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Structure elucidation of the elusive Enzyme I monomer reveals the molecular mechanisms linking oligomerization and enzymatic activity

Abstract
Enzyme I (EI) is a phosphotransferase enzyme responsible for converting phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) into pyruvate. This reaction initiates a five-step phosphorylation cascade in the bacterial phosphotransferase (PTS) transduction pathway. Under physiological conditions, EI exists in an equilibrium between a functional dimer and an inactive monomer. The monomer–dimer equilibrium is a crucial factor regulating EI activity and the phosphorylation state of the overall PTS. Experimental studies of EI’s monomeric state have yet been hampered by the dimer’s high thermodynamic stability, which prevents its characterization by standard structural techniques. In this study, we modified the dimerization domain of EI (EIC) by mutating three amino acids involved in the formation of intersubunit salt bridges. The engineered variant forms an active dimer in solution that can bind and hydrolyze PEP. Using hydrostatic pressure as an additional perturbation, we were then able to study the complete dissociation of the variant from 1 bar to 2.5 kbar in the absence and the presence of EI natural ligands. Backbone residual dipolar couplings collected under high-pressure conditions allowed us to determine the conformational ensemble of the isolated EIC monomeric state in solution. Our calculations reveal that three catalytic loops near the dimerization interface become unstructured upon monomerization, preventing the monomeric enzyme from binding its natural substrate. This study provides an atomic-level characterization of EI’s monomeric state and highlights the role of the catalytic loops as allosteric connectors controlling both the activity and oligomerization of the enzyme.

Keywords
phosphotransferase system, carbon metabolism, solution NMR, enzyme regulation, high pressure

Disciplines
Biochemistry | Medicinal-Pharmaceutical Chemistry | Molecular Biology

Comments
This article is published as Nguyen, Trang T., Rodolfo Ghirlando, Julien Roche, and Vincenzo Venditti. "Structure elucidation of the elusive Enzyme I monomer reveals the molecular mechanisms linking oligomerization and enzymatic activity." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 118, no. 20 (2021): e2100298118. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.2100298118.

This article is available at Iowa State University Digital Repository: https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/chem_pubs/1312
Structure elucidation of the elusive Enzyme I monomer reveals the molecular mechanisms linking oligomerization and enzymatic activity

Trang T. Nguyen, Rodolfo Ghirlanda, Julien Roche, and Vincenzo Venditti

*Department of Chemistry, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011; †Laboratory of Molecular Biology, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, NIH, Bethesda, MD 20892; and ‡Roy J. Carver Department of Biochemistry, Biophysics and Molecular Biology, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011

Edited by Michael F. Summers, University of Maryland, Baltimore County, Baltimore, MD, and approved April 13, 2021 (received for review January 7, 2021)

Enzyme I (EI) is a phosphotransferase enzyme responsible for converting phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) into pyruvate. This reaction initiates a five-step phosphorylation cascade in the bacterial phosphotransferase (PTS) transduction pathway. Under physiological conditions, EI exists in an equilibrium between a functional dimer and an inactive monomer. The monomer–dimer equilibrium is a crucial factor regulating EI activity and the phosphorylation state of the overall PTS. Experimental studies of EI’s monomeric state have yet been hampered by the dimer’s high thermodynamic stability, which prevents its characterization by standard structural techniques. In this study, we modified the dimerization domain of EI (EIC) by mutating three amino acids involved in the formation of intersubunit salt bridges. The engineered variant forms an active dimer in solution that can bind and hydrolyze PEP. Using hydrostatic pressure as an additional perturbation, we were then able to study the complete dissociation of the variant from 1 bar to 2.5 kbar in the absence and the presence of EI natural ligands. Backbone residual dipolar couplings collected under high-pressure conditions allowed us to determine the conformational ensemble of the isolated EIC monomeric state in solution. Our calculations reveal that three catalytic loops near the dimerization interface become unstructured upon monomerization, preventing the monomeric enzyme from binding its natural substrate. This study provides an atomic-level characterization of EI’s monomeric state and highlights the role of the catalytic loops as allosteric connectors controlling both the activity and oligomerization of the enzyme.
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The carbohydrate phosphotransferase system (PTS) is a key signal transduction pathway controlling the central carbon metabolism and playing important roles in the regulation of several other cellular functions in bacteria [i.e., chemotaxis, biofilm formation, catalytic gene expression, potassium transport, and inducer exclusion (1–5)]. The PTS comprises a sequential cascade of protein–protein interactions whereby a phosphor group originating from phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) is transferred onto incoming sugars, thereby coupling phosphoryl transfer to active sugar transport across the membrane. There are several sugar-specific branches of the PTS, but all require Enzyme I (EI) to initiate the phosphoryl transfer cascade (3). Binding of PEP to the C-terminal domain of EI (EIC) initiates the PTS by inducing a series of intra- and interdomain conformational rearrangements (6–13) that result in phosphorylation of EI N-terminal domain (EIN) and subsequent transfer of the phosphor group to the histidine phosphocarrier protein HPr. Thereafter, the phosphor group is transferred from HPr to the sugar-specific enzymes II and ultimately onto the incoming sugar. Interestingly, EI is ubiquitous and one of the best-conserved proteins in both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria and does not share any significant sequence similarity with eukaryotic proteins, making EI a possible target for the development of wide-spectrum antimicrobials (14–19). In addition, owing to its central metabolic function and complex regulatory role, EI is a frequent target of metabolic engineering efforts (20–24) and a model system for studying the flux of metabolites across different metabolic networks (25, 26).

The functional form of EI is a ∼128-kDa dimer of identical subunits comprising two structurally and functionally distinct domains (27). The EIN domain (residues 1 to 249) contains the site of phosphorylation (His189) and the binding site for HPr. The EIC domain (residues 261 to 575) is responsible for dimerization and contains the binding site for PEP. The EIN and EIC domains are connected by a long helical linker (Fig. L4) (27). EI undergoes a series of large-scale conformational rearrangements during its catalytic cycle, including the following: 1) a monomer–dimer transition (6, 13), 2) an expanded-to-compact conformational change within EIC (7, 8), and 3) an open-to-close transition describing a reorientation of EIN relative to EIC (8, 9, 11, 12). Binding of PEP to EIC shifts the conformational equilibria toward the catalytically competent dimer/compact/closed form and activates the enzyme for catalysis (6, 8). As both oligomeric states are present at physiological concentrations of EI (1 to 10 μM) (28), the monomer–dimer equilibrium of the enzyme has often been suggested to be a major regulatory element for PTS (6, 13). Despite the crucial role of the monomer–dimer equilibrium of EI in regulating bacterial metabolism, very little is known about the changes in structure, dynamics, and binding properties undergone upon dimerization. Using a combination of protein engineering and pressure perturbation, we isolated EI’s monomeric state and characterized its structure in solution by NMR spectroscopy. Our study provides an atomic-level description of the structural changes accompanying EI dimerization and opens perspectives for the design of allosteric inhibitors.

Significance

Enzyme I (EI) is a central player in bacterial metabolism that controls carbon uptake, virulence, and biofilm formation of bacterial cells. Conserved in gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria but absent in eukaryotes, EI is a promising target for antimicrobial design. EI’s monomer–dimer equilibrium plays a crucial role in regulating enzymatic activity, yet very little is known about the changes in structure, dynamics, and substrate-binding properties undergone upon dimerization. Using a combination of protein engineering and pressure perturbation, we isolated EI’s monomeric state and characterized its structure in solution by NMR spectroscopy. Our study provides an atomic-level description of the structural changes accompanying EI dimerization and opens perspectives for the design of allosteric inhibitors.
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and substrate-binding properties that EI undergoes upon monomerization. Therefore, understanding the structural changes upon monomerization would 1) reveal how the coupling among intersubunit conformational dynamics mediates allostery and cooperativity in a functionally dimeric protein, 2) provide new insights toward the engineering of new bacterial strains for microbial production of chemicals, and 3) indicate new routes for the discovery of antimicrobials that inhibit EI. Yet, at the high-protein concentration required by standard structural techniques such as X-ray crystallography or NMR spectroscopy, EI is almost exclusively dimeric (dissociation constant, \( K_d \)) data measured for wt-EIC at 1 bar (blue), wt-EIC at 2 kbar (green), 3m-EIC at 1 bar (orange), and 3m-EIC at 2 kbar (red) are plotted versus the residue index. The average \( R_2/R_1 \) value of each construct is shown as a solid line. (D) Normalized signal intensities are averaged over all NMR cross-peaks displaying distinct signals for the monomer and dimer species during the pressure titration on 3m-EIC (i.e., B). The normalized averaged intensities for the wt-EIC dimer (blue circles), 3m-EIC dimer (orange circles), and 3m-EIC monomer (red circles) are plotted versus the external pressure (note that no NMR signal is observable for the wt-EIC monomer in the tested pressure range). The normalized averaged intensities were calculated using 54 peaks from the dimer interface. (E) The fractional population of dimer obtained by pressure titration experiments on 3m-EIC in the absence of ligands (red circles) and in the presence of 20-mM α-KG (orange circles) or PEP (blue circles) is plotted versus the external pressure. The modeled curves (SI Appendix, Supporting Materials and Methods) are shown as solid lines.

**Results**

A Stable and Well-Folded Monomer of EIC Can Be Isolated at High Pressure. In this study, we focused on the EIC domain of EI from a thermophilic organism (*Thermoanaerobacter tengcongensis*), hereafter called wt-EIC. We chose the thermophilic EIC over its mesophilic homolog because it is more stable in solution and more resilient to structural modifications (34, 35). The full chemical shift assignment of thermophilic EIC is available, and besides differences in active site loop dynamics, its sequence and crystal structure are very close to those of the EIC from *Escherichia coli* (60% similarity; backbone rmsd = 1 Å) (34, 36, 37). We therefore think that wt-EIC is a good model for studying the dimer-to-monomer transition of EI. Inspection of wt-EIC dimerization interface revealed the presence of salt bridge interactions that stabilize the dimeric state of the enzyme. These include salt bridges between R400 and E557 and between D440 and R483 (Fig. 1A). To destabilize the dimerization interface, we mutated R400 into a glutamic acid residue (R400E) and D440 to a glutamate residue (D440E) and D440 into an arginine residue (D440R). We further destabilized the interface by introducing a third mutation (R559E), a residue nearby the original salt bridge partner of D400. We first verified if our engineered mutant, henceforth called 3m-EIC, still associates as an active dimer at atmospheric pressure. Analytical ultracentrifugation (AUC) experiments (SI Appendix, Fig. 1A and B) demonstrate that 3m-EIC associates as a dimer at atmospheric pressure with a \( K_d \) comparable to that of the wt-EIC, which is consistent with the observation that solvent-exposed ion pairs (like the salt bridges mutated here) have a modest stability at ambient pressure (38). In addition, enzymatic activity assays (SI Appendix, Fig. S1C) demonstrate that the three engineered mutations don’t affect the ability of the enzyme to bind and hydrolyze PEP. In this respect, it is important to emphasize that PEP hydrolysis catalyzed by EI proceeds via the same mechanism as the phosphoryl transfer reaction catalyzed by the full-length EI.
(the only difference being a water molecule acting as the nucleophile instead of the H1189 residue from the EIN domain) and that the enzymatic assays in SI Appendix, Fig. S1C are run at experimental conditions in which both wt-EIC and 3m-EIC are fully dimeric.

Next, we investigated the conformational changes experienced by wt-EIC and 3m-EIC under pressure by monitoring the spectral changes in two-dimensional (2D) $^1$H-$^15$N transverse relaxation optimized–heteronuclear single quantum coherence spectroscopy (TROSY-HSQC) experiments from 1 bar to 2.5 kbar. When pressurized, protein NMR spectra typically display two types of changes:

1) chemical shift changes and 2) peak intensity changes. The first type of pressure-induced perturbation informs on conformational changes on fast time scale (relative to NMR time scale) typically due to changes in protein surface–water interface and/or small compression of protein native conformations (39). On the other hand, the second type of perturbation (i.e., peak intensity changes) points to major conformational transitions on a slow time scale (e.g., changes in folded/unfolded state populations or monomer/dimer populations) (32, 33, 40). While both wt-EIC and 3m-EIC displayed chemical shift changes under pressure, significant peak intensity changes were only observed for 3m-EIC, suggesting that this variant undergoes major conformational transitions from 1 bar to 2.5 kbar (Fig. 1B). Since the 2D $^1$H-$^15$N spectrum of 3m-EIC collected at 2 kbar shows no evidence of unfolding (SI Appendix, Fig. S2), the major peak intensity changes observed for this variant were interpreted as pressure-induced dissociation of the native dimer into monomers.

To confirm that our engineered variant is monomeric at 2 kbar, we investigated the picosecond to nanosecond time scale dynamics of wt-EIC and 3m-EIC by measuring the transverse relaxation ($^1$H-$^15$N) and longitudinal ($^1$N-$^1$H) relaxation rates at atmospheric and high-pressure conditions (Fig. 1C). The $R_2/R_1$ ratio can be used to estimate the protein rotational correlation time ($\tau_m$) (41). We found that the average $R_2/R_1$ ratios measured for wt-EIC were not affected by pressure, with average values of $107 \pm 7$ and $102 \pm 10$ at 1 bar and 2 kbar, respectively, which translate into a $\tau_m \sim 25$ ns that is close to the value predicted for the EIC dimer based on Stokes’ Law (∼29 ns). At atmospheric pressure, the engineered variant 3m-EIC displays average $R_2/R_1$ ratios comparable to that of wt-EIC ($R_2/R_1 = 122 \pm 30$, for an estimated $\tau_m$ of 26 ns). Remarkably, the relaxation data measured for 3m-EIC at 2 kbar are drastically different from those measured at atmospheric pressure, with an average $R_2/R_1$ ratio of $33 \pm 4$ and a $\tau_m \sim 13$ ns that is consistent with the rotational correlation time predicted for the monomeric EIC (15 ns) (Fig. 1C). Altogether, these relaxation experiments confirm that the dimeric 3m-EIC dissociates under pressure into a stable monomeric conformation that can be isolated at 2 kbar.

Thermodynamics of EIC Dimer-To-Monomer Transition. Using the collection of 2D $^1$H-$^15$N TROSY-HSQC spectra collected from 1 bar to 2.5 kbar for wt-EIC and 3m-EIC, we globally fitted the average change of cross-peak intensity as a function of pressure with a simple two-state dimer-to-monomer model. As shown in Fig. 1D for the variant 3m-EIC, both the intensity of the native dimer cross-peaks (in orange) and intensity of new cross-peaks attributed to the monomeric conformation (in red) can be accurately fitted with our model. These results show that the midpoint of the dimer-to-monomer transition occurs for 3m-EIC at around 1.3 kbar and that at 2 kbar, the engineered variant is predominantly (>95%) monomeric. On the other hand, wt-EIC (in blue) is only moderately affected by pressure with at least 70% of the dimeric population subsisting at 2.5 kbar (Fig. 1D). We also compared the stability of 3m-EIC under pressure in the absence and in the presence of either PEP or α-ketoglutarate (α-KG). PEP is the natural substrate of Ei in the PTS pathway, while the small-molecule metabolite α-KG acts as an allosteric stimulator or competitive inhibitor depending on the oligomeric state of the enzyme (6). Both PEP and α-KG have been shown to decrease the equilibrium dissociation constant for EIC dimerization by more than 10-fold (4). By fitting the changes of cross-peak intensities from a series of 2D $^1$H-$^15$N TROSY-HSQC spectra collected from 1 bar to 2.5 kbar for apo 3m-EIC in the absence and in the presence of PEP or α-KG, we extracted the changes in dimerization free energy ($\Delta G$), in partial volume ($\Delta V$), and the extrapolated dimer dissociation constants at atmospheric pressure ($K_d$) (Fig. 1E and SI Appendix, Table S1).

We found that the $K_d$ of the apo 3m-EIC is very small, about 0.1 nM, which is consistent with the $K_d$ of ∼5 nM previously reported for the E. coli EIC (42) (the slight difference likely reflects the increased stability of the thermophilic EIC compared to its mesophilic homolog). The addition of PEP and α-KG leads to a decrease in $K_d$ by 2 and 3 orders of magnitude, respectively, and a concomitant increase in $\Delta G$ by more than ∼1 and ∼2 kcal, respectively (SI Appendix, Table S1). These data confirm that the engineered mutations in 3m-EIC modify the network of interactions at the dimerization interface, rendering it more susceptible to pressure perturbation without drastically affecting its dissociation constant at atmospheric pressure. These results also highlight that the allostery coupling between the substrate-binding site and the dimerization interface, which is a hallmark of EI activity (6), is still effective in 3m-EIC, as evidenced by the dimer stabilization upon addition of PEP and α-KG.

Three Disordered Catalytic Loops Prevent PEP from Binding to EIC in the Monomeric State. To characterize structural changes associated with the dimer-to-monomer transition of 3m-EIC, we collected backbone amide $^1$D$_{NH}$ residual dipolar coupling (RDC) data at 1 bar and 2 kbar using Pfi phage as an alignment medium. Singular value decomposition (SVD) fitting of the 1 bar $^1$D$_{NH}$ RDCs from well-defined secondary structures to the X-ray coordinates of a single subunit and of the full dimer of wt-EIC (PDB: 2XZ7) (35) yielded R-factors of 27 and 28%, respectively (SI Appendix, Fig. S5, Lower Left). These good correlations between experimental and back-calculated RDC data indicate that the tertiary and quaternary structures of 3m-EIC at 1 bar are similar to those observed in the X-ray structure of wt-EIC. On the other hand, the $^1$D$_{NH}$ RDCs measured at 2 kbar are in poor agreement with the crystal structure of wt-EIC (R-factor, ∼57%) (SI Appendix, Fig. S5, Lower Right), indicating that monomerization of 3m-EIC upon increasing pressure is coupled to a change in the tertiary fold. As a control, we also measured a set of $^1$D$_{NH}$ RDCs in the same alignment medium for wt-EIC at 1 bar and 2 kbar. For both datasets, the experimental RDCs from well-defined secondary structures are in good agreement with the ones calculated from the reference X-ray structure (R-factors of 25 and 23%, respectively) (SI Appendix, Fig. S5, Upper), confirming that the tertiary and quaternary folds of wt-EIC are not affected by pressure.

In order to visualize the changes in structure and dynamics that EIC undergoes upon monomerization, we have calculated structural ensembles for wt-EIC and 3m-EIC at 1 bar and 2 kbar by coupling the experimental $^1$D$_{NH}$ RDC data with aMD simulations. This combined aMD/NMR protocol has been proven successful in generating structural ensembles of dynamical proteins that satisfy solution NMR data (43). Ensembles with 7 and 15 members were necessary to fulfill the complete set (including flexible structural regions) of RDC data measured for wt-EIC at 1 bar (R-factor, ∼23%) and 2 kbar (R-factor, ∼27%), respectively (SI Appendix, Fig. S6, Upper). Similarly, the data measured for 3m-EIC at low and high pressures were satisfied by an 8- (R-factor, ∼27%) and 20-member (R-factor, ∼25%) ensemble, respectively (SI Appendix, Fig. S6, Lower). The modeled structural ensembles are visualized in Fig. 2A. The average structures from each ensemble are compared to the reference X-ray structure of EIC in Fig. 2B. Analysis of the B-factors calculated from the conformational ensembles reveals that dimeric EIC (wt-EIC at 1 bar and 2 kbar and
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3m-EIC at 1 bar) is more rigid than monomeric EIC (3m-EIC at 2 kbar) (Fig. 2A). Interestingly, the more pronounced changes in flexibility upon monomerization occur at the C-terminal helix and at the loops comprising the protein-active site (Fig. 2B). These same regions are also the ones displaying the largest conformational changes upon monomerization. Indeed, while the average structures calculated for the wt-EIC at 1 bar, wt-EIC at 2 kbar, and 3m-EIC at 1 bar ensembles are indistinguishable from the X-ray structure of dimeric wt-EIC (Fig. 2C), the average structure obtained for the 3m-EIC ensemble at 2 kbar indicates that formation of monomeric EIC at high pressure is coupled to a conformational transition involving the active site loops (ΔCα) observed in 3m-EIC upon monomerization. Indeed, large ΔCα values are only observed in the vicinity of the dimer interface and at the active site loops (SI Appendix, Fig. S7), indicating that the structural changes associated with the monomer–dimer equilibrium are highly localized.

Altogether, these calculations reveal that while the dimer-to-monomer transition of 3m-EIC preserves the overall fold of the monomeric subunit in EIC dimer, it is accompanied by significant changes in local structure and dynamics at the PEP binding site. In particular, the partial unfolding of the catalytic loops (Δα) (Fig. 2) suggests that the monomeric EIC cannot bind PEP because those loops are too flexible to orient PEP efficiently toward the binding site. To verify this prediction, we compared the 2D 1H-15N TROSY-HSQC spectra acquired for 3m-EIC at 1 bar and 2 kbar in the absence and in the presence of 10 mM PEP. As expected, we observed a significant chemical shift perturbation at atmospheric pressure for the residues located at the binding sites (Fig. 3A). On the other hand, no chemical shift perturbation was observed for 3m-EIC at 2 kbar (Fig. 3A), indicating that EIC cannot bind to its natural substrate in the monomeric state. Consistent with these data, we found that wt-EIC can still hydrolyze PEP in high-pressure conditions, while 3m-EIC is completely inactive at high pressure (Fig. 3B), confirming that EIC is unable to bind and subsequently degrade PEP in the monomeric state.

Discussion

Sparsely populated states involved in conformational selection and enzyme catalysis play a crucial role in many biological pathways, but the low population and transient nature of these states make them invisible to most structural biology techniques (44). Solution NMR spectroscopy has emerged as a method of choice for characterizing low-lying states at an atomic level, with multiple experiments dedicated to the indirect detection and characterization of transient intermediate states (39, 45–49). In this work, we focused on the monomeric intermediate state of Ei, the enzyme responsible for initiating the phosphorly transfer cascade in the PTS pathway. The high dimer affinity of Ei (Kd < 5 μM) renders the structural characterization of the monomeric state extremely challenging. Yet, obtaining an atomic-level description of this intermediate state is crucial to fully understand the molecular mechanisms underlying Ei catalytic activity and regulation. Here, we combined protein engineering and pressure perturbation to shift the dimer–monomer equilibrium of EiC in order to isolate and determine the structural ensemble of the monomeric state in solution. We found conditions at which EiC is predominantly monomeric (>95%), and we were therefore able to directly measure backbone 1D 1H NMR RDCs of EiC monomeric state without relying on indirect detection.

The three mutations engineered in 3m-EIC (R400E, D440R, and R559) were designed to change the balance between the electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions at the dimerization interface to make it more sensitive to pressure perturbation. AUC (SI Appendix, Fig. S1A and B), pressure titration experiments (Fig. 1D), and enzyme kinetic assays (SI Appendix, Fig. S1C) show that the mutations did not affect the ability of 3m-EIC to form an active dimer at atmospheric pressure. The calculated dimerization Kd is very low (~0.1 nM), which is comparable to the Kd previously reported for the mesophilic EiC (42). We found that the volume change upon monomerization is rather large (ΔV = −153 ± 2 mL/mol), which corresponds to the van der Waals volume of about 12 water molecules. The magnitude of ΔV likely reflects the preferential hydration of polar and charged side chains exposed upon monomerization as

![Fig. 2.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2100298118)

**Fig. 2.** Monomerization affects the structure and dynamics of the EIC active site. (A) Sausage representation of the aMD/RDC conformational ensembles generated for wt-EIC at 1 bar (Top Left), wt-EIC at 2 kbar (Top Right), 3m-EIC at 1 bar (Bottom Left), and 3m-EIC and 2 kbar (Bottom Right). The cartoons are colored according to the B-factor, as indicated by the color bar. B-factors were calculated using the formula B = 8π²U/V, where B, and U are the B-factor and mean-square displacement of atom i, respectively. (B) The average structures from the conformational ensembles are superimposed on the crystal structure of wt-EIC. The ensembles were calculated for a single subunit of the EIC dimer (SI Appendix, Supporting Materials and Methods). The second subunit from the crystal structure is displayed as a transparent gray surface as a reference. PEP and Mg²⁺ (not used in the ensemble calculation) are shown as spheres in the EIC active site. The C-terminal helix and the α3β3, α6β6, and α7β7 loops are colored black, light blue, dark blue, and red for the X-ray structure, wt-EIC at 1 bar, wt-EIC at 2 kbar, 3m-EIC at 1 bar, and 3m-EIC at 2 kbar, respectively. (C) The Cα displacement from the X-ray structure calculated for the average structure of wt-EIC at 1 bar (light blue), wt-EIC at 2 kbar (dark blue), 3m-EIC at 1 bar (orange), and 3m-EIC at 2 kbar (red) is plotted versus the residue index. The residues experiencing large Cα displacement upon monomerization are boxed. The location on the α3β3, α6β6, and α7β7 is indicated by black horizontal lines.
As well as the potential penetration of water molecules in small cavities near the dimerization interface (29, 33, 50). Remarkably, the pressure titration experiments revealed that upon binding, PEP stabilizes the dimeric state by +2.3 kcal/mol relative to the apo 3m-EIC, which is significantly greater than the effect of α-KG (Fig. 1E and SI Appendix, Table S1). PEP and α-KG bind to the same site in EIC, and the differences between the binding modes of these natural substrates have been explored by solution NMR experiments and docking simulations (4), but the actual differences in terms of dimerization thermodynamics have yet never been described. Our high-pressure NMR experiments provide here quantitative thermodynamic characterization of the allosteric coupling between substrate binding and dimerization. These results show that PEP stabilizes EIC dimeric state significantly more than α-KG does, likely due to the additional interactions between PEP’s phosphate group with the side chains of R296, R332, and K340 (4, 34).

Application of hydrostatic pressure from 1 bar to 2.5 kbar shifts the equilibrium toward the monomeric state of EIC while preserving the overall tertiary structure of the protein (Fig. 1D and SI Appendix, Fig. S2). We found that 3m-EIC becomes fully monomeric at pressure ≥2 kbar, which allowed us to directly determine the structural features of EIC monomeric state by measuring $^{13}$D$_{N-H}$ RDCs in high-pressure conditions. Comparison of the experimental $^{13}$D$_{N-H}$ RDCs with the RDCs predicted for a reference chain of R296, R332, and K340 (4, 34).

Materials and Methods

wt-EIC was cloned into a pET21a vector incorporating a His-tagged E1N-solubility tag at the N terminus (51). The R400E, D440R, and R559E mutations were introduced using QuikChange II Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent), and the results were verified by DNA sequencing. Expression and purification of uniformly $^{15}$N-$^{13}$C labelled wt- and 3m-EIC were carried using heat-compensated pulse schemes with a TROSY readout (52). Backbone amide $^{13}$N-[H] RDCs were measured for on $^{15}$N-labeled wt- and 3m-EIC were carried using heat-compensated pulse schemes with a TROSY readout (52). Backbone amide $^{13}$N-[H] RDCs were measured for on $^{15}$N-[H] labeled samples of wt- and 3m-EIC at the 700 MHz by taking the difference in $^{13}$N-[H] scalar couplings in aligned and isotropic media. The alignment media employed was phage pf1 (16 mg/ml; ASLA Biotech) (53), and $^{13}$N-[H] scalar couplings were measured using the amide RDCs by TROSY (ARTSY) pulse scheme (54). SVD analysis of RDCs was carried out using Xplor-NIH (55).

Data Availability. All study data are included in the article and/or SI Appendix.
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