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ABSTRACT

Conformational disorder is emerging as an important feature of biopolymers, regulating a vast array of cellular functions, including signaling, phase separation, and enzyme catalysis. Here we combine NMR, crystallography, computer simulations, protein engineering, and functional assays to investigate the role played by conformational heterogeneity in determining the activity of the C-terminal domain of bacterial Enzyme I (EIC). In particular, we design chimeric proteins by hybridizing EIC from thermophilic and mesophilic organisms, and we characterize the resulting constructs for structure, dynamics, and biological function. We show that EIC exists as a mixture of active and inactive conformations and that functional regulation is achieved by tuning the thermodynamic balance between active and inactive states. Interestingly, we also present a hybrid thermophilic/mesophilic enzyme that is thermostable and more active than the wild-type thermophilic enzyme, suggesting that hybridizing thermophilic and mesophilic proteins is a valid strategy to engineer thermostable enzymes with significant low-temperature activity.
Enzyme I (EI) is the first protein in the phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP)-dependent sugar phosphotransferase system (PTS), a signal transduction pathway that controls sugar uptake in bacteria and regulates several other cellular functions, including catabolic gene expression, coupling between central nitrogen and carbon metabolism, chemotaxis, and biofilm formation, via phosphorylation dependent protein-protein interactions [1-5]. The phosphorylation state of the PTS components is controlled by the intracellular level of PEP, which initiates the PTS by acting as phosphoryl donor for EI [2, 6]. As such, inhibition of the EI autophosphorylation reaction was shown to impair PTS-mediated regulatory mechanisms and to result in reduced bacterial growth and virulence [3, 7-12].

EI is a 128 kDa homodimer composed of two structurally and functionally distinct domains separated by a 11-residue helical linker [13]. The phosphoryl-transfer N-terminal domain (EIN, residues 1-249) contains the phosphorylation site (His189) and the binding site for HPr (the second PTS protein). The C-terminal domain (EIC, residues 261-575) contains the binding site for PEP, is responsible for EI dimerization [13], and activates PEP for catalysis [14]. In particular, EIC locks the substrate into a high energy conformation in which the phosphate group lays outside of the plane formed by the three conjugated sp² carbons, and forms salt-bridges with the small molecule that provide stabilization to the transition state of the phosphoryl-transfer reaction (Figure 1a). Functional regulation of EI is achieved through synergistic coupling of multiple intra and interdomain conformational equilibria that are modulated by substrate and cofactor binding (Figure 1b). Specifically, EI was shown to undergo (i) a monomer-dimer equilibrium [15, 16], (ii) a compact-to-expanded equilibrium within the EIC domain [14, 17], (iii) a g+ to g- equilibrium within the rotameric state of the His189 side chain [18], (iv) a state A-to-state B equilibrium within the EIN domain [19, 20], and (v) an open-to-close equilibrium describing a reorientation of EIN relative to EIC [17, 19-21]. Binding of PEP to EIC promotes transition to the dimer/compact/g-/state B/closed form and activates the enzyme for catalysis [15, 17]. Therefore, in addition to being a promising pharmaceutical target, EI is also an important model system to study the interplay between ligand binding, post-translational modifications, and conformational dynamics that determines the activity of complex multidomain enzymes.
In this contribution, we investigate the role played by EIC intradomain conformational dynamics in activation of PEP. In particular, we engineer hybrid thermophilic/mesophilic EIC constructs with modulated thermal stability and active site flexibility, and we test their ability to catalyze hydrolysis of PEP. Although non-physiological, the latter enzymatic reaction has the advantage of being independent from the EIN domain [14], therefore allowing us to assess the contribution of EIC to PEP activation without interference from the open-to-close equilibrium of the full-length enzyme. Our data demonstrate that, in the presence of substrate, EIC does not adopt a single, catalytically active conformation but exists as a collection of active and inactive states. The thermodynamic balance between active and inactive states is finely controlled by the amino acid sequence of EIC, with the mesophilic enzyme favoring active conformations and the thermophilic enzyme favoring inactive states. Rescaling the turnover number by the fractional population of active EIC is sufficient to recapitulate the difference in activity observed among all EIC variants analyzed here. Our results provide evidence for a regulatory role of conformational disorder in activation of PEP by EIC, which supports an increasing body of literature suggesting that conformational disorder and structural heterogeneity control the activity of several native enzymes [22-28].

Results and Discussion

In this work, we investigate the structure/dynamics/function relationship of EIC from two organisms: a mesophilic bacterium (Escherichia coli) and a thermophilic organism (Thermoanaerobacter tengcongensis). The two proteins will be referred to as eEIC and tEIC, respectively, throughout the manuscript. Similar notation will be used for the full-length enzymes (eEI and tEI, respectively). eEI and tEI share a similar sequence (overall identity 54%; EIC identity 60%; active site identity 100%, Supplementary Figure S1) and 3D structure [20, 29-31]. While the temperature dependence of eEI and tEI activities has not been investigated yet, the E. coli and T. tengcongensis PTS’s were shown to be optimally active at 37 °C and 65 °C, respectively [30].

Temperature-dependence of the expanded-to-compact equilibrium. EIC displays a (β/α)₈-barrel fold with active site residues localized at the C-terminal ends of the β-barrel domain, and
within the β2α2 (residues 296-309), β3α3 (residues 332-360), and β6α6 (residues 454-477) loops (Figure 2a and Supplementary Figure S1). The active site loops of eEIC were shown to undergo conformational dynamics in the apo form between a lowly populated state that closely resembles the PEP-bound conformation, and a major state in which the active site is likely more expanded [14, 17]. Binding of PEP was observed to shift this expanded-to-compact conformational equilibrium toward the compact form [14, 17]. In the full-length eEI, quenching of the C-terminal domain dynamics induced by PEP-binding triggers the open-to-close interdomain rearrangement and activates the enzyme for the autophosphorylation reaction (Figure 1b) [17].

Here, the temperature dependence of the expanded-to-compact equilibrium in eEIC and tEIC was investigated by means of $^{15}$N and $^{13}$C methyl relaxation dispersion (RD) NMR [32]. Experiments were acquired on U-[2H,$^{15}$N]/Ile(d$_{1}$)-$^{13}$CH$_{3}$/Val, Leu-($^{13}$CH$_{3}$/$^{12}$C$_{2}$H$_{3}$)-labeled EIC at two static fields (600 and 800 MHz) and four different temperatures (25, 30, 35, and 40 °C for eEIC, and 40, 50, 60, and 70 °C for tEIC). Simultaneous investigation of RD data measured at multiple temperatures returns a deep characterization of the kinetics and thermodynamics of conformational exchange processes between species with distinct chemical shifts occurring on a timescale ranging from ~100 μs to ~10 ms, by providing enthalpy ($\Delta H$), entropy ($\Delta S$), activation enthalpy ($\Delta^\ddagger H$), and activation entropy ($\Delta^\ddagger S$) for the conformational equilibrium [33].

As expected, several residues within and surrounding the active site loops of eEIC and tEIC display exchange contribution to the transverse relaxation rate ($R_{ex}$) significantly larger than zero (Figure 2b), which is indicative of conformational dynamics in the μs-ms timescale. Of note, while for tEIC residues with positive $R_{ex}$ are confined within the area of the active site loops, μs-ms timescale dynamics in eEIC propagate to the β-barrel region of the enzyme (Figure 2b and Supplementary Figure S3). All RD curves with $R_{ex} > 5$ s$^{-1}$ at 25 and 40 °C for eEIC and tEIC, respectively, were selected for further analysis and used to obtain a quantitative description of the detected conformational equilibria. Interestingly, for both the mesophilic and thermophilic constructs, all the analyzed $^{15}$N and $^{13}$C methyl RD curves measured at multiple temperatures and static magnetic fields could be fit simultaneously to a model describing the interconversion of two conformational states (Figure 2c and Supplementary Figures S3 and S4), confirming that the active site in apo EIC undergoes concerted motion between two conformations. In this global fitting procedure, the activation ($\Delta^\ddagger G$) and standard ($\Delta G$) free energy of the conformational equilibrium were optimized as global parameters, whereas the $^{15}$N and $^{13}$C chemical shift
differences between the two conformational states ($\Delta \omega_N$ and $\Delta \omega_C$, respectively) were treated as peak-specific and temperature independent parameters. The exchange rate ($k_{ex}$) and the fractional population of the minor conformational state ($p_b$) were calculated at each temperature from the fitted values of $\Delta^fG$ and $\Delta G$ using the general form of the Eyring and reaction isotherm equations, respectively (see Methods). This fitting procedure effectively reduces the number of optimized parameters and is justified if the heat capacity of activation remains constant over the experimental temperature range [34] (25-40 °C and 40-70 °C for eEIC and tEIC, respectively). An example of the fit is provided in Figure 2c. The full set of experimental RD curves used in the fitting procedure is shown in Supplementary Figures S3 and S4 for eEIC and tEIC, respectively. The good agreement between experimental and back-calculated data indicates that no additional variable parameter is required to fulfill the experimental RD curves. Values for the forward and backward rate constants ($k_{ab}$ and $k_{ba}$, respectively) at the different experimental temperatures were calculated from corresponding values of $k_{ex}$ ($= k_{ab} + k_{ba}$) and $p_b$. The temperature dependence of $p_b$, $k_{ab}$, and $k_{ba}$ was modeled using the van’t Hoff (for $p_b$) and Eyring (for $k_{ab}$ and $k_{ba}$) equations to obtain $\Delta H$, $\Delta S$, $\Delta^fH$, and $\Delta^fS$ of the expanded-to-compact conformational equilibrium. Thermodynamic, kinetic, and chemical shift parameters obtained for the two enzymes are summarized in Figures 2d,e and 3b, and Supplementary Table S1. From these data it is apparent that the expanded-to-compact equilibrium occurs with negative $\Delta H$ and $\Delta S$ (Supplementary Table 1), which explains the decrease in $p_b$ (i.e. the population of compact state) with increasing temperature (Figure 1d), and is consistent with the hypothesis that the compact state is more ordered and structurally stabilized by a higher number of interatomic contacts than the expanded conformation [14, 17].

Addition of substrate to tEIC causes line-broadening beyond detection level for the NMR peaks of the residues directly facing PEP. However, large $^1H/^15N$ ($\Delta_H/N$) and $^1H/^13C_{methyl}$ ($\Delta_H/C$) chemical shift perturbations can still be observed within the active site of tEIC upon substrate binding (Figure 3a), suggesting that structural rearrangements are occurring within this region of the enzyme. As previously reported for eEIC [14, 17], the perturbations on the $^15N$ ($\Delta_N$) and $^13C_{methyl}$ ($\Delta_C$) chemical shifts of tEIC observed upon PEP binding are in good agreement with the $\Delta \omega_N$ and $\Delta \omega_C$ values obtained from the RD measurements on apo tEIC (Figure 3b), supporting the hypothesis that binding of PEP shifts the expanded-to-compact equilibrium detected in apo EIC toward the compact state [14, 17]. In this respect, it is also interesting to note that the
measured $\Delta_{HN}$ and $\Delta_{HC}$ values increase with increasing temperature and are inversely proportional to the population of compact state in apo $t$EIC (Figure 3c). As chemical shifts in fast-exchanging systems are population weighted quantities (note that free and PEP-bound EIC are in fast exchange on the chemical shift timescale, Figure 3d), these observations further confirm that PEP-binding shifts the pre-existing equilibrium between the expanded and compact EIC conformations. Consistent with this conformational-shift model, analysis of the structural models of holo EIC [14, 17, 20, 30] shows that salt bridges between the phosphate group of PEP and the side chains of Arg$^{296}$, Arg$^{332}$, Lys$^{340}$, Arg$^{358}$, and Arg$^{465}$ provide structural stabilization to the active site loops in the compact form (Figure 4a). Interestingly, these electrostatic interactions also result in near complete suppression of the $R_{ex}$ measured for $t$EIC (Figure 3e). While these results indicate unambiguously that PEP binding has a dramatic effect on the expanded-to-compact equilibrium, it is not possible to ascertain if the $R_{ex}$ suppression observed in the RD data upon substrate binding is the result of (i) a complete quench of the expanded-to-compact equilibrium, (ii) a shift of the kinetics for the expanded-to-compact equilibrium outside the μs-ms timescale regime detectable by RD experiments, or (iii) a drastic reduction of $\Delta\omega_N$ and $\Delta\omega_C$ between the expanded and compact conformations in holo EIC compared to the apo enzyme.

**Engineering of hybrid $e$EIC/$t$EIC constructs.** The analysis of the temperature dependence of the expanded-to-compact equilibrium has revealed similar thermodynamic parameters for the conformational change in apo $e$EIC and $t$EIC ($\Delta H$ and $\Delta S$ in Supplementary Table S1), which is reflected in the similar temperature dependence of $p_b$ obtained for the two EIC constructs (Figure 2d). On the other hand, the RD NMR data indicate the existence of significant differences in the kinetics of the conformational equilibrium in the mesophilic and thermophilic enzyme, with the expanded-to-compact transition occurring with a ~4-fold higher $k_{ex}$ in $e$EIC than in $t$EIC at 40 °C (Figure 2e). Interestingly, plotting $k_{ex}$ versus temperature reveals that the two enzymes display similar exchange rate constant at their optimal PTS temperature (37 and 65 °C for $e$EIC and $t$EIC, respectively) (Figure 2e), which suggests a possible role for the expanded-to-compact equilibrium in functional regulation of EIC.

To investigate the relationship between activity and active site conformational dynamics in EIC we have engineered hybrid thermophilic/mesophilic constructs by merging the scaffold of
one enzyme with the active site loops of the other enzyme (Figure 2a). In particular, the hybrid formed by the active site loops of the mesophilic protein and the scaffold of the thermophilic enzyme is referred to as etEIC, while the hybrid comprised of the active site loops of the thermophilic protein and the scaffold of the mesophilic enzyme is referred to as teEIC. This protein engineering effort required 21 single-point mutations per hybrid construct. A list of the performed mutations and their localization within the EIC structure are provided in Supplementary Figure S1. The 21 mutations point away from the catalytic site (Figure 4b) and do not perturb the fold and oligomeric state of the enzyme, as revealed by X-ray crystallography and analytical ultracentrifugation (AUC) (Figure 5). On the other hand, RD NMR data indicate that the mutations have a profound effect on the μs-ms timescale conformational dynamics of eEIC and tEIC. Indeed, incorporating the mesophilic active site loops into the scaffold of the thermophilic enzyme is sufficient to increase the rate of the active site dynamics at the same level observed for the mesophilic enzyme (note that eEIC and etEIC have very similar temperature dependence of $k_{ex}$, Figure 2e). In contrast, implanting the active site loops of tEIC onto the scaffold of eEIC increases the activation energy for the expanded-to-compact conformational change and results in slower $k_{ex}$ compared to the mesophilic enzyme (Figure 2e). However, it should be noted that the exchange kinetics of teEIC don’t follow the same temperature trend observed for tEIC (Figure 2e). This finding is likely due to the fact that the conformational dynamics in eEIC are not localized exclusively in the active site loops but extend to the β-barrel region of the enzyme (note, for example, the large $R_{ex}$ values measured for residues Ile$^{426}$ and Gly$^{427}$ in eEIC and teEIC, Supplementary Figures S3 and S6). Coupling the β-barrel from eEIC and the loops from tEIC results in intermediate kinetics for the expanded-to-compact equilibrium in teEIC. In this regard, it is also important to notice that these results are not an artifact of the global fitting procedure, as repeating the fit using only the RD data from loop residues (Met$^{302}$, Leu$^{334}$, Ile$^{336}$, Leu$^{345}$, Ala$^{462}$, and Asp$^{464}$) returns identical thermodynamic and kinetic parameters for the conformational equilibrium (Supplementary Table S1).

The temperature-induced unfolding of all EIC constructs was monitored by circular dichroism (CD), and the transition temperatures ($T_m$) were determined from the first derivatives of the unfolding curves [35]. $T_m$ values of 54, 57, 80, and 91 °C were obtained for eEIC, teEIC, etEIC, and tEIC, respectively (Figure 2f), indicating that the 21 single-point mutations have a small but opposite effects on the temperature stability of eEIC and tEIC. In particular,
introducing the active site loops from the thermophilic construct into mesophilic EIC results in a 3 °C increase in $T_m$ (compare $T_m$ values measured for $eEIC$ and $tEIC$), while incorporating the mesophilic active site loops into thermophilic EIC results in a 11 °C decrease in $T_m$ (compare $T_m$ values measured for $tEIC$ and $etEIC$). As the analysis of the crystal structures of $eEIC$, $teEIC$, $etEIC$, and $tEIC$ indicate that thermophilic active site loops are better packed and establish a larger number of interatomic contacts than the mesophilic ones (Figure 5e), we ascribe the small changes in melting temperature to small variations in the $\Delta H$ of folding among the investigated EIC constructs.

**Temperature-dependence of PEP hydrolysis catalyzed by EIC.** Ei catalyzes an autophosphorylation reaction in which a phosphoryl group is transferred from PEP, bound to C-terminal domain, to the His$^{189}$ residue, located on the N-terminal domain of the enzyme (Figure 1a) [13]. In this catalytic process, EIC does not act as mere scaffold for PEP binding, but plays a role in the enzymatic reaction by activating PEP for catalysis. Indeed, isolated EIC was shown to catalyze hydrolysis of PEP in the absence of the EIN domain [14]. This reaction is six orders of magnitude slower than the direct phosphoryl transfer to EIN catalyzed by the full-length enzyme, but it occurs via a similar mechanism (Supplementary Figure S7a) [14]. As His$^{189}$ is the only residue from the EIN domain that is in direct contact with PEP in activated, closed Ei (Figure 1a), investigating the PEP hydrolysis reaction is a valuable strategy to study the role of EIC in PEP activation without interferences due to the presence of the EIN domain.

Here, we have established a method using real-time NMR to detected degradation of PEP and assay the activity of isolated EIC over a wide temperature range (5-70 °C; these limits are determined by the temperature range accessible to our NMR probe) (Supplementary Figure S7). Results of our enzymatic assay reveal that the hydrolysis of PEP by $eEIC$, $tEIC$, $etEIC$, and $teEIC$ follows Michaelis-Menten kinetics (Supplementary Figure S7e). Fitted values for the Michaelis constant ($K_M$) and turnover number ($k_{cat}$) at different temperatures (25, 30, 35, and 40 °C for $eEIC$; 40, 50, 60, and 70 °C for $tEIC$; 30, 40, 50, 60, and 70 °C for $etEIC$; and 25, 30, 35, and 40 °C for $teEIC$) are reported in Figure 6 and Supplementary Table S2 for all investigated EIC constructs.

$K_M$ values measured for $eEIC$ and $tEIC$ range between 300 and 500 μM (Supplementary Table S2), which agrees well with previously reported $K_M$ values for the EI-PEP interaction (~
On the other hand, $K_M$'s obtained for the PEP complexes with $etEIC$ and $teEIC$ (in the 400-1,300 $\mu$M range; Supplementary Table S2) are larger by a factor of $\sim 2$ compared to the ones obtained for the wild-type enzymes. This increase in $K_M$ indicates that swapping the mesophilic with the thermophilic active site loops or, vice versa, the thermophilic with the mesophilic active site loops in EIC produces a similar effect on the EIC-PEP interaction, with both hybrid constructs binding PEP less tightly than the wild-type proteins.

Analysis of the temperature-dependence of $k_{cat}$ indicates that $eEIC$ is more active toward PEP hydrolysis than $tEIC$ at low temperature (Figure 6a), suggesting that $eEIC$ is more suited than $tEIC$ at activating PEP for the phosphoryl-transfer reaction. Interestingly, $etEIC$ (i.e. the hybrid construct incorporating the active site loops of $eEIC$ and the scaffold of $tEIC$) has increased low-temperature turnover compared to $tEIC$, while $teEIC$ (i.e. the hybrid construct incorporating the active site loops of $tEIC$ and the scaffold of $eEIC$) has overall lower $k_{cat}$ compared to $eEIC$ (Figure 6a). These results provide evidence of a direct involvement of the active site loops in functional regulation of EIC, specifically that the amino acid sequence of the active site loops of mesophilic EIC is better suited for activation of PEP, resulting in faster turnover for PEP hydrolysis.

**Conformational disorder in functional regulation of EIC.** The catalytic core of EIC consists of 12 residues (Leu$^{294}$, Arg$^{296}$, Arg$^{332}$, Asp$^{335}$, Lys$^{340}$, Arg$^{358}$, Met$^{429}$, Glu$^{431}$, Asn$^{454}$, Asp$^{455}$, Arg$^{465}$, and Cys$^{502}$) that are in direct contact with PEP and the Mg$^{2+}$ ion in the holo enzyme (Figure 4a and Supplementary Figure S1) and are highly conserved across species. As these residues are fully conserved in the four EIC constructs investigated in this work (Supplementary Figure S1), the observation that $eEIC$, $tEIC$, $etEIC$, and $teEIC$ catalyze PEP hydrolysis with different turnover numbers (Figure 6a) implies a regulatory role for residues that are not in direct contact with the substrate or metal cofactor. Interestingly, the NMR analysis of the expanded-to-compact equilibrium and the investigation of the enzyme kinetics reported above revealed that $k_{ex}$ and $k_{cat}$ are linearly correlated (Figure 6b), suggesting that functional regulation of EIC might be achieved via modulation of conformational dynamics within the active site. Indeed, similar linear correlations between $k_{cat}$ and $k_{ex}$ were previously reported for enzymatic reactions in which enzyme’s conformational dynamics are rate-limiting for catalysis [36-41]. Such catalytic systems undergo conformational transitions that are essential for catalysis (either in the enzyme activation
or product release process) on a time scale that is slow compared to the chemical step, causing $k_{cat}$ to be similar to the exchange rate constant, $k_{ex}$. Therefore, the fact that for the PEP hydrolysis reaction investigated here $k_{cat}$ is six orders of magnitude smaller than $k_{ex}$ (Figure 6b) excludes the hypothesis that the expanded-to-compact conformational change regulates EIC by being the slow step in catalysis. Consistent with this observation, addition of imidazole to the reaction mixture speeds up degradation of PEP by EIC (Figure 7a). Imidazole is an analogue of the His$^{189}$ side-chain and better acceptor of the phosphoryl group than water. Therefore, we expect formation of phospho-imidazole (confirmed by $^{31}$P NMR, Supplementary Figure S7c) to occur with a lower activation energy than PEP hydrolysis. The fact that the turnover rate for enzymatic degradation of PEP (i.e. the combination of the PEP hydrolysis and imidazole phosphorylation reactions measured by our $^1$H NMR assay, Supplementary Figure S7b) increases linearly with the concentration of imidazole (Figure 7a) indicates that $k_{cat}$ is independent on the $k_{ex}$ of the expanded-to-compact equilibrium and is determined by the chemical step.

Next, we have evaluated the possibility that EIC is functionally regulated by conformational disorder in the active site. Indeed, it is now widely accepted that proteins need to be considered as conformational ensembles of a range of interconverting microstates [42, 43]. As different microstates may exhibit different catalytic ability [44, 45], the observed $k_{cat} = \sum_i p_i k_{cat}^i$, where $p_i$ and $k_{cat}^i$ are the fractional population and turnover number of microstate $i$, respectively [25-28, 46]. Inspection of the available structural models for holo EIC [14, 17, 20, 30] suggests that the EIC-PEP complex exists in two major conformations, in which the $\beta 3\alpha 3$ loop adopts an open or closed conformation, respectively (Figure 7c). The side-chains of Lys$^{340}$ and Arg$^{358}$ coordinate the phosphate group of PEP and stabilize the transition state in the closed form of the enzyme, but do not contact the substrate in the open conformation. Therefore, we hypothesize that only microstates associated to closed EIC can efficiently catalyze PEP degradation. Consistent with this hypothesis, a single point mutation (Lys$^{340}$ Ala) that breaks the interaction between PEP and Lys$^{340}$ abolishes the enzymatic activity of EIC (Figure 7d), indicating that PEP-Lys$^{340}$ contacts are crucial for catalysis and that modulating the thermodynamics of the open-to-close equilibrium within the $\beta 3\alpha 3$ loop can provide functional regulation to the enzyme. It is important to notice that this conformational equilibrium differs from both (i) the open-to-close equilibrium depicted in Figure 1b for the full-length enzyme, which involves reorientation of EIN relative to EIC, and (ii) the expanded-to-compact
equilibrium observed in the apo enzyme, which involves concerted dynamics of all active site loops. Also, no conformational dynamics are detected by RD experiments on holo EIC (Figure 3e), indicating that the kinetics of the open-to-close transition of the β3α3 loop fall outside of the μs-ms timescale detectable by RD NMR and/or that the difference in chemical shift between the open and closed conformations is too small to produce detectable $R_{ex}$ values.

As the thermodynamics of the open-to-close equilibrium of the β3α3 loop cannot be characterized by RD NMR, free-energy profiles for the conformational equilibrium in the PEP complexes with $e$EIC, $t$EIC, $et$EIC, and $te$EIC were estimated at 40 °C by Well-tempered Metadynamics (WT-MTD), a method for enhancing the sampling of collective variables of interest in constant temperature Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulations [47]. As a result of a WT-MTD run we obtain a free-energy surface as a function of selected collective variables [48]. In particular, 1-μs long MTD’s were performed on the four EIC-PEP complexes by using the distance between the phosphate group of PEP and the ε-ammonium group of Lys$_{340}$ ($r_{K340-PEP}$) as the collective variable. In addition, as in the available structural models for the EIC-PEP complex $r_{K340-PEP}$ ranges between 5 and 10 Å, a wall potential was applied to restrain sampling of the collective variable within the 2-15 Å range. Additional details on the construction of the starting structures, equilibration steps, and set up and analysis of the MTD runs are provided in Methods.

Inspection of the energy profiles constructed for the open-to-close conformational change by WT-MTD reveals the presence of two major energy wells corresponding to the closed ($r_{K340-PEP} < 7$ Å) and open ($r_{K340-PEP} > 7$ Å) states of the β3α3 loop (Figure 7e). While the boundaries of the closed conformation are well defined by our simulations, the energy well corresponding to the open state shows more variability and spreads over different $r_{K340-PEP}$ values in the four EIC-PEP complexes (Figure 7e), reflecting the higher disorder associated with the open conformation (in which the side chain of Lys$_{340}$ is not structurally stabilized by the salt-bridge with PEP). It is also interesting to note that for the $e$EIC-PEP and $et$EIC-PEP complexes the energy minimum corresponding to the closed state has a lower energy than the minima associated to the open conformation, while the opposite is true for the PEP complexes with $t$EIC and $te$EIC (Figure 7e). This finding indicates that the mesophilic β3α3 loop (present in $e$EIC and $et$EIC) has a higher
propensity than the thermophilic one (present in tEIC and teEIC) to sample the catalytically active, closed conformation.

The contribution of the thermodynamics of the open-to-close equilibrium to regulation of EIC was estimated by assuming that only closed conformations with \( r_{K340-PEP} < 5 \, \text{Å} \) are competent for catalysis and that the observed \( k_{\text{cat}} = p_{\text{active}} k^{\text{active}}_{\text{cat}} \), where \( p_{\text{active}} \) and \( k^{\text{active}}_{\text{cat}} \) are the fractional population and turnover number of activated EIC. A threshold of \( r_{K340-PEP} < 5 \, \text{Å} \) was chosen to discriminate between active and inactive enzyme as (i) it corresponds to the \( r_{K340-PEP} \) distance measured in the crystallographic model of closed, holo EIC (Figure 7c), (ii) it contains the energy minima associated with closed EIC in all our WT-MTD simulations (Figure 7e), and (iii) previous studies reported that complete loop closure is absolutely critical for efficient catalysis by TIM-barrel enzymes [28]. The populations of active and inactive enzyme were calculated by integrating the potential of mean force over \( r_{K340-PEP} < 5 \, \text{Å} \) and \( r_{K340-PEP} > 5 \, \text{Å} \), respectively. \( p_{\text{active}} \) values of 55, 8, 68, and 6 % were obtained for eEIC, tEIC, etEIC, and teEIC, respectively (Figure 7e). The values of \( k^{\text{active}}_{\text{cat}} \) for the four EIC constructs at 40 °C and different imidazole concentrations were calculated from the corresponding values of \( k_{\text{cat}} \) using the formula: \( k^{\text{active}}_{\text{cat}} = k_{\text{cat}} / p_{\text{active}} \). Interestingly, the four enzymes show very similar dependence of \( k^{\text{active}}_{\text{cat}} \) over the concentration of imidazole (Figure 7b). These results indicate that, once in the active conformation, eEIC, tEIC, etEIC, and teEIC catalyze PEP degradation with similar turnover, and that functional regulation among these EIC constructs is achieved by modulating the thermodynamics of the open-to-closed equilibrium of holo EIC.

Another important question to address is if, among the 21 single-point mutations introduced to hybridize EIC, specific amino acids can be pinpointed that are responsible for thermodynamic regulation of the open-to-closed equilibrium. However, as the active site loops of EIC are involved in the dimer interface and establish several mutual interactions, addressing this question with confidence would require several additional studies that are currently in progress.

**Conclusion**
Structural biology studies reported over the past 70-years have shown that proteins can display different degrees of structural heterogeneity, going from proteins that fold into a unique rigid structure to intrinsically disordered proteins, with a continuum of possibilities in between. The degree of conformational heterogeneity can be finely tuned by external factors such as pH, ionic strength, and/or the presence of binding partners [49]. Therefore, it is no surprise that conformational disorder has been found to be implicated in regulation of important biological processes, such as signaling cascades, enzyme catalysis, and liquid-liquid phase transitions [49]. In particular, in the context of enzyme catalysis, conformational plasticity at the active site is known to control substrate specificity, with more flexible enzymes being in general more promiscuous and capable to process substrates with diverse chemical structures [50, 51] In addition, structural heterogeneity was proposed to control turnover in several enzymatic systems [25-28]. For example, computational studies on the enzymes triosephosphate isomerase [28] and ketol-acid reductoisomerase [25] have been reported indicating that only a subset of the conformational space sampled by the enzyme-substrate complex is competent for catalysis. Based on these results, re-engineering enzymes to preferentially populate regions of the conformational space that promote reactivity was suggested as a promising strategy to increase turnover of industrially relevant reactions [25].

In this contribution, we have provided evidence that conformational heterogeneity at the active site determines $k_{cat}$ of the PEP degradation reaction catalyzed by EIC. Specifically, we have studied the structure/dynamics/function relationship in a mesophilic and a thermophilic variant of EIC (referred to as $e$EIC and $t$EIC, respectively) and in two additional EIC constructs (referred to as $et$EIC and $te$EIC) engineered to have hybrid thermal stability and active site flexibility (Figure 2). By combining NMR, crystallography, computer simulations, and functional assays we have demonstrated that holo EIC exists as a mixture of states with different catalytic abilities, and that the observed turnover number is determined by the thermodynamic balance among active and inactive conformations (Figure 7). Interestingly, our data indicate that dilution of the catalytically competent states in a sea of inactive conformations is chiefly responsible for the low activity of the thermophilic enzyme at low temperature, suggesting that modulation of conformational disorder plays a role in thermal adaption of EIC. Studies are currently ongoing to investigate how temperature affects the conformational space sampled by the mesophilic and thermophilic variants of EIC, and to understand if conformational disorder is
responsible for the observation that \( e \text{EIC} \) and \( t \text{EIC} \) catalyze PEP hydrolysis with similar turnover at their optimal PTS temperature (Figure 6a). Our data also indicate that conformational dynamics at the active site of holo EIC are important for activating PEP for catalysis, and that altering the conformational space sampled by the C-terminal domain is a possible avenue to achieve inhibition of the full-length EI.

EIC displays a \((\beta/\alpha)_8\)-barrel fold (also known as TIM barrel fold) that, due to its ability to carry very efficient and versatile active sites, has been selected by nature to catalyze a large number of reactions [52]. All known \((\beta/\alpha)_8\)-barrel enzymes have the active site residues localized at the C-terminal ends of the \( \beta \)-strands and within the \( \beta\alpha \)-loops (which connect the C-terminal end of \( \beta \)-strand \( n \) to the N-terminal end of \( \alpha \)-helix \( n \)), while residues located within the core, at the N-terminal ends of the \( \beta \)-strands, and within the \( \alpha\beta \)-loops (which connect the C-terminal end of \( \alpha \)-helix \( n-1 \) to the N-terminal end of \( \beta \)-strand \( n \)) are responsible to maintain protein stability. The presence of a catalytic face and a stability face makes the \((\beta/\alpha)_8\)-barrel fold highly evolvable, and the most common enzyme fold in nature [52]. As a consequence, \((\beta/\alpha)_8\)-barrel enzymes were the subject of several protein engineering efforts aimed at designing new or improved functionalities by reshuffling of individual \((\beta\alpha)\)-units or de novo design [52]. Here, we have engineered thermophilic/mesophilic constructs of EIC by merging the stability face of one enzyme with the catalytic face of the other enzyme (Figure 2). The newly designed proteins have hybrid structural properties, with the construct incorporating the scaffold of \( t \text{EIC} \) and the active loops of \( e \text{EIC} \) (\( et \text{EIC} \)) being highly flexible and thermostable, and the opposite hybrid (\( te \text{EIC} \)) displaying high rigidity and low thermal stability (Figure 2). Interestingly, functional characterization of our hybrid constructs revealed that \( et \text{EIC} \) catalyze PEP hydrolysis with \( \sim \)20 and \( \sim \)3 times higher \( k_{cat} \) than \( t \text{EIC} \) at 40 and 70 °C, respectively (Figure 6), suggesting that engineering hybrid mesophilic/thermophilic constructs might be a strategy to obtain highly stable \((\beta/\alpha)_8\)-barrel enzymes with significant low-temperature activity. In this respect, it should be noted that production of chimera proteins by hybridizing the active site of two enzymes it is not a trivial task as the hybrid constructs might sample unproductive regions of the conformational space [53]. This might be particularly true for \((\beta/\alpha)_8\)-barrel enzymes that display complex regulatory mechanisms linked to oligomerization equilibria [15] or to the eventual presence of binding sites for allosteric inhibitors and/or activators [15, 54]. As the kinetic and
thermodynamic parameters of these equilibria might be affected by swapping active site loops between thermophilic and mesophilic enzymes, the generality of the mesophilic/thermophilic hybridization strategy proposed here remains to be tested.

Methods

Protein expression and purification. *e*EIC and *te*EIC were cloned into a pET21a vector and were expressed and purified using protocols described previously for *e*EIC [14]. *t*EIC and *et*EIC were cloned into a vector incorporating a His-tagged EIN solubility tag at the N-terminus [55]. Expression and purification of *t*EIC and *et*EIC was performed as previously described for *t*EIC.[56] U-[²H,¹⁵N]/Ile(d₁)-¹³CH₃/Val, Leu-(¹³CH₃/¹₂C₂H₃)-labeled (for RD experiments) and U-[²H,¹⁵N,¹³C]/Ile(d₁)-¹³CH₃/Val, Leu-(¹³CH₃/¹₂C₂H₃)-labeled (for NMR resonance assignment experiments) EIC were prepared following standard protocols for specific isotopic labeling of the methyl groups in Ile, Leu, and Val side chains [57].

Thermal Unfolding and Circular Dichroism. The progress of temperature-induced unfolding was monitored at 222 nm in a 0.1 cm cuvette using a Jasco J-710 spectropolarimeter. The midpoint of the thermal unfolding transition (Tₘ) was calculated as the maximal value of the first derivative of the CD signal at 222 nm with respect to temperature. Protein concentration was ~0.4 mg/ml.

Analytical Ultracentrifugation. Sedimentation velocity experiments were conducted at 50,000 rpm and 20 °C on a Beckman Coulter ProteomeLab XLI analytical ultracentrifuge following standard protocols [58]. Samples of the EIC were studied at concentrations ranging from ~10 to 40 μM in 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 2 mM DTT, and 4 mM MgCl₂. Samples were loaded in standard 12 mm, 2-channel centerpiece cells, and data collected using both the absorbance (280 nm) and Rayleigh interference (655 nm) optical detection systems. Time-corrected [59] sedimentation data were analyzed in SEDFIT 16.01c [60] in terms of a continuous c(s) distribution of sedimenting species with a resolution of 0.05 S and a maximum entropy regularization confidence level of 0.68. The solution density, solution viscosity and protein partial specific volume were calculated in SEDNTERP [61], and sedimentation coefficients s were corrected to standard conditions s₂₀,w.
**X-ray crystallography.** Crystals of *etEIC* grew in well C12 of the Morpheus crystallization screen (Molecular Dimensions) using a 96-well hanging-drop vapor diffusion plate setup with 100 microliter reservoirs, 210 nanoliter drop volumes, 1:1 ratio of protein to reservoir solutions and incubated at 25 °C. The Morpheus C12 well solution consisted of 0.09 M NPS salts (Sodium nitrate, Sodium phosphate dibasic, Ammonium sulfate), 0.1 M Buffer System 3 (Tris, Bicine pH 8.5) and 50 % of Precipitant Mix 4 (25 % MPD, 25 %PEG 1000, 25 % PEG 3350). *etEIC* crystals did not require cryoprotection prior to flash-freezing. Crystals of *teEIC* grew in well C10 of the PACT premier Eco screen (Molecular Dimensions) using the same hanging drop plate setup as described above. The PACT C10 well solution consisted of 0.2 M Magnesium chloride hexahydrate, 0.1 M HEPES pH 7.0 and 20 % w/v PEG 6000. Prior to flash-freezing in liquid nitrogen, *teEIC* crystals were cryoprotected using mother liquor plus 15 % glycerol. Crystals of *eEIC* grew in well G8 of the XP Screen (MiTeGen, LLC) using a 96-well sitting-drop vapor diffusion plate setup with 50 microliter reservoirs, 210 nanoliter drop volume with a 1:1 ratio of protein to reservoir solutions and incubated at 18 °C. Well G8 of the XP Screen consisted of 1.0 M Lithium sulfate, 0.5 M Ammonium sulfate, 0.1 M tri-Sodium citrate (pH 5.6) and 1.0 mM TEW. Prior to flash-freezing in liquid nitrogen, *teEIC* crystals were cryoprotected using mother liquor plus 15 % glycerol.

X-ray diffraction data for *etEIC* and *teEIC* crystals were collected at the Advanced Light Source (Beamline 4.2.2), processed with XDS [62] and scaled with AIMLESS within the CCP4 software suite [63]. X-ray diffraction data for *eEIC* crystals were collected at the Advanced Photon Source (Beamline 23ID-D), processed with iMOSFLM [64] and scaled with AIMLESS within the CCP4 software suite. The crystal structures of *etEIC*, *teEIC*, and *eEIC* were solved by molecular replacement with the *teEIC* model (PBD ID code 2XZ7) [30] using the program Phaser [65]. Model building and refinement were carried out using PHENIX autobuild [66] and phenix.refine [67]. Coot [68] was used for graphical map inspection and manual refinement of coordinates. MolProbity was used for structural validation [69]. The atomic coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the RCSB Protein Data Bank, with the following PDB IDs, 6VBJ (*etEIC*), 6V9K (*teEIC*), and 6VU0 (*eEIC*). Statistics on the data collection and the final models are given in Supplementary Table S3.
Molecular Dynamics simulations. Molecular Dynamics simulations were performed using Gromacs 2018.3 [70] patched with PLUMED2.5 [71]. We used Charmm36 force field for the four enzyme variants. The CGENFF was used for generating the Charmm36 compatible field for the PEP ligands. The crystal structure of the \( tEIC \)-PEP complex [30] and the structural model of the \( tEIC \)-PEP complex [17] (see caption to Figure 1 for more details on the modelling) were used as the starting structure for the thermophilic and mesophilic enzyme, respectively. Starting coordinates for the PEP complexes with \( etEIC \) and \( teEIC \) were obtained by mutating the coordinate files of the \( tEIC \)-PEP and \( eEIC \)-PEP complexes, respectively, in CHARMM-GUI. All PEP bound enzyme structures were solvated with TIP3P water molecules in a rhombic dodecahedral box resulting in ~90,000 number of molecules. Appropriate number of Na\(^+\) and Cl\(^-\) were added to neutralize the system and set the bulk salt concentration at 130 mM.

The long-range electrostatic interactions were evaluated by using the particle mesh Ewald (PME) method. All the systems were first minimized for 10,000 steps via a steepest descent method. The system was then gradually heated up to 313 K in 200 ps subject to positional restraints. The restraint force constants were gradually decreased from \( 10^3 \) to 0 kJ nm\(^{-2}\) mol\(^{-1}\). For the production simulations we have kept harmonic restraints on PEP with force constant 40 kJ nm\(^{-2}\) mol\(^{-1}\) to restraint the ligand in fixed orientation enabling cleaner comparisons between free energy profiles of enzymes with modified sequences.

The rest of the degrees of freedom were fully unrestrained to evolve at 313 K with Nose-Hoover thermostat and a relaxation time of 0.5 ps. The pressure was maintained at 1 bar using the Parrinello-Rahman coupling scheme. The LINCS algorithm was employed to constrain the H-atoms bonds. The time step of the production simulations was 2.0 fs. The cutoff of the non-bonded interactions was set to 10 Å.

After 50 ns equilibration in NPT ensemble well-tempered metadynamics simulations of 1 \( \mu \)s duration were run with the distance between the center of mass of the phosphate group of PEP and the center of mass of the \( \varepsilon \)-ammonium group of Lys\(^{340}\) as the collective variable \((r_{K340-PEP})\). The parameters of 0.8 for hill height and 8 for the visa factor were used for carrying out well-tempered metadynamics runs. Plumed2.5 plugin was employed for monitoring and processing the results. To limit the comparison between near native states we have further imposed wall
potentials at 1.5 nm distance with harmonic potentials and a force constant of 1,500 kJ nm$^{-2}$ mol$^{-1}$.

Free energy difference between the active and inactive EIC conformations was computed by integrating potential of mean force over basins $r_{K340-PEP} = 2-5$ Å and $r_{K340-PEP} = 5-15$ Å, respectively. The differences are robust with respect to the choice of basins as long as they include all global and local minima. We note here that due to disordered and high entropy nature of the open state, the free energy difference is a fluctuating quantity the convergence of which has been assessed by obtaining stable mean of the free energy difference between open and closed forms which has been collected over the last 100 ns of the simulation. The Potential of Mean profiles report the averaged free energy profiles over last 100 ns showing the stable mean free energy differences between open and closed forms. See Supplementary Figure S10 for more details on simulated structures of enzymes and convergence assessment.

**NMR spectroscopy.** NMR samples were prepared in 20 mM Tris buffer, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 4 mM MgCl$_2$, 1 mM ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA), 2 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), and 90% H$_2$O/10% D$_2$O. The protein concentration (in subunits) was $\sim$1.0 mM for all protein detected experiments (unless stated otherwise).

NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker 800, 700, and 600 MHz spectrometers equipped with a z-shielded gradient triple resonance cryoprobes. Spectra were processed using NMRPipe [72] and analyzed using the program SPARKY. $^1$H-$^{15}$N TROSY (transverse relaxation optimized) [73] and methyl-TROSY [74] experiments have been acquired using previously described pulse schemes. Resonance assignments of the $^1$H-$^{15}$N TROSY and methyl-TROSY spectra of eEIC and tEIC were achieved by transferring the assignments obtained previously [14, 56, 75, 76]. Sequential $^1$H/$^{15}$N/$^{13}$C backbone assignment of the tEIC and eEIC was carried out using TROSY versions [77, 78] of conventional 3D triple resonance correlation experiments (HNCO, HNCA, HNCACB, HN(CO)CA, and HN(CO)CACB) [79]. Assignment of the $^1$H-$^{13}$C methyl correlations of eEIC and tEIC was performed using out-and-back experiments [75]. Only non-stereospecific assignments are obtained for the methyl groups of the Leu and Val residues of the EIC constructs analyzed here. Therefore, methyl-TROSY correlations for such resonances were arbitrarily assigned as $\delta_A$ and $\delta_B$ (for Leu), and $\gamma_A$ and $\gamma_B$ (for Val). Chemical
shifts assigned for etEIC and teEIC have been deposited in the BioMagResBank (accession no. 28080 and 28079, respectively).

Weighted combined $^1H/^{15}N$ ($\Delta_{HN}$) and $^1H/^{13}C$ ($\Delta_{HC}$) chemical shift perturbations resulting from the addition of PEP were calculated using the following equation: $\Delta_{HN/C} = ((\Delta\delta_H W_H)^2 + (\Delta\delta_{N,C} W_{N,C})^2)^{1/2}$ [80], where $W_H$, $W_N$, and $W_C$ are weighing factors for the $^1H$, $^{15}N$, and $^{13}C$ shifts, respectively ($W_H = |\gamma_H/\gamma_H| = 1$, $W_N = |\gamma_N/\gamma_H| = 0.101$, $W_C = |\gamma_C/\gamma_H| = 0.251$), $\Delta\delta_H$, $\Delta\delta_N$ and $\Delta\delta_C$ are the $^1H$, $^{15}N$ and $^{13}C$ chemical shift differences in ppm, respectively, between free and bound states, and $\gamma_H$, $\gamma_N$ and $\gamma_C$ are the $^1H$, $^{15}N$ and $^{13}C$ gyromagnetic ratios, respectively.

$^{15}N$ and $^{13}C_{methyl}$ RD experiments were conducted at multiple temperatures (see Results and discussion) using a pulse sequence that measures the exchange contribution for the TROSY component of the $^{15}N$ magnetization [81] or a pulse scheme for $^{13}C$ single quantum CPMG (Carr-Purcell-Meinboom-Gill) relaxation dispersion described by Kay and co-workers [82]. Off-resonance effects and pulse imperfections were minimized using a four-pulse phase scheme [83]. Experiments were performed at 600 and 800 MHz with a fixed relaxation delay but a changing number of refocusing pulses to achieve different effective CPMG fields [84]. The transverse relaxation periods were set to 60 and 30 ms for the $^{15}N$ and $^{13}C_{methyl}$ experiments, respectively. Experimental errors on the $R_2$ values were propagated from the errors on the signal intensity estimated using the program SPAKY. The resulting relaxation dispersion curves were fit to a two-state exchange model using the Carver–Richards equation [85]:

$$R_{2,eff}\left(\frac{1}{2\delta}\right) = \text{Re}(\lambda) - \frac{1}{4n\delta} \ln(Q)$$ (1)

with

$$\lambda_1 = R_{2,ex} + \frac{1}{2} \left( k_{ex} - \frac{1}{2\delta} \cosh^{-1}(D_+ \cosh\eta_+ - D_- \cos\eta_-) \right)$$ (2)

$$D_\pm = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\Psi + 2\Delta\omega_{N,C}^2}{\sqrt{\Psi^2 + \zeta^2}} \pm 1 \right)$$ (3)

$$\eta_\pm = \sqrt{2\delta} \sqrt{\frac{\Psi^2 + \zeta^2}{\Psi}} \pm \Psi$$ (4)
\[ \Psi = ((p_a - p_b)k_{ex})^2 - \Delta \omega_{N,C} + 4p_ap_bk_{ex}^2 \]  
\[ \zeta = -2\Delta \omega_{N,C}((p_a - p_b)k_{ex}) \]  
\[ Q = \text{Re}(1 - m_D^2 + m_Dm_Z - m_Z^2 + (1/2)(m_D + m_Z)\sqrt{p_b/p_a}) \]  
\[ m_D = \frac{ik_{ex}\sqrt{p_ap_b}}{d_zz_z}\left(z_z + 2\Delta \omega_{N,C}\frac{\sin(z_z \delta)}{\sin((d_z + z_z)\delta)}\right) \]  
\[ d_z = \Delta \omega_C \pm ik_{ex}, \quad z_z = -\Delta \omega_{N,C} \pm ik_{ex} \]  
\[ (9) \]

Here, \( R_{2,\text{eff}} \) is the measured \( ^{15}\text{N} \) or \( ^{13}\text{C} \) transverse relaxation rate; \( R_{2,\text{int}} \) is the \( ^{15}\text{N} \) or \( ^{13}\text{C} \) transverse relaxation rate in the absence of conformational exchange; \( n \) is the number of 180° refocusing pulses in the CPMG train; \( 2\delta \) is the spacing between the centers of successive 180° pulses; \( k_{ex} \) is the exchange rate constant; \( p_a \) and \( p_b \) are the fractional populations of the major and minor species, respectively; \( \Delta \omega_{N,C} \) is the nitrogen or carbon chemical shift differences between the two conformational states in rad s\(^{-1}\).

The optimization was run using \( p_b \) and \( k_{ex} \) as global parameters. \( p_a \) was calculated using the formula \( p_a = 1 - p_b \). \( R_{2,\text{int}} \) and \( \Delta \omega_{N,C} \) were fit as a peak specific parameters (i.e. each RD curve will have its own \( R_{2,\text{int}} \) and \( \Delta \omega_{N,C} \)).

In order to reduce the number of adjustable parameters in the fit, the RD curves measured for each EIC construct at four different temperatures were fit simultaneously. In this global fitting procedure, the residue specific \( \Delta \omega_{N,C} \)'s were treated as temperature independent parameters, while \( k_{ex} \) and \( p_b \) at each temperature were calculated from the activation (\( \Delta'^{2}\text{G} \)) and standard (\( \Delta \text{G} \)) free energy of the conformational equilibrium using the formulas:

\[ k_{ex} = \frac{k_BT}{h} e^{\frac{\Delta \text{G}}{RT}} \]  
\[ (11) \]

and
\[ p_b = \frac{K_{eq}}{1 + K_{eq}} \]  

(12)

with

\[ K_{eq} = e^{\frac{\Delta G}{RT}} \]  

(13)

where \( T \) is the temperature in Kelvin, \( R \) is universal gas constant, and \( K_{eq} \) is the equilibrium constant for the conformational change.

**Enzymatic assays.** Enzymatic activity of \( e\text{EIC}, t\text{EIC}, e\text{tEIC}, \) and \( t\text{eEIC} \) for degradation of PEP to inorganic phosphate, phospho-imidazole, and pyruvate was assayed by real-time \(^1\text{H} \) NMR by monitoring the disappearance of the alkene proton signals (Supplementary Figure S7). The reaction mixtures were prepared in 20 mM Tris buffer, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 4 mM MgCl\(_2\), 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM DTT, 1mM Trimethylsilylpropanoic acid (TSP), and 99.99% D\(_2\)O. Enzyme concentration was 50 µM for \( e\text{EIC}, t\text{EIC} \) and \( e\text{tEIC} \), and 80 µM for \( t\text{eEIC} \). Enzymatic assays were run in duplicate, and the integrals of the signals measured for the alkene protons were converted to mM units by reference to the internal standard TSP. Integration of the NMR signals was performed using the software MNova (https://mestrelab.com/download/mnova/). Initial velocities were determined from the linear portion of the progress curves and were fit using the Michaelis-Menten equation (Supplementary Figure S7). As at temperatures approaching \( T_m \) the enzyme may unfold and aggregate, the concentration of EIC was estimated before and after each enzymatic reaction by gel electrophoresis.

**Acknowledgments**

We thank Dr. Julien Roche for critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported by funds from NIGMS R35GM133488 (to V.V.), from the Roy J. Carver Charitable Trust (to V.V.),

**ACCESSION NUMBERS:** Coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank with accession numbers 6VU0, 6VBJ, and 6V9K
and from the Intramural Research Program of the NIH, the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Disease (NIDDK) (to R.G.). Beamline 4.2.2 of the Advanced Light Source, a DOE Office of Science User Facility under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231, is supported in part by the ALS-ENABLE program funded by the National Institutes of Health, National Institute of General Medical Sciences, grant P30 GM124169-01. We specifically thank Jay Nix (ALS) for assistance with instrumentation, data collection and processing. Additionally, this research used resources of the Advanced Photon Source (Beamline 23ID-D), a U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science User Facility operated for the DOE Office of Science by Argonne National Laboratory under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. D.A.P. acknowledges the financial support as a Carlyle G. Caldwell Endowed Chair of the Department of Chemistry, Iowa State University.

**Author contributions**

R.R.D. and V.V. designed the research; R.R.D., T.T.N., C.E.S., R.G., D.A.P., and V.V. performed the research; R.R.D., T.T.N., C.E.S., R.G., D.A.P., and V.V. analyzed the data; R.R.D and V.V. wrote the manuscript.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Data availability**

All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are present in the paper and/or the Supplementary Materials. Additional data related to this paper may be requested from the authors.

**References**


Caption to Figures

Figure 1. EI conformational rearrangements during catalysis. (a) Structural model of PEP bound in the active site of closed EI. Modelling was performed based on the crystal structure of the tEI-PEP complex [30] and on the X-ray structure of a phosphorylated eEI intermediate captured immediately after the autophosphorylation reaction [20]. Details on the modelling are provided elsewhere [17]. The positioning of PEP and of the His\textsuperscript{189} side-chain allows in-line phosphoryl transfer from PEP bound to the EIC domain to the EIN domain. PEP, the side-chain of His\textsuperscript{189}, and side-chains of residues from the EIC domain that interact with the phosphate group of PEP are shown as solid sticks. The magnesium ion is displayed as a yellow sphere. The backbone of the EIN and EIC domains are shown as transparent blue and salmon tubes, respectively. The second subunit is shown as a transparent orange surface. (b) Schematic view of EI conformational equilibria. The EIN and EIC domains are colored blue and red, respectively. The PEP molecule is colored green.

Figure 2. Design of thermophilic/mesophilic EIC hybrids. (a) Crystal structure of tEIC-PEP complex. Active site loops are shown as red tubes. Protein scaffold is shown as blue tubes. PEP is displayed as green spheres. The second subunit is shown as a transparent orange surface. (b) 800 MHz exchange contribution to the transverse relaxation rates ($R_{ex}$) measured for eIEC and tEIC and 25 and 40 °C, respectively, are plotted on the EIC structure as spheres with the relationship between size and color of each sphere and $R_{ex}$ value depicted by the color bar. $R_{ex}$ values are displayed on one subunit of the EIC dimer. The second subunit is shown as a transparent surface. (c) Examples of typical 800 MHz relaxation dispersion data measured for eEIC (left panel) and tEIC (right panel) at four different temperatures. Data are shown for the Leu\textsuperscript{342}-δ methyl group (top) and Phe\textsuperscript{347} amide group (bottom) of eEIC, and for the Leu\textsuperscript{362}-δ methyl group (top) and Gly\textsuperscript{337} amide group (bottom) of tEIC. Experimental data are represented by filled-in circles. Best-fit curves are shown as solid lines. Different colors correspond to different temperatures (blue, green, orange, and red correspond to 25, 30, 35, and 40 °C, respectively, for eEIC, and to 40, 50, 60, and 70 °C, respectively, for tEIC). Similar plots for all the analyzed NMR signals are shown in Supplementary Figures S3-S6. Fitted $p_b$ (d) and $k_{ex}$ (e) for the expanded-to-compact equilibrium in apo eEIC (blue), tEIC (red), etEIC (orange), and teEIC (green) are plotted versus the experimental temperature. Experimental data are represented
by filled-in circles. Modeling of the experimental data using the van’t Hoff (for $p_b$) and Eyring (for $k_{ex}$) equations are shown as solid lines. Vertical dashed lines are at the optimal PTS temperatures for $e$EIC (37 °C) and $t$EIC (65 °C). Fits performed without enforcing Eyring and van’t Hoff temperature dependence for $k_{ex}$ and $p_b$, respectively, are shown in Supplementary Figure S2. (f) Temperature-induced unfolding of $e$EIC (blue), $t$EIC (red), $et$EIC (orange), and $te$EIC (green).

**Figure 3. NMR analysis of holo $t$EIC.** (a) Weighted combined chemical shift perturbations ($\Delta_{H/N,C}$) induced by 10 mM PEP on the $^1$H-$^{15}$N and $^1$H-$^{13}$C methyl TROSY correlation spectra of $t$EIC displayed on the structure of the $t$EIC-PEP complex as spheres with the relationship between size and color of each sphere and chemical shift perturbation depicted by the color bar. $\Delta_{H/N,C}$ values are displayed on one subunit of the EIC dimer. The second subunit is shown as a transparent surface. (b) The $\Delta\omega_{N,C}$ parameters obtained from the fits of the RD data on apo $t$EIC are plotted versus the $^{15}$N and $^{13}$C chemical shift perturbations ($\Delta N,C$) induced by addition of 10 mM PEP to $t$EIC at 70 °C. Tyr$^{357}$ and Ala$^{359}$ show a poor agreement between $\Delta\omega_N$ and $\Delta N$. These residues are adjacent to Arg$^{358}$ that establishes salt-bridges with the phosphate group of PEP. Therefore, the presence of PEP is likely to induce additional perturbations to the $^{15}$N chemical shift of Tyr$^{357}$ and Ala$^{359}$ that are not reflected in the corresponding $\Delta\omega_N$ (which is fitted from RD data on the apo protein). (c) $\Delta_{H/N,C}$ measured at different temperatures for $t$EIC are averaged over all the NMR peaks and plotted versus the population of compact state obtained by fitting the RD data on the apo protein. Data measured at 40, 50, 60, and 70 °C are shown as blue, green, orange, and red circles, respectively. Linear regression of the data is shown as a solid black line. (d) Close-up view of the $^1$H-$^{15}$N TROSY spectrum of $t$EIC showing the effect of increasing concentrations of PEP on selected cross-peaks at 40 °C. The color code is as follows: red, 0 mM PEP; orange, 0.2 mM PEP; yellow, 0.5 mM PEP; green, 1.0 mM PEP; blue, 2.0 mM PEP. The fact that peak position follows a linear path upon increasing PEP concentration indicates that the free and bound forms of $t$EIC are in fast exchange.[86] (e) Exchange contribution to the transverse relaxation rates ($R_{ex}$) at 800 MHz and 40 °C measured for samples of $t$EIC in the absence (black) and in the presence (red) of 50 mM PEP. As PEP is slowly degraded by the enzyme, RD data for holo $t$EIC were measured in the presence of a large excess of substrate and using a low protein concentration (300 μM) and a low number of scans (16) for NMR signal
averaging. Therefore, we ascribe the small fluctuations in $R_{ex}$ observed for holo $tEIC$ to experimental error.

**Figure 4. Active site loops of EIC.** Close-up view of the active site loops of $eEIC$ highlighting the position of (a) residues involved in binding of the substrate and metal cofactor and (b) the 21 single point mutations performed to engineer the thermophilic/mesophilic EIC hybrids. PEP and relevant side-chains are shown as solid sticks. Backbone amides in contact with PEP are shown as spheres. The magnesium ion is displayed as a yellow sphere. The $\beta2\alpha2$, $\beta3\alpha3$, and $\beta6\alpha6$ loops are colored yellow, light blue, and purple, respectively. The second subunit is not shown. Note that residues 278 and 279 do not belong to the active site loops of EIC but were mutated in the hybrids because they face the $\beta2\alpha2$ loop in the three-dimensional structure of the enzyme.

**Figure 5. Crystal structures of EIC.** (a) Overlay of the crystal structure of $eEIC$ (blue), $tEIC$ (red), $etEIC$ (orange), and $teEIC$ (green). Subunit 1 and 2 are indicated with bright and pale colors, respectively. (b) Close up view of the active site loops in $eEIC$ (blue), $tEIC$ (red), $etEIC$ (orange), and $teEIC$ (green). Statistics for crystal structure of $eEIC$, $etEIC$, and $teEIC$ (obtained in this work) are shown in Supplementary Table S3. (c) Electron density maps ($2fo$-$fc$) of the active site loops in $eEIC$ (left), $etEIC$ (center), and $teEIC$ (right) are shown as a gray mesh contoured at 0.5$\sigma$. (d) $c(s)$ distributions for $eEIC$ (top-left), $tEIC$ (top-right), $etEIC$ (bottom-left), and $teEIC$ (bottom-right) obtained at different loading concentrations (ranging from ~50 to 10 $\mu$M) based on sedimentation velocity absorbance data collected at 50 kilo-revolutions per minute and 20 °C (see Methods). The sedimentation experiments indicate that EIC is dimeric for all tested constructs within the tested concentration range. Peaks at $S_{20,W} < 4$ S that do not show concentration dependent $c(s)$ absorbance profiles (i.e. they do not report on the monomer–dimer equilibrium) are attributed to small amounts of contaminants in the AUC sample. (e) Number of interatomic contacts among heavy atoms in the active site loops of $eEIC$ (blue), $tEIC$ (red), $etEIC$ (orange), and $teEIC$ (green). Contacts for atom $i$ were calculated as the number of heavy atoms within a distance of 5 Å from atom $i$. Data displayed in bar graph are the sum of intrasubunit and intersubunit contacts. NMR spectra for all EIC constructs and chemical shift perturbations introduced by the 21 single-point mutations are shown in Supplementary Figures S8 and S9.

**Figure 6. Temperature dependence of PEP hydrolysis.** (a) Turnover number ($k_{cat}$) versus temperature for the PEP hydrolysis reaction catalyzed by $eEIC$ (blue), $tEIC$ (red), $etEIC$ (orange), and $teEIC$ (green). Experimental data are shown as filled-in circles. Modeling of the experimental data using the Eyring equation is shown as solid lines. Vertical dashed lines are at
the optimal PTS temperatures for \(eEIC\) (37 °C) and \(tEIC\) (65 °C). (b) \(k_{\text{cat}}\) values are plotted versus the corresponding \(k_{\text{ex}}\) values (i.e. \(k_{\text{cat}}\) measured for \(eEIC\) at 40 °C is plotted versus \(k_{\text{ex}}\) measured for \(eEIC\) at 40 °C). Blue, red, orange, and green circles are for \(eEIC\), \(tEIC\), \(etEIC\), and \(teEIC\), respectively. The value of \(k_{\text{ex}}\) at 70 °C for \(etEIC\) was calculated using the Eyring equation and the \(\Delta H^\ddagger\) and \(\Delta S^\ddagger\) values reported in Supplementary Table S1.

**Figure 7.** Conformational heterogeneity regulates EIC activity. (a) Turnover number (\(k_{\text{cat}}\)) versus imidazole concentration for PEP degradation (i.e. the sum of PEP hydrolysis and imidazole phosphorylation, see Supplementary Figure S7c) catalyzed by \(eEIC\) (blue), \(tEIC\) (red), \(etEIC\) (orange), and \(teEIC\) (green). Experimental data are shown as filled-in circles. Linear regressions are shown as dashed lines. (b) \(k_{\text{cat}}\) values reported in (a) are rescaled according to 
\[ k_{\text{cat}}^\text{active} = k_{\text{cat}} / p_{\text{active}} \]
were \(p_{\text{active}}\) is the fractional population of activated EIC and \(k_{\text{cat}}^\text{active}\) is the turnover number associated with EIC in the active conformation. (c) The coordinates of \(eEIC\) from the crystal structure of phosphorylated, closed \(eEI\) (that was used to generate the atomic-resolution model of the \(eEIC\)-PEP complex, see caption to Figure 1a) are superimposed onto the crystal structure of the \(tEIC\)-PEP complex. The active site loops are colored blue and red for \(eEIC\) and \(tEIC\), respectively. Positively charged side-chains coordinating PEP are shown as solid sticks. PEP is shown as spheres. The magnesium ion is shown as a yellow sphere. The second subunit is shown as transparent surface. The distance between the phosphate group of PEP and the \(\varepsilon\)-ammonium group of Lys\(^{340}\) (\(r_{K340-PEP}\)) is shown as a dashed green line. (d) PEP concentration versus time measured on samples containing 3 mM PEP and 50 µM enzyme. Data in the top panel are for the wild-type \(eEIC\) (filled-in blue circles) and the \(eEIC\) Lys\(^{340}\)Ala mutant (open blue circles) at 40 °C. Data on the bottom panel are for the wild-type \(tEIC\) (filled-in red circles) and the \(tEIC\) Lys\(^{340}\)Ala mutant (open red circles) at 70 °C. Linear regressions of the data are shown as solid or dashed lines for the wild-type and mutant enzymes, respectively. (e) Potential of mean force versus \(r_{K340-PEP}\) obtained from 1-\(\mu\)s MTD simulations run on the complexes formed by PEP with \(eEIC\) (blue), \(tEIC\) (red), \(etEIC\) (orange), and \(teEIC\) (green). Values of \(p_{\text{active}}\) were obtained by integrating \(F(r_{K340-PEP})\) over \(r_{K340-PEP} < 5 \text{ Å}\) (gray box).
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(a) Graph showing the relationship between $k_{\text{cat}}$ (s$^{-1}$) and temperature ($T$ °C) for different EIC classes: eEIC (blue), tEIC (red), etEIC (orange), and teEIC (green). The graph highlights 37°C and 65°C.

(b) Graph showing the relationship between $k_{\text{ex}}$ (s$^{-1}$) and $k_{\text{cat}}$. The calculated $k_{\text{ex}}$ is indicated by a dashed line with $R^2 = 0.9603$. The graph includes data points for eEIC, tEIC, etEIC, and teEIC.
Figure 7

(c) Active site in holo EIC exists in an active and an inactive form

- $k_{cat}$ (s$^{-1}$) for various conditions
- $r_{K340-PEP}$ is 5 and 10 Å in the active and inactive form, respectively

(d) Comparison of WT and K340A mutant at different temperatures

(e) Time course of $P_{active}$ for different conditions
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