

2016

## Near Infrared Spectroscopy (NIRS) Calibrations for Moisture in High Moisture Corn Co-Products

Camille Vernieres  
*Iowa State University*

Charles R. Hurburgh  
*Iowa State University, tatry@iastate.edu*

Glen Rippke  
*Iowa State University, rippke@iastate.edu*

Connie L. Hardy  
*Iowa State University, chardy@iastate.edu*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ans\\_air](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ans_air)



Part of the [Agriculture Commons](#), [Beef Science Commons](#), and the [Bioresource and Agricultural Engineering Commons](#)

### Recommended Citation

Vernieres, Camille; Hurburgh, Charles R.; Rippke, Glen; and Hardy, Connie L. (2016) "Near Infrared Spectroscopy (NIRS) Calibrations for Moisture in High Moisture Corn Co-Products," *Animal Industry Report*. AS 662, ASL R3061.

DOI: [https://doi.org/10.31274/ans\\_air-180814-587](https://doi.org/10.31274/ans_air-180814-587)

Available at: [https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ans\\_air/vol662/iss1/22](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ans_air/vol662/iss1/22)

This Beef is brought to you for free and open access by the Animal Science Research Reports at Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Animal Industry Report by an authorized editor of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact [digirep@iastate.edu](mailto:digirep@iastate.edu).

# Near Infrared Spectroscopy (NIRS) Calibrations for Moisture in High Moisture Corn Co-Products

## A.S. Leaflet R3061

Camille Vernieres, Summer Intern; Charles Hurburgh, Professor; Glen Rippke, Laboratory Manager, Agricultural Engineering Department, Iowa State University;  
Connie Hardy, Extension Specialist, Value Added Agriculture Program, Iowa State University

### Summary and Implications

Corn co-products from the ethanol industry have become a common feed ingredient in Midwest feedlot diets. However, nutrient composition, especially moisture, can vary by as much as 15% from load to load even from the same ethanol plant. Knowing the exact moisture content of the co-product is advantageous for proper ration formulation and cattle efficiency. This study demonstrates that near infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) technology shows promise for rapid, accurate assessment of moisture in wet corn co-products.

### Introduction

In 2013, 40% of the total distillers grains from dry grind ethanol fermentation were classified as either Wet Distillers Grains (WDG) or Modified Distillers Grains with

solubles (MDGS) MDGS has syrup added back. The wet coproduct of corn wet milling is Wet Corn Gluten Feed (WCGF). New processes have emerged to extract oil and fiber from these co-products, so that when livestock producers receive these feedstuffs, composition is uncertain. Especially moisture (and therefore dry matter) varies widely from lot to lot.



This study was done to determine if NIRS (near infrared spectroscopy) instruments could rapidly predict moisture values, to make adjustments for the ration on the day that the feed is delivered rather than waiting to get laboratories' results. Unexpected ration errors can upset the performance and the health of the animals.

Moisture values also allow the cattle feeder to estimate the shelf life of the product and how to mix the distillers grains in order to store it. This is important to avoid spoilage, which can be injurious for the health of the cowherd.

There are several reasons to use wet co-products. The cost of the dry distillers grains is higher per unit of net energy. Wet distillers grains have 150% net energy value on a dry matter basis of the corn grain, while the dried distillers grains have 110%, also on a dry matter basis. Balancing dry matter in rations depends on accurate moisture tests.

## Materials and Methods

*Calibration and validation of the instruments (n=60 samples calibration, 22 samples validation)*



- 1) MDGS (Modified Distiller Grains with Solubles) averaged 55 % moisture (45%DM) with a range of 40 to 60% DM
- 2) WDGS (Wet Distillers Grains) averaged 65% moisture (35%DM) with a range of 32 to 40% DM
- 3) WCGF (Wet Corn Gluten Feed) averaged 56% moisture (44%DM) with a range of 41 to 47% DM



Samples were scanned in 8 NIR instruments. The spectra were compared with the reference values (American Feed

Ingredients Association reference method; 5 g dried for 2 hr at 105C). Data were analyzed in the software

# Iowa State University Animal Industry Report 2016

---


Unscrambler X (Camo, Oslo, Norway) with partial least squares regression. At the end, an equation was obtained to make moisture prediction with each instruments. In order to verify the accuracy of the prediction, calibration was validated on new samples.

New samples were analyzed by the instruments with the new calibration models installed. If the amount of error is acceptable relative to the calibration error, the calibration is validated.

## Instruments



Zeiss, Corona extreme Diode Array



Bruker, Matrix-I FT-NIR



Unity Scientific, SpectraStar XL Monochromator



Foss, DS2500 Monochromator



Bruins Instruments, AgriCheck Monochromator



Perten DA 7200

## Bench-top units-----



JDSU, MicroNIR Pro 1700 Diode array



Thermo Scientific, MicroPhazir AG, MEMS

## Portable Units-----

Instruments are referred to in the results by number. Numbers were assigned randomly, not in the order of the pictures above. Some units did not use all the

validation samples because some samples were too small for them.

Instruments	CALIBRATION n=60; 40%-70%		VALIDATION n=22; 48%-70%	
	R-Square	SECV (Standard error of cross-validation)	SEP ; RMSEP (Standard error of prediction) (Root mean square of the standard error of prediction)	
1 Bench	0.97	1.20	0.67 ; 0.63	
3 Bench	0.97	1.25	0.95 ; 0.68	
5 Bench	0.99	0.62	0.37 ; 0.35	
6 Bench	0.97	1.26	0.93 ; 0.87	
7 Bench	0.98	0.85	1.01 ; 1.05	
8 Bench	0.98	0.98	0.61 ; 0.63	
2 Portable	0.97	1.23	0.83 ; 0.84	
4 Portable	0.98	1.03	0.66 ; 0.66	



**Results**

If the SECV and SEP are nearly equal, or the SEP is less than SECV, the calibration passes independent validation. In all but one case, 7, this is true.





If the SEP and RMSEP are close to each other, there is no slope in the calibration. In all but one case, 3, this is true. The portable units had similar statistics to the average of the benchtop units. One benchtop unit, 5, performed significantly better than all other units.



Results of this study suggest that NIRS units show great promise for rapid analysis of wet corn co-products. In general, the NIRS units were able to measure moisture in a combination of wet corn co-products to an accuracy of about +/- 1% point. However, more samples (~60 more) are needed to create a complete calibration for ongoing use.

Validation charts for the individual units are below, first for the 6 benchtop units, then for the two portable units.



# Iowa State University Animal Industry Report 2016





**Contacts for this project:**

Iowa Grain Quality Initiative

- Charles R. Hurburgh, Jr, Professor in charge:  
[tatry@iastate.edu](mailto:tatry@iastate.edu)
- Glen R. Rippke, Laboratory Manager:  
[rippke@iastate.edu](mailto:rippke@iastate.edu)

Iowa Beef Center

- Daniel D. Loy, Director of Animal Science:  
[dloy@iastate.edu](mailto:dloy@iastate.edu)
- Erika L. Lundy, Extension Program Specialist:  
[ellundy@iastate.edu](mailto:ellundy@iastate.edu)