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Quasi-one-dimensional uniform spin-1/2 Heisenberg antiferromagnet KNaCuP2O7 probed by P-31 and Na-23 NMR

Abstract
We present the structural and magnetic properties of KNaCuP2O7 investigated via x-ray diffraction, magnetization, specific heat, and (31)p and Na-23 NMR measurements and complementary electronic structure calculations. The temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibility and P-31 NMR shift could be modeled very well by the uniform spin-1/2 Heisenberg antiferromagnetic chain model with a nearest-neighbor interaction $J/k(B)$ similar or equal to 58.7 K. The corresponding mapping using first-principles electronic structure calculations leads to $J(DFT)/k(B)$ similar or equal to 59 K with negligibly small interchain couplings, further confirming that the system is indeed a one-dimensional uniform spin-1/2 Heisenberg antiferromagnet. The diverging trend of NMR spin-lattice relaxation rates $(\text{31})/T-1$ and $(\text{23})/T-1$ implies the onset of a magnetic long-range ordering at around $T_N$ similar or equal to 1 K. From the value of $T_N$, the average interchain coupling is estimated to be $J/k(B)$ similar or equal to 0.28 K. Moreover, the NMR spin-lattice relaxation rates show the dominant contributions from uniform ($q = 0$) and staggered ($q = \pm pi/a$) spin fluctuations in the high- and low-temperature regimes, respectively, mimicking one-dimensionality of the spin lattice. We have also demonstrated that $(\text{31})/T-1$ in high temperatures varies linearly with $1/\sqrt{H}$, reflecting the effect of spin diffusion on the dynamic susceptibility. The temperature-dependent unit cell volume could be described well using the Debye approximation with a Debye temperature of $\Theta(D)$ similar or equal to 294 K, consistent with the heat capacity data.
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We present the structural and magnetic properties of KNaCuP$_2$O$_7$ investigated via x-ray diffraction, magnetization, specific heat, and $^{31}$P and $^{23}$Na NMR measurements and complementary electronic structure calculations. The temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibility and $^{31}$P NMR shift could be modeled very well by the uniform spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg antiferromagnetic chain model with a nearest-neighbor interaction $J/k_B \simeq 58.7$ K. The corresponding mapping using first-principles electronic structure calculations leads to $J^{\text{DFT}}/k_B \simeq 59$ K with negligibly small interchain couplings, further confirming that the system is indeed a one-dimensional uniform spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg antiferromagnet. The diverging trend of NMR spin-lattice relaxation rates ($^{31}1/T_1$ and $^{23}1/T_1$) implies the onset of a magnetic long-range ordering at around $T_N \simeq 1$ K. From the value of $T_N$, the average interchain coupling is estimated to be $J/k_B \simeq 0.28$ K. Moreover, the NMR spin-lattice relaxation rates show the dominant contributions from uniform ($q = 0$) and staggered ($q = \pm \pi/a$) spin fluctuations in the high- and low-temperature regimes, respectively, mimicking one-dimensionality of the spin lattice. We have also demonstrated that $^{31}1/T_1$ in high temperatures varies linearly with $1/\sqrt{T}$, reflecting the effect of spin diffusion on the dynamic susceptibility. The temperature-dependent unit cell volume could be described well using the Debye approximation with a Debye temperature of $\Theta_D \simeq 294$ K, consistent with the heat capacity data.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum fluctuations play a pivotal role in deciding the ground state properties in low-dimensional spin systems [1,2]. In particular, in uniform one-dimensional (1D) spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg antiferromagnetic (HAF) chains, quantum fluctuations are enhanced due to a low spin value and reduced dimensionality which preclude magnetic long-range order (LRO) [3]. Often, the interchain and/or intrachain frustration amplifies the effect of quantum fluctuations, leading to various intriguing low-temperature features. Further, spin chains are the simplest systems which can be easily tractable from both experimental and computational point of views as they have a relatively simple and well-defined Heisenberg Hamiltonian $H = J \sum_i S_i S_{i+1}$, where $S_i$ and $S_{i+1}$ are the nearest-neighbor (NN) spins and $J$ is the exchange coupling between them. Transition metal oxides offer ample opportunities for finding spin chains with different exchange geometries.

Copper (Cu$^{2+}$)-based oxides are proven to be excellent model compounds and are extensively studied because of their interesting crystal lattice and low spin (3$d^9$, S = 1/2) value. The Cu$^{2+}$ chains formed by the direct linkage of CuO$_4$ units can be categorized into two groups. One is the chains formed by the edge sharing of CuO$_4$ units and another formed by the corner sharing of CuO$_4$ units. The chains of edge-sharing CuO$_4$ units have a Cu-O-Cu angle nearly 90° and are having competing NN ($J_1$) and next-nearest-neighbor (NNN) ($J_2$) interactions [4]. For AF $J_2$, these chains are frustrated, irrespective of the sign of $J_1$, and host a wide variety of ground states, controlled by the $J_2/J_1$ ratio [5]. The prominent manifestation of frustration in 1D spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ chains encompasses a spin-Peierls transition in CuGeO$_3$ [6], a chiral state in NaCu$_2$O$_2$ [7], LiCu$_2$O$_2$ [8], LiCuVO$_4$ [9], and Li$_2$ZrCuO$_4$ [10], and the realization of a Majumdar-Ghosh point in Cu$_3$(MoO$_2$)(OH)$_4$ [11]. In these compounds, $J_1$ and $J_2$ are comparable in strength, which generates a strong frustration within the chain. On the contrary, in Sr$_2$CuO$_3$, chains are formed by the corner sharing of CuO$_4$ units and is an ideal realization of spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ uniform HAF chains [12–16]. Because of the nearly 180° Cu-O-Cu angle, the AF $J_1$ prevails over $J_2$, largely reducing the in-chain frustration and making the chains uniform.

Another family of 1D compounds is the copper phosphates (Sr, Ba)$_2$Cu(PO$_4$)$_2$, (Ba, Sr, Pb)CuP$_2$O$_7$, and (Li, Na, K)$_2$ CuP$_2$O$_7$ which contain isolated CuO$_4$ units [17–22]. Though there is no direct linking of CuO$_4$ units, the interaction among Cu$^{2+}$ ions takes place via an extended path involving the corner/edge sharing of CuO$_4$ and PO$_4$ tetrahedra. The magnetic properties of all these compounds are described well by the 1D uniform spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ HAF model with intrachain coupling $J/k_B (= J_1/k_B)$ in the range ~30–160 K. (Sr, Ba)$_2$Cu(PO$_4$)$_2$ has emerged to be the best realization of uniform spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ HAF chains showing one-dimensionality over a large temperature range.
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range \((k_{\text{B}}T/J \geq 6 \times 10^{-4})\), similar to \(\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_3\) \((k_{\text{B}}T/J \geq 2 \times 10^{-3})\) [13,17]. Spin chains based on organometallic complexes are another class of compounds portraying interesting 1D physics [23]. When the spin chains are embedded in a real material, a weak residual coupling between the chains comes into play at sufficiently low temperatures and the ground state is decided based on the hierarchy of coupling strengths. These interchain couplings often form a frustrated network between the chains and either forbid the system to cross over to a LRO state or stabilize in an exotic ground state [24]. Thus, the quest for novel states in spin chains necessitates the search for new model compounds with nontrivial interchain geometries.

Herein, we investigate the magnetic behavior of potassium sodium copper (II) diphosphate (V) (KNaCuP\(_2\)O\(_7\)), which has a monoclinic crystal structure with space group \(P2_1/n\). The lattice parameters and unit cell volume \(V_{\text{cell}}\) at room temperature are reported to be \(a = 5.176(3)\) Å, \(b = 13.972(5)\) Å, \(c = 9.067(3)\) Å, \(\beta = 91.34(2)^\circ\), and \(V_{\text{cell}} = 655.6(5)\) Å\(^3\) [25]. The crystal structure of KNaCuP\(_2\)O\(_7\) is presented in Fig. 1. Distorted CuO\(_4\) plaquettes are corner shared with four PO\(_4\) tetrahedra forming isolated magnetic chains stretched along the \(a\) direction. In each CuO\(_4\) plaquette, Cu-O bond lengths are within the range 1.93–1.98 Å, while in each PO\(_4\) tetrahedra, the P-O bond length varies within the range 1.48–1.63 Å. These chains are well separated from each other and the Na and K atoms are located in the interstitial positions between the chains. Thus, \(P\) is located almost symmetrically between two Cu\(^{2+}\) ions within a chain. With AF \(J\), \(J\prime\), and \(J''\) this leads to a frustrated interchain geometry. Figure 1(b) presents a sketch of the spin lattice illustrating the leading intrachain \(J\) and the frustrated interchain couplings \((J', J'')\) between two neighboring chains. Moreover, only one Cu site in the crystal structure and the presence of inversion centers in the middle of each Cu-Cu bond imply that the anisotropic Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya (DM) interaction vanishes by symmetry. Figure 1(c) shows a section of the crystal structure demonstrating the coupling of the Na atom with three neighboring chains. The magnetic properties of this compound are not available to date.

Our experimental results reveal the uniform spin-\(\frac{1}{2}\) chain character of the spin lattice with an intrachain coupling \(J/k_{\text{B}} \approx 58.7\) K. The magnetic LRO is suppressed to \(T_N \approx 1\) K due to weak and frustrated interchain couplings. The experimental assessment of the spin lattice is further supported by the complementary electronic structure calculations. The dynamical properties of the spin system are also extensively investigated via \(^{31}\text{P}\) and \(^{23}\text{Na}\) NMR spin-lattice relaxation measurements.

II. METHODS

A blue-colored polycrystalline sample of KNaCuP\(_2\)O\(_7\) was synthesized by the traditional solid state synthesis procedure. A stoichiometric amount of CuO (Aldrich, 99.999%), NaH\(_4\)PO\(_5\) (Aldrich, 98%), and KHPO\(_4\) were ground thoroughly and heated at 450 °C for 24 h in air. Subsequently, the sample was fired at 570 °C for 24 h and at 600 °C for 48 h, followed by intermediate grindings and palletizations. Finally, the main phase was found to be formed at 600 °C. At each step, the phase purity of the sample was checked by doing a powder x-ray diffraction (XRD) experiment at room temperature using a PANalytical powder diffractometer equipped with Cu Ka radiation \((\lambda_{\text{ew}} \approx 1.5418\) Å). The temperature \((T)\)-dependent powder XRD was performed on the phase pure sample in the temperature range 15 K \(\leq T \leq 300\) K, using a low-temperature attachment (Oxford PheniX) to the x-ray diffractometer. A Rietveld analysis of the XRD patterns was
performed using the FULLPROF software package [26], taking the initial structural parameters from Ref. [25].

Magnetization \( (M) \) was measured as a function of temperature \( (2 \leq T \leq 350 \, \text{K}) \), in the presence of an applied magnetic field \( H = 1 \, \text{T} \). Magnetization isotherms \( (M \text{ vs } H) \) were also measured at two different temperatures \( (T = 2 \) and \( 300 \, \text{K}) \) by varying \( H \) from 0 to 9 T. All these measurements were carried out using a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) attachment to the physical property measurement system (PPMS, Quantum Design). Specific heat \( (C_p) \) was measured as a function of temperature \( (2–100 \, \text{K}) \), by using the thermal relaxation method in PPMS, on a sintered pellet in zero magnetic field. Magnetic spin susceptibility of a uniform AF chain lattice of Heisenberg spins was obtained from the quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) simulations performed with the LOOP algorithm [27] of the ALPS simulation package [28]. Simulations were performed on a finite lattice \( (L = 200) \) size.

The pulsed NMR experiments were performed on the \( ^{31}\text{P} \) nucleus with nuclear spin \( I = \frac{1}{2} \) and gyromagnetic ratio \( \frac{\gamma}{2\pi} = 17.237 \, \text{MHz} / \text{T} \) and the \( ^{23}\text{Na} \) nucleus with \( I = 3/2 \) and \( \frac{\gamma}{2\pi} = 11.26 \, \text{MHz} / \text{T} \). \( ^{31}\text{P} \) NMR measurements were done in different radio frequencies of 121, 85, 39, 21, and 11.6 MHz while \( ^{23}\text{Na} \) NMR measurements were done in 79 MHz. The NMR spectrum at different temperatures was obtained by changing the magnetic field in a fixed frequency. A large temperature range of \( 1.6 \, \text{K} \leq T \leq 300 \, \text{K} \) was covered in our experiments. A temperature-dependent NMR shift \( K(T) = \frac{\text{H}_{\text{res}}}{H(T)} - 1 \) was calculated from the resonance field of the sample \( H \) with respect to the resonance field of a nonmagnetic reference sample \( (\text{H}_{\text{res}}) \). The spin-lattice relaxation rate \( 1/T_1 \) was measured by the conventional single saturation pulse method.

The first-principles electronic structure calculations have been performed within the framework of density functional theory (DFT) using the plane-wave basis with a projector augmented-wave (PAW) potential [29,30] as implemented in the Vienna \textit{ab initio} simulation package \textsc{(VASP)} [31,32]. The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) implemented within the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) prescription [33] has been chosen for the exchange-correlation functional. A plane-wave cutoff of 500 eV was set to obtain good convergence of the total energy and a \( k \) mesh of \( 5 \times 2 \times 3 \) was used for the Brillouin zone (BZ) integration. Maximally localized Wannier functions (MLWFs) for the low-energy \( \text{Cu} \) \( d_{2x^2−y^2} \) model Hamiltonian have been constructed using \textsc{Vasp2wannier} and \textsc{wannier90} codes [34], providing the hopping parameters required to identify the various exchange paths. The missing correlation in GGA calculations are included within the GGA+U method for all the spin-polarized calculations, where standard values of \( U \) and \( \text{Cu’s} \) coupling \( J_H \) [35] were chosen for \( \text{Cu} \) with \( U_{\text{eff}}(= U − J_H) = 6.5 \, \text{eV} \) in the Dudarev’s scheme [36].

III. RESULTS

A. X-ray diffraction

The powder XRD patterns of \( \text{KNaCuP}_2\text{O}_7 \) along with the Rietveld refinement are shown in Fig. 2 for two different temperatures \( (T = 300 \) and \( 15 \, \text{K}) \). All the XRD patterns down to \( 15 \, \text{K} \) could be refined using the same crystal structure (monoclinic, space group \( P2_1/n \)), which indicates that there is neither any structural transition nor lattice distortion. The appearance of sharp and high-intensity peaks with no extra reflections further reflects the high-quality and phase pure sample. From the refinement, the goodness of fit is achieved to be \( \chi^2 \approx 7.4 \) and \( \approx 8.2 \) for \( T = 300 \) and \( 15 \, \text{K} \), respectively. The refined lattice parameters and unit cell volume are given by [37] and \( a = 5.1846(1) \, \text{Å}, b = 13.9904(2) \, \text{Å}, c = 9.0777(2) \, \text{Å}, \beta = 91.286(2)\degree \), and \( V_{\text{cell}} \approx 658.281 \, \text{Å}^3 \) and [38] and \( a = 5.1731(1) \, \text{Å}, b = 13.9110(2) \, \text{Å}, c = 9.0515(1) \, \text{Å}, \beta = 91.484(2)\degree \), and \( V_{\text{cell}} \approx 651.20 \, \text{Å}^3 \) for \( T = 300 \) and \( 15 \, \text{K} \), respectively. The refined structural parameters at room temperature are in close agreement with the values reported earlier [25]. Moreover, \( V_{\text{cell}} \approx 658.281 \, \text{Å}^3 \) at room temperature is found to have an intermediate value between \( \text{K}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7 \) \( (721.88 \, \text{Å}^3) \), \( \text{Li}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7 \) \( (585.24 \, \text{Å}^3) \), and \( \text{Na}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7 \) \( (612.88 \, \text{Å}^3) \), as expected based on the ionic radii of \( \text{K}^+ , \text{Li}^+ \), and \( \text{Na}^{+} \) [37]. Hence, one may also expect the magnetic parameters of \( \text{KNaCuP}_2\text{O}_7 \) to have values between \( \text{K}_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7 \) and \( (\text{Li, Na})_2\text{CuP}_2\text{O}_7 \), as a change in volume brings in a change in the interatomic distances. The obtained temperature-dependent lattice parameters \( (a, b, c, \) and \( \beta \) \) and unit cell volume \( (V_{\text{cell}}) \) are plotted in Fig. 3. The lattice constants \( a, b, c \), and \( \beta \) are found to be decreasing in a systematic way, while the monoclinic angle \( \beta \) is increasing with decreasing

![FIG. 2. Powder XRD patterns (open circles) at room temperature (300 K) and 15 K for \( \text{KNaCuP}_2\text{O}_7 \). The solid line is the Rietveld fit, the vertical bars mark the expected Bragg peak positions, and the lower solid line corresponds to the difference between the observed and calculated intensities.](image-url)
temperature. These lead to a overall decrease of $V_{\text{cell}}$ with temperature.

The variation of unit cell volume with temperature can be expressed in terms of the Grüneisen ($\gamma$) ratio as $\gamma = \frac{V_{\text{cell}} \frac{dP}{dT}}{\rho_{\text{cell}}}$, where $\alpha$ is the thermal expansion coefficient, $C_v$ is the heat capacity at constant volume, $K_0$ is the bulk modulus, and $U(T)$ is the internal energy of the system [38]. Assuming both $\gamma$ and $K_0$ are independent of temperature, $V_{\text{cell}}(T)$ can be written as [39]

$$V_{\text{cell}}(T) = \gamma \frac{U(T)}{K_0} + V_0,$$

where $V_0$ is the unit cell volume at $T = 0$ K. According to the Debye model, $U(T)$ can be written as

$$U(T) = \frac{9Nk_B}{\theta_D} \left( \frac{T}{\theta_D} \right)^3 \int_0^{\frac{\theta_D}{T}} \frac{x^3}{e^x - 1} dx,$$

where $N$ is the number of atoms per unit cell, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, and $\theta_D$ is the average Debye temperature [40]. The variable $x$ inside the integration stands for the quantity $\frac{k_B T}{\hbar} \omega$, with phonon frequency $\omega$ and Planck constant $\hbar$. The fit of the experimental $V_{\text{cell}}(T)$ data by Eq. (1) is shown as a solid line in the lower panel of Fig. 3. The obtained best fit parameters are $\theta_D \approx 294$ K, $V_0 \approx 651.19$ Å$^3$, and $\frac{\gamma}{K_0} \approx 1.14 \times 10^{-4}$ Pa$^{-1}$.

**FIG. 3.** The lattice constants ($a$, $b$, and $c$), monoclinic angle ($\beta$), and unit cell volume ($V_{\text{cell}}$) are plotted as a function of temperature from 15 to 300 K. The solid line in the bottom panel represents the fit using Eq. (1).

B. Magnetization

The magnetic susceptibility $[\chi(T) \equiv M/H]$ of KNaCuP$_2$O$_7$ measured in an applied field $H = 1$ T is shown in the upper panel of Fig. 4. At high temperatures, $\chi(T)$ follows the standard paramagnetic behavior and then passes through a broad maximum at around $T_{\chi} \approx 35$ K. This broad maximum is a clear signature of the short-range ordering. At low temperatures, it shows a upturn which could be due to extrinsic paramagnetic impurities, defects, and/or uncorrelated spins at the open end of the finite chains in the powder sample [41,42]. No indication of any magnetic LRO was found down to 2 K.

The inverse susceptibility $1/\chi(T)$ is shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 4. The data in the paramagnetic regime are fitted by the Curie-Weiss (CW) law

$$\chi(T) = \chi_0 + \frac{C}{T + \theta_{\text{CW}}}.$$

Here, $\chi_0$ is the temperature-independent susceptibility, which includes Van Vleck paramagnetic susceptibility (due to open electron shells of Cu$^{2+}$ ions) and core diamagnetic susceptibility (due to the core electron shells), $C$ is the Curie constant, and $\theta_{\text{CW}}$ is the CW temperature. The fit in the temperature range $T \geq 100$ K yields the parameters $\chi_0 \approx 2.01 \times 10^{-3}$ cm$^3$/mol Cu$^{2+}$, $C \approx 0.425$ cm$^3$ K/mol Cu$^{2+}$, and $\theta_{\text{CW}} \approx 33$ K. Using the value of $C$, the effective

**FIG. 4.** Upper panel: $\chi$ vs $T$ of KNaCuP$_2$O$_7$ in an applied field of 1 T and the red solid line is the best fit using Eq. (4). The dashed line represents the impurity contribution, $\chi_{\text{imp}}(T) = \chi_0 \frac{V_{\text{imp}}}{V_{\text{cell}}}$, obtained from the fit. The spin susceptibility $\chi_{\text{spin}}(T)$ is obtained by subtracting $\chi_{\text{imp}}(T)$ from $\chi(T)$. The dashed-dotted line illustrates the QMC data with $J/k_B = 55.7$ K and $g = 2.1$. Lower panel: Inverse magnetic susceptibility ($1/\chi$) as a function of $T$ and the solid line is the Curie-Weiss fit.
The simulated data without any additional term reproduces the uniform spin-1\(\frac{1}{2}\) electron-spin-resonance (ESR) experiments on Cu\(^{2+}\) ions [45]. The Van Vleck paramagnetic susceptibility (\(\chi_{\text{VV}}\)) was estimated to be \(-3.16 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^3/\text{mol}\) by subtracting \(\chi_{\text{core}}\) from \(\chi_0\), which is very close to the value reported for other Cu\(^{2+}\)-based compounds [13,17,46].

In order to understand the spin lattice, \(\chi(T)\) was fitted by the uniform spin-\(\frac{1}{2}\) Heisenberg chain model, taking into account the temperature-independent (\(\chi_0\)) and extrinsic paramagnetic contributions. For the purpose of fitting, one can write \(\chi(T)\) as the sum of three parts,

\[
\chi(T) = \chi_0 + \frac{C_{\text{imp}}}{T + \theta_{\text{imp}}} + \chi_{\text{spin}}(T).
\]

Here, the second term accounts for the paramagnetic impurity contributions, \(\theta_{\text{imp}}\) being the interaction strength between the impurity spins and \(\chi_{\text{spin}}(T)\) represents the spin susceptibility of a spin-\(\frac{1}{2}\) uniform Heisenberg AF chain. We have used the expression of \(\chi_{\text{spin}}(T)\) given by Johnston et al. [47], which predicts the spin susceptibility accurately over a wide temperature range \(5 \times 10^{-25} \leq k_B T / J \leq 5\). Our experimental data in the whole measured temperature range were fitted well by Eq. (4), reflecting the purely 1D character of the compound. As shown in Fig. 4 (upper panel), the best fit yields the intrachain coupling \(J/k_B \approx 55.5 \text{ K}\), \(\chi_0 = 2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^3/\text{mol Cu}^{2+}\), \(C_{\text{imp}} = 0.0089 \text{ cm}^3/\text{K/mol Cu}^{2+}\), \(\theta_{\text{imp}} \approx 1.74 \text{ K}\), and Landé g-factor \(g \approx 2.1\). The value of \(C_{\text{imp}}\) corresponds to an impurity concentration of nearly \(2.1\%\), assuming impurity spins \(S = \frac{1}{2}\). A slightly larger value of \(g (>2)\) is typically observed from electron-spin-resonance (ESR) experiments on Cu\(^{2+}\)-based compounds [21].

The intrinsic \(\chi_{\text{spin}}(T)\) of KNaCuP\(_2\)O\(_7\) obtained after subtracting the temperature-independent and paramagnetic impurity contributions from \(\chi(T)\) is also shown in Fig. 4 (upper panel). We also simulated \(\chi_{\text{spin}}(T)\) using a QMC simulation considering a uniform chain model with \(J/k_B = 55.7 \text{ K}\) and \(g = 2.1\) [see Fig. 4 (upper panel)]. The simulated data without any additional term reproduce \(\chi_{\text{spin}}(T)\) perfectly in the whole temperature range. Indeed, our estimated quantities \(\chi_{\text{spin}}^\text{max} / N_A S^2 \mu_B^2 \approx 0.1464\) and \(\chi_{\text{spin}}^\text{max} T^\text{max} / g^2 \approx 0.0351 \text{ cm}^3/\text{K/mol}\) (where \(\chi_{\text{spin}} = 0.00438 \text{ cm}^3/\text{mol}\) is the maximum in \(\chi_{\text{spin}}\) at \(T^\text{max}\) in Fig. 4) are quite consistent with the theoretically predicted values \(\chi_{\text{spin}}^\text{max} / N_A S^2 \mu_B^2 = 0.146926279\) and \(\chi_{\text{spin}}^\text{max} T^\text{max} / g^2 = 0.0353229 \text{ cm}^3/\text{K/mol}\) [47,48], endorsing the 1D spin-\(\frac{1}{2}\) uniform HAF nature of the spin lattice in KNaCuP\(_2\)O\(_7\).

The magnetization isotherms (\(M vs H\)) measured at two end temperatures (\(T = 2 \text{ K}\) and 300 K) are shown in Fig. 5. For \(T = 300 \text{ K}\), \(M\) increases linearly with \(H\), as expected for typi-
with the magnetic LRO was noticed down to 2 K, consistent with the \( \chi(T) \) data. In a magnetic insulator, there are two major contributions to the specific heat: phonon excitations and a magnetic contribution. In the high-temperature region \( (T > J/k_B) \), \( C_p \) is mainly dominated by phonon excitations, whereas the magnetic part contributes only in the low-temperature region.

In the low-temperature regime, \( C_p(T) \) can be fitted by \( C_p = \gamma T + \beta T^3 \), where the cubic term accounts for the phononic contribution to the specific heat \( (C_{pb}) \) and the linear term represents the magnetic contribution to the specific heat \( (C_{mag}) \). In the inset of Fig. 6, \( C_p/T \) is plotted against \( T^2 \) which follows a linear behavior in the low-temperature regime. For a gapless spin-\( \frac{1}{2} \) 1D HAF chain, \( C_{mag}(T) \) at low temperatures is expected to be linear with temperature and the linear coefficient (\( \gamma \)) provides a measure of \( J/k_B \). From the theoretical calculations, Johnston and Klümper have predicted the relation \( \gamma_{\text{theory}} = \frac{2R}{3J/k_B} \) for low temperatures \( T < 0.2J/k_B \) [47,50]. Using the value of \( J/k_B \approx 55.5 \) K, it is calculated to be \( \gamma_{\text{theory}} \approx 0.1 \) J/mol K\(^2\) for KNaCuP\(_2\)O\(_7\). The \( C_p/T \) vs \( T^2 \) data in the temperature range \( T \ll 10 \) K were fitted by the above equation and the extracted parameters are \( \gamma_{\text{expt}} \approx 0.107 \) J/mol K\(^2\) and \( \beta \approx 0.0018 \) J/mol K\(^4\). The value of \( \gamma_{\text{expt}} \) is indeed very close to \( \gamma_{\text{theory}} \). Following the Debye model, one can write \( \beta = 12\pi^4 m R / 5 \theta_D^3 \), where \( m \) is the total number of atoms in the formula unit and \( R \) is the universal gas constant [40]. From the value of \( \beta \) the corresponding Debye temperature is estimated to be \( \theta_D \approx 235 \) K, which is close to the value obtained from the \( V_{\text{cell}} \) vs \( T \) analysis [51].

### D. NMR

NMR is an extremely powerful local tool used to investigate the static and dynamic properties of a spin system. In KNaCuP\(_2\)O\(_7\), \( \text{P} \) is coupled strongly while Na, which is located in between the chains, is coupled weakly to the Cu\(^{2+}\) ions (see Fig. 1). Therefore, one can extract information about Cu\(^{2+}\) spins by probing at the \( \text{P} \) and \( \text{Na} \) nuclear sites.

#### 1. \( ^{31}\text{P} \) NMR spectra

As presented in Fig. 7, we obtained a narrow and single spectral line at high temperatures, as expected for an \( I = 1/2 \) nucleus. The line shape is asymmetric and the central line position shifts with temperature. The asymmetric line shape reflects either asymmetry in the hyperfine coupling or anisotropic spin susceptibility. As the temperature is lowered, the linewidth also increases. Further, there are two inequivalent P sites in the crystal structure and both of them are coupled to the Cu\(^{2+}\) ions. Thus, our experimentally observed single spectral line in the whole measured temperature range implies that the local environment of both the P sites is nearly the same. Indeed, a careful analysis of the crystal structure reveals that the atomic positions of both the P sites are very close to each other. Further, no significant line broadening or change in line shape was observed down to 1.6 K, ruling out the low-temperature magnetic LRO.

![Fig. 7. Field sweep \(^{31}\text{P} \) NMR spectra of KNaCuP\(_2\)O\(_7\) at different temperatures measured in 121 MHz. The dashed line indicates the reference field position.](image-url)

**FIG. 7.** Field sweep \(^{31}\text{P} \) NMR spectra of KNaCuP\(_2\)O\(_7\) at different temperatures measured in 121 MHz. The dashed line indicates the reference field position.

#### 2. \( ^{31}\text{P} \) NMR shift

The temperature-dependent NMR shift \( [^{31}K(T)] \) extracted from the central peak position is shown in Fig. 8. Similar to \( \chi(T) \), \( ^{31}K(T) \) also passes through a broad maxima at around 40 K, a footprint of the 1D short-range correlations. The noteworthy characteristic of \( ^{31}K(T) \) is that \( ^{31}K(T) \) has a great advantage over the bulk \( \chi(T) \). At low temperature \( \chi(T) \) shows a Curie tail which originates mostly from either extrinsic paramagnetic impurities or defects in the powder sample. In contrast, the NMR shift is completely insensitive to these contributions and probes only the intrinsic spin susceptibility, as the \( ^{31}\text{P} \) nucleus is coupled only to the Cu\(^{2+}\) spins in the chain. Thus, the \( ^{31}K(T) \) data allow us to do a more accurate analysis of \( \chi_{\text{spin}} \) than \( \chi(T) \). Moreover, the effect of impurity and defect contributions appears in the form of NMR line broadening. Therefore, the linewidth as a function of temperature should follow the bulk \( \chi(T) \). One can express \( ^{31}K(T) \) in terms of \( \chi_{\text{spin}}(T) \) as

\[
^{31}K(T) = K_0 + \left( \frac{A_{\text{hf}}}{N_A k_B} \right) \chi_{\text{spin}}(T),
\]

where \( K_0 \) is the temperature-independent chemical shift and \( A_{\text{hf}} \) is the average hyperfine coupling between the \( ^{31}\text{P} \) nucleus and Cu\(^{2+}\) ions. The plot of \( ^{31}K \) vs \( \chi_{\text{spin}} \) with \( T \) as an indirect variable is shown in the lower panel of Fig. 8.
in \( \chi(T) \) [13] and at \( k_B T/J \simeq 0.015 \) in \( K(T) \) [16]. Similarly, for (Sr, Ba)\(_2\)Cu(PO\(_4\))\(_2\) \((J/k_B \simeq 160 \text{ K})\) and K\(_2\)CuP\(_2\)O\(_7\) \((J/k_B \simeq 141 \text{ K})\) the decrease in \( K(T) \) was observed below \( T \simeq 0.003J/k_B \) and 0.028J/k\(_B\), respectively [17,18]. However, in KNaCuP\(_2\)O\(_7\), \( K(T) \) attains a finite value \( \sim 1334 \text{ ppm} \) at 1.6 K, without any logarithmic decrease. Moreover, this value is found to be larger than the theoretically expected value \( K_{\text{theo}}(T = 0 \text{ K}) = K_0 + \Delta h \mu_B \gamma_H B \sim 1234 \text{ ppm}, \) taking \( J/k_B \simeq 58.7 \text{ K} \). \( K_{\text{Haf}} \simeq 2151 \text{ Oe}/\mu_B \) and \( g \simeq 2.17 \). In our case, the lowest measured temperature of 1.6 K corresponds to \( \sim 0.03J/k_B \) only. This implies that one may need to go further below 1.6 K in order to see the low-temperature decrease in \( K(T) \).

The full width at half maximum \( (31\text{FWHM}) \) of the \( 31\text{P} \) NMR spectra as a function of temperature is shown in the inset of the upper panel of Fig. 8. It displays a broad maximum at around 35 K and a Curie tail below 10 K, suggesting that \( 31\text{FWHM} \) traces the bulk \( \chi(T) \), as expected. The \( 31\text{FWHM} \) vs \( \chi \) plot (see, lower inset of Fig. 8) is quite linear above 6 K.

3. \( 31\text{P} \) spin-lattice relaxation rate \( 31\text{P}1/T_1 \)

The \( 31\text{P} \) spin-lattice relaxation rate \( 31\text{P}1/T_1 \) was measured at the field corresponding to the central peak position at each temperature. The longitudinal magnetization recoveries at three selected temperatures are shown in the upper panel of Fig. 9. As \( 31\text{P} \) is an \( I = 1/2 \) nucleus, one can fit the recoveries by a single exponential function

\[
1 - \frac{M(t)}{M(\infty)} = Ae^{-t/T_1},
\]

where \( M(t) \) is the nuclear magnetization at a time \( t \) after the saturation pulse and \( M(\infty) \) is the equilibrium \((t \to \infty)\) magnetization. Indeed, all the recovery curves could be fitted well by Eq. (6) (see the upper panel of Fig. 9) and the curves show a linearity over more than two decades when the \( y \) axis is plotted in log scale. The extracted \( 31\text{P}1/T_1 \) as a function of temperature measured at different frequencies are shown in the lower panel of Fig. 9. For the data at 121 MHz, \( 31\text{P}1/T_1 \) is almost constant for \( T > 90 \text{ K} \) which is typical due to the random movement of the paramagnetic moments [54]. As the temperature is lowered further, \( 31\text{P}1/T_1 \) decreases in a linear manner down to 20 K and then exhibits a temperature-independent behavior between 20 and 4 K. At very low temperatures \((T < 4 \text{ K})\), \( 31\text{P}1/T_1 \) increases rapidly, which indicates the slowing down of the fluctuating moments as the system approaches the magnetic LRO at \( T_N \). From the low-temperature trend of \( 31\text{P}1/T_1 \), the magnetic LRO is expected to set in at around \( T_N \approx 1 \text{ K} \).

4. \( 23\text{Na} \) NMR spectra

Since \( 23\text{Na} \) is a quadrupolar nucleus with \( I = 3/2 \), the NMR line should have three lines: the central line corresponding to \( I_z = +1/2 \leftrightarrow -1/2 \) transition and two equally spaced satellite lines corresponding to \( I_z = \pm 3/2 \leftrightarrow \pm 1/2 \) transitions on either side of the central line. The \( 23\text{Na} \) spectra as a function of temperature are presented in Fig. 10. At high temperatures, the line is very narrow and slightly asymmetric. As the temperature is lowered, the linewidth increases and two broad humps or satellites on both sides of the central line become prominent [55]. However, the overall line shape...
remains invariant down to 1.6 K. Further, the position of the central line does not shift at all with temperature, which confirms a weak hyperfine coupling of $^{23}$Na with the Cu$^{2+}$ ions due to a negligible overlap of orbitals. This also justifies why the interchain interaction via Na is so weak. The spectrum at $T = 15$ K could be fitted well with $K_{	ext{iso}} \simeq -60$ ppm (isotropic shift), $K_{\text{axial}} \simeq 20$ ppm (axial shift), $K_{\text{aniso}} \simeq 50$ ppm (anisotropic shift), $\eta = 0$ (asymmetry parameter), and $v_Q \simeq 0.57$ MHz [nuclear quadrupole resonance (NQR) frequency]. The quadrupole frequency is almost temperature independent in the whole temperature range, which essentially excludes the possibility of any structural distortion in the studied compound. The $^{23}$FWHM with temperature, obtained from the fit, is shown in the left inset of Fig. 10. It passes through a broad maximum and then shows a low-temperature Curie tail, identical to the bulk $\chi(T)$. The $^{23}$FWHM vs $\chi$ plot (see, right inset of Fig. 10) is linear above 10 K.

The longitudinal magnetization recovery curves at three selective temperatures measured on the $^{31}$P nuclei and the solid lines are fits using Eq. (6). Lower panel: $^{31}$P NMR spin-lattice relaxation rate ($^{31}1/T_1$) as a function of temperature measured in different frequencies. The $x$ axis is shown in log scale in order to highlight the features in different temperature regimes. Inset: $1/(3^K 3^{1}T_1T)$ vs $T$ for 121 MHz.

$5. ^{23}$Na spin-lattice relaxation rate $^{23}1/T_1$

$^{23}1/T_1$ was measured by irradiating the central line of the $^{23}$Na spectra, choosing an appropriate pulse width. The recovery of the longitudinal magnetization was fitted well by the following double stretch exponential function \cite{56,57}

\[
1 - \frac{M(t)}{M(\infty)} = A[0.1 \exp(-t/T_1)^{\beta} + 0.9 \exp(-6t/T_1)^{\beta}],
\]

relevant for the $^{23}$Na ($I = 3/2$) nuclei. Here, $\beta$ is the stretch exponent. The upper panel of Fig. 11 depicts recovery curves at three different temperatures. The obtained $^{23}1/T_1$ vs $T$ is shown in the lower panel of Fig. 11. The overall temperature dependence behavior of $^{23}1/T_1$ is nearly identical to that observed for $^{31}1/T_1(T)$. For $T > 150$ K, $^{23}1/T_1$ is almost temperature independent. It decreases linearly below 150 K down to 30 K and remains constant between 30 and 4 K. Below 4 K, $^{23}1/T_1$ shoots up and from the low-$T$ diverging trend one expects a peak at around $T_N \simeq 1$ K, similar to $^{31}1/T_1$. The exponent $\beta$ as a function of $T$ is presented in the inset of the upper panel of Fig. 11. The absolute value of $\beta$ varies between
FIG. 11. Longitudinal magnetization recovery curves at three selective temperatures measured on the $^{23}\text{Na}$ nuclei and the solid lines are fits using Eq. (7). Inset: The exponent $\beta$ as a function of $T$. Lower panel: $^{23}1/T_1$ as a function of $T$. Inset: The ratio of relaxation rates $^{23}1/T_1$ and $^{31}1/T_1$ vs $T$ measured at $H \simeq 7$ T.

0.63 and 0.84. Such a reduced value of $\beta$ illustrates that there could be a Na deficiency, as Na is the lightest element in the compound.

E. Electronic structure calculations

First-principles electronic structure calculations in the framework of DFT have been carried out to identify the dominant exchange paths, the various exchange couplings, and the resulting spin model. In order to get insights on the electronic structure of KNaCuP$_2$O$_7$, we have started with the non-spin-polarized calculations [see Fig. 12(a)]. Our calculations revealed O $p$ states are completely occupied while K, Na, and P states are empty, consistent with the nominal ionic formula $\text{K}^{+}+\text{Na}^{+}\text{Cu}^{2+}\text{P}^{5+}\text{O}_{7}^{2-}$, indicating Cu is in the $3d^9$ configuration. As a consequence, the Fermi level is dominated by four Cu $d$ bands arising from the four Cu atoms in the four formula unit cells of KNaCuP$_2$O$_7$ [see Fig. 12(a)]. In the local frame of reference, i.e., assuming that the Cu atom is residing at the origin and choosing the $z$ axis along the long Cu-apical O bond, the $x$ and $y$ axes along the Cu-O bonds in the basal plane, we find that these bands at the Fermi level are predominantly of Cu $d_{x^2-y^2}$ character. The band structure shows a strong dispersion parallel to the chain direction $Z-B$ and $D-Y$ but is nearly dispersionless perpendicular to the direction of the chains, indicating a strong 1D character of this system.

In order to evaluate the Cu intersite exchange strengths, we have calculated exchange interactions using the “four-state” method [58] based on the total energy of the system with few collinear spin alignments. If the magnetism in the system is fully described by the Heisenberg Hamiltonian ($\mathbf{H} = \sum_{ij} J_{ij} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j$), the energy for such a spin pair can be written as follows,

$$E = J_{12} S_1 \cdot S_2 + S_1 \cdot \mathbf{h}_1 + S_2 \cdot \mathbf{h}_2 + E_{\text{all}} + E_0,$$

FIG. 12. (a) Non-spin-polarized band dispersion along various high-symmetry directions. The inset shows the crystal field splitting. (b) Wannier function of the effective Cu $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital.
where we consider the exchange interaction \( J_{12} \) between spins at sites 1 and 2. \( h_1 = \sum_{\theta \neq 1, 2} J_{12}, h_2 = \sum_{\theta \neq 1, 2} J_{12}, E_{\text{all}} = \sum_{\theta \neq 1, 2} J_{12} S_1 \cdot S_2 \), and \( E_0 \) contains all other nonmagnetic energy contributions. The second (third) term in Eq. (8) corresponds to the coupling of the spin 1 (2) with all other spins in the unit cell excluding spin 2 (1). \( E_{\text{all}} \) takes into account the exchange couplings between all spins in the unit cell except from spins 1 and 2. The exchange interaction strength between sites 1 and 2 is obtained by considering four collinear spin states (i) \( 1 \uparrow, 2 \uparrow \), (ii) \( 1 \uparrow, 2 \downarrow \), (iii) \( 1 \downarrow, 2 \uparrow \), and (iv) \( 1 \downarrow, 2 \downarrow \) as

\[
J_{12} = \frac{E_{1\uparrow} + E_{1\downarrow} - E_{2\uparrow} - E_{2\downarrow}}{4S^2}.
\]

The first (second) suffix of energy \( (E) \) represents the spin state of site 1 (2). The estimated exchange interactions along with the corresponding Cu-Cu distances [as depicted in Fig. 1(b)] are tabulated in Table I. The NN exchange interaction is found to be the strongest one and AFM \( (J/k_B = 59 \text{ K}) \) which is in excellent agreement with the experiment. The other exchange interactions \( J' \) and \( J'' \) are abysmally small (0.1 K) and are AFM, adding interchain frustration to the system. Further, the calculated mean-field Curie-Weiss temperature \( \theta_{\text{CW}} = 29 \text{ K} \) compares well with the experiment [35].

Finally, the Cu \( d_{x^2-y^2} \) Wannier function has been plotted for KNaCuP_2O_7 in Fig. 12(b). The tails of the Cu \( d_{x^2-y^2} \) orbital are shaped according to the O \( p_x/p_y \) orbitals such that Cu \( d_{x^2-y^2} \) forms strong \( p_d \sigma \) antibonds with the O \( p_x/p_y \) tails in the basal plane. We see that the Cu-Cu hopping primarily proceeds via the oxygen. The dominant intrachain AFM exchange interaction \( J \) is mediated via the Cu-O-P-O-Cu path, while the other interchain exchange interactions are mediated via the long Cu-O bond along the apical oxygen (2.32 Å), thereby rendering them to be weak.

### IV. Discussion

We have demonstrated that KNaCuP_2O_7 is a good example of a 1D spin-\( \frac{1}{2} \) uniform HAF. KNaCuP_2O_7 formally belongs to the family of \( A_2 \)CuP_2O_7 (\( A = \text{Na}, \text{Li}, \text{K} \)) compounds, although they have different crystal structures. KNaCuP_2O_7 has a monoclinic structure with space group \( P2_1/n \) in contrast to a monoclinic unit cell with space group \( C2/c \) for (Na, Li)CuP_2O_7 and an orthorhombic unit cell with space group \( Pbnm \) for K_2CuP_2O_7 [37]. For (Na, Li)CuP_2O_7, slightly distorted CuO_4 plaquettes are corner shared with PO_4 tetrahedra, making spin chains with an intrachain exchange coupling \( J/k_B \approx 28 \text{ K} \) and magnetic LRO at \( T_N \approx 5 \text{ K} \). Here, the neighboring plaquettes are tilted toward each other by an angle of about 70° and 90° for Na and Li compounds, respectively, resulting in a buckling of the spin chains. This modulation in spin chains is responsible for a weaker intrachain coupling and magnetic LRO at a relatively high temperature. On the other hand, for K_2CuP_2O_7, the arrangement of CuO_4 plaquettes is more planar and the chains are strictly straight, which gives rise to a pronounced 1D magnetism with a larger intrachain coupling \( J/k_B \approx 141 \text{ K} \) and without any magnetic LRO down to 2 K [18]. For KNaCuP_2O_7, though the CuO_4 plaquettes are arranged in the same plane, similar to K_2CuP_2O_7, they are more distorted with four different Cu-O bond distances (\( \sim 1.932-1.987 \) Å). Further, the Cu-Cu interchain distances are slightly reduced for KNaCuP_2O_7 (\( \sim 5.6767-7.01 \) Å) compared to K_2CuP_2O_7 (\( \sim 5.879-7.388 \) Å). Because of the difference in the structural arrangements, the intrachain (NN) exchange coupling of KNaCuP_2O_7 \( (J/k_B \approx 58.7 \text{ K}) \) has an intermediate value between K_2CuP_2O_7 and (Na, Li)CuP_2O_7.

Further, the interchain couplings, which are unavoidable in experimental compounds, drive the system into a LRO state at a finite temperature. However, when the interchain couplings form a frustrated network, the ground state is modified significantly and in many cases forbids the compound from going to a LRO state. The magnetic LRO at a very low temperature \( T_N \approx 1 \text{ K} \) in KNaCuP_2O_7 evidences extremely weak as well as frustrated interchain exchange couplings. With this value of \( T_N \), the compound exhibits one-dimensionality over a large temperature range \( k_B T_N / J \approx 1.7 \times 10^{-2} \). One can tentatively estimate the average interchain coupling \( J' \) of a quasi-1D HAF chain by putting the appropriate values of \( J \) and \( T_\chi \) in the simple expression obtained from the mean-field approximation [59,60]

\[
J'/k_B = \frac{3.046T_N}{z k_A F \ln \left( \frac{5.8}{k_B T_N} \right) + 0.5 \ln \ln \left( \frac{5.8}{k_B T_N} \right)}.
\]

Here, \( k_A F \) represents the AF wave vector and \( z = 6 \) is the number of nearest-neighbor spin chains. Numerical calculations for a 3D model yield \( k_A F \approx 0.70 \). For KNaCuP_2O_7, using \( J/k_B \approx 58.7 \text{ K} \) and \( T_N \approx 1 \text{ K} \), the average interchain coupling is estimated to be \( J'/k_B = J/k_B \approx 0.28 \text{ K} \). This value is of the same order of magnitude as that obtained from the electronic structure calculations.

The spin-lattice relaxation rate \( 1/T_1 \) provides useful information on the spin dynamics or dynamic susceptibility of a spin system. It helps to access the low-energy spin excitations by probing the nearly zero-energy limit (in the momentum space) of the local spin-spin correlation function [61]. Quite generally, \( \frac{1}{T_1} \) is written in terms of the dynamic susceptibility \( \chi_M(\vec{q}, \omega_0) \) as [54]

\[
\frac{1}{T_1} = 2\frac{\gamma^2 k_B^2}{N^2_\Lambda} \sum_\vec{q} |A(\vec{q})|^2 \chi_M''(\vec{q}, \omega_0) / \omega_0,
\]

where the sum is over the wave vector \( \vec{q} \) within the first Brillouin zone, \( A(\vec{q}) \) is the form factor of the hyperfine interaction, and \( \chi_M''(\vec{q}, \omega_0) \) is the imaginary part of the dynamic

### TABLE I. Exchange parameters of KNaCuP_2O_7 obtained from DFT calculations: Cu-Cu distances \( d \) (in Å), electron hoppings \( t \) (in meV), AFM contributions to the exchange \( J_{\text{AFM}} = 4t^2/U_{\text{eff}} \) (in K), and total exchange couplings \( J_t \) (in K) from the generalized gradient approximation plus interaction term \( U \) (GGA+\( U \)) mapping procedure with \( U_{\text{eff}} = 6.5 \text{ eV} \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( d_{\text{Cu-Cu}} )</th>
<th>( t )</th>
<th>( J_{\text{AFM}} )</th>
<th>( J_t )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( J )</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( J' )</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>(-0.1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( J'' )</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>(-0.1 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
susceptibility at the nuclear Larmor frequency $\omega_0$. Thus, $1/T_1$ has contributions from both uniform ($q = 0$) and staggered ($q = \pm \pi/a$) spin fluctuations. For 1D spin-$1/2$ chains, theory predicts that the uniform component leads to $1/T_1 \propto T$ while the staggered component gives $1/T_1 \approx \text{const}$ [62,63]. Typically, $q = \pm \pi/a$ and $q = 0$ components dominate the $1/T_1$ data in the low-temperature ($T \ll J/k_B$) and high-temperature ($T \sim J/k_B$) regimes, respectively [17]. Thus, the experimentally observed linear decrease and temperature-independent behavior of $1/T_1$ in the intermediate-temperature ranges reflect the dominance of $q = 0$ and $q = \pm \pi/a$ contributions, respectively.

As discussed earlier, $^{31}$P is located symmetrically between two adjacent Cu$^{2+}$ ions along the chain. Similarly, $^{23}$Na is coupled, though weakly, to four Cu$^{2+}$ ions from three neighboring chains. Therefore, the staggered components of the hyperfine fields from the neighboring Cu$^{2+}$ ions are expected to be canceled out at both the $^{31}$P and $^{23}$Na sites. Accordingly, one should be able to probe the low-energy spin excitations corresponding to the $q = 0$ mode separately from the staggered $q = \pm \pi/a$ mode. However, in our case, there is still a significant contribution from $q = \pm \pi/a$ which dominates the low-temperature $1/T_1$ data. One possible source of the remnant staggered fluctuations could be the unequal hyperfine couplings arising due to the low symmetry of the crystal structure. Further, the linear and constant temperature regimes are found to be different for $^{31}/T_1$ and $^{23}/T_1$, which is likely due to a subtle difference in the hyperfine form factors associated with the $^{31}$P and $^{23}$Na nuclei. In Eq. (11) for $q = 0$ and $\omega_0 = 0$, the real component of $\chi_H(q, \omega_0)$ represents the static susceptibility $\chi$ (or $K$). Therefore, $1/(\chi T_1)$ should be temperature independent. As shown in the inset of the lower panel of Fig. 9, $1/(K^{31} T_1)$ indeed demonstrates the dominant contribution of $\chi$ to $1/(K^{31} T_1)$. However, a slight increase in $1/(K^{31} T_1)$ below $\sim 5$ K indicates the growth of AF correlations with decreasing $T$. Moreover, when the ratio of $^{23}/T_1$ at 79 MHz ($H \approx 7.0147$ T) and $^{31}/T_1$ at 121 MHz ($H \approx 7.0203$ T) is plotted against temperature (see the inset of the lower panel of Fig. 11), it results in an almost constant value above $\sim 40$ K and then increases rapidly towards low temperatures.

In order to detect the effect of an external magnetic field on the spin dynamics, we have measured $^{31}/T_1$ vs $T$ at different frequencies/fields. As seen in the lower panel of Fig. 9, $^{31}/T_1$ shows a strong frequency dependency in the high-temperature regime and the absolute value of $^{31}/T_1$ decreases with an increase in frequency. This difference is narrowed down as the temperature is lowered, and below about 20 K, the data sets in different frequencies overlap with each other. It is established that the long-wavelength ($q \sim 0$) spin fluctuations in a Heisenberg magnet often show diffusive dynamics. In 1D spin chains, such a spin diffusion leads to a $1/\sqrt{H}$ field dependence of $^{31}/T_1$ [64,65]. Thus, the strong field dependency of $^{31}/T_1$ at high temperatures appears to be due to the effect of spin diffusion where long-wavelength $q = 0$ fluctuations dominate. Moreover, the weak field dependency of $^{31}/T_1$ at low temperatures also reflects that the relaxation is dominated by the staggered ($q = \pm \pi/a$) fluctuations below 20 K.

![Diagram](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**FIG. 13.** Upper panel: $^{31}$P NMR spin-lattice relaxation rate ($^{31}/T_1$) as a function of applied magnetic field ($\mu_0 H$) at $T = 80$, 125, and 200 K. The solid lines are the fits using $1/T_1 = a + b/\sqrt{\mu_0 H}$. Inset: $^{31}/T_1$ vs $1/\sqrt{\mu_0 H}$. Lower panel: Temperature dependence of $D_s$ deduced from $^{31}/T_1$. The solid line is the fit using $D_s \propto 1/T^2$. The classically expected value at high temperatures is also shown as a dashed line.

The contribution of spin diffusion to $1/T_1$ can be written as [15,16,66]

$$
\frac{1}{T_1^{\text{diff}}(q)} = \frac{A^2_{\text{eff}}(q = 0)\gamma^2_{\text{p}} k_B \chi(T, q = 0)}{\mu_0^2 \sqrt{2\mu_0 D_s H/\hbar}},
$$

(12)

where $D_s$ is the spin-diffusion constant. Thus, the slope of the linear $^{31}/T_1$ vs $1/\sqrt{H}$ plot at a fixed temperature should yield $D_s$. In the upper panel of Fig. 13, we have plotted $^{31}/T_1$ vs $H$ for three different temperatures ($T = 80$, 125, and 200 K) which are fitted by $1/T_1 = a + b/\sqrt{\mu_0 H}$, where $a$ and $b$ are the constants. To magnify the linear behavior, $^{31}/T_1$ is plotted against $1/\sqrt{\mu_0 H}$ in the inset of the upper panel of Fig. 13. Using the value of $\chi(T)$ obtained from the NMR shift measurement and the slope ($b$) in Eq. (12), the diffusion constant at each temperature is determined. The temperature dependence of $D_s$ deduced from $^{31}/T_1$ is presented in the lower panel of Fig. 13. It increases moderately with decreasing temperature, as expected in the region dominated by the $q = 0$ fluctuations. The value of $D_s$ in high temperatures ($T > 100$ K) is of the same order as the classically expected value, $D_c = (J/\hbar)\sqrt{2\pi S(S+1)/3} = 9.64 \times 10^{12}$ s$^{-1}$ [66]. This is indeed consistent with the previous reports on other Heisenberg spin-chain compounds [15,65,67,68]. Further, the temperature-dependent $D_s$ could be fitted by $D_s \sim \cdots$
1/2, similar to 17O NMR in Sr$_2$CuO$_3$ [16]. However, it is not clear whether such a behavior of $D_s(T)$ can be accounted for by the 1D spin-1/2 chain model.

V. CONCLUSION

Our results demonstrate that KNaCuP$_2$O$_7$ is an excellent 1D spin-1/2 HAF model system with a nearest-neighbor only exchange. The magnetic susceptibility, magnetization isotherm, and 31P NMR shift data show good agreement with the theoretical predictions for a 1D spin-1/2 HAF chain with intrachain coupling $J/k_B \simeq 58.7$ K. The value of intrachain coupling is further confirmed from the complementary electronic structure calculations and the subsequent QMC simulations. From the 31K vs $\chi_{\text{spin}}$ plot, the hyperfine coupling of 31P with the Cu$^{2+}$ ion is estimated to be $31A_{\text{hf}} \simeq 2151.2$ Oe/μB. The presence of magnetic LRO at a very low temperature provides evidence of extremely weak and frustrated interchain couplings and one-dimensionality over a large temperature range $k_BT_s/J \simeq 1.7 \times 10^{-2}$. The moderate value of the exchange coupling allowed us to access the spin excitations of the spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain at both low- and high-temperature limits. The change of slope in $31/1/T_s(T)$ and $23/1/T_s(T)$ at around $T \sim 20–30$ K explains the crossover regime of the dominant contributions from the uniform $(q=0)$ and staggered $(q = \pm \pi/\sigma)$ spin fluctuations. Our results also established that the dynamic spin susceptibility has a strong diffusive contribution at high temperatures. However, the nature of the temperature-dependent diffusion constant $D_s$ is not yet understood.
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