the national parks have a long history. The Clean Air Act Amendments of 1977 and 1990 designated parks as Federal Class I Areas, granting them special air quality and visibility protections.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's 1999 Regional Haze Rule increased these protections by requiring states to develop and implement plans to improve visibility and air quality in parks and wilderness areas.

However, these regulatory actions have spurred contentious debate and litigation. Environmental groups argue that these measures are not stringent enough, while some states and industries call them too costly.



Looking west from Shenandoah National Park's Shaver Hollow on clear (left) and hazy (right) days. NPS

Major sources of park air pollution include power plants, automobiles and industrial facilities. Unlike other pollutants emitted directly from these sources, like sulfur dioxide or lead, ozone is a **secondary pollutant**. It forms in the atmosphere through chemical reactions between **nitrogen oxides**, **volatile organic compounds** and sunlight. Nitrogen oxides originate from the usual urban pollution sources, but biogenic sources like trees are actually the largest source of **volatile organic compounds**, above industrial sources and cars.

Ozone pollution is a serious threat to human health and the environment. It has been linked to increased **respiratory symptoms**, **hospitalization rates** and **mortality**. It also is correlated with poor visibility in parks, and can **damage sensitive plant species**.

### **Ozone trends over time**

To our surprise, for most of our study period we found that average annual ozone concentrations in national parks were nearly identical to those in metropolitan areas. However, summertime levels and the incidence of unhealthy days told a different story.

Since ozone forms in sunlight, levels typically are highest on hot, sunny days. When ozone levels exceed the national standard, which is currently **70 parts per billion**, local and regional governments may issue alerts or urge people to avoid outdoor activities.

In 1990 cities had far more days bad ozone days on average than national parks. But through the decade, summertime ozone and unhealthy ozone days worsened in national parks. By the year 2000, ozone levels in national parks were, on average, very similar to those in metropolitan areas. Explaining this increase was beyond the scope of our study. According to the National Park Service, pollution in national parks can come from many sources, including power plants, industrial sources, vehicle emissions and wildfires.

Since the early 2000s, ozone levels in both national parks and metropolitan areas have improved. But bad air days still occur. On average, among the locations we studied, metro areas currently have 18 unhealthy ozone days per year, while parks have 16.

### Bad air days drive away park visitors

To see whether visitors responded to changing ozone levels in the parks, we matched monthly visitation data from the National Park Service with various measures of monthly average ozone levels. We found that a one percent increase in ozone concentrations was associated with approximately a one percent decrease in park visitation on average. This response was most pronounced during summer and fall, when both visitation and average ozone levels are highest.

Why do visits decrease when ozone is high? We see two possibilities. First, visitors may worry about adverse impacts on their health. Second, visibility is typically poor when ozone levels are high because ozone participates in chemical reactions in the air that can form haze.

We found stronger evidence that health concerns keep visitors away. Park visitation has a robust negative correlation with the incidence of unhealthy ozone days, perhaps because of air quality warnings that accompany these high levels.



Healthy (top) and ozone-injured (bottom) tulip tree (yellow poplar) foliage. NPS



**Sequoia Kings Air**  
@SequoiaKingsAir

ADVISORY: #ozone is expected to be Unhealthy for All today in and around the foothills area of @SequoiaKingsNPS (Ash Mountain entrance) [flic.kr/p/cMo7Rd](https://www.flickr.com/photos/cMo7Rd/)

10:26 AM - Jul 17, 2018

[See Sequoia Kings Air's other Tweets](#)

### The value of further ozone reductions

Across the United States, ozone levels declined by 31 percent between 1980 and 2016. But city residents and tourists in national parks still experience unhealthy ozone levels for two to three weeks per year. Exposure to high ozone levels may be particularly harmful in national parks, since health effects from ozone are greater during exercise, such as hiking, backpacking or rock climbing.

Although we found that some people decrease their visits during unhealthy days, we still observed that since 1990, nearly 80 million visitor days have occurred during high ozone periods. This suggests that improving air quality in U.S. national parks could produce significant human health benefits. We hope that state and federal policy makers will weigh these benefits of improved air quality along with their costs as discussions move forward on air pollution regulations.



National parks

Air pollution

Ozone

Smog

US environmental policy