

10-2-2015

Improved quantification of livestock associated odorous volatile organic compounds in a standard flow-through system using solid-phase microextraction and gas chromatography–mass spectrometry

Xiuyan Yang
Iowa State University

Wenda Zhu
Iowa State University


Jacek A. Koziel
Iowa State University, koziel@iastate.edu

Lingshuang Cai
Iowa State University

William S. Jenks
Iowa State University, wsjenks@iastate.edu

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/abe_eng_pubs

 Part of the [Agriculture Commons](#), [Bioresource and Agricultural Engineering Commons](#), and the [Organic Chemistry Commons](#)

The complete bibliographic information for this item can be found at https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/abe_eng_pubs/910. For information on how to cite this item, please visit <http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/howtocite.html>.

Improved quantification of livestock associated odorous volatile organic compounds in a standard flow-through system using solid-phase microextraction and gas chromatography–mass spectrometry

Abstract

Aerial emissions of odorous volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are an important nuisance factor from livestock production systems. Reliable air sampling and analysis methods are needed to develop and test odor mitigation technologies. Quantification of VOCs responsible for livestock odor remains an analytical challenge due to physicochemical properties of VOCs and the requirement for low detection thresholds. A new air sampling and analysis method was developed for testing of odor/VOCs mitigation in simulated livestock emissions system. A flow-through standard gas generating system simulating odorous VOCs in livestock barn emissions was built on laboratory scale and tested to continuously generate ten odorous VOCs commonly defining livestock odor. Standard VOCs included sulfur VOCs (S-VOCs), volatile fatty acids (VFAs), and p-cresol. Solid-phase microextraction (SPME) was optimized for sampling of diluted odorous gas mixtures in the moving air followed by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis. CAR/PDMS 85 μm fiber was shown to have the best sensitivity for the target odorous VOCs. A practical 5-min sampling time was selected to ensure optimal extraction of VFAs and p-cresol, as well as minimum displacement of S-VOCs. Method detection limits ranged from 0.39 to 2.64 ppbv for S-VOCs, 0.23 to 0.77 ppbv for VFAs, and 0.31 ppbv for p-cresol. The method developed was applied to quantify VOCs and odorous VOC mitigation with UV light treatment. The measured concentrations ranged from 20.1 to 815 ppbv for S-VOCs, 10.3 to 315 ppbv for VFAs, and 4.73 to 417 ppbv for p-cresol. Relative standard deviations between replicates ranged from 0.67% to 12.9%, 0.50% to 11.4%, 0.83% to 5.14% for S-VOCs, VFAs, and p-cresol, respectively. This research shows that a simple manual SPME sampler could be used successfully for quantification of important classes of odorous VOCs at concentrations relevant for real aerial emissions from livestock operations.

Keywords

VOCs, SPME, GC-MS, odor, air sampling, standard gas generation system

Disciplines

Agriculture | Bioresource and Agricultural Engineering | Organic Chemistry

Comments

This article is published as Yang, Xiuyan, Wenda Zhu, Jacek A. Koziel, Lingshuang Cai, William S. Jenks, Yael Laor, J. Hans van Leeuwen, and Steven J. Hoff. "Improved quantification of livestock associated odorous volatile organic compounds in a standard flow-through system using solid-phase microextraction and gas chromatography–mass spectrometry." *Journal of Chromatography A* 1414 (2015): 31-40. DOI: [10.1016/j.chroma.2015.08.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2015.08.034). Posted with permission.

Creative Commons License



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Authors

Xiuyan Yang, Wenda Zhu, Jacek A. Koziel, Lingshuang Cai, William S. Jenks, Yael Laor, Johannes van Leeuwen, and Steven J. Hoff

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

Improved quantification of livestock associated odorous volatile organic compounds in a standard flow-through system using solid-phase microextraction and gas chromatography - mass spectrometry

Xiuyan Yang¹, Wenda Zhu^{1,2}, Jacek A. Koziel^{1*,3,4}, Lingshuang Cai¹, William S. Jenks⁵, Yael Laor⁶, J. (Hans) van Leeuwen^{3,1,4}, Steven J. Hoff¹,

¹ Department of Agricultural & Biosystems Engineering, Iowa State University, USA

² Interdepartmental Toxicology Program, Iowa State University, USA

³ Department of Civil, Construction & Environmental Engineering, Iowa State University, USA

⁴ Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition, Iowa State University, USA

⁵ Department of Chemistry, Iowa State University, USA

⁶ Agricultural Research Organization, Institute of Soil, Water and Environmental Sciences, Newe Ya'ar Research Center, Ramat-Yishay, Israel

* Corresponding author: tel.: 515-294-4206, fax: 515-294-4250, koziel@iastate.edu

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

Abstract. Aerial emissions of odorous volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are an important nuisance factor from livestock production systems. Reliable air sampling and analysis methods are needed to develop and test odor mitigation technologies. Quantification of VOCs responsible for livestock odor remains an analytical challenge due to physicochemical properties of VOCs and the requirement for low detection thresholds. A new air sampling and analysis method was developed for testing of odor/VOCs mitigation in simulated livestock emissions system. A flow-through standard gas generating system simulating odorous VOCs in livestock barn emissions was built on laboratory scale and tested to continuously generate ten odorous VOCs commonly defining livestock odor. Standard VOCs included sulfur VOCs (S-VOCs), volatile fatty acids (VFAs), and *p*-cresol. Solid-phase microextraction (SPME) was optimized for sampling of diluted odorous gas mixtures in the moving air followed by gas-chromatography mass-spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis. CAR/PDMS 85 μm fiber was shown to have the best sensitivity for the target odorous VOCs. A practical 5-min sampling time was selected to ensure maximum extraction of VFAs and *p*-cresol, as well as minimum displacement of S-VOCs. Method detection limits ranged from 0.392 to 2.64 ppbv for S-VOCs, 0.233 to 0.767 ppbv for VFAs, and 0.308 ppbv for *p*-cresol. The method developed was applied to quantify VOCs and odorous VOC mitigation with UV light treatment. The measured concentrations ranged from 20.1 to 815 ppbv for S-VOCs, 10.3 to 315 ppbv for VFAs and 4.73 to 417 ppbv for *p*-cresol. Relative standard deviations between replicates ranged from 0.67% to 12.9%, 0.50% to 11.4%, 0.83% to 5.14% for S-VOCs, VFAs and *p*-cresol, respectively. This research shows that a simple manual SPME sampler could be used successfully for quantification of important classes of odorous VOCs at concentrations relevant for real aerial emissions from livestock operations.

Key words: VOCs, SPME, GC-MS, odor, air sampling, standard gas generation system

45 1. Introduction

46 Worldwide proliferation of intensive large-scale livestock production systems has focused
47 the attention on aerial emissions of odor, VOCs, NH₃, H₂S, and bioaerosols, including
48 pathogens [1]. Livestock air emissions are a complex mixture of very dilute odorous VOCs,
49 among which several key volatile organic compounds (VOCs and semi-VOCs) were found to
50 be responsible for odor nuisance [2-8]. Previous studies reported three main categories of
51 chemicals as the key odorants from swine operations, i.e., sulfur-containing VOCs (S-VOCs),
52 volatile fatty acids (VFAs), and phenolics/indoles [2,8]. Ammonia, which is characterized by
53 relatively higher odor threshold compared to most of these VOCs, and typically present at
54 higher concentrations, may or may not correlate with odor concentrations [9]. Hydrogen
55 sulfide and methanethiol were reported to represent 70 to 97% of the total sulfuric gases and
56 volatiles in manure [10]. The most dominant sulfuric gases and volatiles in cattle manure were
57 found to be hydrogen sulfide (39%), methanethiol (34%) and dimethyl sulfide (21%) [11].
58 VFAs were reported to be major odorants for emissions associated with animal production
59 systems, more specifically, about 60% of total VFAs in manure were present as acetic acid,
60 followed by propanoic acid, butyric acid, isobutyric acid and isovaleric acid [12-14]. Bulliner et
61 al. [2] reported *p*-cresol as the key compound responsible for the characteristic smell of swine
62 odor. It is generally accepted that the key odorous VOCs responsible for livestock odor
63 typically present at very low levels (ppbv to pptv).

64 Quantification of odorous VOCs from livestock operations is necessary in order to develop
65 and test various odor mitigation technologies. However, there are challenges in quantifying
66 target odorous VOCs because of their low concentrations (typically in the ppbv range) and the
67 extremely low odor threshold of some of these compounds (which can be in the pptv range).
68 Moreover, the majority of odorous VOCs are present at such trace levels in a complex matrix
69 of odor-insignificant volatiles.

70 Several studies reported analytical detection limits of livestock odorants (Table 1).
71 However, most of these were done in a static system; fewer studies aimed at quantifying
72 VOCs in livestock air applying flow-through systems [15]. Moreover, in most studies
73 summarized in Table 1, samples were stored in a polymeric bag (e.g. Tedlar) or a metal
74 canister [19]. Such storage devices were reported to suffer from sample contamination and
75 sample loss [26]. Finally, most of reported studies focused on a few target compounds, such

76 as S-VOCs or VFAs only.

77 Notably, human odor detection threshold of target VOCs selected in the present study
78 were reported at very low concentrations, mostly below 4 ppbv except acetic (145 ppbv) and
79 propanoic acid (35.5 ppbv), as shown in Table 2. To fulfill the experimental needs, a system
80 capable of producing gas mixtures at such low concentrations is required and an appropriate
81 sampling and analytical method has to be established to achieve method detection limits
82 (MDLs) as low as possible.

83 A method for sampling and analysis of odorous VOCs in moving air simulating
84 concentrations present in exhaust air of livestock barns was optimized in this study. This
85 method is based on solid-phase microextraction (SPME) coupled with gas chromatography-
86 mass spectrometry (SPME-GC-MS). A mixture of 10 standard odorous VOCs was used to
87 simulate air emissions of livestock barns. As an illustration of the application of this analytical
88 method, the simulating gas mixture was treated in a flow-through reactor with UV light, thus
89 lowering concentrations further and challenging the method for residual concentrations as
90 well.

91 **2. Materials and methods**

92 **2.1. Standards and reagents**

93 HPLC-grade standards of S-VOCs, VFAs and *p*-cresol were purchased from Sigma-
94 Aldrich (Milwaukee, WI).

95 **2.2. Standard gas generation system**

96 A standard gas generation system (SGG; Fig. 1) was built to generate mixtures of
97 VOCs/H₂S at concentrations typical to air emissions from livestock barns. Chemicals used
98 included H₂S and S-VOCs (methyl mercaptan, ethyl mercaptan, butyl mercaptan and dimethyl
99 sulfide (DMS)), VFAs (acetic, propanoic, butyric and isovaleric acid), and a phenolic
100 compound (*p*-cresol). These target compounds are generally liquids at room temperature;
101 thus permeation tubes were used. Each chemical was generated by one permeation tube
102 (made and calibrated in-house or purchased from KIN-TEK™ Laboratories (La Marque, TX,
103 USA)). All permeation tubes were made from Teflon. The permeation is a process of the gas

104 dissolving into the Teflon wall and evaporating from the outer surface, which is highly
105 sensitive to temperature. The emission rate of each permeation tube was controlled by
106 temperature [31,32].

107 Standard gas concentrations of each compound were calculated based on the emission
108 rate (E) of the permeation tube, which was determined by equation 1,

$$109 \quad E = \frac{\Delta m}{t} \quad (1)$$

110 Where E (ng/min) is the emission rate of each compound, Δm (ng) is the average mass loss
111 between two weighing times, and t (min) is the permeation period. The concentration of each
112 compound was estimated using equation 2,

$$113 \quad C_{gas} = \frac{E}{Q} \quad (2)$$

114 Where C_{gas} is the concentration of compound of interest (ng/mL), Q is air flow rate in the
115 system (mL/min).

116

117 To be comparable with most literature data, gas concentration were converted to
118 volume concentration by equation 3,

$$119 \quad C_{ppm} = C_{gas} \times \frac{R \times T}{MW \times P} \quad (3)$$

120 Where C_{ppm} is gas concentration in parts per million (ppmv), R is ideal gas law constant, $R=$
121 $8.314 \text{ (m}^3 \text{ Pa K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}\text{)}$, P and T are atmospheric pressure ($P=101.32 \text{ kPa}$ under atmospheric
122 conditions) and temperature (K), respectively, and MW is the molecular weight of each
123 compound (g/mol). Since experimental conditions were normalized to $T=298 \text{ (K)}$ ($25 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$), and
124 $P=101.32 \text{ (kPa)}$. Equation 3 can be simplified to equation 4

$$125 \quad C_{ppm} = C_{gas} \times \frac{8.314 \times 298}{MW \times 101.32} = 24.4 \times \frac{C_{gas}}{MW} \quad (4)$$

126 Where C_{gas} was gas concentration in ng/mL calculated from Eq. 2.

127 Under constant temperature, different gas concentrations could be achieved by changing
128 the airflow, according to Equation (2). Successful generation of constant VOCs (VFAs and
129 phenolics) emissions at trace levels deploying the permeation tube technology was reported
130 previously [35].

131 Differing concentrations were achieved by changing the air flow rate, i.e., the maximum
132 concentration corresponding to 300 mL/min of air flow and the minimum concentration
133 corresponding to 5000 mL/min (Table 2). The carrier gas was 99.995% pure air (pure oxygen
134 or pure nitrogen are optional carrier gases based on experimental needs). These
135 concentrations were controlled precisely using mass flow controllers (Aalborg, Orangeburg,
136 NY). The stability of generating consistent standard gas was checked by running gas samples
137 daily (n=3) and continuously for 44 days. Stability was validated, as the deviation between
138 days within the experimental period for all target analytes was small (<10%). A summary of
139 gas concentrations and physicochemical properties for all target compounds is presented in
140 Table 2.

141 This system successfully simulated the continuous emissions of VOCs from livestock
142 operations at their typical ranges of concentrations [16]. Gas concentrations generated fell
143 into or were very close to the typical range of odorant concentrations emitted from livestock
144 swine facilities in North Carolina (0.075 mg/m³ (30.5 ppbv) for acetic acid, 0.04 mg/m³ (13.2
145 ppbv) for propanoic acid, 0.22 mg/m³ (60.9 ppbv) for butyric acid and 0.015 mg/m³ (4.15
146 ppbv) for isobutyric acid; 0.041 mg/m³ (9.25 ppbv) for *p*-cresol) as reported by Schiffman et.
147 al. [22]. Emissions of *p*-cresol from dairy farms was reported to be in the range of 0.6~100
148 µg·m⁻³ [23] which can be converted to 0.14~23.8 ppbv, assuming atmospheric conditions. Not
149 much information about measured concentrations of sulfur VOCs was found in the literature,
150 probably because field concentrations were below their detection threshold [22], while a range
151 of 0.064-0.927 ppbv was reported for dimethyl sulfide emitted from a slurry wastewater
152 lagoon [18].

153 **2.3. Headspace solid phase microextraction (HS-SPME)**

154 All HS-SPME extractions were performed with a SPME fiber coupled with a manual holder
155 from Supelco (Bellefonte, PA, USA). Before use, each fiber was conditioned in a heated GC
156 splitless injection port at 260 °C under helium flow. After conditioning, SPME fiber was quickly
157 moved to the sampling ports to perform extractions as required. Once air samples were
158 collected, the SPME fiber was removed and immediately transferred to the injection port of
159 the GC for analysis. The desorption time of SPME fiber was set to 10 min at 260 °C. All
160 SPME extractions were completed at constant temperature (see section 2.5). The sampling
161 time was optimized (described in section 2.6).

162 **2.4. SPME fiber selection**

163 Four SPME fiber coatings, Carboxen/polydimethylsiloxane (CAR/PDMS) 85 μm ,
164 PDMS/divinylbenzene (DVB) 65 μm , polyacrylate (PA) 85 μm and PDMS 100 μm were
165 examined in this work to select a fiber coating with the best extraction efficiency on target
166 VOCs. All samples were taken in triplicate at 25 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ from SGG by headspace SPME fiber.
167 Carrier air was dry. Gas flow rate was set constant at 300 mL/min.

168 Fiber selection was conducted for standard odorous gases in the SGG to select the
169 SPME coating with best trapping capacity of target analytes. This part was done within 48 h
170 with constant airflows and temperature (constant gas concentrations) in the SGG. Three
171 replicated samples were taken continuously for each fiber coating. The sampling time was 5
172 min (Section 2.6).

173 **2.5. Sampling time optimization**

174 Out of the four fiber coatings, CAR/PDMS 85 μm was chosen for the optimization of
175 sampling time. Sampling times of 1, 3, 5, and 10 min were examined for standard odorous
176 gases in the SGG with triplicates. Partitioning coefficient, molecular size and boiling point are
177 considered important factors influencing equilibration time [37]. Since the CAR/PDMS phase
178 is adsorptive [38], sampling time was optimized by selecting the longest extraction time before
179 fiber sorptive capacity limits the rate of analyte extraction.

180 **2.6. Method application to photoreactor**

181 The developed method was challenged by applying it to odor mitigation technology by means
182 of a photoreactor. The effluent from the SGG (Section 2.2) was fed to a flow through chamber
183 which was used as the photoreactor using variable numbers of low-pressure Hg lamps
184 (principle output at 254 nm, with other characteristic bands at 185, 312 and 365 nm). The
185 reactor contained TiO_2 as photocatalyst, and included a temperature control sensor, and an
186 on/off switch. When the UV source was on, photodegradation of gases was induced. When the
187 UV light was off, the photoreactor functioned simply as a flow through cell. More details about
188 the UV photoreactor are described in Yang et al. [36]. Sampling ports were located before and
189 after the photoreactor to allow sampling of untreated and treated flow of standard gas mixtures

190 (shown in Fig. 1). All samples were taken in triplicates, while six replicates were used for method
191 validation.

192 **2.7. Analytical methods**

193 *2.7.1 Chemical and odor analysis: GC-MS*

194 A conventional GC–MS (Agilent 6890N GC/5973 MS from Agilent, Wilmington, DE, USA)
195 was utilized in this study. A non-polar pre-column and a polar column were installed in series
196 in the system. All samples were analyzed by the system under the following configuration
197 conditions: injector 260 °C; FID, 280 °C, column oven, 40 °C initial, 3 min hold, 7 °C /min,
198 220 °C final, 10 min hold. Carrier gas was helium. Mass (molecular weight)-to-charge ratio
199 (m/z) range was set between 33 and 280. Spectra were collected at 6 s and electron
200 multiplier voltage was set to 1000 V. The MS detector was auto-tuned weekly.

201 Target compounds in this work were sampled and run on to GC-MS for analysis. Retention
202 time (RT) was determined for each compound. To improve accuracy, single ion mode (SIM)
203 was used when identification of compounds was not required. Identification was needed for
204 the treated gases, and compounds were positively identified by two criteria: (1) the retention
205 time on the GC capillary column, and (2) the match between the mass spectra of analyte and
206 standard spectra in MS library from Bench-Top/PBM (from Palisade Mass Spectrometry,
207 Ithaca, NY, USA). VOC abundance was measured as area counts under the MS peak.

208 *2.7.2 Linearity, repeatability and method detection limit (MDL)*

209 The new method repeatability was estimated at different standard gas concentrations by
210 varying air flow rate in SGG, including five levels for sulfur VOCs, nine for VFAs, and eleven
211 for *p*-cresol. All tests were conducted in triplicate, except at air flow of 500 mL/min (conducted
212 in 7 replicates), when MDL was estimated. The quantification of target VOCs was completed
213 by establishing calibration curves deploying the standard gas concentrations. The
214 repeatability and the calibration curves were studied under the optimized SPME conditions.
215 All extractions were done under experimental conditions of 25 °C, dry air, 5 min sampling time
216 and using CAR/PDMS 85 µm fiber. Precisely controlled air flow varied from 300 to 2300
217 mL/min. Data were analyzed and compared using means and relative standard deviations

218 (RSDs).. MDL was calculated based on the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
219 methodology [40]. The MDLs were defined as the minimum concentration of a substance that
220 can be measured and reported with 95% confidence when the analyte concentration is
221 greater than zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix containing the
222 analyte. The MDLs for target compounds were estimated using equation 5,

$$223 \quad \text{MDL} = s \times t_{(n-1, 1-\alpha)} \quad (5)$$

224 where n = number of replicates. Replicates with standard analytes at concentration 1–5 times
225 greater than the estimated MDL were generated from the SGG system; s = standard deviation
226 of measured concentrations of n spike determinations, t = Student's t -value at $n-1$ degree of
227 freedom and $1-\alpha$ (equals to 95%) confidence level. In this work, $n=7$ replicates (t -value=2.57)
228 for p -cresol and $n= 6$ replicates (t -value=2.45) for all other target VOCs.

229 *2.7.3 Statistical analysis*

230 Detection limit and repeatability data were analyzed using the statistical package JMP v.
231 10.0.0 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC). Data were subject to a one-way analysis of variance
232 (ANOVA). Correlation coefficients of the calibration curves and p -values between sample
233 extractions with different fibers were calculated with Microsoft Excel.

234 **3. Results and discussion**

235 *3.1 SPME fiber selection*

236 Comparison of extraction efficiency of target VOCs for CAR/ PDMS 85 μm , PDMS/DVB 65
237 μm , PA 85 μm and PDMS 100 μm SPME coatings is illustrated as MS detector response for
238 each compound in Fig. 2 (Table S1, Supplemental Material). All the four SPME fiber coatings
239 performed sufficiently effective extraction on all selected VOCs except of sulfur compounds.
240 More effective extraction was observed using CAR/PDMS 85 μm and PA 85 μm fiber coatings
241 than the other two for all target compounds, except for p -cresol, for which PDMS/DVB 65 μm
242 was superior. Comparison between the mixed phase coating CAR/PDMS 85 μm and the
243 single phase coating PA 85 μm indicated that CAR/PDMS 85 μm would be a better choice
244 due to: 1) more effective extraction of all target compounds except DMS; 2) consistency with

245 one of the selection guidelines [38] that mixed phase coatings are considered to fit volatile
246 compounds sampling better than single phase coatings. One of the odor indicators for swine
247 manure is *p*-cresol [2,6,39], whose extraction efficiency is considered very critical. However,
248 the difference in extraction efficiency between CAR/PDMS 85 μm and PDMS/DVB 65 μm on
249 *p*-cresol was not statistically significant ($p=0.166$), while very significant difference ($p=0.009$)
250 was observed for these two coatings in extracting S-VOCs and VFAs. CAR/PDMS 85 μm
251 captured more VFAs than PDMS/DVB 65 μm under the same conditions. Hence, CAR/PDMS
252 85 μm coating can be more effective in extracting a wider range of compounds. PDMS/DVB
253 65 μm also had a poor performance in trapping S-VOCs. Sulfur VOCs at trace levels, even
254 below the detection limit, contribute significantly to the total odor [25], and is another critical
255 group of VOCs associated with livestock odors. According to Pawliszyn [38], one important
256 principle in developing methodology is that the primary consideration should be given to the
257 group of analytes that is most difficult to extract and should be based on overall extraction
258 efficiency. Hence CAR/PDMS 85 μm coating was selected to do all the following extractions in
259 this study.

260 The fiber selection was further justified by comparing the MS detector response RSD (%)
261 ranges to standard concentrations of target VOCs sampled with four SPME fibers (Table 3).
262 The RSD (%) ranged from 4.9% to 19.3% for the four fibers used. The relatively small RSD
263 associated with the use of CAR/PDMS 85 μm coating showed good reproducibility and more
264 stable performance for all extractions. The RSD range (from 3.3% to 7.8%) was more
265 favorable compared with that for all the other fiber coatings.

266 3.2. Selection of sampling time

267 The sampling time optimization was conducted for CAR/PDMS 85 μm fiber. Experiment
268 was performed in triplicates at a 5-point time series basis ranging from 1 min to 1 h. The
269 mean FID response was plotted against extraction time. Detected peak area (PA) counts
270 increased with sampling time in a linear trend for most compounds except for methyl
271 mercaptan after 10 min extraction, when it started to deviate from linearity. However, when up
272 to 10 min was selected, all target odorants showed a high positive linearity between extracted
273 mass and extraction time (Fig. 3). The correlation coefficient R^2 values for VFAs and *p*-cresol
274 nearly equal to 1 (Table 4). According to Pawliszyn [38], the practical sampling time should be

275 the longest extraction time with the maximum amount extracted before the extraction reaches
276 equilibrium. However, CAR/PDMS extracts analytes by adsorption, which means a
277 competitive adsorption of VOCs to the surface of the fiber coating. With lower affinity to
278 CAR/PDMS, S-VOCs tend to be easily replaced. None of previous research analyzed S-
279 VOCs, VFAs and *p*-cresol simultaneously, thus not dealing with a range of molecular weight
280 compounds and functionalities with differing affinities to the fiber. Efficient extraction of S-
281 VOCs in a complex gas mixture (of target VOCs) needs to be assured. Non-linear extraction
282 conditions for S-VOCs are less useful for quantification, are difficult to control and not
283 recommended for quantitative analysis. A shorter extraction time in a linear extraction range
284 was considered. Good reproducibility was observed for target VOCs (RSD less than or close
285 to 5%) for up to 5 min extraction (Table 4), and, at the same time, the risk of non-linear
286 extractions and fiber coating saturation was minimized. This shorter extraction time (5 min) is
287 also more practical in the sense of time saving for sampling. Hence 5 min extraction was
288 chosen for most of the analyses in this work.

289 Further comparison was illustrated by plotting the FID detector response normalized by
290 gas concentrations over sampling time for each compound (Fig. 3). The slope m represents
291 FID response normalized by gas concentrations as a function of air sampling times with
292 SPME. The relationship between normalized peak area (PA) counts and sampling time
293 followed four salient trends: 1) comparison among all three groups (S-VOCs, VFAs and *p*-
294 cresol) showed that the slope m^* increased with molecular weight except for ethyl
295 mercaptan/DMS and the isomer isovaleric acid; 2) comparison within each group showed a
296 steadily, if not linearly, increasing trend between the slope m^* and molecular weight except for
297 isovaleric acid as shown in Fig. S1, and the correlation coefficients were 0.96 and 1.00 for S-
298 VOCs and VFAs, respectively; 3) more rapid increase was observed for VFAs than S-VOCs
299 compounds; 4) *p*-cresol was the compound with much higher m^* than the other analytes.
300 RSD (%) and linearity of FID response to standard concentrations of target VOCs sampled
301 with SPME fiber at different air sampling times are summarized in Table 4.

302 3.3. Method evaluation and validation

303 The optimized procedure was evaluated and validated based on its linearity, detection
304 limit, repeatability and recovery. The linearity of the method was evaluated by preparing

305 calibration standards generated by SGG. The calibration curves were linear over the
306 concentration ranges of target analytes as shown in Fig. 4. The linear regression equation
307 coefficients, range of the gas concentrations, R^2 , method detection limits (MDLs) and ranges
308 of RSDs (%) are summarized in Table 5. MDLs were estimated based on 6 replicates (7 for *p*-
309 cresol). Up to 1 ppbv MDL was achieved for most of the compounds except methylmercaptan
310 and ethylmercaptan. The lowest MDL was 0.233 ppbv for butyric acid, while the MDL of *p*-
311 cresol was 0.308 ppbv, which covers the range of typical aerial concentration of *p*-cresol in
312 livestock emissions [16, 41].

313 *3.4. Method application for analysis of odorous VOCs in moving air irradiated with UV*

314 An example of a total ion chromatogram of UV treated gas sample from SGG is shown in
315 Fig. 5. VOC concentrations were calculated using the calibration curves (Table 6). The
316 concentrations of all VOCs were in the range of the maximum measured concentrations
317 calculated by calibration curves and the MDLs. In this demonstration of odor mitigation by
318 means of UV, measured concentrations of key odorants were reduced by approximately 40 to
319 70%.

320 **4. Conclusions**

321 Headspace-SPME coupled with GC-MS is a useful and effective analytical tool for
322 characterization and quantification of complex odorant mixtures associated with livestock
323 operations. The low detection limits (ranging from 0.23 to 2.64 ppbv) obtained with the
324 optimized method were approximately one order of magnitude below published detection
325 thresholds for target odorous gases.

326 Extraction of sulfur VOCs, VFAs and *p*-cresol with SPME were optimized simultaneously
327 for the first time. The CAR/PDMS 85 μ m extraction efficiency was positively correlated with
328 molecular weight of target compounds of the same chemical functionality. Methyl mercaptan,
329 ethyl mercaptan, and dimethyl mercaptan at low molecular weights have the lowest affinity to
330 the SPME fiber. Extraction efficiency of these compounds with low affinity to SPME fiber was
331 optimized by shortening extraction time.

332 **Acknowledgements**

333 The authors would like to thank National Pork Board and United States-Israel Binational
334 Agricultural Research and Development (Project No.US-3999-07) for funding this research.
335

337 **References**

- 338 [1] Yates, S.R., McConnell, L.L., Hapeman, C.J., Papiernik, S.K., S. Gao, S.L. Trabue. 2011. Managing Agricultural
339 Emissions to the Atmosphere: State of the Science, Fate and Mitigation, and Identifying Research Gaps. *J.*
340 *Environ. Qual.* 40:1347–1358.
- 341 [2] Bulliner E.A., J.A. Koziel, L. Cai, D. Wright. 2006. Characterization of livestock odors using steel plates, solid
342 phase microextraction, and multidimensional - gas chromatography-mass spectrometry-olfactometry. *Journal*
343 *of the Air & Waste Management Association*, 56: 1391-1403.
- 344 [3] Cai, L., J.A. Koziel, J. Davis, Y.C. Lo and H. Xin. 2006. Characterization of VOCs and odors by in vivo sampling
345 of beef cattle rumen gas using SPME and GC-MS-olfactometry. *Anal. and Bioanal. Chem.*, 386(6): 1791-1802.
- 346 [4] Cai, L., J.A. Koziel, A.T. Nguyen, Y. Liang and H. Xin. 2007. Evaluation of zeolite for control of odorants
347 emissions from simulated poultry manure storage. *J. Environ. Qual.*, 36(1): 184-193.
- 348 [5] Lo, Y. C., J.A. Koziel, L. Cai, S.J. Hoff, W.S. Jenks, H. Xin. 2008. Simultaneous chemical and sensory
349 characterization of VOCs and semi-VOCs emitted from swine manure using SPME and multidimensional gas
350 chromatography-mass spectrometry-olfactometry system. *J. of Environ. Qual.*, 37(2): 521-534.
- 351 [6] Wright, D., L. Nielsen, D. Eaton, F. Kuhrt, J.A. Koziel, J.P. Spinhirne, D.B. Parker. 2005. Multidimensional
352 GC-MS-olfactometry for identification and prioritization of malodors from confined animal feeding
353 operations. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 22: 8663-8672.
- 354 [7] Zhang, S., L. Cai, J.A. Koziel, S.J. Hoff, D.R. Schmidt, C.J. Clanton, L.D. Jacobson, D.B. Parker, A.J. Heber.
355 2010. Field air sampling and simultaneous chemical and sensory analysis of livestock odorants with sorbent
356 tubes and GC-MS/olfactometry. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*. 146: 427-432.
- 357 [8] Willig, S., M. Lacorn and R. Claus. 2004. Development of a rapid and accurate method for the determination of
358 key compounds of pig odor. *Journal of Chromatography A*. 1038:11–18.
- 359 [9] McGinn, S.M., H.H. Jantzen and T. Coates. 2003: Atmospheric ammonia, volatile fatty acids and other odorants
360 near beef feedlots. *Journal of Environmental Quality*. Vol 32, pp 1173-1182, (2003).
- 361 [10] Banwart, W.L. and J.M. Bremner. 1975. Formation of volatile sulfur compounds by microbial decomposition
362 of sulfur-containing amino acids in soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. 7(6): 359-364.
- 363 [11] Beard, W.L. & W.D. Guenzi. 1983. Volatile sulfur compounds from a redox-controlled cattle-manure slurry.
364 *Journal of Environmental Quality*. 12: 113– 116.
- 365 [12] McGill, A.E.J. and N. Jackson. 1977. Changes in the short-chain carboxylic acid content and chemical oxygen
366 demand of stored pig slurry. *J. Sci. Food and Agric.*, 28: 424-30.
- 367 [13] Cooper, P. and I.S. Cornforth. 1978. Volatile fatty acids in stored animal slurry. *J. Sci. Food and Agric.*, 29: 19-
368 27.
- 369 [14] Spoelstra, S.F. 1980. Origin of objectionable odorous components in piggery wastes and the possibility of
370 applying indicator components for studying odour development. *Agriculture and Environment*. 5:241–260.
- 371 [15] Alanis, P., M. Sorenson, M. Beene, C. Krauter, B. Shamp, and A.S. Hasson. 2008. Measurement of non-enteric
372 emission fluxes of volatile fatty acids from a California dairy by solid phase micro-extraction with gas
373 chromatography/mass spectrometry. *Atmospheric Environment*. 42: 6417–6424.
- 374 [16] Blunden, J., V.P. Aneja, and W.A. Lonneman. 2005. Characterization of non-methane volatile organic
375 compounds at swine facilities in eastern North Carolina. *Atmospheric Environment*. 39: 6707–6718.
- 376 [17] Defoer, N., I. De Bo, H. Van Langenhove, J. Dewulf, and T. Van Elst. 2002. Gas chromatography–mass
377 spectrometry as a tool for estimating odour concentrations of biofilter effluents at aerobic composting and
378 rendering plants. *Journal of Chromatography A*. 970: 259–273.
- 379 [18] Filipy, J., B. Rumburg, G. Mount, H. Westberg, and B. Lamb. 2006. Identification and quantification of volatile
380 organic compounds from a dairy. *Atmospheric Environment*. 40: 1480–1494.
- 381 [19] Nielsen, A.T. and S. Jonsson. 2002. Quantification of volatile sulfur compounds in complex gaseous matrices
382 by solid-phase microextraction. *Journal of Chromatography A*. 963: 57-64.
- 383 [20] Ras, M.R., F. Borrell, and R.M. Marc. 2008. Determination of volatile organic sulfur compounds in the air at
384 sewage management areas by thermal desorption and gas chromatography–mass spectrometry. *Talanta*. 74:
385 562–569.

- 386 [21] Rabaud, N.E., S.E. Ebeler, L.L. Ashbaugh, R.G., Flocchini. 2003. Characterization and quantification of
387 odorous and non-odorous volatile organic compounds near a commercial dairy in California. *Atmospheric*
388 *Environment*. 37: 933-940.
- 389 [22] Schiffman, S.S., J.L. Bennett, and J.H. Raymer. 2001. Quantification of odors and odorants from swine
390 operations in North Carolina. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*. 108: 213-240.
- 391 [23] Sunesson, A.L., J. Gullberg, and G. Blomquist. 2001. Airborne chemical compounds on dairy farms. *Journal*
392 *of Environmental Monitoring*. 3: 210–216.
- 393 [24] Kim, K-H., G-H. Choi, Y-J. Choi, H-N. Song, H-S. Yang, J-M. Oh. 2006. The effects of sampling materials
394 selection in the collection of reduced sulfur compounds in air. *Talanta*. 68: 1713-1719.
- 395 [25] Trabue, S., K. Scoggin, F. Mitloehner, H. Li, R. Burns, and H. Xin. 2008. Field sampling method for
396 quantifying volatile sulfur compounds from animal feeding operations. *Atmospheric Environment*. 42: 3332–
397 3341.
- 398 [26] Koziel, J.A., J.P. Spinhirne, J.D. Lloyd, D.B. Parker, D.W. Wright, F.W. Kuhrt. 2005. Evaluation of Sample
399 Recovery of Malodorous Livestock Gases from Air Sampling Bags, Solid-Phase Microextraction Fibers,
400 Tenax TA Sorbent Tubes, and Sampling Canisters. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association* 55:
401 1147-1157.
- 402 [27] Feilberg, A., D. Liu, A.P.S. Adamsen, M.J. Hansen, K.E.N. Jonassen. 2010. Odorant emissions from intensive
403 pig production measured by online proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44:
404 5894-5900.
- 405 [28] Koziel, J.A., Y.M. Lo, L. Cai, D.W. Wright. 2010. Simultaneous characterization of VOCs and livestock odors
406 using solid-phase microextraction – multidimensional gas chromatography – mass spectrometry-olfactometry.
407 23: 73-78.
- 408 [29] Huffel, K.V., P.M. Heynderickx, J. Dewulf, H.V. Langenhove. 2012. Measurement of odorants in livestock
409 buildings: SIFT-MS and TD-GC-MS. *Chemical Engineering Transactions*. 30: 67-72.
- 410 [30] Lee, H., S. Jeon, W. Choi, S. Lee, M. Lee, K. Oh. 2013. A novel assessment of odor sources using instrumental
411 analysis combined with resident monitoring records for an industrial area in Korea. *Atmospheric Environment*.
412 74: 277-290.
- 413 [31] Spinhirne, J.P., J.A. Koziel. 2003. Generation and Calibration of Standard Gas Mixtures for Volatile Fatty
414 Acids using Permeation Tubes and Solid Phase Microextraction. *Transactions of the ASABE*, 46(6), 1639-
415 1646.
- 416 [32] Koziel, J.A., P. Martos, and J. Pawliszyn. 2004. System for generation of standard gas mixtures of volatile and
417 semivolatile organic compounds for calibrations of solid phase microextraction and other sampling devices.
418 *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1025, 3-9.
- 419 [33] Meylan, W.M., P.H. Howard. 2005. Estimating octanol–air partition coefficients with octanol–water partition
420 coefficients and Henry’s law constants. *Chemosphere*. 61:640-644.
- 421 [34] Devos, M., F. Patte, J. Rouault, P. Laffort, L.J. Van Gemert. 1990. *Standardized Human Olfactory Thresholds*.
422 Oxford University Press, New York
- 423 [35] Koziel, J. A., J. P. Spinhirne, J. D. Lloyd, , D. B. Parker, and D. W. Wright. 2004. Evaluation of sample recovery
424 of malodorous gases from air sampling bags, SPME, and sampling canisters. ASAE Paper No. 044129. St.
425 Joseph, Mich.: ASAE.
- 426 [36] Yang, X., J.A. Koziel, T. Cutler, S. Zhang, J. Zimmerman, S.J. Hoff, W. Jenks, J (Hans) van Leeuwen. 2008.
427 Treatment of livestock odor and pathogens with ultraviolet light. Paper # 085198, ASABE Annual
428 International Meeting. Providence, RH, June, 2008.
- 429 [37] Keszler, Á., K. Héberger. 1999. Influence of extraction parameters and medium on efficiency of solid-phase
430 microextraction sampling in analysis of aliphatic aldehydes. *J. Chromatogr. A* 845: 337–347.
- 431 [38] Pawliszyn, J. 1997. SPME method development. In *Solid Phase Microextraction; Theory and Practice*; Pawliszyn,
432 J., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: New York.
- 433 [39] Koziel, J. A., L. Cai, D. Wright, S. Hoff. 2006. Solid phase microextraction as a novel air sampling technology
434 for improved, GC-Olfactometry-based, assessment of livestock odors. *J. Chromatogr. Sci.* 44(7): 451-457.
- 435 [40] Ripp, J. 1996. Analytical detection limit guidance and laboratory guide for determining method detection
436 limits. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Laboratory Certification Program Report No. PUBL-TS-
437 056-96. Madison, WI, USA, 30 pp.
- 438 [41] Cai, L., S. Zhang, J.A. Koziel, G. Sun, K.Y. Heathcote, S.J. Hoff, D.B. Parker, E. A. Caraway, L.D. Jacobson,

439 N. Akdeniz, B.P. Hetchler, E.L. Cortus, S.D. Bereznicki, A.J. Heber, T.T. Lim. 2012. Odor and Odorous
440 Chemical Emissions from Animal Buildings: Part 3 – Chemical Emissions. Transactions of ASABE, submitted.
441
442

443 **Figure Captions**

444

445 Fig.1. A Scheme of the standard gas mixture generation system (SGG) coupled with a bench-
446 scale UV photoreactor.

447

448 Fig.2. Comparison of extraction efficiency of target VOCs for different SPME fibers coatings:
449 CAR/PDMS 85 μm , PDMS/DVB 65 μm , PDMS 100 μm and Polyacrylate 85 μm . MS detector
450 response was normalized by gas concentrations. SPME conditions: T = 25 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, sampling time =
451 5 min, flow rate = 300 mL/min, dry air. Abbreviations: methyl mercaptan = MeSH, ethyl
452 mercaptan = EtSH, dimethyl sulfide = DMS, n-butyl mercaptan = BM, acetic acid = AcOH,
453 propanoic acid = PPA, butyric acid = BTA, isovaleric acid = IVA.

454

455 Fig.3. Optimization of SPME sampling time of target VOCs from standard gas mixture:
456 normalized by gas concentrations. Experimental conditions: CAR/PDMS 85 μm SPME fiber,
457 300 mL/min standard gas flow, T=25 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, dry air. Five min sampling was selected for all follow-
458 up experiments.

459

460 Fig.4. Calibration curves for target VOCs. Experimental conditions: gas sampling with
461 CAR/PDMS 85 μm ; 5 min sampling time; T=25 $^{\circ}\text{C}$; dry air.

462

463 Fig. 5. Comparison of total ion chromatograph of treated gas sample with control sample from
464 SGG. Experimental conditions: gas sampling with CAR/PDMS 85 μm ; 5 min sampling time;
465 T=25 $^{\circ}\text{C}$; dry air; flow rate = 300 ml/min; UV treatment at 254 nm (principle) and 185 nm, with
466 light intensity = 1.5 mW/cm² @254 nm with TiO₂ present. Note: MM=methyl mercaptan,
467 EM=ethyl mercaptan, DMS=dimethyl sulfide, BM=butyl mercaptan, AA=acetic acid, PA=
468 propanoic acid, BA=butyric acid, IV=isovaleric acid.

475