

2014

# Impact of Liquid Swine Manure Application and Cover Crops on Groundwater Quality

Carl H. Pederson

*Iowa State University, carl@iastate.edu*

Matthew J. Helmers

*Iowa State University, mhelmers@iastate.edu*

Rameshwar S. Kanwar

*Iowa State University, rskanwar@iastate.edu*

Antonio P. Mallarino

*Iowa State University, apmallar@iastate.edu*

Follow this and additional works at: [http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms\\_reports](http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports)



Part of the [Agricultural Science Commons](#), [Agriculture Commons](#), [Agronomy and Crop Sciences Commons](#), [Bioresource and Agricultural Engineering Commons](#), and the [Water Resource Management Commons](#)

---

## Recommended Citation

Pederson, Carl H.; Helmers, Matthew J.; Kanwar, Rameshwar S.; and Mallarino, Antonio P., "Impact of Liquid Swine Manure Application and Cover Crops on Groundwater Quality" (2014). *Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports*. 2030.  
[http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms\\_reports/2030](http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farms_reports/2030)

This report is brought to you for free and open access by Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact [digirep@iastate.edu](mailto:digirep@iastate.edu).

---

# Impact of Liquid Swine Manure Application and Cover Crops on Groundwater Quality

## **Abstract**

The primary objective of this project was to determine the impact of appropriate rates of swine manure applications to corn and soybeans based on nitrogen and phosphorus requirements of crops, soil phosphorus accumulation, and the potential of nitrate and phosphorus leaching to groundwater. Another purpose of this long-term experimental study was to develop and recommend appropriate manure and nutrient management practices to producers to minimize water contamination potential and enhance the use of swine manure as fertilizer. A third component of this study was to determine the potential effects of rye as a cover crop to reduce nitrate loss to shallow groundwater.

## **Keywords**

Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering, Agronomy

## **Disciplines**

Agricultural Science | Agriculture | Agronomy and Crop Sciences | Bioresource and Agricultural Engineering  
| Water Resource Management

# Impact of Liquid Swine Manure Application and Cover Crops on Groundwater Quality

RFR-A13115

Carl Pederson, ag specialist  
Matt Helmers, associate professor  
Ramesh Kanwar, professor  
Department of Agricultural and Biosystems  
Engineering  
Antonio Mallarino, professor  
Department of Agronomy

## Introduction

The primary objective of this project was to determine the impact of appropriate rates of swine manure applications to corn and soybeans based on nitrogen and phosphorus requirements of crops, soil phosphorus accumulation, and the potential of nitrate and phosphorus leaching to groundwater. Another purpose of this long-term experimental study was to develop and recommend appropriate manure and nutrient management practices to producers to minimize water contamination potential and enhance the use of swine manure as fertilizer. A third component of this study was to determine the potential effects of rye as a cover crop to reduce nitrate loss to shallow groundwater.

## Materials and Methods

Table 1 identifies the treatments first established in 2007 on 36, 1-acre plots. Five treatments compared the effect of timing and source of N on subsurface drain water quality and crop yields in a corn-soybean rotation and two treatments compared the effect of manure use on water quality under continuous corn with and without stover removal. The spring-applied UAN (urea-ammonium nitrate) with cover crop and fall-applied manure are the only treatments using no-till management, whereas the rest of the treatments used fall chisel plow

and spring field cultivation as method of tillage.

## Results and Discussion

The effects of nutrient management treatments on NO<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations in subsurface drain (tile) water are summarized in Table 2. The tile water from Treatment 3 had the highest five-year average NO<sub>3</sub>-N concentration at 23.3 mg/l for the manure applied to the corn year of the corn-soybean rotation. Five-year average NO<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations in tile water from plots under continuous corn and receiving swine manure every year (System 4) were the next highest in comparison with other treatment systems. The fall-applied manure to soybean in Treatment 3 had consistently higher NO<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations in tile water when compared with soybean of other rotations. Two systems (Systems 1 and 5) receiving UAN after corn emergence resulted in the lowest NO<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations in tile water. The five year experimental data from this study show that average NO<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations in tile water from Treatment 5, with a cover crop, was the lowest in the corn and soybean phases of the corn-soybean rotations. When comparing Treatments 2 and 5, where the only difference is the tillage, overall there is little difference in the NO<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations, but the no-till has slightly lower NO<sub>3</sub>-N concentration.

The impacts of the treatments on end of season soil nitrate are shown in Table 3. As expected, the highest concentrations of soil nitrate are in the 0-6 in. and 6-12 in. soil depth. Overall, the concentrations are very similar for the different treatments. In addition, there is relatively little difference in the residual soil nitrate after corn or after soybean.

**Table 1: Experimental treatments for Nashua water quality study.**

System	Timings and Source of N	Crop	Tillage	Application method	Rate, lb/ac	
					N-based	P-based
1	Spring (UAN)	Corn	Chisel plow Field	Spoke inject	150	If needed
2	-	Soybean	Cultivate	-	-	If needed
	Fall (manure)	Corn	Chisel plow Field	Inject	150	-
3	-	Soybean	Cultivate	-	-	If needed
	Fall (manure)	Corn	Chisel plow Field	Inject	150	-
4.1	Fall (manure)	Soybean	Cultivate	Inject	100	-
	Fall (manure)	Cont. Corn	Chisel plow Chisel plow	Inject	200	-
4.2	Fall (manure)	Cont. Corn Stover removal		Inject	200	-
5	Spring (UAN)	Corn/rye cover	NT	Spoke inject	150	-
	-	Soybean/rye cover	NT	-	-	If needed
6	Fall (manure)	Corn	NT	Inject	150	-
		Soybean	NT	-	-	If needed

**Table 2. Effects of experimental treatments on flow weighted average NO<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations in drainage water (mg/l).**

Experimental treatments	2008		2009		2010		2011		2012		2008-2012	
	Corn	Soy	Corn	Soy	Corn	Soy	Corn	Soy	Corn	Soy	Corn	Soy
1. Spring UAN 150 lb N/ac (C-S)	15.1	8	12.1	9.5	12.3	8	17.8	13.8	14	19.5	14.3	11.8
2. Fall manure 150 lb N/ac (C-S)	17.7	8.3	19.9	10.3	12.8	8.4	29.4	12.4	22.3	15.7	20.4	11
3. Fall manure 150 lb N corn & 100 lb N Soybean (C-S)	20.3	14.2	20.3	11.1	16.1	14	27.7	18.2	32.1	20.1	23.3	15.5
4.1 Fall manure 200 lb N/ac (C-C)	23.1		20.1		15.1		22.3		21.9		20.7	
4.2. Fall manure 200 lb N/ac + Stover removal (C-C)	23		17.6		16		24.2		19.4		20.0	
5. Spring UAN 150 lb N/ac + Rye removal	12.3	8.6	8.9	8.3	10.4	4.4	9.2	8.9	8.4	7.4	9.8	7.5
6. Fall manure 150 lb N/ac (C-S)	15.3	8.9	15.8	8.3	12.8	8	20.9	9.5	23.4	13.4	17.7	9.6

C-C, continuous corn; C-S, corn-soybean rotation.

**Table 3. Average effects of experimental treatments on average NO<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations in the soil profile from years 2008-2012 (ppm).**

Experimental treatments	Depth	0-6 in.		6-12 in.		12-24 in.		24-36 in.		36-48 in.	
		Corn	Soy	Corn	Soy	Corn	Soy	Corn	Soy	Corn	Soy
1. Spring UAN 150 lb N/ac (C-S)		13.3	11.4	9.1	6.1	4.7	3.1	1.7	2.6	1.3	2.2
2. Fall manure 150 lb N/ac (C-S)		13.5	13.1	5.8	6.9	3.5	4.1	2.6	1.8	1.3	2.0
3. Fall manure 150 lb N corn & 100 lb N Soybean (C-S)		12.0	11.1	5.7	7.3	3.4	4.1	1.9	2.3	1.3	1.5
4.1 Fall manure 200 lb N/ac		12.5		7.0		3.2		1.9		1.6	
4.2. Fall manure 200 lb N/ac + Stover removal (C-C)		10.4		5.8		2.8		1.4		1.0	
5. Spring UAN 150 lb N/ac + Rye removal (C-S)		15.3	12.3	9.3	7.8	5.0	4.1	1.7	2.2	1.3	1.1
6. Fall manure 150 lb N/ac (C-S)		12.6	14.9	5.5	7.0	3.3	3.7	1.9	1.9	1.7	1.3

C-C, continuous corn; C-S, corn-soybean rotation.