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NOMENCLATURE

Ar  aryl
equiv  equivalent
DCM  dichloromethane
THF  tetrahydrofuran
Et₂O  diethylether
CPME  cyclopentylmethyl ether
COD  1,5-cyclooctadiene
DMAP  dimethylaminopyridine
Et₃N  triethylamine
DMSO  dimethylsulfoxide
DMF  dimethylformamide
EtOAc  ethylacetate
NMR  Nuclear Magnetic Resonance
HPLC  High Performance Liquid Chromatography
HRMS  High Resolution Mass Spectrometry
ESI  Electrospray Ionization
Pr  propyl
Bu  butyl
TLC  Thin Layer Chromatography
UV  Ultra-violet
h  Hours
ee  enantiomeric excess
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GC</td>
<td>Gas Chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFA</td>
<td>trifluoroacetate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>Megahertz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dr</td>
<td>diastereomeric ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o</td>
<td>ortho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>meta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>para</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sat</td>
<td>saturated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>molar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mL</td>
<td>milliliter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>calcd</td>
<td>calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cat</td>
<td>catalyst</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to take this opportunity to thank my advisor Prof. Levi Stanley for his constant support throughout my time here at Iowa State University. Levi, you have been a great role model. Your passion and dedication for science is truly inspiring. I look up to you for the professionalism with which you carry yourself. Thank you for always being patient and available for discussing problems, potential solutions, and new ideas. I have enjoyed talking about new ideas with you and getting feedback with constructive criticism. I would like to thank my committee members, Prof. George Kraus, Prof. Keith Woo, Prof. Aaron Sadow, Prof. Wenyu Haung, and Prof. Arthur Winter, for their advice over the years. I like to extend my gratitude to my advisor Prof. Levi Stanley, Prof. Aaron Sadow, and Prof. Wenyu Haung for writing recommendation letters for me. I am grateful to Drtina Chemistry Graduate Student Travel Award, GPSS Professional Development Grant (PAG) Award, and David N. and Minnie I. Wall Graduate Scholarship Award for their support.

The journey of grad school has truly been a roller coaster ride where some times were scary and some full of thrill. I am thankful to all my family and friends for being there whenever I needed. To my mom and dad, thank you for being patient with me. I can barely start to imagine the struggle you must have gone through to first, give me the education I needed and then send me away to a different country. Dad, thank you for instilling in me the values of hard work and discipline. Mom, yes, I have had my lunch today! To my sister, thank you for being there always and taking care of mom and dad ever since I have been away from home. I can’t thank enough the three of you for your unconditional love and support.
I consider myself lucky for having some incredible friends who have been very supportive and encouraging of my decisions. I would like to thank Aleem, Avipsa, Raghu, Naresh Anna, Shreyo, Mani, Pratik, Nitin, Abhijith, and Jani for being amazing seniors. I will cherish all the memories we have created together. Abhranil, thank you for being an awesome friend. Avipsa, thank you for your constant help and guidance when I first started out in the Stanley group. Aleem and Anna, thank you for all the delicious food you fed me! Raghu, thank you for being a great host during my first few days in Ames and a dear friend.

I would like to express my gratitude to all my Stanley group colleagues. Ryan, Tony, Kirsten, James, Sagarika, Tanner, Kevin, and Brian- you guys were a great bunch of people to work with. Tanner, I hope you enjoyed our arguments over the mechanism as much as I did! Thank you all for the help and discussion with the chemistry. Alex, Patrick, Haley, Hai, Kevin, Mason, Austin, Melisa- I look forward to all the amazing chemistry you guys will be putting out. Patrick and Melisa, I will cherish all our talks over (sometimes too many) long island ice teas at Café B.

A major chunk of all my happy memories in Ames comes from spending time with all the awesome, cool, and talented musicians of PunkPunditz. Santhosh, Srikanth, Arpa, Amar, Soori, Jyothsna, Mehul, Anuraag, Amrit, Aishwarya, Yash, Neel, Debarpan, Akshay, Abhinav, and Sushain- we have created some awesome music together. I will be playing all these songs again and again for the rest of my life. A special thanks to my todd drive family, Shubhra, Yash, Neel, Jyothsna, Aishwarya, and Slesha, for all the food and movie nights! I would also like to thank Kruttika, Ashwini, Malvika, Amrit, Saurabh, and Harshil for all the fun trips and night-outs.
A special shout out to my close friends, Ajinkya, Mallikarjun, Kaustubh, Nikhil, and Aditya, for being some of the best people in my life. Ajinkya and Mallik, thank you for visiting my place back home every now and then. My mom and dad thank you for revealing all my secrets! To Kaustubh, Nikhil, and Aditya- I hope we continue to explore more places around the world even if our lives get busier.

I would like to thank Iowa State University and the Department of Chemistry at Iowa State University for making this a wonderful research experience.
ABSTRACT

This thesis presents the efforts towards developing transition metal-catalyzed protocols for rapid functionalization of alkenes. These protocols include – 1) enantioselective, palladium-catalyzed conjugate additions of arylboronic acids to generate compounds containing bis-benzyl quaternary stereocenter; 2) nickel-catalyzed three-component alkene carboacylation via activation of amide C-N bonds; 3) palladium-catalyzed intermolecular, acylative Heck reaction using amides as acyl electrophiles.

Chapter II discusses a strategy to access cyclic ketones containing bis-benzyl quaternary stereocenters through enantioselective palladium-catalyzed conjugate additions of arylboronic acids to β-aryl β,β-disubstituted cyclic enones. The catalyst generated from palladium trifluoroacetate and a chiral, non-racemic (S)-4-tert-butyl-2-(2-pyridyl)oxazoline ligand allows conjugate addition of a wide range arylboronic acids to a variety of to β-aryl β,β-disubstituted cyclic enones to generate ketones containing bis-benzyl quaternary stereocenters in up to 92% yield and up to 93% ee. This protocol uses iterative addition strategy to minimize unproductive protodeboronation of arylboronic acid and leads to the formation of compounds containing bis-benzyl quaternary stereocenters.

Chapter III describes the nickel-catalyzed intermolecular alkene carboacylation via activation of amide C-N bonds. The developed method allows rapid functionalization of bicyclic alkenes with a variety of N-benzoyl, N-phenylbenzamides, and triarylboranes to synthesize ketone products in up to 91% yield. Preliminary mechanistic analysis of the method reveals that migratory insertion precedes transmetalation and that reductive elimination is likely the rate-limiting step.
Chapter IV discusses palladium-catalyzed intermolecular acylative Heck reaction using amides as acyl electrophiles. The catalyst generated from [Pd(allyl)Cl]$_2$ and DPEphos promotes reaction between a wide range of N-arylgutarimides and bicyclic alkenes to form $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated ketones in moderate to high yields (25-82%). This work represents the first examples of transition metal-catalyzed intermolecular acylative Heck reaction using amides as acyl electrophiles.
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

General Introduction

Alkenes are abundant starting materials due to enormity of the global natural gas deposits. Transition metal-catalyzed processes that functionalize alkenes become an attractive platform to access a variety of compounds containing complex architecture. Since the discovery of Wacker process at Wacker Chemie in 1959, researchers have developed numerous alkene functionalization reactions that allow rapid construction of C–H, C–C, C–N, and C–X bonds. These reactions include but are not limited to – hydrofunctionalization reactions such as hydroformylation, hydroboration, hydrosilylation, hydroamination, hydroarylation, hydroacylation, carbofunctionalization reactions such as carboamination, carboxygenation, carboacetylation, acylborylation; and Heck type reactions. This thesis will include a detailed account of the basic principles of transition-metal catalysis used to develop catalytic methods for conjugate addition of aryloboronic acids to enones (or hydroarylation) reaction, carboacetylation of alkenes, and acylative Heck reaction.

Enantioselective, transition metal-catalyzed additions of organometallic nucleophiles to enones constitutes a major subset of conjugate addition reactions. Asymmetric conjugate additions of organometallic nucleophiles allow rapid synthesis of compounds containing quaternary stereocenter. Over the years, new catalysts developed by various research groups have allowed synthesis of compounds containing quaternary stereocenters using arylzinc, arylmagnesium, and arylaluminum nucleophiles. However, the use of these organometallic nucleophiles is limited due to their sensitivity towards air and/or moisture.
Hayashi\textsuperscript{48-49} and Glorius\textsuperscript{50} independently developed rhodium catalysts that catalyze additions of air stable and easily handled arylboron nucleophiles to $\beta,\beta$-disubstituted enones to generate compounds containing quaternary stereocenters. However, these reactions are not efficient for additions of commercially available arylboronic acids. Later, seminal work from Stoltz\textsuperscript{51-55} and Minnaard\textsuperscript{56-57} showed that palladium catalysts of chiral bidentate nitrogen containing ligands can be used for conjugate additions of commercially available arylboronic acids to $\beta,\beta$-disubstituted enones to generate compounds containing quaternary stereocenters in high yields and enantioselectivities. However, to this point, there were no examples of enantioselective, palladium-catalyzed conjugate additions of arylboronic acids to $\beta$-aryl $\beta,\beta$-disubstituted enones to generate compounds containing bis-benzylic quaternary stereocenters.

In 2013, Hoveyda revealed enantioselective, copper-catalyzed conjugate additions of arylaluminum compounds to $\beta$-aryl $\beta,\beta$-disubstituted enones (Scheme 1A).\textsuperscript{58} The copper catalyst generated from Cu(Octf)$_2$ and a chiral $N$-heterocyclic ligand, catalyzed additions of

Scheme 1. Enantioselective, Conjugate Additions of Aryl Nucleophiles to $\beta$-aryl $\beta,\beta$-disubstituted enones
arylaluminum compounds to form bis-benzylic quaternary stereocenters in up to 81% yield and 98% ee. However, since these reactions use air and/or moisture sensitive arylaluminum nucleophiles, these reactions have low functional group tolerance. In addition, there are no examples of additions of arylaluminum nucleophiles to β-aryl β,β-disubstituted cyclic enones in this work.

In order to address these limitations, we developed a protocol for enantioselective, palladium-catalyzed conjugate additions of arylboronic acids to β-aryl, β,β-disubstituted enones to bis-benzylic quaternary stereocenters (Scheme 1B).

Alkene carboacylation is an emerging class of an alkene difunctionalization reactions. It allows for rapid functionalization of alkenes to form two new C–C bonds. In 2017, our research group reported nickel-catalyzed intramolecular alkene carboacylation of o-allylbenzamides via activation of amide C–N bonds. Current catalytic methods for intermolecular alkene carboacylation include conjunctive cross-coupling between a carboxylic acid derivative, an alkyl radical or organometallic nucleophile and an alkene.

Douglas and coworkers revealed a Rh-catalyzed intermolecular alkene carboacylation reaction of 8-acylquinolines with strained bicyclic alkenes (Scheme 2a). However, these reactions require the use of non-removable quinoline directing group to facilitate C–C bond activation. An alternate approach involves three-component alkene carboacylation by Ni-catalyzed reductive radical relay mechanism (Scheme 2b). These reactions involve addition of a radical to terminal alkene followed by chelation-assisted alkyl radical capture of an acyl–nickel(II)–chloride intermediate. However, these reactions are limited to the use of perfluoroalkyl iodides. In 2002, Miura and coworkers reported a Rh-catalyzed conjunctive cross-coupling of an acid anhydride, tetraphenylborate, and norbornene (Scheme 2c). The
reaction, however, suffers from limited substrate scope (4 examples in 43-55% yield) and occurs with poor chemoselectivity. Despite these advances, more robust methods for intermolecular three-component alkene carboacylation of alkenes are needed.

Scheme 2. Current Strategies for Transition Metal-Catalyzed Intermolecular Alkene Carboacylation

In pursuit of addressing these limitations, we developed nickel-catalyzed three-component alkene carboacylation via activation of amide C–N bonds. This work represents first examples of nickel-catalyzed intermolecular, three-component alkene carboacylation through activation of amide C–N bonds (Scheme 2d).
Heck reaction is a great tool to rapidly construct C–C bonds. Since the discovery of the Heck reaction, various efforts have been made to develop acylative Heck reaction using carbon monoxide. To bypass the need of using toxic carbon monoxide, many research groups have reported methods for intramolecular acylative Heck reaction using carboxylic acid derivatives such as acid chlorides, anhydrides, thioesters, and carboxylic phosphoric anhydrides as acyl electrophiles. However, reports of intermolecular acylative Heck reaction using carboxylic acid derivates is limited to palladium-catalyzed acylative Heck reaction between acid chlorides and activated alkenes such as vinyl ethers. Recently, Garg and co-workers reported a nickel-catalyzed intramolecular Heck reaction of o-allylbenzamides to generate indanone compounds containing quaternary center at α-position (Scheme 3A). The Chapter IV will discuss efforts towards developing intermolecular palladium-catalyzed acylative Heck reaction using amides as acyl electrophiles (Scheme 3B).

Scheme 3. TM-catalyzed, acylative Heck reactions using amides as acyl electrophiles
Thesis Organization

This thesis is comprised of five chapters that describes research work that is published in peer reviewed journals and is in preparation for publication in a peer reviewed journal. Chapter I serves to introduce the general idea of the thesis. It introduces transition metal-catalyzed methods to functionalize alkenes with focus on conjugate addition reactions of arylboronic acids, intermolecular alkene carboacylation reactions, and intermolecular acylative Heck reaction of amides. Chapter II is adapted from a research article published by the author of this thesis in *Organic Letters*. Chapter II is adapted from a paper published in *ACS Catalysis*. Chapter III contains the detailed discussion on the research work towards development of the palladium-catalyzed acylative Heck reaction and will be modified for publication in a peer reviewed journal. Chapter IV serves as a general summary and conclusion of the presented research.

Chapter II describes the enantioselective, palladium-catalyzed conjugate additions of arylboronic acids to $\beta$-aryl, $\beta,\beta$-disubstituted enones to bis-benzylic quaternary stereocenters. This protocol described in this chapter allowed additions of a wide range of arylboronic to a variety of $\beta$-aryl, $\beta,\beta$-disubstituted enones to generate ketones containing bis-benzylic quaternary stereocenters in up to 92% yield and up to 93% ee. The work described in this chapter is a modified version of a paper published in *Organic Letters*.

Chapter III details the nickel-catalyzed three-component alkene carboacylation via activation of amide C–N bonds. This work is the first examples of nickel-catalyzed intermolecular alkene carboacylation via activation of amide C–N bonds. The developed protocol allowed for rapid functionalization of bicyclic alkenes with a variety of $N$-benzoyl-$N$-phenylbenzamides and triarylboranes, which are generated *in situ* from the corresponding
tetraarylborates, to synthesize ketone products in up to 91% yield. This chapter also discusses preliminary mechanistic studies on nickel-catalyzed intermolecular alkene carboacylation. The work described in this chapter was done in collaboration with Tanner Metz who was a graduate student in the Stanley Group. Yiqiu Qian was an undergraduate student who also worked on this project and helped in synthesizing amide substrates. The described work in Chapter III is a modified version of a paper published in *ACS Catalysis*.

Chapter IV discusses research findings on the palladium-catalyzed, intermolecular acylative Heck reaction using amides as electrophiles. This chapter will be modified for publication in a peer reviewed journal. Colton David, who is an undergraduate student in the Stanley group, is responsible for amide synthesis and has contributed towards reaction development. The discussion in this chapter includes development, substrate scope, and plausible mechanism of the palladium-catalyzed, intermolecular acylative Heck reaction. The developed method allowed for Heck reaction between a wide array of N-benzoylglutarimide bearing electron-donating, halogenated, and electro-withdrawing substituents and a bicyclic alkene, norbornene, to generate α,β-unsaturated ketones in up to 82% yield.

Chapter V describes a general summary and conclusion of the research findings described in Chapters II-IV.
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CHAPTER 1. ENATIOSELECTIVE, PALLADIUM-CATALYzed CONJUGATE ADDITIONS OF ARYLBORONIC ACIDS TO β-ARYL, β,β-DISUBSTITUTED ENONES TO BIS-BENZYLIC QUATERNARY STEREOCENTERS

Modified from Organic Letters 2017, 19, 4062-4065
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Abhishek A. Kadam, Arkady Ellern, and Levi M. Stanley*

Department of Chemistry, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011, United States.

Abstract

We report enantioselective, palladium-catalyzed conjugate additions of arylboronic acids to β-aryl, β,β-disubstituted enones to generate ketones containing bis-benzylic quaternary stereocenters. A catalyst generated from palladium trifluoroacetate and a chiral, non-racemic (S)-4-tert-butyl-2-(2-pyridyl)oxazoline ligand ((S)-t-BuPyOx) promotes conjugate additions of a wide range of arylboronic acids to a variety of β-aryl, β,β-disubstituted enones. Iterative addition of the arylboronic acid nucleophile to minimize undesired protodeboronation pathways leads to efficient formation of the corresponding ketones containing bis-benzylic quaternary stereocenters in up to 92% yield with up to 93% enantioselectivity.
Introduction

Compounds containing bis-benzylic quaternary centers are present in an array of biologically active compounds\(^1\) (Figure 1) and are a structural motif found in the cardo class of polymers.\(^2\) Enantioselective, transition metal-catalyzed conjugate addition reactions of organometallic nucleophiles to \(\beta,\beta\)-disubstituted enones are a powerful approach to form quaternary stereocenters.\(^3\) Despite recent advances in enantioselective, transition metal-catalyzed conjugate additions of organometallic nucleophiles, asymmetric additions to \(\beta\)-aryl \(\beta,\beta\)-disubstituted enones to form bis-benzylic quaternary stereocenters remain challenging.

Over the past decades, enantioselective conjugate additions of arylzinc,\(^4\) arylaluminum,\(^5\) arylmagnesium,\(^6\) and arylboron\(^7\) nucleophiles to \(\beta,\beta\)-disubstituted enones in the presence of chiral copper, rhodium, and palladium catalysts have been developed as practical methods to synthesize compounds containing benzylic quaternary stereocenters. In particular, Stoltz and co-workers developed enantioselective conjugate additions of arylboronic acids to a variety of \(\beta\)-substituted cyclic enones to form quaternary stereocenters in the presence of Pd(II) complexes of a chiral, nonracemic pyridine-oxazoline ligand ((\(S\))-\(t\)-BuPyOx).\(^7d,f,h-k\) However, examples of enantioselective, transition metal-catalyzed conjugate additions of aryl organometallic nucleophiles to \(\beta\)-aryl \(\beta,\beta\)-disubstituted enones to generate compounds

\[ \text{RO01} \]

\(\text{Estrogen Receptor } \beta\text{ Antagonist} \)
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**Figure 1.** Biologically active compounds containing bis-benzylic quaternary centers.
containing bis-benzylic quaternary stereocenters are limited to copper-catalyzed additions to acyclic electrophiles.

**Scheme 1.** Enantioselective, Conjugate Additions of Aryl Nucleophiles to β-aryl β,β-disubstituted enones

In 2013, Hoveyda and coworkers reported copper-catalyzed conjugate additions of arylaluminum compounds to β-aryl β,β-disubstituted acyclic enones to form acyclic ketones containing bis-benzylic quaternary stereocenters with good-to-excellent enantioselectivity (Scheme 1A). In this report, however, there are no examples of additions of arylaluminum nucleophiles to β-aryl β,β-disubstituted cyclic enones. In addition, these copper-catalyzed conjugate addition reactions use air and moisture sensitive arylaluminum nucleophiles and have low functional group compatibility.

We recently reported palladium-catalyzed conjugate additions of bench stable and commercially available arylboronic acids to β,β-disubstituted enones in aqueous media to form an array of ketones with bis-benzylic quaternary centers in moderate-to-high yields (54-74%). However, efforts from our group and others to develop enantioselective variants of these reactions have been limited by modest enantioselectivity in aqueous media, poor
reactivity in organic solvents,\textsuperscript{71} and competing decomposition of the arylboronic acid nucleophile. We now report catalytic, enantioselective additions of arylboronic acids to $\beta$-aryl $\beta,\beta$-disubstituted cyclic enones that occur in up to 92\% yield with high enantioselectivity and minimize undesired pathways for nucleophile decomposition (Scheme 1B).

**Results and Discussion**

Early efforts to identify an enantioselective catalyst for conjugate addition of phenylboronic acid to 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-cyclohexen-2-one in aqueous reaction media led to modest yields of the corresponding ketone product and relatively low enantioselectivity (see Table S1 in General Experiment Details). After an initial evaluation of palladium(II) catalysts generated from chiral, non-racemic pyridine-oxazoline and bisoxazoline ligands, we identified reactions conducted in 1,2-dichloroethane and a catalyst generated *in situ* from palladium trifluoroacetate (Pd(TFA)\textsubscript{2}) and (S)-\textit{t}-BuPyOx\textsuperscript{7k} as leads for further reaction optimization.\textsuperscript{7d,f,h-k}

We then selected the addition of 4-tolylboronic acid to 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-cyclohex-2-enone 1\textsubscript{a} in the presence of 5 mol \% of the catalyst generated from Pd(TFA)\textsubscript{2} and (S)-\textit{t}-BuPyOx as a model reaction (Table 1) that would facilitate straightforward analysis of reaction products and byproducts. The addition of 4 equiv of 4-tolylboronic acid to 1\textsubscript{a} at 90 °C formed 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-(4-tolyl)cyclohexanone 2\textsubscript{a} in 46\% yield with 89\% ee (entry 1). Palladium-catalyzed conjugate additions of arylboronic acids are often plagued by protodeboronation reactions that can occur through multiple pathways\textsuperscript{7g,10} and have hindered the development of conjugate additions to form bis-benzylic quaternary stereocenters.
Table 1. Identification of Reaction Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>temp (°C)</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>yield 2a (%)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>yield 3a (%)&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>ee (%)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8&lt;sup&gt;g, h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9&lt;sup&gt;g, h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10&lt;sup&gt;g, i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11&lt;sup&gt;g, i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12&lt;sup&gt;g, i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>nd&lt;sup&gt;k&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: 1a (1.0 equiv), 4-tolylboronic acid (x equiv) Pd(TFA)<sub>2</sub> (5 mol %), (S)-t-BuPyOx (6 mol %), 1,2-dichloroethane (0.5 M), 3 h. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yields. <sup>c</sup>GC yield, calculated based on the total number of equiv of 4-tolylboronic acid. <sup>d</sup>Determined by chiral HPLC analysis. <sup>e</sup>Reaction time = 24 h. <sup>f</sup>Reaction time = 6 h. <sup>g</sup>[1a] = 2 M. <sup>h</sup>Addition of 4-tolylboronic at 6 h intervals. <sup>i</sup>Addition of 4-tolylboronic at 3 h intervals. <sup>j</sup>Reaction run in the presence of 10 mol % 2,6-di-tert-butylpyridine. <sup>k</sup>nd = not determined.

The addition of 4 equiv of 4-tolylboronic acid to 1a led to protodeboronation of 43% of the total tolylboronic acid, formation of 2% of the homocoupling byproduct 4,4'-dimethyl-1,1'-
biphenyl, and oxidation of 1a to form 4% 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)phenol. When the model reaction was conducted with 1 equiv of 4-tolylboronic acid, the reaction generated 2a in 45% yield and 89% ee. The formation of 25% toluene through protodeboronation and small amounts (<5%) of 4,4’-dimethyl-1,1′-biphenyl and 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-phenol were also observed.

The absolute configuration of ketone 2a was determined after conversion to the corresponding 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone. The absolute configuration was determined to be 3S by X-ray crystallographic analysis (see General Experimental Details).

We next studied the impact of reaction temperature on the relative rate of protodeboronation to conjugate addition (Table 1, entries 2-5). The amount of toluene generated decreases with lower reaction temperature. The best ratios of ketone 2a/toluene 3a (4.2-4.7:1) is observed at 60-80 °C, and the reactions generate 2a in 42% yield and 89-91% ee (entries 3-4). Increasing the reaction time (entry 6) and the reaction concentration (entry 7) led to modest improvement in the yield of 2a without increasing the rate of protodeboronation. To increase the yield of 2a, we adopted an iterative addition strategy to maintain low concentrations of tolylboronic acid and hence a low rate of protodeboronation (entries 8-11). These reactions were conducted by starting the reaction with 1 equiv of 4-tolylboronic acid and adding additional equivalent(s) at 3 or 6 h intervals. This approach to aryloboric acid addition led to significantly higher yields of ketone 2a (64-83%) and high enantioselectivities without a dramatic increase in the rate of protodeboronation. The model reaction occurs to form 2a with similar yields and enantioselectivities in the presence and absence of 2,6-di-tert-butylpyridine (compare entries 11 and 12) suggesting that residual TFA is not required for product formation. We chose to evaluate the scope of the conjugate addition reaction using the
conditions identified in entry 11 as a practical combination of reactivity, enantioselectivity, and relative rates of productive versus unproductive reaction pathways.

Scheme 2. Enantioselective, Pd-Catalyzed Conjugate Additions of Arylboronic Acids to 1a

Reactions were performed under the following conditions: 1a (1.0 equiv), arylboronic acid (3.0 equiv), Pd(TFA)₂ (10 mol %), (S)-t-BuPyOx (12 mol %), 1,2-dichloroethane, 80 °C, 9 h. Values in parentheses are for a 1.00 mmol scale experiment in the presence of 5 equiv of water. Reaction performed in the presence of 5 equiv of water.
Studies to establish the scope of additions of a variety of arylboronic acids to 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-cyclohex-2-enone 1a are summarized in Scheme 2. Additions of electronically diverse, para- and meta-substituted arylboronic acids occurred to generate the corresponding ketone products 2a-2k in 18-92% yields with 82-91% enantioselectivities. Additions of para-substituted electron-rich, electron-neutral, and halogenated arylboronic acids to 1a formed ketones 2a-2e in moderate-to-high yields (49-92%) with high enantioselectivities (82-91% ee). However, the addition of electron-deficient arylboronic acids, which are less nucleophilic, generated 2f and 2g in 39% and 38% yields. Additions of electron-rich meta-substituted arylboronic acids to 1a formed 2h and 2i in 60% and 88% yield with 90% ee. In contrast, additions of meta- and ortho-halogenated arylboronic acids generate ketones 2j-2l in low yields but with good enantioselectivities (81-84% ee).

These reactions also encompass additions of a variety of di- and tri-substituted arylboronic acids. The corresponding ketone products 2m-2q are generated in moderate-to-good yields (36-67%) with good-to-high enantioselectivities (78-90%). However, additions of 2-methoxyphenylboronic acid, 3-furylboronic acid and 6-indolylboronic acid, which are more susceptible to protodeboronation,10a,11 were unsuccessful.

To further expand the scope of these reactions, we studied additions of arylboronic acids to a variety of β-aryl β,β-disubstituted enones. These results are summarized in Scheme 3. Additions of 4-tolyboronic acid to 3-arylcyclohex-2-enones containing electron-neutral, halogenated, electron-deficient, and electron-rich aryl groups generated 2r-2v in moderate-to-good yields (36-74%) with high enantioselectivities (87-93%). The additions of 4-tolyboronic acid to β,β-disubstituted enones containing either an ortho-substituted aryl unit or a heteroarene
enables the formation of ketones 2w and 2x, products that cannot be formed by addition of the corresponding ortho-substituted arylboronic acid or heteroarylboronic acid.

| Reaction conditions: 3-arylcyclohex-2-enone (1.0 equiv), arylboronic acid (3.0 equiv), Pd(TFA)₂ (10 mol %), (S)-t-BuPyOx (12 mol %), 1,2-dichloroethane (2 M). |
|---|---|
| 70% yield | 36% yield |
| 87% ee | 91% ee |

| Reaction performed in the presence of 5 equiv of water. |
|---|---|
| 72% yield | 28% yield |
| 93% ee | 80% ee |

| Reaction conditions: 3-arylcyclohex-2-enone (1.0 equiv), arylboronic acid (3.0 equiv), Pd(TFA)₂ (10 mol %), (S)-t-BuPyOx (12 mol %), 1,2-dichloroethane (2 M). |
|---|---|
| 41% yield | 60% yield |
| 77% ee | 87% ee |

*Scheme 3. Enantioselective Pd-Catalyzed Conjugate Additions of Arylboronic Acids to β-Aryl β,β-Disubstituted Enones*
To demonstrate the addition of meta-substituted arylboronic acids to an additional enone, we conducted the reaction of 3-methylphenylboronic acid with 3-phenylcyclohex-2-enone to generate $2y$ in 76% yield with 88% ee. The addition of phenylboronic acid to 3-(4-methylphenyl)cyclohex-2-enone, and 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid to 3-phenylcyclohex-2-enone generated $(ent)-2r$ and $(ent)-2b$ in 40-77% yield and 80-88% ee. We also studied the addition of 4-tolylboronic acid to cyclic enones with different ring sizes and to an acyclic enone. Addition of 4-tolylboronic acid to 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclopent-2-enone generated $2z$ in 60% yield and 91% ee. However, addition of 4-tolylboronic acid to 3-phenylcyclohept-2-enone and $(E)$-4-phenylpent-3-en-2-one generated the corresponding ketone products in less than 15% yield.

**Conclusion**

In summary, we have developed the first examples of enantioselective, palladium-catalyzed conjugate additions of arylboronic acids to $\beta$-aryl $\beta$, $\beta$-disubstituted cyclic enones. A catalyst generated *in situ* from Pd(TFA)$_2$ and (S)-t-BuPyOx catalyzes enantioselective conjugate additions of electronically and structurally diverse arylboronic acids to a variety of $\beta$-aryl $\beta$, $\beta$-disubstituted enones. These reactions generate cyclic ketone products containing bis-benzylic quaternary carbon stereocenters in up to 92% yield and up to 93% ee by iterative addition of the arylboronic acid nucleophile to minimize protodeboronation.

**Experimental**

**General Details.** All reactions were conducted under air unless otherwise noted. Reactions involving air-sensitive reagents were conducted under inert atmosphere in a nitrogen-filled dry box or by standard Schlenk techniques. Moisture sensitive reaction were performed using glassware which were dried at 140 °C in an oven overnight prior to use. Flash
column chromatography was performed on Siliflash® P60 silica gel (230-400 mesh) or using a Teledyne Isco Combiflash® Rf system with RediSep GoldTM columns using hexane/ethyl acetate mixtures as the eluent. Products were visualized on TLC by UV light and/or by staining with 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine.

HRMS (ESI) analysis was performed at the Iowa State Chemical Instrumentation Facility on an Agilent 6540 QTOF spectrometer. Optical rotations were measured on an Atago AP-300 automatic polarimeter. HPLC analyses were carried out on a Water Alliance HPLC system with an e2695 Separations Module and a 2489 (UV/Vis) dual wavelength detector. NMR spectra were acquired on Varian MR-400 and Bruker Avance III 600 spectrometers at the Iowa State Chemical Instrumentation Facility. Chemical shifts are reported relative to a residual solvent peak (CDCl$_3$ = 7.26 ppm for $^1$H, and 77.16 ppm for $^{13}$C). $^{19}$F NMR shifts are reported based on indirect reference to CDCl$_3$. Coupling constants are reported in hertz.

**Materials.** 3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-cyclohex-2-enone 1a, 3-phenylcyclohex-2-enone 1b, 3-(4-fluorophenyl)-cyclohex-2-enone 1d, 3-(4-trifluoromethylphenyl)-cyclohex-2-enone 1e, 3-(3-methoxyphenyl)-cyclohex-2-enone 1f, 3-(2-methoxyphenyl)-cyclohex-2-enone 1g, and 3-(4-methylphenyl)-cyclohex-2-enone 1i, and 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-cyclopent-2-enone 1j were prepared according to a literature procedure. Characterization data for 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-cyclohex-2-enone 1a, 3-phenylcyclohex-2-enone 1b, 3-(4-fluorophenyl)-cyclohex-2-enone 1d, 3-(4-trifluoromethylphenyl)-cyclohex-2-enone 1e, 3-(3-methoxyphenyl)-cyclohex-2-enone 1f, 3-(2-methoxyphenyl)-cyclohex-2-enone 1g, and 3-(4-methylphenyl)-cyclohex-2-enone 1i matched previously reported data. 3-(1H-indol-3-yl)cyclohex-2-en-1-one 1h, (E)-4-phenylcyclohept-2-enone 1k, (E)-4-phenylpent-3-en-2-one 1l were synthesized according
to reported literature procedures. (4\textit{R},4'\textit{R})-2,2'-((propane-2,2-diyl)bis(4-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazole) ((\textit{R})-PhBox),\textsuperscript{18} (\textit{S})-4-(\textit{tert}-butyl)-2-((pyridin-2-yl)-4,5-dihydrooxazole ((\textit{S})-t-BuPyOx),\textsuperscript{19} and (\textit{S})-4-isopropyl-2-((pyridin-2-yl)-4,5-dihydrooxazole ((\textit{S})-i-PrPyOx)\textsuperscript{20} were prepared according to previously reported literature procedures. Palladium trifluoroacetate, (4\textit{S},4'\textit{S})-2,2'-((propane-2,2-diyl)bis(4-(\textit{tert}-butyl)-4,5-dihydrooxazole) ((\textit{S})-t-BuBox), 3,5-dimethylphenylboronic acid, 4-methoxycarbonylphenylboronic acid and 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification. 2,2'-Bipyridine was purchased from Fisher Scientific and used without further purification. 4-Methylphenylboronic acid, phenylboronic acid, 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid, 4-fluorophenylboronic acid, 3-methoxyphenylboronic acid, 3-chlorophenylboronic acid, 3-fluorophenylboronic acid, 2-fluorophenylboronic acid and 4-trifluoromethylphenylboronic acid were purchased from AK Scientific and used without further purification. 4-Biphenylboronic acid, 4-trifluoromethylphenylboronic acid, 2-methoxyphenylboronic acid, 3-fluoro-4-methoxyphenylboronic acid, 3,4-methylenedioxyphenylboronic acid, 3,4-dimethylphenylboronic acid, 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenylboronic acid, 2-furanylboronic acid and 6-indolylboronic acid were purchased from Frontier Scientific, Inc. and used without further purification. 4-Chlorophenylboronic acid was purchased from Combi-Blocks, Inc. and used without further purification. 3-Methylphenylboronic acid was purchased from Ark Pharm, Inc. and used without further purification. Dibromomethane was purchased from Acros and used without further purification.
General Procedure A: Pd-Catalyzed Conjugate Additions of Arylboronic Acids to β-Aryl, β, β-Disubstituted Enones to Access Racemic Ketones 2a-2a

To a 1 dram vial were added Pd(TFA)$_2$ (10 mg, 0.030 mmol), 2,2’-bipyridine (5.6 mg, 0.036 mmol), the appropriate enone (0.300 mmol), arylboronic acid (1.20 mmol, 4.00 equiv) and 50 mM aqueous sodium trifluoroacetate solution (0.10 mL, pH = 8.2). The vial was sealed with a PTFE/silicone-lined septum cap. The reaction mixture was then stirred at 100 °C for 24 h. The reaction mixture was filtered through a short plug of magnesium sulfate (top) and silica gel (bottom) (eluting with 20 mL of ethyl acetate) and then concentrated under vacuum. The crude reaction mixture was dissolved in CDCl$_3$ (1 mL) and CH$_2$Br$_2$ (10.5 µL, 0.150 mmol) was added as an internal standard. NMR yields were determined by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy of the crude reaction mixture. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column silica gel chromatography or using a Teledyne Isco CombiFlash® Rf system with RediSep GoldTM columns (hexane:ethyl acetate) to give corresponding ketones. Racemic ketones 2a-2z were isolated in 18-85% yields.
Table S1. Catalyst Identification for Pd-catalyzed Conjugate Additions of Phenylboronic Acid to 3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-cyclohex-2-enone 1a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>temp (°C)</th>
<th>ligand</th>
<th>solvent</th>
<th>product b</th>
<th>% ee c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>(R)-PhBox</td>
<td>aq. NaTFA (pH = 8.2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>(S)-t-BuBox</td>
<td>aq. NaTFA (pH = 8.2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>(S)-t-BuPyOx</td>
<td>aq. NaTFA (pH = 8.2)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>(S)-i-PrPyOx</td>
<td>aq. NaTFA (pH = 8.2)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>(S)-t-BuPyOx</td>
<td>aq. NaTFA (pH = 8.2)</td>
<td>8 d</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>(S)-t-BuPyOx</td>
<td>aq. NaTFA (pH = 8.2)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>(S)-t-BuPyOx</td>
<td>1,2-dichloroethane</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 e</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>(S)-t-BuPyOx</td>
<td>1,2-dichloroethane</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 e</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>(S)-t-BuPyOx</td>
<td>methanol</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 e, f</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>(S)-t-BuPyOx</td>
<td>1,2-dichloroethane</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 e</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>(S)-t-BuPyOx</td>
<td>1,2-dichloroethane</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aReaction conditions: 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-cyclohex-2-enone 1a (0.300 mmol), phenylboronic acid (1.20 mmol), Pd(TFA)2 (0.015 mmol), ligand (0.018 mmol), solvent (0.1 mL), 24 h. bIsolated yield. cDetermined by chiral HPLC analysis. dYield determined by 1H
NMR using dibromomethane as an internal standard. \textsuperscript{e}Reaction run in 0.6 mL of solvent. 
\textsuperscript{f}Reaction run in the presence of 30 mol \% NH$_4$PF$_6$ and 5 equiv. of water.

**General Procedure B: Catalyst Identification by Conjugate Addition of Phenylboronic Acid to 3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-cyclohex-2-enone 1a**

To a 1 dram vial were added Pd(TFA)$_2$ (5.0 mg, 0.015 mmol), ligand (0.018 mmol), 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-cyclohex-2-enone 1a (60.7 mg, 0.300 mmol), phenylboronic acid (146 mg, 1.20 mmol), and solvent (0.1 mL). The vial was sealed with a PTFE/silicone-lined septum cap. The reaction mixture was then stirred at 60-100 °C for 24 h. The reaction mixture was filtered through a short plug of magnesium sulfate (top) and silica gel (bottom) (eluting with 20 mL of ethyl acetate) and then concentrated under vacuum. The crude reaction mixture was dissolved in CDCl$_3$ (1 mL) and CH$_2$Br$_2$ (10.5 µL, 0.15 mmol) was added as an internal standard. NMR yields were determined by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy of the crude reaction mixture. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography with a CombiFlash system (4 g column, 100:0 to 90:10 hexane:EtOAc) to give 2b as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis (220 nm, 25 °C) $t_R$ 21.1 min (minor); $t_R$ 34.8 min (major) [Chiracel AS-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm) (from Daicel Chemical Ind., Ltd.) hexane/i-PrOH, 80:20, 1.0 mL/min].
General Procedure C: Enantioselective, Pd-Catalyzed Conjugate Additions of Arylboronic Acids to $\beta$-Aryl, $\beta,\beta$-Disubstituted Enones to Access Ketones 2a-2z

To a 1 dram vial were added Pd(TFA)$_2$ (10 mg, 0.030 mmol), (S)-t-BuPyOx (7.4 mg, 0.036 mmol), the appropriate enone (0.300 mmol), arylboronic acid (0.300 mmol, 1.00 equiv) and 1,2-dichloroethane (0.15 mL). The vial was sealed with a PTFE/silicone-lined septum cap. The reaction mixture was then stirred at 80 °C for 3 h. After 3 h, another equivalent of arylboronic acid (0.300 mmol, 1.00 equiv) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for another 3 h. The same procedure was followed for a third equiv of arylboronic acid (0.300 mmol, 1.00 equiv). The reaction mixture was filtered through a short plug of silica gel (eluting with 20 mL of ethyl acetate) and then concentrated under vacuum. The crude reaction mixture was dissolved in CDCl$_3$ (1 mL) and CH$_2$Br$_2$ (10.5 µL, 0.15 mmol) was added as an internal standard. NMR yields were determined by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy of the crude reaction mixture. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column silica gel chromatography or using a Teledyne Isco Combiflash® Rf system with RediSep GoldTM columns (hexane: ethyl acetate) to yield the corresponding ketone. Note: for synthesis of ketones 2a and 2b, Pd(TFA)$_2$ (5.0 mg, 0.015 mmol) and (S)-t-BuPyOx (3.7 mg, 0.018 mmol) were used to generate the catalyst.
(S)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-(p-tolyl)cyclohexan-1-one (2a): Prepared according to General Procedure C from 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohex-2-en-1-one 1a (60.7 mg, 0.300 mmol) and 4-tolylphenylboronic acid (122 mg, 0.900 mmol) using palladium trifluoroacetate (5.0 mg, 0.015 mmol) and (S)-t-BuPyOX (3.7 mg, 0.018 mmol). The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (90:10 hexane: EtOAc) to give 2a (72.4 mg, 0.246 mmol, 83%) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis (220 nm, 25 °C) tR 34.2 min (minor); tR 40.8 min (major) [Chiracel OJ-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm) (from Daicel Chemical Ind., Ltd.) hexane/i-PrOH, 90:10, 1.0 mL/min] to be 89% ee. [α]D22 = +10.2° (c 0.78, CHCl3). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 1.65-1.74 (m, 2H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.34 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.52 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.53 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.90 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 2.94 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 6.81 (ddd, J = 8.8, 3.2, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 7.08 (s, 4H), 7.12 (ddd, J = 8.8, 3.2, 2.0 Hz, 2H). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3): δ 21.0, 21.3, 36.0, 40.9, 49.6, 54.1, 55.3, 113.8, 126.9, 128.1, 129.2, 135.8, 129.6, 144.8, 157.9, 211.1. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C20H23O2+ ([M+H]+): 295.1693 Found: 295.1689.

(S)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-phenylcyclohexan-1-one (2b): Prepared according to General Procedure C from 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohex-2-en-1-one 1a (60.7 mg, 0.300 mmol) and phenylboronic acid (110 mg, 0.900 mmol) using palladium trifluoroacetate (5.0 mg, 0.015 mmol) and (S)-t-BuPyOX (3.7 mg, 0.018 mmol). The crude product was purified with a CombiFlash system (4 g column, 100:0 to 90:10 hexane: EtOAc) to give 2b (58.8 mg, 0.210 mmol, 70%) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis (220 nm, 25 °C) tR 21.1 min (minor); tR 34.8 min (major) [Chiracel AS-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm) (from Daicel Chemical Ind., Ltd.) hexane/i-PrOH, 80:20,
1.0 mL/min] to be 87% ee. $[\alpha]_D^{26} = +17.5 \ (c \ 0.80, \ CHCl_3)$. $^1H$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 1.66-1.72 (m, 2H), 2.35 (t, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 2H), 2.55 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 2.56 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 2.91 (d, $J = 15.6$ Hz, 1H), 2.96 (d, $J = 15.6$ Hz, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 6.81 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2H), 7.12 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2H), 7.17 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.20 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.27 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H). $^{13}C$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 21.2, 35.9, 40.9, 49.9, 54.0, 55.3, 113.8, 126.3, 127.0, 128.2, 128.5, 139.4, 147.8, 157.9, 211.0. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C$_{19}$H$_{21}$O$_2^+$ ([M+H]$^+$): 281.1536 Found: 281.1539.

(5)-3-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohexan-1-one (2c):
Prepared according to General Procedure C from 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohexa-2-en-1-one 1a (60.7 mg, 0.300 mmol) and [1,1'-biphenyl]-4-ylboronic acid (178 mg, 0.900 mmol). The crude product was purified with a CombiFlash system (4 g column, 100:0 to 95:5 hexane: EtOAc) to give 2c (98.4 mg, 0.276 mmol, 92%) as a yellow solid. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis (220 nm, 25 °C) $t_R$ 25.3 min (minor); $t_R$ 29.7 min (major) [Chiracel AS-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm) (from Daicel Chemical Ind., Ltd.) hexane/-PrOH, 80:20, 1.0 mL/min] to be 90% ee. $[\alpha]_D^{26} = +11.0^\circ \ (c \ 0.73, \ CHCl_3)$. $^1H$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 1.66-1.81 (m, 2H), 2.38 (t, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 2H), 2.54-2.64 (m, 2H), 2.97 (d, $J = 15.2$, 1H), 3.01 (d, $J = 15.2$, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 6.86 (ddd, $J = 8.8$, 3.2, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 7.19 (ddd, $J = 8.8$, 3.2, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.34 (td, $J = 8.4$, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (dd, $J = 8.4$, 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.53 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.58 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H). $^{13}C$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 21.3, 36.0, 40.9, 49.8, 54.0, 55.3, 113.9, 127.1, 127.2, 127.3, 127.4, 128.2, 128.9, 139.0, 139.2, 140.6, 146.8, 157.9, 210.9. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C$_{23}$H$_{25}$O$_2^+$ ([M+H]$^+$): 357.1849 Found: 357.1826.
(R)-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohexan-1-one (2d):
Prepared according to General Procedure C from 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohex-2-en-1-one 1a (60.7 mg, 0.300 mmol) and 4-chlorophenylboronic acid (141 mg, 0.900 mmol). The crude product was purified with a CombiFlash system (4 g column, 100:0 to 95:5 hexane: EtOAc) to give 2d (51.9 mg, 0.165 mmol, 55%) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis (220 nm, 25 °C) tR 19.4 min (minor); tR 36.6 min (major) [Chiracel AS-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm) (from Daicel Chemical Ind., Ltd.) hexane/i-PrOH, 80:20, 1.0 mL/min] to be 83% ee. [α]D22 = +8.0° (c 1.00, CHCl3). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 1.65-1.71 (m, 2H), 2.34 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.50-2.53 (m, 2H), 2.86 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 2.92 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 6.81 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.09 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.12 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.23 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3): δ 21.2, 35.9, 40.8, 49.6, 53.9, 55.3, 114.0, 128.1, 128.4, 128.6, 132.1, 138.8, 146.3, 158.0, 210.6. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C19H20ClO2+ ([M+H]+): 315.1146 Found: 315.1138.

(R)-3-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohexan-1-one (2e):
Prepared according to General Procedure C from 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohex-2-en-1-one 1a (60.7 mg, 0.300 mmol) and 4-fluorophenylboronic acid (126 mg, 0.900 mmol). The crude product was purified with a CombiFlash system (4 g column, 100:0 to 95:5 hexane: EtOAc) to give 2e (43.9 mg, 0.147 mmol, 49%) as a colorless oil with approximately 5% (calculated by 1H NMR spectroscopy) of 4'-methoxy-3-methyl-1,1'-biphenyl as an inseparable impurity. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis (220 nm, 25 °C) tR 22.9 min (minor); tR 44.7 min (major) [Chiracel AS-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm) (from Daicel Chemical Ind., Ltd.) hexane/i-PrOH, 80:20,
1.0 mL/min] to be 91% ee. [α]$_D^{26} = +23.1\degree$ (c 0.61, CHCl$_3$) 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 1.65-1.71 (m, 2H), 2.34 (t, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 2H), 2.51 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 2.52 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 2.87 (d, $J = 15.2$ Hz, 1H), 2.93 (d, $J = 15.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 6.81 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 6.94 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H), 6.96 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.09 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2H), 7.15 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.16 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 21.2, 36.1, 40.8, 49.6, 54.2, 55.3, 114.0, 115.3 (d, $J = 21.1$ Hz, 2C), 128.1, 128.6 (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 2C), 139.2, 143.5 (d, $J = 3.3$ Hz, 1C), 158.0, 161.2 (d, $J = 246.6$ Hz, 1C), 162.4, 210.7. 19F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ -116.9 (m, 1F). HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C$_{19}$H$_{20}$FO$_2$+ ([M+H]$^+$): 299.1442 Found: 299.1438.

Methyl (S)-4-[(1-[(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-oxocyclohexyl]benzoate (2f): Prepared according to General Procedure C from 3-[(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohex-2-en-1-one 1a (60.7 mg, 0.300 mmol) and 4-methoxycarbonylphenylboronic acid (162 mg, 0.900 mmol). The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (50:50 hexane: diethylether) to give 2f (39.6 mg, 0.117 mmol, 39%) as a white solid. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis (220 nm, 25 °C) t$_R$ 33.4 min (minor); t$_R$ 50.2 min (major) [Chiracel AS-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm) (from Daicel Chemical Ind., Ltd.) hexane/i-PrOH, 80:20, 1.0 mL/min] to be 87% ee. [α]$_D^{26} = 8.0\degree$ (c 1.00, CHCl$_3$). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 1.65-1.71 (m, 2H), 2.35 (t, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 2H), 2.54-2.57 (m, 2H), 2.89 (d, $J = 15.2$ Hz, 1H), 2.96 (d, $J = 15.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 6.81 (ddd, $J = 8.8$, 3.2, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 7.08 (ddd, $J = 8.8$, 3.2, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 7.27 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.93 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 21.2, 35.9, 40.8, 50.2, 52.2, 53.7, 55.3, 114.0, 127.1, 128.2, 128.3, 129.9, 138.5, 153.1, 158.1, 166.9, 210.4. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C$_{21}$H$_{23}$O$_4$+ ([M+H]$^+$): 339.1598 Found: 339.1591.
(S)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)cyclohexan-1-one (2g): Prepared according to General Procedure C from 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohex-2-en-1-one 1a (60.7 mg, 0.300 mmol) and 4-trifluoromethylphenylboronic acid (171 mg, 0.900 mmol). The crude product was purified with a CombiFlash system (4 g column, 100:0 to 90:10 hexane: EtOAc) to give 2g (39.7 mg, 0.114 mmol, 38%) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis (220 nm, 25 °C) to be 82% ee. [α]D = +12.3° (c 0.98, CHCl3). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 1.66-1.73 (m, 2H), 2.31-2.42 (m, 2H), 2.56 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.57 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.89 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 2.97 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 6.82 (ddd, J = 8.8, 3.6, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 7.09 (ddd, J = 8.8, 3.6, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 7.32 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.52 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H). 13C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl3): δ 21.2, 35.9, 40.8, 50.1, 53.8, 55.4, 114.1, 123.7 (q, J = 238.0 Hz, 1C), 125.6 (q, J = 5.6 Hz, 1C), 127.4, 128.2, 128.6 (q, J = 48.9 Hz, 1C), 138.4, 151.9, 158.2, 210.3. 19F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl3): δ -62.5 (s, 3F). HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C20H20F3O2+: [M+H]+: 349.1410 Found: 349.1385.

(R)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-(m-tolyl)cyclohexan-1-one (2h): Prepared according to General Procedure C from 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohex-2-en-1-one 1a (60.7 mg, 0.300 mmol) and 3-tolyboronic acid (122 mg, 0.900 mmol). The crude product was purified with a CombiFlash system (4 g column, 100:0 to 95:5 hexane: EtOAc) to give 2h (77.7 mg, 0.264 mmol, 88%) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis (220 nm, 25 °C) to be 90% ee. [α]D = +126.3° (c 0.97, CHCl3). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ
1.62-1.74 (m, 2H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 2.34 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.48-2.58 (m, 2H), 2.89 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 2.94 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 6.81 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 6.99 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.00 (s, 1H), 7.12 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.16 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H). $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 21.2, 21.8, 35.9, 40.9, 49.8, 54.0, 55.3, 113.8, 124.1, 127.0, 127.6, 128.2, 128.4, 138.0, 139.5, 147.7, 157.8, 211.1.


(R)-3-(3-methoxyphenyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohexan-1-one (2i):

Prepared according to General Procedure C from 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohex-2-en-1-one 1a (60.7 mg, 0.300 mmol) and 3-methoxyphenylboronic acid (137 mg, 0.900 mmol). The crude product was purified with a CombiFlash system (4 g column, 100:0 to 90:10 hexane: EtOAc) to give 2i (55.9 mg, 0.180 mmol, 60%) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis (220 nm, 25 °C) $t_R$ 42.5 min (major); $t_R$ 63.9 min (minor) [Chiracel AS-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm) (from Daicel Chemical Ind., Ltd.) hexane/i-PrOH, 80:20, 1.0 mL/min] to be 90% ee. [$\alpha$]$_D^{26}$ = +10.2° (c 0.79, CHCl$_3$). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 1.61-1.76 (m, 2H), 2.34 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.51 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.53 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.89 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 2.94 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 6.71 (dd, J = 7.6, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.75-6.82 (m, 4H), 7.12 (ddd, J = 8.8, 3.2, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 7.19 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H). $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 21.2, 35.9, 40.8, 49.9, 54.0, 55.2, 55.3, 111.0, 113.5, 113.8, 119.4, 128.1, 129.5, 139.2, 149.5, 157.9, 159.6, 210.9. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C$_{20}$H$_{23}$O$_3$+ ([M+H]$^+$): 311.1642 Found: 311.1649.

(R)-3-(3-chlorophenyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohexan-1-one (2j): Prepared according to General Procedure C from 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohex-2-en-1-one 1a (60.7 mg, 0.300 mmol) and 3-chlorophenylboronic acid (141 mg, 0.900
mmol). The crude product was purified with a CombiFlash system (4 g column, 100:0 to 90:10 hexane: EtOAc) to give 2j (33.1 mg, 0.105 mmol, 35%) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis (220 nm, 25 °C) tR 18.1 min (minor); tR 29.7 min (major) [Chiracel AS-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm) (from Daicel Chemical Ind., Ltd.) hexane/i-PrOH, 80:20, 1.0 mL/min] to be 85% ee. [α]D25 = +35.4° (c 0.57, CHCl3). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 1.60-1.77 (m, 2H), 2.35 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.47-2.57 (m, 2H), 2.85 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 2.96 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 6.82 (ddd, J = 9.2, 3.2, 2.4 Hz, 2H), 7.07 (ddd, J = 7.6, 2.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (ddd, J = 9.2, 3.2, 2.4 Hz, 2H), 7.15 (ddd, J = 7.6, 2.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.16-7.22 (m, 2H). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3): δ 21.2, 35.9, 40.8, 49.9, 53.8, 55.3, 114.0, 125.3, 126.6, 127.1, 128.2, 129.8, 134.5, 138.4, 150.1, 158.1, 210.4. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C19H20ClO2+ ([M+H]+): 315.1146 Found: 315.1138.

(R)-3-(3-fluorophenyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohexan-1-one (2k): Prepared according to General Procedure C from 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohex-2-en-1-one 1a (60.7 mg, 0.300 mmol) and 3-fluorophenylboronic acid (126 mg, 0.900 mmol). The crude product was purified was purified with a CombiFlash system (4 g column, 100:0 to 95:5 hexane: EtOAc) to give 2k (16.1 mg, 0.054 mmol, 35%) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis (220 nm, 25 °C) tR 21.1 min (minor); tR 29.6 min (major) [Chiracel AS-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm) (from Daicel Chemical Ind., Ltd.) hexane/i-PrOH, 80:20, 1.0 mL/min] to be 84% ee. [α]D21 = -319.2° (c 0.31, CHCl3). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 1.65-1.76 (m, 2H), 2.35 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.47-2.57 (m, 2H), 2.87 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 2.95 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 6.82 (ddd, J = 8.4, 3.2, 2.4 Hz, 2H), 6.83-6.91 (m, 2H), 6.97-7.00 (m, 1H), 7.10 (ddd, J = 8.4, 3.2, 2.4 Hz, 2H), 7.20-7.25 (m, 1H). 13C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl3): δ 21.2, 36.0, 40.9, 49.9 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1C), 53.9, 55.4, 113.3 (d,
\( J = 21.1 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{C}), 114.1, 114.2 (d, J = 20.1 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{C}), 122.7 (d, J = 2.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{C}), 128.2, 130.0 (d, J = 8.3 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{C}), 138.6, 150.7 (d, J = 6.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{C}), 158.2, 163.1 (d, J = 245.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{C}), 210.4. \)

\(^{19}\text{F NMR} (376 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta -112.5 \text{ (m, 1F)}. \)

\(^{1}\text{H NMR} (400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta 1.57-1.67 \text{ (m, 1H), 1.69-1.79 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 2.36 (t, J = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 2.47 (ddd, J = 12.4, 9.2, 2.4 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 2.75 (ddd, J = 12.4, 9.2, 2.4 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 2.94 (d, J = 15.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 3.01 (d, J = 15.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 3.77 (s, 3\text{H}), 6.81 (ddd, J = 8.8, 3.2, 2.0 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 6.91 (ddd, J = 8.0, 4.4, 1.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 7.09-7.14 (m, 3\text{H}), 7.19 (m, 1\text{H}), 7.40 (td, J = 8.4, 2.0 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}). \)

\(^{13}\text{C NMR} (151 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta 21.2, 34.5 (d, J = 3.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{C}), 41.0, 48.6 (d, J = 1.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{C}), 53.2 (d, J = 2.1 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{C}), 55.3, 113.8, 116.9 (d, J = 23.3 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{C}), 124.1 (d, J = 3.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{C}), 127.6, 128.4 (d, J = 4.4 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{C}), 128.8 (d, J = 8.9 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{C}), 134.3 (d, J = 10.4 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{C}), 138.5, 158.0, 160.8 (d, J = 249.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{C}), 210.8. \)

\(^{19}\text{F NMR} (376 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta -108.5 \text{ (m, 1F)}. \)

\textbf{HRMS (ESI):} Calcd. for C\textsubscript{19}H\textsubscript{20}FO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+} ([M+H]\textsuperscript{+}): 299.1442 Found: 299.1446.

\textbf{(R)-3-(2-fluorophenyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohexan-1-one (2l):} Prepared according to General Procedure C from 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohex-2-en-1-one 1a (60.7 mg, 0.300 mmol) and 2-fluorophenylboronic acid (126 mg, 0.900 mmol). The crude product was purified with a CombiFlash system (4 g column, 100:0 to 95:5 hexane: EtOAc) to give 2l (20.6 mg, 0.069 mmol, 23%) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis (220 nm, 25 °C) \( t_R \) 39.4 min (major); \( t_R \) 45.6 min (minor) [Chiracel OJ-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm) (from Daicel Chemical Ind., Ltd.) hexane/i-PrOH, 75:25, 1.0 mL/min] to be 81% ee. \([\alpha]_D^{21} = -173.2^\circ \text{ (c 0.64, CHCl}_3\text{)}. \)

\(^{1}\text{H NMR} (400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta 21.2, 34.5 (d, J = 3.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{C}), 41.0, 48.6 (d, J = 1.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{C}), 53.2 (d, J = 2.1 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{C}), 55.3, 113.8, 116.9 (d, J = 23.3 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{C}), 124.1 (d, J = 3.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{C}), 127.6, 128.4 (d, J = 4.4 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{C}), 128.8 (d, J = 8.9 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{C}), 134.3 (d, J = 10.4 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{C}), 138.5, 158.0, 160.8 (d, J = 249.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{C}), 210.8. \)

\(^{19}\text{F NMR} (376 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta -108.5 \text{ (m, 1F)}. \)

\textbf{HRMS (ESI):} Calcd. for C\textsubscript{19}H\textsubscript{20}FO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+} ([M+H]\textsuperscript{+}): 299.1442 Found: 299.1446.
(R)-3-(3-fluoro-4-methoxyphenyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohexan-1-one (2m): Prepared according to General Procedure C from 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohex-2-en-1-one 1a (60.7 mg, 0.300 mmol) and 3-fluoro-4-methoxyphenylboronic acid (153 mg, 0.900 mmol). The crude product was purified with a CombiFlash system (4 g column, 100:0 to 95:5 hexane: EtOAc) to give 2m (65.0 mg, 0.198 mmol, 66%) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis (220 nm, 25 °C) t<sub>R</sub> 40.2 min (major); t<sub>R</sub> 53.3 min (minor) [Chiracel AS-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm) (from Daicel Chemical Ind., Ltd.) hexane/PrOH, 80:20, 1.0 mL/min] to be 88% ee. [α]<sup>D</sup> = +2.2° (c 0.90, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 1.65-1.71 (m, 2H), 2.33 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.43-2.54 (m, 2H), 2.85 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 2.90 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 6.81 (ddd, J = 8.8, 3.2, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 6.83-6.90 (m, 2H), 6.92 (ddd, J = 8.4 2.4, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (ddd, J = 8.8, 3.2, 2.0 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 21.2, 36.0, 40.8, 49.3 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 1C), 54.0, 55.3, 56.3, 113.0 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1C), 113.9, 115.0 (d, J = 19.0 Hz, 1C), 122.5 (d, J = 3.3 Hz, 1C), 128.0, 138.9, 140.9 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1C), 145.9 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1C), 152.2 (d, J = 246.8 Hz, 1C), 157.9, 210.6. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ -134.4 (m, 1F). HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>22</sub>FO<sub>3</sub> + ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>): 329.1547 Found: 329.1553.

(R)-3-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohexan-1-one (2n): Prepared according to General Procedure C from 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohex-2-en-1-one 1a (60.7 mg, 0.300 mmol) and benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-ylboronic acid (149 mg, 0.900 mmol). The crude product was purified with a CombiFlash system (4 g column, 100:0 to 95:5 hexane: EtOAc) to give 2n (42.8 mg, 0.132 mmol, 44%) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis (220 nm, 25 °C) t<sub>R</sub> 22.8 min (minor); t<sub>R</sub> 36.2 min (major) [Chiracel AS-H (0.46 cm x
25 cm) (from Daicel Chemical Ind., Ltd.) hexane/i-PrOH, 80:20, 1.0 mL/min] to be 90% ee. 

\[\alpha\]D\textsuperscript{26} = +16.3° (c 0.74, CHCl\textsubscript{3}). \textbf{\textsuperscript{1}H NMR} (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): δ 1.63-1.73 (m, 2H), 2.33 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.42-2.53 (m, 2H), 2.87 (s, 2H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 5.89 (s, 2H), 6.59-6.60 (m, 1H), 6.71 (m, 2H), 6.81 (ddd, J = 8.8, 3.2, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 7.11 (ddd, J = 8.8, 3.2, 2.0 Hz, 2H). \textbf{\textsuperscript{13}C NMR} (101 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): δ 21.2, 36.1, 40.8, 49.7, 54.3, 55.3, 101.1, 107.8, 107.9, 113.9, 119.9, 128.0, 139.5, 141.8, 145.9, 148.0, 157.9, 210.9. \textbf{HRMS} (ESI): Calcd. for C\textsubscript{20}H\textsubscript{21}O\textsubscript{4}+ ([M+H]\textsuperscript{+}): 325.1434 Found: 325.1424.

\[(R)-3-(3,4-dimethylphenyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohexan-1-one \ (2o):\]

Prepared according to General Procedure C from 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohex-2-en-1-one \textbf{1a} (60.7 mg, 0.300 mmol) and 3,4-dimethylphenylboronic acid (135 mg, 0.900 mmol). The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (90:10 hexane: EtOAc) to give \textbf{2o} (33.3 mg, 0.108 mmol, 36%) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis (220 nm, 25 °C) \textit{t}R 18.6 min (minor); \textit{t}R 68.8 min (major) [Chiracel AS-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm) (from Daicel Chemical Ind., Ltd.) hexane/i-PrOH, 90:10, 1.0 mL/min] to be 85% ee. \[\alpha\]D\textsuperscript{22} = -94.4° (c 0.98, CHCl\textsubscript{3}). \textbf{\textsuperscript{1}H NMR} (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): δ 1.61-1.76 (m, 2H), 2.20 (s, 6H), 2.33 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.47-2.57 (m, 2H), 2.89 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 2.94 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 6.82 (ddd, J = 8.8, 3.2, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 6.91-6.94 (m, 2H), 7.03 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (ddd, J = 8.8, 3.2, 2.0 Hz, 2H). \textbf{\textsuperscript{13}C NMR} (101 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): δ 19.4, 20.2, 21.3, 36.0, 40.9, 49.5, 54.1, 55.3, 113.8, 124.4, 128.16, 128.20, 129.7, 134.5, 136.6, 139.6, 142.2, 157.8, 211.2. \textbf{HRMS} (ESI): Calcd. for C\textsubscript{21}H\textsubscript{25}O\textsubscript{2}+ ([M+H]\textsuperscript{+}): 309.1849 Found: 309.1846.
(R)-3-(3,5-dimethylphenyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohexan-1-one (2p): Prepared according to General Procedure C from 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohex-2-en-1-one 1a (60.7 mg, 0.300 mmol) and 3,5-dimethylphenylboronic acid (135 mg, 0.900 mmol). The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (90:10 hexane: EtOAc) to give 2p (35.2 mg, 0.114 mmol, 38%) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis (254 nm, 25 °C) t<sub>R</sub> 19.8 min (minor); t<sub>R</sub> 22.4 min (major) [Chiracel OJ-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm) (from Daicel Chemical Ind., Ltd.) hexane/i-PrOH, 90:10, 1.0 mL/min] to be 90% ee. [α]<sup>D</sup><sup>26</sup> = -6.1° (c 0.66, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 1.60-1.76 (m, 2H), 2.25 (s, 6H), 2.33 (t, <i>J</i> = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.47-2.57 (m, 2H), 2.88 (d, <i>J</i> = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 2.93 (d, <i>J</i> = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 6.79-6.83 (m, 5H), 7.12 (ddd, <i>J</i> = 8.8, 3.2, 2.0 Hz, 2H).<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 21.3, 21.7, 36.0, 40.9, 49.7, 54.1, 55.3, 113.8, 124.8, 128.0, 128.2, 137.9, 139.6, 147.7, 157.8, 211.1. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>25</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+: [M+H]+: 309.1849 Found: 309.1848.

(R)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)cyclohexan-1-one (2q): Prepared according to General Procedure C from 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohex-2-en-1-one 1a (60.7 mg, 0.300 mmol) and 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenylboronic acid (191 mg, 0.900 mmol). The crude product was purified with a CombiFlash system (4 g column, 100:0 to 98:2 hexane: EtOAc) to give 2q (74.5 mg, 0.201 mmol, 67%) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis (220 nm, 25 °C) t<sub>R</sub> 22.8 min (minor); t<sub>R</sub> 36.2 min (major) [Chiracel AS-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm) (from Daicel Chemical Ind., Ltd.) hexane/i-PrOH, 80:20, 1.0 mL/min] to be 78% ee. [α]<sup>D</sup><sup>20</sup> = -33.7° (c 1.07, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 1.62-1.75 (m, 2H), 2.34 (t, <i>J</i> = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.45-2.56 (m, 2H), 2.85 (d, <i>J</i> = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 2.94 (d, <i>J</i> = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (s, 6H), 3.77
(s, 3H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 6.39 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.12 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H). \(^{13}\)C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 21.3, 36.2, 40.9, 50.3, 54.4, 55.3, 56.2, 60.9, 104.7, 113.8, 127.9, 136.3, 139.5, 143.1, 153.0, 158.0, 211.0. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C\(_{22}\)H\(_{27}\)O\(_5\)\(^+\) ([M+H]\(^+\)): 325.1434 Found: 325.1436.

(R)-3-phenyl-3-(p-tolyl)cyclohexan-1-one (2r): Prepared according to General Procedure C from 3-phenylcyclohex-2-en-1-one 1b (51.6 mg, 0.300 mmol) and 4-methylphenylboronic acid (122 mg, 0.900 mmol). The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (90:10 hexane: EtOAc) to give (R)-2r (55.5 mg, 0.210 mmol, 70%) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis (220 nm, 25 °C) \(t_r\) 48.9 min (minor); \(t_r\) 57.8 min (major) [Chiracel AS-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm) (from Daicel Chemical Ind., Ltd.) hexane/i-PrOH, 99:01, 1.0 mL/min] to be 87% ee. \([\alpha]_D^{22} = -3.7 (c 1.09, \text{CHCl}_3)\). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 1.66-1.72 (m, 2H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.35 (t, \(J = 6.4\) Hz, 2H), 2.55-2.58 (m, 2H), 2.92 (d, \(J = 15.2\) Hz, 1H), 2.98 (d, \(J = 15.2\) Hz, 1H), 7.06-7.11 (m, 4H), 7.15-7.22 (m, 3H), 7.25-7.29 (m, 2H). \(^{13}\)C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 21.0, 21.2, 35.9, 40.9, 50.2, 53.9, 126.3, 126.96, 127.01, 128.5, 129.3, 135.9, 144.4, 147.6, 210.9. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C\(_{19}\)H\(_{21}\)O\(_5\)\(^+\) ([M+H]\(^+\)): 265.1587 Found: 265.1593.

(S)-3-(4-(dimethylamino)phenyl)-3-(p-tolyl)cyclohexan-1-one (2s): Prepared according to General Procedure C from 3-[4-(dimethylamino)phenyl]cyclohex-2-en-1-one 1c (64.6 mg, 0.300 mmol) and 4-methylphenylboronic acid (122 mg, 0.900 mmol). The crude product was purified with a CombiFlash system (4 g column, 100:0 to 90:10 hexane: EtOAc) to give 2s (33.2 mg, 0.108 mmol, 36%) as a yellow oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis (220 nm, 25 °C) \(t_r\) 14.0 min (minor); \(t_r\) 24.1 min (major) [Chiracel AS-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm) (from
Daicel Chemical Ind., Ltd.) hexane/i-PrOH, 80:20, 1.0 mL/min] to be 91% ee. [α]_D^{22} = +12.2° (c 0.82, CHCl₃). **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.67-1.73 (m, 2H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 2.33 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.50-2.53 (m, 2H), 2.87-2.96 (m, 8H), 6.65 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.05-7.11 (m, 6H). **¹³C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 21.0, 21.4, 36.0, 40.6, 40.9, 49.4, 54.1, 112.5, 126.9, 127.7, 129.2, 135.2, 135.6, 145.2, 148.8, 211.4. **HRMS** (ESI): Calcd. for C_{21}H_{26}NO⁺ ([M+H]^+): 308.2135 Found: 308.2144.

(S)-3-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-(p-tolyl)cyclohexan-1-one (2t): Prepared according to General Procedure C from 3-(4-fluorophenyl)-cyclohex-2-en-1-one 1d (57.0 mg, 0.300 mmol) and 4-methylphenylboronic acid (122 mg, 0.900 mmol). The crude product was purified with a CombiFlash system (4 g column, 100:0 to 90:10 hexane: EtOAc) to give 2t (62.7 mg, 0.222 mmol, 74%) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis (220 nm, 25 °C) tₘ 36.8 min (major); tₘ 50.4 min (minor) [Chiracel OJ-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm) (from Daicel Chemical Ind., Ltd.) hexane/i-PrOH, 99:01, 1.0 mL/min] to be 89% ee. [α]_D^{22} = +124.1° (c 1.05, CHCl₃). **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.63-1.74 (m, 2H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.35 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.49-2.59 (m, 2H), 2.90 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 2.92 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (ddd, J = 8.8, 3.2, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (ddd, J = 8.8, 3.2, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.07 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.10 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.16 (ddd, J = 8.8, 3.2, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (ddd, J = 8.8, 3.2, 2.0 Hz, 1H). **¹³C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 21.0, 21.2, 36.0, 40.8, 49.8, 54.0, 115.3 (d, J = 21.2 Hz, 1C), 126.8, 128.6 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1C), 129.3, 136.1, 143.4 (d, J = 3.3 Hz, 2C), 144.2, 160.0 (d, J = 246.6 Hz, 2C), 210.7. **¹⁹F NMR** (376 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -116.8 (m, 1F). **HRMS** (ESI): Calcd. for C_{19}H_{20}FO⁺ ([M+H]^+): 283.1493 Found: 283.1496.
(S)-3-(p-tolyl)-3-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)cyclohexan-1-one  (2u):
Prepared according to General Procedure C from 3-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-
cyclohex-2-en-1-one 1e (72.1 mg, 0.300 mmol) and 4-methylphenylboronic
acid (122 mg, 0.900 mmol). The crude product was purified with a CombiFlash system (4 g
column, 100:0 to 90:10 hexane: EtOAc) to give 2u (53.8 mg, 0.162 mmol, 54%) as a colorless
oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis (220 nm, 25 °C) tR 37.0 min
(major); tR 50.4 min (minor) [Chiracel OJ-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm) (from Daicel Chemical Ind.,
Ltd.) hexane/i-PrOH, 99.5:0.5, 1.0 mL/min] to be 90% ee. [α]D26 = -398.8° (c 0.64, CHCl3).

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 1.62-1.76 (m, 2H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.37 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.53-
2.63 (m, 2H), 2.91 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 2.98 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H),
7.11 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.53 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H). 13C NMR (151
MHz, CDCl3): δ 21.0, 21.2, 35.8, 40.8, 50.3, 53.6, 124.2 (q, J = 272.1 Hz, 1C), 125.5 (q, J =
3.8 Hz, 1C), 126.9, 127.4, 128.6 (q, J = 32.3 Hz, 1C), 129.5, 136.4, 143.4, 151.8, 210.2. 19F
NMR (376 MHz, CDCl3): δ -62.5 (s, 3F). HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C20H20F3O+ ([M+H]+):
333.1461 Found: 333.1462.

(S)-3-(3-methoxyphenyl)-3-(p-tolyl)cyclohexan-1-one  (2v): Prepared
according to General Procedure C from 3-(3-methoxyphenyl)-cyclohex-2-en-1-
one 1f (60.7 mg, 0.300 mmol) and 4-methylphenylboronic acid (122 mg, 0.900
mmol). The crude product was purified with a CombiFlash system (4 g column, 100:0 to 90:10
hexane: EtOAc) to give 2v (63.6 mg, 0.216 mmol, 72%) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric
excess was determined by HPLC analysis (220 nm, 25 °C) tR 91.7 min (major); tR 106.1 min
(minor) [Chiracel OJ-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm) (from Daicel Chemical Ind., Ltd.) hexane/i-PrOH,
98:2, 1.0 mL/min] to be 93% ee. [α]D22 = -143.8° (c 0.67, CHCl3). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3):
δ 1.66-1.72 (m, 2H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.34 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.53 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.55 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.91 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 2.95 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 6.72 (ddd, J = 8.4, 2.4, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 6.77-6.80 (m, 2H), 7.07-7.11 (m, 4H), 7.19 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H).

\[^{13}\text{C NMR}\] (101 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): δ 21.0, 21.2, 35.9, 40.9, 50.2, 53.9, 55.2, 111.0, 113.6, 119.5, 126.9, 129.3, 129.5, 135.9, 144.2, 149.3, 159.7, 210.9.

HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C\textsubscript{20}H\textsubscript{23}O\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+} ([M+H]\textsuperscript{+}): 295.1693 Found: 295.1691.

\((S)-3-(2\text{-methoxyphenyl})-3-(p\text{-tolyl})\text{cyclohexan-1-one}\) (2w): Prepared according to General Procedure C from 3-(2-methoxyphenyl)-cyclohex-2-en-1-one 1g (60.7 mg, 0.300 mmol) and 4-methylphenylboronic acid (122 mg, 0.900 mmol). The crude product was purified with a CombiFlash system (4 g column, 100:0 to 95:5 hexane: EtOAc) to give 2w (24.7 mg, 0.084 mmol, 28%) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis (220 nm, 25 °C) t\textsubscript{R} 16.2 min (minor); t\textsubscript{R} 17.7 min (major) [Chiracel AS-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm) (from Daicel Chemical Ind., Ltd.) hexane/i-PrOH, 80:20, 1.0 mL/min] to be 80% ee. [\(\alpha\)]\textsubscript{D}\textsuperscript{19} = -175.6° (c 0.68, CHCl\textsubscript{3}). \[^{1}\text{H NMR}\] (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): δ 1.59-1.68 (m, 2H), 2.28 (s, 3H), 2.33 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.36-2.42 (m, 1H), 2.78-2.84 (m, 1H), 2.82, (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 3.37 (s, 3H), 6.78 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.01 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 7.04 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 7.22 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H). \[^{13}\text{C NMR}\] (101 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): δ 21.0, 21.1, 34.5, 41.0, 49.3, 52.9, 55.4, 113.2, 120.6, 126.4, 127.7, 128.2, 128.8, 135.0, 135.8, 144.9, 157.7, 212.0. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C\textsubscript{20}H\textsubscript{23}O\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+} ([M+H]\textsuperscript{+}): 295.1693 Found: 295.1694.

\((S)-3-(1H\text{-indol-3-yl})-3-(p\text{-tolyl})\text{cyclohexan-1-one}\) (2x): Prepared according to General Procedure C from 3-(1H-indol-3-yl)cyclohex-2-en-1-one 1h (63.3 mg, 0.300 mmol) and 4-methylphenylboronic acid (122 mg, 0.900 mmol). The
crude product was purified with a CombiFlash system (4 g column, 100:0 to 80:20 hexane: EtOAc) to give 2x (37.3 mg, 0.123 mmol, 41%) as a white solid. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis (220 nm, 25 °C) tR 28.8 min (minor); tR 37.5 min (major) [Chiracel AS-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm) (from Daicel Chemical Ind., Ltd.) hexane/i-PrOH, 90:10, 1.0 mL/min] to be 77% ee. [α]D22 = -25.1° (c 1.12, CHCl3). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 1.44-1.55 (m, 1H), 1.79-1.88 (m, 1H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 2.35-2.40 (m, 2H), 2.54-2.61 (m, 1H), 2.76-2.79 (m, 1H), 2.82 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 3.03 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 6.89 (ddd, J = 8.4, 6.8, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.07 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.10-7.13 (m, 2H), 7.16 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (dd, J = 8.4, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 8.13 (bs, 1H). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3): δ 21.0, 21.8, 34.1, 41.1, 46.6, 55.5, 111.3, 119.2, 121.1, 121.3, 122.1, 123.5, 125.3, 126.4, 129.2, 136.8, 137.2, 144.3, 212.2. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C21H22NO+ ([M+H]+): 304.1696 Found: 304.1696.

(R)-3-phenyl-3-(m-tolyl)cyclohexan-1-one (2y): Prepared according to General Procedure C from 3-phenylcyclohex-2-en-1-one 1b (51.6 mg, 0.300 mmol) and 3-methylphenylboronic acid (122 mg, 0.900 mmol). The crude product was purified with a CombiFlash system (4 g column, 100:0 to 90:10 hexane: EtOAc) to give 2v (60.3 mg, 0.228 mmol, 76%) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis (220 nm, 25 °C) tR 28.4 min (minor); tR 32.4 min (major) [Chiracel OJ-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm) (from Daicel Chemical Ind., Ltd.) hexane/i-PrOH, 95:05, 1.0 mL/min] to be 88% ee. [α]D22 = +122.4° (c 0.92, CHCl3). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 1.65-1.72 (m, 2H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.34-2.37 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.57 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.59 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.96 (s, 2H), 7.00-7.02 (m, 3H), 7.15-7.23 (m, 4H), 7.26-7.30 (m, 2H).
\(^{13}\text{C NMR}\) (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 21.2, 21.8, 35.8, 40.9, 50.3, 53.8, 124.2, 126.3, 127.0, 127.1, 127.7, 128.4, 128.5, 138.1, 147.3, 147.5, 211.0. \textbf{HRMS} (ESI): Calcd. for \(\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{20}\text{O}^+ ([\text{M+H}]^+)\): 265.1587 Found: 265.1590.

\((\text{S})\)-3-phenyl-3-(p-tolyl)cyclohexan-1-one ((\text{ent})-2r): Prepared according to General Procedure C from 3-(4-methylphenyl)-cyclohex-2-en-1-one 1i (55.8 mg, 0.300 mmol) and phenylboronic acid (110 mg, 0.900 mmol). The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (90:10 hexane: EtOAc) to give (\text{ent})-2r (55.5 mg, 0.210 mmol, 70%) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis (220 nm, 25 \(^\circ\)C) \(t_R\) 44.6 min (major); \(t_R\) 59.4 min (minor) [Chiracel AS-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm) (from Daicel Chemical Ind., Ltd.) hexane/i-PrOH, 99:01, 1.0 mL/min] to be 88% ee. \([\alpha]_D^{22} = +11.6^\circ\) (c 0.86, CHCl\(_3\)). \(^1\text{H}\) and \(^{13}\text{C NMR}\) data matched NMR data for \((\text{R})\)-2q. \textbf{HRMS} (ESI): Calcd. for \(\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{21}\text{O}^+ ([\text{M+H}]^+)\): 265.1587 Found: 265.1584.

\((\text{R})\)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-phenylcyclohexan-1-one ((\text{ent})-2b): Prepared according to General Procedure C from 3-phenylcyclohex-2-en-1-one 1b (51.6 mg, 0.300 mmol) and 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid (137 mg, 0.900 mmol). The crude product was purified with a CombiFlash system (4 g column, 100:0 to 90:10 hexane: EtOAc) to give (\text{ent})-2b (37.0 mg, 0.132 mmol, 44%) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis (220 nm, 25 \(^\circ\)C) \(t_R\) 21.7 min (major); \(t_R\) 36.4 min (minor) [Chiracel OJ-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm) (from Daicel Chemical Ind., Ltd.) hexane/i-PrOH, 75:25, 1.0 mL/min] to be 80% ee. \([\alpha]_D^{22} = -8.3^\circ\) (c 0.24, CHCl\(_3\)). \(^1\text{H}\) and \(^{13}\text{C NMR}\) data matched NMR data for (S)-2b. \textbf{HRMS} (ESI): Calcd. for \(\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{21}\text{O}_2^+ ([\text{M+H}]^+)\): 281.1536 Found: 281.1526.
**Experimental Procedure for 1.0 mmol Scale, Enantioselective, Pd-Catalyzed Conjugate Addition of 4-Tolyloboronic Acid to 1a**

To a 20 mL scintillation vial were added Pd(TFA)2 (16.6 mg, 0.0500 mmol), (S)-t-BuPyOx (12.3 mg, 0.0600 mmol), 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclohex-2-en-1-one (202 mg, 1.00 mmol), 4-tolyloboronic acid (1.00 mmol, 1.00 equiv), water (90.0 µL, 5.00 equiv) and 1,2-dichloroethane
(0.50 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 3 h. After 3 h, another equivalent of 4-tolylboronic acid (1.00 mmol, 1.00 equiv) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for another 3 h. The same procedure was followed for a third equiv of 4-tolylboronic acid (1.00 mmol, 1.00 equiv). The reaction mixture was filtered through a short plug of silica gel (eluting with 50 mL of ethyl acetate) and concentrated under vacuum. The crude product was purified with a CombiFlash system (12 g column, 100:0 to 90:10 hexane: EtOAc) to give 2a (238 mg, 0.0810 mmol, 81%) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis (220 nm, 25 °C) tR 34.2 min (minor); tR 40.8 min (major) [Chiracel OJ-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm) (from Daicel Chemical Ind., Ltd.) hexane/i-PrOH, 90:10, 1.0 mL/min] to be 87% ee.

**Synthesis of** (S,E)-1-(2,4-dinitrophenyl)-2-(3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-(p-tolyl)cyclohexylidene)hydrazine ((S,E)-4a)

To an oven dried round bottom flask was added (S)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-(p-tolyl)cyclohexan-1-one 2a (0.181 g, 0.614 mmol, 89% ee), 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone (0.122 g, 0.614 mmol) and 20 mL of anhydrous toluene. A drop of acetic acid was added to the reaction mixture and the resulting solution was refluxed with a Dean-Stark trap for 16 h. The reaction mixture was then concentrated under vacuum. The crude reaction mixture was purified with flash silica gel chromatography using dichloromethane:hexane (6:4) as an eluent to give
a mixture of (S,E)-1-(2,4-dinitrophenyl)-2-(3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-(p-tolyl)cyclohexylidene)hydrazine (S,E)-4a and (S,Z)-1-(2,4-dinitrophenyl)-2-(3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-(p-tolyl)cyclohexylidene)hydrazine (S,Z)-4a in 80 % yield with 3.3:1.0 dr. The resulting mixture was recrystallized from methanol to obtain yellow single crystals of (S,E)-4a for single crystal XRD analysis. \([\alpha]_D^{24} = +334.0^\circ\) (c 1.0, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR of (S,E)-4a (400 MHz, CDCl₃): \(\delta\) 1.67-1.73 (m, 2H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 2.46-2.54 (m, 4H), 3.10 (d, \(J = 15.2\) Hz, 1H), 3.14 (d, \(J = 15.2\) Hz, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 6.81 (d, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 2H), 7.09 (d, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 2H), 7.18 (d, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 2H), 7.21 (d, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 2H), 8.07 (d, \(J = 9.6\) Hz, 1H), 8.35 (dd, \(J = 9.6, 2.4\) Hz, 1H), 9.13 (d, \(J = 2.4\) Hz, 1H), 11.21 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR of (S,E)-4a (101 MHz, CDCl₃): 20.9, 21.0, 26.3, 36.1, 47.0, 47.6, 55.3, 113.8, 116.4, 123.8, 127.1, 128.3, 129.3, 130.2, 131.2, 135.8, 137.7, 139.5, 144.7, 145.4, 157.8, 159.66, 159.68. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₂₆H₂₇N₄O₅⁺ ([M+H]⁺): 475.1976 Found: 475.1968.

**Absolute stereochemistry and structure of (S,E)-4a:**

Single crystal X-ray structure determination of 4a was performed using Cu radiation to determine the absolute configuration of the molecule. The systematic absences in the diffraction data were consistent with the P1 space group. The position of almost all non-hydrogen atoms were found by direct methods. The remaining atoms were located in an alternating series of least-squares cycles on difference Fourier maps. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined in full-matrix anisotropic approximation. All hydrogen atoms were placed in the structure factor calculation at idealized positions and were allowed to ride on the neighboring atoms with relative isotropic displacement coefficients. Flack, Hooft, and Parsons parameters calculated with PLATON software (as 0.06(8), 0.08(8), and 0.07(7) respectively) are consistent with our assignment of the absolute configuration. CCDC 1544809 contains the
supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via [http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif](http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif).
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Abstract

The nickel-catalyzed intermolecular carboacylation of alkenes with amides and tetraarylborates is presented. Bicyclic alkenes are readily functionalized with a variety of *N*-benzoyl-*N*-phenylbenzamides and triarylboranes, which are generated *in situ* from the corresponding tetraarylborates, to synthesize ketone products in up to 91% yield. Preliminary mechanistic studies suggest that migratory insertion precedes transmetalation, and that reductive elimination is the turnover-limiting step. These reactions occur with excellent chemoselectivity and diastereoselectivity in the absence of a directing/chelating group and further demonstrate amides as practical acyl electrophiles for alkene dicarbofunctionalization reactions.
**Introduction**

Transition metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions constitute an integral toolkit for the construction of new C–C bonds.\(^1\) Recently, cross-coupling reactions involving alkenes as ambiphilic conjunctive reagents have emerged as a promising platform for alkene dicarbofunctionalization reactions,\(^2\) such as arylalkylation,\(^2c\) diarylation,\(^2d\text{-}g\) and dialkylation.\(^2h\) Carboacylation of alkenes is an important subset of these dicarbofunctionalization reactions,\(^3\text{-}4\) which results in the introduction of an acyl electrophile and an aryl or alkyl nucleophile into readily accessible alkene frameworks.

To date, most alkene carboacylation reactions proceed in an intramolecular fashion, delivering cyclized products from preassembled starting materials.\(^3\) As a consequence of their intramolecular nature, these processes are restricted to substrates bearing tethered alkenes. We envisioned the development of an intermolecular, three-component alkene carboacylation reaction, which would enable the synthesis of complex molecular scaffolds from readily accessible starting materials. Current approaches to intermolecular alkene carboacylation encompass (1) reactions triggered by the directed activation of a C–C bond,\(^3a\text{-}h,4a\) and (2) three-component conjunctive cross-coupling reactions between a carboxylic acid derivative, an alkyl radical or organometallic nucleophile, and an alkene.\(^4b\text{-}c\)

Douglas and co-workers reported a Rh-catalyzed intermolecular alkene carboacylation reaction of 8-acylquinolines with strained bicyclic alkenes (Scheme 1a).\(^4a\) A limitation of this approach is that it requires the use of a non-removable quinoline directing group to facilitate C–C bond scission. An alternative approach to three-component carboacylation of alkenes occurring by Ni-catalyzed reductive radical relay was recently reported (Scheme 1b).\(^4b\) This reaction involves radical addition to a terminal alkene followed by chelation-assisted alkyl
radical capture of an acyl–nickel(II)–chloride intermediate. While this report provides a practical entry into three-component alkene carboacylation reactions, the reaction is limited to the addition of perfluoroalkyl radicals to terminal alkenes. The ability to incorporate common organometallic nucleophiles, such as organoboron reagents, into this type of conjunctive three-component cross-coupling reaction is underdeveloped.

**Scheme 1.** Current Strategies for Transition Metal-Catalyzed Intermolecular Alkene Carboacylation

A mechanistically distinct approach to intermolecular three-component alkene carboacylation involves Rh-catalyzed conjunctive cross-coupling of an acid anhydride, tetraphenylborate, and norbornene (Scheme 1c). This method begins to set the stage for
highly modular three-component alkene carboacylation; however, this reaction suffers from limited substrate scope (4 examples in 43-55% yield), occurs with poor chemoselectivity, and requires high loading (20 mol %) of a precious metal catalyst. Despite the significant advances outlined above, more robust methods for intermolecular three-component alkene carboacylation of alkenes are needed. Based on our previous report of nickel-catalyzed intramolecular alkene carboacylation of o-allylbenzamides, we sought to employ amides as acyl electrophiles\(^5\) in intermolecular alkene carboacylation reactions.\(^3\) This advance would enable coupling of bench stable amides with readily accessible alkene and arylboron reagents (Scheme 1d) to generate highly functionalized ketone products. Herein, we disclose a Ni-catalyzed three-component alkene carboacylation of alkenes with amides and tetrarylboron reagents.

**Results and Discussions:**

Initially, we chose to evaluate the reaction of \(N\)-glutarimidebenzamide 1a, norbornene 4a, and sodium tetraphenylborate 5a as a means to identify practical catalysts and reaction conditions (Table 1). We began our studies by investigating nickel complexes of \(N\)-heterocyclic carbene or monophosphine ligands that have previously been shown to readily activate amide C–N bonds.\(^5\) Nickel complexes generated from Ni(cod)\(_2\) and a variety of \(N\)-heterocyclic carbene or monophosphine ligands catalyzed the model reaction to form the desired *cis*-diastereomer 6a in 8-25% yield and the *trans*-diastereomer 6a’ in 0-16% yield (see Table S1 in General Experimental Details). We also observed the formation of the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling product 7 and the formal hydroacylation product 8 in low yields.

When the alkene carboacylation reaction was catalyzed by Ni(cod)\(_2\) in the absence of an exogenous ligand, the reaction formed the *cis*-diastereomer 6a in 29% yield with excellent
diastereoselectivity (Table 1, entry 1). In the presence of boric acid, the model reaction formed the carboacylation product 6a in 48% yield (Table 1, entry 2). We initially hypothesized that boric acid could serve to activate the amide starting material and/or react with sodium tetraphenylborate to generate triphenylborane.\(^6\)

\textbf{Table 1. Identification of Reaction Conditions}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>amide</th>
<th>conv. (%)(^b)</th>
<th>yield (%)(^b)</th>
<th>6a</th>
<th>6a’</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1(^c)</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td>83</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5(^d)</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6(^d,e)</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7(^f)</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8(^c,e,g)</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9(^e,g,h)</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Reaction conditions: 1a-3a (0.100 mmol), norbornene 4a (1.00 mmol), NaBPh₄ 5a (0.200 mmol), Ni(cod)₂ (0.010 mmol), H₃BO₃ (0.200 mmol), benzene (1 mL), 16 h. \(^b\)Determined by \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy of the crude reaction mixture using dibromomethane as an internal
To improve the yield of the model alkene carboacylation reaction, we investigated the impact of amide identity. The reaction of \(N\)-phenyl-\(N\)-Boc-benzamide \(2a\) with norbornene and tetraphenylborate formed the carboacylation product \(6a\) in 60% yield (Table 1, entry 3). However, the yield is modest due to the formation of benzanilide in 30% yield. We initially hypothesized that benzanilide is formed from the acid-catalyzed deprotection of the Boc carbamate. Several attempts to mitigate the formation of benzanilide utilizing a variety of \(N\)-aryl-\(N\)-carbamate substituted benzamides were unsuccessful, and benzanilide formation is likely the result of carbamate C–N bond activation (see Table S2 in General Experimental Details).

To mitigate the potential for undesired C–N bond activation, we evaluated reactions of the symmetrical \(N\)-benzoyl-\(N\)-phenylbenzamide \(3a\).\(^8\) The reaction of \(3a\) with norbornene and tetraphenylborate generated the alkene carboacylation products in 92% yield with 9.2:1 dr (Table 1, entry 4). When the reaction time was reduced to 8 h, the reaction formed alkene carboacylation products in 87% yield with >20:1 dr (Table 1, entry 5). Running the reaction at 80 °C for 8 h led to the formation of product \(6a\) as a single diastereomer in 85% yield (Table 1, entry 6). We observed that high concentrations of norbornene were required to obtain product \(6a\) in high yields. The carboacylation reaction between amide \(3a\), sodium tetraphenylborate and 5 equivalents of norbornene generated product \(6a\) in 65% yield (Table 1, entry 7).
**Scheme 2. Scope of Amides**

We conducted control experiments to probe the role boric acid serves in our model carboacylation reaction. To probe whether boric acid reacts with sodium tetraphenylborate to generate triphenylborane, we evaluated the reaction of norbornene, N-benzoyl-N-phenylbenzamide 3a, and triphenylborane. This reaction formed the ketone product 6a in 75% yield (Table 1, entry 8). We hypothesized that the lower yield observed in entry 8 is due to the

---

*aReaction conditions: 3 (0.100 mmol), 4a (1.00 mmol), 5a (0.200 mmol), Ni(cod)₂ (0.010 mmol), H₃BO₃ (0.200 mmol), benzene (1 mL), 80-95 °C, 8-16 h. †Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy of the crude reaction mixture using dibromomethane as an internal standard. ‡Reaction run using 1-(2-naphthoyl)piperidine-2,6-dione as the acyl electrophile.*
absence of boric acid which can also facilitate amide activation. To investigate this hypothesis, we conducted the carboacylation reaction of norbornene, amide 3a, and triphenylborane in the presence of 50 mol% boric acid. This reaction formed the carboacylation product 6a in 87% yield (Table 1, entry 9). These results suggest that boric acid reacts with sodium tetraphenylborate to generate triphenylborane, which is the reactive organometallic nucleophile in these reactions, and that boric acid also serves as a Brønsted acid to activate the amide electrophile.

With a practical catalyst system identified for the model reaction between amide 3a, norbornene 4a, and tetraphenylborate 5a, we evaluated intermolecular alkene carboacylation reactions with a variety of N-benzoyl-N-phenylbenzamides (Scheme 2). Carboacylation of norbornene with sodium tetraphenylborate and N-benzoyl-N-phenylbenzamides 3a-3f containing electron-donating, electron-neutral, or halogenated para-substituents formed ketone products 6a-6f in 56-91% yield. However, the reaction of a N-benzoyl-N-phenylbenzamide bearing an electron-deficient p-trifluoromethyl substituent occurs to form ketone product 6g in <10% yield under our standard reaction conditions. Reactions of N-benzoyl-N-phenylbenzamides with electron-donating and halogenated meta-substituents occur to form the corresponding ketone products 6h-6k in moderate-to-good yields (50-74%). The reaction of 3,4-dimethoxy substituted N-benzoyl-N-phenylbenzamide led to the formation of ketone product 6l in 90% yield. The reaction of a N-benzoyl-N-phenylbenzamide containing an ortho-methyl substituent generated the ketone product 6m in 67%. A heteroaromatic amide, N-phenyl-N-(furan-2-carbonyl)furan-2-carboxamide, is also a suitable electrophile for the alkene carboacylation. The carboacylation reaction of norbornene with N-phenyl-N-(furan-2-
carbonyl)furan-2-carboxamide and sodium tetraphenylborate forms the ketone product 6n in 80% yield. Although we focused on developing alkene carboacylation reactions using N-
benzoyl-N-phenylbenzamides, the reactions of amides derived from glutarimide were also successful. For example, the reaction of 1-(2-naphthoyl)piperidine-2,6-dione forms the ketone product 6o in 80% yield.

We then evaluated Ni-catalyzed alkene carboacylation with a range of bicyclic alkenes 4a-4h and sodium tetraarylborates (Scheme 3). Carboacylations of benzonorbornadienes with amide 3a and sodium tetraphenylborate 5a generate ketone products 6p and 6r in 68% and 48% yield. Reactions of dimethyl (exo,exo)-5-nobornene-2,3-dicarboxylate and dimethyl (endo,endo)-5-nobornene-2,3-dicarboxylate generated carboacylation products 6u and 6v in 75% and 53% yield. We recognized the impracticality of establishing an alkene scope which requires a large excess of non-commercially available alkene coupling partners. We discovered that the presence of 1 equivalent of p-F-styrene enabled us to reduce the loading of the bicyclic alkenes to 4 equivalents without impacting the yield of ketone product 6a. Encouraged by this result, we continued our study of alkene scope using 4 equivalents of alkene in the presence of 1 equivalent of p-F-styrene. Carboacylation reactions of benzonorbornadienes formed ketone products 6q and 6r in 40% and 51% yield. In addition, reactions of dialkyl (exo,exo)-5-nobornene-2,3-dicarboxylates and dimethyl (endo,endo)-5-nobornene-2,3-dicarboxylate generated carboacylation products 6s-6v in 44-68% yield.

Sodium tetraphenylborates 5b-5d with electron-donating, electron-neutral and halogenated para-substituents reacted with N-benzoyl-N-phenylbenzamide 3a and norbornene to form the corresponding ketone products 6w-6y in 34-69% yields. The reaction of sodium tetrakis(4-trifluoromethylphenyl)borate, which contains electron-deficient aryl groups, did not form the corresponding carboacylation product. The reaction of sodium tetrakis(3-methoxyphenyl)borate 5e occurred to form the ketone product 6z in 42% yield.
Scheme 4. Mechanistic Studies
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We conducted a series of preliminary experiments to provide insight into the mechanism of the intermolecular alkene carboacylation reaction (Scheme 4). The carboacylation reactions with unsymmetrical amides, $N$-benzoyl-4-methoxy-$N$-phenylbenzamide 3o or $N$-benzoyl-$N$-phenyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide 3p, generated a mixture of ketone products 6a and 6b or 6a and 6g in nearly a 1:1 ratio (Scheme 4a and 4b). These results suggest it is unlikely that oxidative addition is the turnover-limiting step in these catalytic reactions. As we have noted in our discussion of alkene scope (Scheme 3), the concentration of alkene can be significantly reduced when running the model reaction in the presence of $p$-F-styrene (Scheme 4c). The addition of $p$-F-styrene has been reported to facilitate reductive elimination for nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions. Additionally, the competition experiment between sodium tetra-$p$-tolylborate 5c and sodium tetrakis(4-fluorophenyl)borate 5d formed carboacylation products 6x and 6y in 1:6 ratio with the major product formed selectively from the relatively electron-deficient arylboron reagent (Scheme 4d). On the basis of these results, our working hypothesis is that reductive elimination is the turnover-limiting step for the intermolecular alkene carboacylation reaction.

After oxidative addition, we envisioned two potential pathways for our model reaction to proceed depending on the ordering of the subsequent transmetalation and migratory insertion steps. If transmetalation with triphenylborane occurs first, the corresponding acyl-nickel(II)-aryl complex would be generated as an on cycle intermediate. However, if migratory insertion precedes transmetalation, an alkyl-nickel(II)-amido complex would be formed. In this scenario, the acyl-nickel(II)-aryl complex would be an off-cycle intermediate with the potential to lead to the formation of benzophenone 7, the product of Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling.
In an effort to determine the order of elementary steps we evaluated the three-component alkene carboacylation reaction between amide 3a, norbornene and diphenylzinc (Scheme 4e). We postulated that in the presence of diphenylzinc, transmetalation will precede migratory insertion due to the relatively rapid rates of transmetalation with diarylzinc reagents and selectively generate the acyl-nickel(II)-aryl intermediate. This reaction generated benzophenone 7, the product of Negishi coupling in 89% yield, and the desired carboacylation product 6a was formed in only 11% yield. The formation of benzophenone 7 as the major product suggests that the acyl-nickel(II)-aryl complex is an off cycle intermediate and that migratory insertion precedes transmetalation for our model reaction. Interestingly, the reaction of dimethylzinc with amide 3a and norbornene 4a selectively formed the alkylacylation product 6aa, albeit in modest yield (37%).

Scheme 5. Proposed Catalytic Cycle
Building upon these observations, we propose the catalytic cycle shown in Scheme 5. Oxidative addition of the active Ni(0) catalyst to amide 3a affords acyl–Ni(II)–amido intermediate B. Migratory insertion of bicyclic alkene 4a into the Ni–C(acyl) bond generates alkyl–Ni(II)–amido complex C. Subsequent transmetalation with triphenylborane forms the alkyl–Ni(II)-aryl intermediate D, which undergoes reductive elimination to form the carboacylation product 6a and regenerates the active Ni(0) catalyst A.

**Conclusion**

In summary, we have developed a nickel-catalyzed intermolecular, three-component alkene carboacylation reaction triggered by activation of an amide C–N bond. This nickel-catalyzed conjunctive cross-coupling encompasses reactions of a variety of bicyclic alkenes, amides, and tetraarylborates to generate highly functionalized ketone products in high yields and excellent diastereoselectivities. In addition, preliminary mechanistic studies suggest that reductive elimination is the turnover-limiting step, and that migratory insertion precedes transmetalation. Studies to further leverage the synthetic potential of amides as acyl electrophiles in three-component conjunctive cross-coupling reactions are ongoing in our laboratory.

**Experimental**

**General Details.** All air-sensitive procedures were conducted under inert atmosphere in a nitrogen-filled dry box or by standard Schlenk techniques. All reactions were performed under nitrogen unless otherwise stated. Benzene, toluene, dichloromethane (DCM), diethylether (Et₂O), and tetrahydrofuran (THF) were degassed by purging with argon for 45 minutes and dried with a solvent purification system by passing through a one-meter column of activated alumina. Anhydrous 1,4-dioxane and dimethylformamide (DMF) were purchased
from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. Flash column chromatography was performed on SiliFlash® P60 silica gel (40-63μm, 60Å) or using a Teledyne Isco CombiFlash® Rf system with RediSep GoldTM columns using hexane/ethyl acetate or hexane/Et₂O or and pentane/Et₂O. Reaction products were visualized on TLC under UV light or by staining with KMnO₄.

HRMS (ESI) analysis was performed at the Iowa State University Chemical Instrumentation Facility on an Agilent 6540 QTOF spectrometer. Elemental analysis was performed at the Iowa State University Chemical Instrumentation Facility on the Perkin Elmer 2100 Series II CHN/S Analyzer. NMR spectra were acquired on Varian MR-400 and Bruker Avance III 600 spectrometers at the Iowa State University Chemical Instrumentation Facility. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to a residual solvent peak (CDCl₃ = 7.26 ppm for H and 77.16 ppm for C). ¹⁹F NMR shifts are reported based on indirect reference to CDCl₃. Coupling constants are reported in hertz.¹⁴

Materials. Sodium tetraphenylborate, norbornene, methylchloroformate, ethylchloroformate, isopropylchloroformate, phenylchloroformate, benzylchloroformate, 4-methoxybenzoic acid, 4-methylbenzoic acid, 4-phenylbenzoic acid, 4-chlorobenzoic acid, 4-fluorobenzoic acid, 3-chlorobenzoic acid, 3-fluorobenzoic acid, 2-thiophencarboxylic acid, 2-furoic acid, magnesium triflate, anhydrous methanol, anhydrous ethanol, anhydrous n-butanol, dimethylzinc, benzoyl chloride, 2.0 M oxalyl chloride solution in DCM, and 2.5 M n-BuLi solution in hexanes were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. 3-Methylbenzoic acid was purchased from Lancaster Synthesis. 3-Methoxybenzoic acid was purchased from Eastman Organic Chemicals. Dicyclopentadiene and triphenylborane were purchased from Alfa Aesar. 4-Methoxybenzoyl chloride, and 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzoyl chloride were purchased from
TCI America. Carbic anhydrid and benzanilide were purchased from AK Scientific. Ni(cod)$_2$ was purchased from Strem Chemical and Sigma Aldrich. Amides $1a^{15}$, $1b^{15}$, $2a^{16}$, $3a^{17a}$ and $3aa^{17b}$ were synthesized according to literature procedures. Alkene $4b^{18}$, $4c^{19}$, $4d^{20}$, $4e^{21}$, $4f^{21}$, $4g^{21}$ and $4h^{21}$ were synthesized according to literature procedures. Sodium tetraarylborates $5b^{22}$, $5c^{22}$, $5d^{23}$ and $5e^{23}$ were prepared according to literature procedures.

**General Procedure A for synthesis of amides 2b-2f:**

![LaTeX diagram](attachment.png)

An oven dried flask was charged with a solution of NaH (1.4 equiv) in THF (0.60 M) at 0 °C under N$_2$. A solution of benzanilide (1.2 equiv) in THF (0.25 M) was added to the reaction flask. The resulting mixture was then stirred at 0 °C for 1 h. A solution of alkyl or aryl chloroformate (1.0 equiv) in THF (0.42 M) was added slowly to the reaction flask. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 h. The reaction mixture was then quenched with sat. NH$_4$Cl. The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with DCM (15 mL X 3). The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO$_4$ and concentrated under vacuum. The crude reaction mixture was then purified by flash column chromatography to give amides 2b-2f in 61-99% yield.

**methyl benzoyl(phenyl)carbamate 2b:** Prepared according to the general procedure A from benzanilide (0.500 g, 2.54 mmol) and methyl chloroformate (0.199 g, 2.11 mmol) to yield 2b as a white solid in 73% yield (0.394 g, 1.54 mmol). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 3.68 (s, 3H), 7.24-7.27 (m, 2H), 7.36 (tt, $J = 7.6, 1.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.40-7.44 (m, 4H), 7.51 (tt, $J = 7.6, 1.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.70-7.73 (m, 2H). $^{13}$C

**ethyl benzoyl(phenyl)carbamate 2c**: Prepared according to the general procedure A from benzanilide (0.500 g, 2.54 mmol) and ethyl chloroformate (0.229 g, 2.11 mmol) to yield 2c as a white solid in 99% yield (0.565 g, 2.09 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.03 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 4.12 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.27 (dd, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (tt, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.40-7.45 (m, 4H), 7.52 (tt, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.71-7.73 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 13.9, 63.3, 128.1, 128.3, 128.4, 129.4, 132.0, 136.2, 138.9, 154.9, 172.4. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₁₆H₁₄NO₃⁺ ([M+H]⁺): 270.1125, Found: 270.1129.

**isopropyl benzoyl(phenyl)carbamate 2d**: Prepared according to the general procedure A from benzanilide (0.500 g, 2.54 mmol) and isopropyl chloroformate (0.259 g, 2.11 mmol) to yield 2d as a white solid in 67% yield (0.405 g, 1.41 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.04 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 6H), 4.88 (sep, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (dd, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (tt, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.40-7.46 (m, 4H), 7.52 (tt, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.72-7.74 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 21.4, 71.55, 71.62, 128.0, 128.3, 128.4, 129.3, 131.9, 136.6, 139.0, 154.4, 172.6. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₁₇H₁₈NO₃⁺ ([M+H]⁺): 284.1281, Found: 284.1279

**benzyl benzoyl(phenyl)carbamate 2e**: Prepared according to the general procedure A from benzanilide (0.500 g, 2.54 mmol) and benzyl chloroformate (0.360 g, 2.11 mmol) to yield 2e as a colorless oil in 65% yield (0.453g, 1.37 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.10 (s, 2H), 7.03 (dd, J = 7.6, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 7.24-7.29 (m, 5H), 7.33-7.44 (m, 5H), 7.50 (77, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.68-7.71 (m,
2H). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): 68.8, 128.1, 128.2, 128.46, 128.50, 128.52, 128.54, 128.57, 129.4, 132.2, 134.8, 135.9, 138.8, 154.8, 172.3. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C$_{21}$H$_{18}$NO$_3$+ ([M+H]$^+$): 332.1281, Found: 332.1281.

Phenyl benzoyl(phenyl)carbamate 2f: Prepared according to the general procedure A from benzanilide (0.500 g, 2.54 mmol) and phenyl chloroformate (0.331 g, 2.11 mmol) to yield 2f as a white solid in 61% yield (0.409 g, 1.29 mmol). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 6.95-6.98 (m, 2H), 7.18 (tt, 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (tt, 8.0, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 7.37-7.42 (m, 3H), 7.45-7.50 (m, 4H), 7.55 (tt, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.84-7.87 (m, 2H). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): 121.0, 126.2, 128.0, 128.67, 128.71, 129.5, 129.6, 132.5, 135.6, 138.4, 154.8, 172.2. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C$_{20}$H$_{16}$NO$_3$+ ([M+H]$^+$): 318.1125, Found: 318.1127.

General Procedure B for synthesis of amides 3a-3p:

To the appropriate benzoic acid in anhydrous DCM (0.3 M) at 0 °C under N$_2$ was added a catalytic amount of DMF (1-2 drops) followed by 2.0 M solution of oxalyl chloride in DCM (1.2 equiv) dropwise and. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred
overnight. The solvent was removed under vacuum to afford the corresponding acid chloride.

The acid chloride was used in the next step without further purification.

To a dry flask, aniline (1.1 equiv), triethylamine (2.0 equiv) and N,N-dimethylaminopyridine (0.25 equiv) were added in DCM (1.5 M). A solution of an appropriate acid chloride in DCM (0.30 M) was added slowly to the reaction flask at 0 °C under N₂. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was then quenched with 1N HCl and layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (15 mL X 3). The organic layers were combined, washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated under vacuum to yield corresponding benzanilide (or N-phenylbenzamide). The benzanilide was used in the next step without further purification.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(Het)Ar} & \quad \text{O} & \quad \text{N} & \quad \text{Ph} \\
\text{Cl} & \quad \text{H} & \quad \text{Ph} \quad \text{O} & \quad \text{N} & \quad \text{Ph} \\
\text{Ar} & \quad \text{= C₆H₅} & \quad \text{Ar} & \quad \text{= C₆H₅} \\
\text{Ar} & \quad \text{= 4-OCH₃C₆H₄} & \quad \text{Ar} & \quad \text{= 4-OCH₃C₆H₄} \\
\text{Ar} & \quad \text{= 4-CH₃C₆H₄} & \quad \text{Ar} & \quad \text{= 4-CH₃C₆H₄} \\
\text{Ar} & \quad \text{= biphenyl} & \quad \text{Ar} & \quad \text{= biphenyl} \\
\text{Ar} & \quad \text{= 4-ClC₆H₄} & \quad \text{Ar} & \quad \text{= 4-ClC₆H₄} \\
\text{Ar} & \quad \text{= 4-FC₆H₄} & \quad \text{Ar} & \quad \text{= 4-FC₆H₄} \\
\text{Ar} & \quad \text{= 3-OCH₃C₆H₄} & \quad \text{Ar} & \quad \text{= 3-OCH₃C₆H₄} \\
\text{Ar} & \quad \text{= 3-CH₃C₆H₄} & \quad \text{Ar} & \quad \text{= 3-CH₃C₆H₄} \\
\text{Ar} & \quad \text{= 3-ClC₆H₄} & \quad \text{Ar} & \quad \text{= 3-ClC₆H₄} \\
\text{Ar} & \quad \text{= 3-FC₆H₄} & \quad \text{Ar} & \quad \text{= 3-FC₆H₄} \\
\text{Ar} & \quad \text{= 3,4-(OCH₃)₂C₆H₃} & \quad \text{Ar} & \quad \text{= 3,4-(OCH₃)₂C₆H₃} \\
\text{Ar} & \quad \text{= 2-CH₃C₆H₄} & \quad \text{Ar} & \quad \text{= 2-CH₃C₆H₄} \\
\text{HetAr} & \quad \text{= 2-furan} & \quad \text{HetAr} & \quad \text{= 2-furan}
\end{align*}
\]

To an oven dried flask, a cold solution of benzanilide (or N-phenylbenzamide) (1.0 equiv) in THF (0.30 M) at -50 °C under N₂ was added. A solution of 2.5 M nBuLi in hexane (1.1 equiv) was added dropwise to the reaction flask. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. Acid chloride (4.0 equiv) was then added slowly under vigorous stirring. The resulting mixture was then refluxed for 4 h. The reaction mixture was then quenched with
1 N HCl and layers were separated. The aqueous layer was then extracted with EtOAc (20 mL X 3). The combined organic layer was then washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated under vacuum. The crude reaction mixture was then purified by flash column chromatography using Hexane:EtOAc to afford corresponding amides. All the amides were then recrystallized from methanol or methanol:chloroform (1:1) to give pure amides 3a-3p in 20-75% yields.

**Characterization data for amides 3b-3p:**

**4-methoxy-N-(4-methoxybenzoyl)-N-phenylbenzamide**

3b: Prepared according to general procedure B from 4-methoxy-N-phenylbenzamide (1.00 g, 4.40 mmol) and 4-methoxybenzoyl chloride (3.00 g, 17.6 mmol) to yield 3b as a white solid in 64% yield (1.02 g, 2.82 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.81 (s, 6H), 6.82 (ddd, J = 8.8, 2.8, 2.0 Hz, 4H), 7.16 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (dd, J = 7.6, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.73 (ddd, J = 8.8, 2.8, 2.0 Hz, 4H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 55.6, 113.9, 127.2, 127.4, 127.7, 129.6, 131.8, 141.0, 163.0, 173.1. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₂₂H₂₀NO₄⁺ ([M+H]⁺): 362.1387, Found: 362.1385.

**4-methyl-N-(4-methylbenzoyl)-N-phenylbenzamide 3c:** Prepared according to general procedure B from 4-methyl-N-phenylbenzamide (1.00 g, 4.73 mmol) and 4-methylbenzoyl chloride (2.93 g, 18.9 mmol) to yield 3c as a white solid in 51% yield (0.793 g, 2.41 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.34 (s, 6H), 7.13 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 4H), 7.17 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.64 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 4H).

**N-[(1,1'-biphenyl)-4-carbonyl]-N-phenyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carboxamide 3d:** Prepared according to general procedure B from N-phenyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carboxamide (0.740 g, 2.70 mmol) and 4-phenylbenzoyl chloride (2.35 g, 10.8 mmol) to yield 3d as a white solid in 51% yield (0.624 g, 1.38 mmol). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 7.23-7.26 (m, 2H), 7.29 (tt, J = 7.4, 1.29 Hz, 1H), 7.35-7.41 (m, 4H), 7.44 (tdd, J = 7.1, 1.8, 1.2 Hz, 4H), 7.55-7.60 (m, 8H), 7.85 (ddd, J = 8.6, 1.9, 1.8 Hz, 4H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ 127.3, 127.4, 127.8, 127.9, 128.3, 129.1, 129.8, 130.1, 133.5, 139.9, 140.4, 145.3, 173.4. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C32H24NO2+ ([M+H]+): 454.1802, Found: 454.1808.

4-chloro-N-(4-chlorobenzoyl)-N-phenylbenzamide 3e:

Prepared according to general procedure B from 4-chloro-N-phenylbenzamide (1.00 g, 4.32 mmol) and 4-chlorobenzoyl chloride (3.02 g, 17.3 mmol) to yield 3e as a white solid in 40% yield (0.639 g, 1.73 mmol). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 7.14 (ddd, J = 7.6, 1.6, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 7.26-7.39 (m, 7H), 7.67 (ddd, J = 8.0, 2.0, 2.0 Hz, 4H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ 127.8, 128.1, 129.1, 129.9, 130.8, 132.9, 139.0, 139.8, 172.4. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C22H14Cl2NO2+ ([M+H]+): 370.0396, Found: 370.0387.

4-fluoro-N-(4-fluorobenzoyl)-N-phenylbenzamide 3f:

Prepared according to general procedure B from 4-fluoro-N-phenylbenzamide (1.20 g, 5.57 mmol) and 4-fluorobenzoyl chloride (3.52 g, 22.3 mmol) to yield 3f as a white solid in 63% yield (1.18 g, 3.51 mmol). 1H
NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.03 (dd, J = 7.6, 5.2 Hz, 4H), 7.16 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.29 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.77 (dd, J = 7.6, 5.2 Hz, 4H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 116.0 (d, J = 22.0 Hz, 4C), 127.8, 128.0, 129.8, 130.8 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 2C), 132.0 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 4C), 140.1, 165.2 (d, J = 253.5 Hz, 2C), 172.3. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -105.27 (m, 2F). HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₂₂H₁₄F₂NO₂⁺ ([M+H]⁺): 338.0987, Found: 338.0995.

N-phenyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)-N-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzoyl)benzamide 3g: Prepared according to general procedure B from N-phenyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide (2.65 g, 10.0 mmol) and 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzoyl chloride (4.17 g, 20.0 mmol) to yield 3g as a white solid in 35% yield (1.53 g, 3.50 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.15-7.18 (m, 2H), 7.32 (tt, J = 7.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.36-7.41 (m, 2H), 7.63 (d, J = 8.4, 4H), 7.84 (d, J = 8.4, 4H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 126.0 (q, J = 3.7 Hz, 1C), 128.2, 128.8, 129.8, 130.3, 134.1, 134.4, 137.8, 139.3, 172.3. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -63.2 (s, 6F). HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₂₂H₁₄F₆NO₂⁺ ([M+H]⁺): 438.0923 Found: 438.0920.

3-methoxy-N-(3-methoxybenzoyl)-N-phenylbenzamide 3h: Prepared according to general procedure B from 3-methoxy-N-phenylbenzamide (1.00 g, 4.41 mmol) and 3-methoxybenzoyl chloride (3.01 g, 17.6 mmol) to yield 3h as a white solid in 42% yield (0.669 g, 1.85 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.76 (s, 6H), 6.98 (dt, J = 7.1, 2.4 Hz, 2H), 7.17-7.39 (m, 11H).

**3-methyl-N-(3-methylbenzoyl)-N-phenylbenzamide 3i**: Prepared according to general procedure B from 3-methyl-N-phenylbenzamide (1.00 g, 4.74 mmol) and 3-methylbenzoyl chloride (2.93 g, 19.0 mmol) to yield 3i as a white solid in 44% yield (0.688 g, 2.09 mmol).

**1H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.31 (s, 6H), 7.17 - 7.28 (m, 7H), 7.36 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.50 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.55 (s, 2H).  **13C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 21.4, 126.4, 127.7, 127.9, 128.4, 129.6, 130.1, 133.2, 135.0, 138.5, 140.4, 173.8. **HRMS** (ESI): Calcd. for C₂₂H₂₀NO₂⁺ ([M+H]⁺): 330.1489, Found: 330.1476.

**3-chloro-N-(3-chlorobenzoyl)-N-phenylbenzamide 3j**: Prepared according to general procedure B from 3-chloro-N-phenylbenzamide (1.00 g, 4.32 mmol) and 3-chlorobenzoyl chloride (3.02 g, 17.3 mmol) to yield 3j as a white solid in 43% yield (0.687 g, 1.86 mmol).

**1H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.16 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.26 - 7.33 (m, 3H), 7.37 - 7.44 (m, 4H), 7.58 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.71 (s, 2H). **13C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 127.3, 127.9, 128.3, 129.5, 129.95, 129.96, 132.6, 134.9, 136.3, 139.5, 172.0. **HRMS** (ESI): Calcd. for C₂₂H₁₄Cl₂NO₂⁺ ([M+H]⁺): 370.0396, Found: 370.0395.

**3-fluoro-N-(3-fluorobenzoyl)-N-phenylbenzamide 3k**: Prepared according to general procedure B from 3-fluoro-N-phenylbenzamide (0.982 g, 4.25 mmol) and 3-fluorobenzoyl chloride (2.27 g, 12.8 mmol) to yield 3k as a white solid in 75% yield (1.08 g, 3.19 mmol). **1H**
**NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.14-7.19 (m, 4H), 7.28-7.40 (m, 5H), 7.42 (dt, J = 8.9, 2.5 Hz, 2H), 7.51 (dt, J = 7.8, 1.5 Hz, 2H). **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 116.4 (d, J = 23.2 Hz, 2C), 119.7 (d, J = 21.2 Hz, 2C), 125.0 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 2C), 127.9, 128.3, 129.9, 130.4 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2C), 136.7 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2C), 139.6, 162.6 (d, J = 247.2 Hz, 2C), 172.1 (d, J = 2.9 Hz, 2C).

**¹⁹F NMR** (376 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -111.39 (m, 2F).


**N-(3,4-dimethoxybenzoyl)-3,4-dimethoxy-N-phenylbenzamide** 3l: Prepared according to general procedure B from 3,4-dimethoxy-N-phenylbenzamide (1.00 g, 3.89 mmol) and 3,4-dimethoxybenzoyl chloride (2.34 g, 11.7 mmol) to yield 3l as a white solid in 31% yield (0.508 g, 1.21 mmol). **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.82 (s, 6H), 3.88 (s, 6H), 6.77 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.18 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.26 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.0 Hz, 2H). **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 56.0, 56.1, 110.3, 112.4, 123.8, 127.2, 127.4, 127.5, 129.7, 141.2, 148.9, 152.7, 173.1. **HRMS (ESI):** Calcd. for C₂₄H₂₄NO₆⁺ ([M+H]^+): 422.1598, Found: 422.1601.

**2-methyl-N-(2-methylbenzoyl)-N-phenylbenzamide** 3m: Prepared according to general procedure B from 2-methyl-N-phenylbenzamide (1.48 g, 7.00 mmol) and 2-methylbenzoyl chloride (2.16 g, 14.0 mmol) to yield 3m as a white solid in 50% yield (1.16 g, 3.50 mmol). **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.40 (s, 6H), 7.05-7.13 (m, 4H), 7.20 (td, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 7.27-7.32 (m, 3H), 7.38-7.43 (m, 2H), 7.50 (dd, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 2H). **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 20.1, 125.5, 127.7, 127.96, 128.01, 129.6, 130.9, 131.3, 136.0, 138.0, 139.6, 173.5. **HRMS (ESI):** Calcd. for C₂₂H₂₀NO₃⁺ ([M+H]^+): 330.1489, Found: 330.1488.
\[ \text{N-}(\text{furan-2-carbonyl})-\text{N-phenylfuran-2-carboxamide} \ 3n: \ \text{\^{1}H NMR} \]

(400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): Prepared according to general procedure B from \text{N-phenylfuran-2-carboxamide} (2.00 g, 10.7 mmol) and 2-furoyl chloride (5.58 g, 40.8 mmol) to yield \textbf{3n} as a white solid in 70\% yield (2.11 g, 7.49 mmol). \text{\^{1}H NMR} (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): \[ \delta 6.44 (dd, J = 3.6, 1.7 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 6.99 (dd, J = 3.6, 0.7 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 7.24-7.27 (m, 2H), 7.35 (tt, J = 7.4, 1.3 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.39-7.43 (m, 2H), 7.45 (dd, J = 1.7, 0.7 \text{ Hz}, 2H). \]

\text{13C NMR} (100 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): \[ \delta 112.3, 119.6, 128.0, 128.4, 129.7, 139.1, 146.4, 147.7, 161.4. \]

\text{HRMS} (ESI): Calcd. for \text{C\textsubscript{16}H\textsubscript{12}NO\textsubscript{4}} ([M+H]\textsuperscript{+}): 282.0791, Found: 282.0770.

\[ \text{N-benzoyl-4-methoxy-\text{-N-phenylbenzamide} \ 3o: \ Prepared} \]

according to general procedure B from benzanilide (1.38 g, 7.00 mmol) and 4-methoxybenzoyl chloride (2.38 g, 14.0 mmol) to yield \textbf{3o} as a white solid in 21\% yield (0.503 g, 1.47 mmol). \text{\^{1}H NMR} (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): \[ \delta 3.81 (s, 3H), 6.82-6.84 (ddd, J = 9.6, 4.8, 2.8 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 7.17 (ddd, J = 7.6, 3.6, 1.2 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 7.26 (tt, J = 7.6, 1.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.31-7.38 (m, 4H), 7.44 (tt, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (ddd, J = 9.6, 4.8, 2.8 \text{ Hz}, 4H). \]

\text{13C NMR} (100 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): \[ \delta 55.6, 114.0, 126.8, 127.6, 127.8, 128.6, 129.2, 129.6, 132.1, 132.3, 135.3, 140.7, 163.2, 172.9, 173.7. \]

\text{HRMS} (ESI): Calcd. for \text{C\textsubscript{21}H\textsubscript{18}NO\textsubscript{3}} ([M+H]\textsuperscript{+}): 332.1281, Found: 332.1277.

\[ \text{N-benzoyl-\text{-N-phenyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide} \ 3p: \ Prepared} \]

according to general procedure B from benzanilide (2.11 g, 10.0 mmol) and 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzoyl chloride (4.17 g, 20.0 mmol) to yield \textbf{3p} as a white solid in 30\% yield (1.10 g, 3.00 mmol). \text{\^{1}H NMR} (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): \[ \delta 7.16-7.20 (m, 2H), 7.27-7.40 (m, 5H), 7.47 (tt, J = 7.6, 1.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.62 (d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 7.73-7.75 (m, 2H), 7.85 (d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 2H). \]

\text{13C NMR}
(100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 123.6 (d, $J = 273$ Hz, 1C), 125.7 (q, $J = 4$ Hz, 1C), 127.9, 128.1, 128.8, 129.4, 129.7, 129.9, 130.7, 132.9, 133.7 (d, $J = 33$ Hz, 1C), 134.2, 139.7, 172.4, 173.3. $^{19}$F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ -63.16 (s, 3F). HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C$_{21}$H$_{15}$F$_3$NO$_2$ $^+([M+H]^+)$: 370.1049, Found: 370.1051.

Table S1. Catalyst Identification for Ni-catalyzed alkene carboxylation of norbornene 4a with N-glutarimidebenzamide 1a and sodium tetraphenylborate 5a$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>ligand</th>
<th>conver. (%)$^b$</th>
<th>yield (%)$^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6a</td>
<td>6a'$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SIPr</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>IMes</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3$^c$</td>
<td>ICy-HCl</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PCy$_3$</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$^t$Bu$_3$</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7$^d$</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Reaction conditions: N-glutarimidebenzamide 1a (0.100 mmol), norbornene 4a (1.00 mmol), NaBPh$_4$ 5a (0.200 mmol), Ni(cod)$_2$ (0.010 mmol), ligand (0.012 mmol), toluene (1 mL) at 95 °C for 16 h. $^b$Determined by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy of the crude reaction mixture using...
dibromomethane as an internal standard. "Reaction run in the presence of 12 mol % NaO'Bu.

"Reaction run in benzene (0.1M) instead of toluene (0.1M).

**Procedure for Catalyst Identification for Ni-catalyzed Alkene Carboacylation of**

**Norbornene 4a with N-glutarimidebenzamide 1a and Sodium tetraphenylborate 5a:**

An oven dried 1-dram vial was charged with Ni(cod)$_2$ (2.8 mg, 0.010 mmol), ligand (0.012 mmol), $N$-glutarimidebenzamide 1a (21.7 mg, 0.100 mmol), NaBPh$_4$ 5a (68.4 mg, 0.200 mmol), norbornene 4a (92.1 mg, 1.00 mmol), and toluene (1.00 mL, 0.100 M). The resulting solution was stirred at 95 °C for 16 h. Upon completion of the reaction, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and was filtered through a short plug of silica gel eluting with 50:50 hexanes:EtOAc and concentrated under vacuum. The crude reaction mixture was dissolved in CDCl$_3$ (0.700 mL) and CH$_2$Br$_2$ (3.51 µL, 0.050 mmol) was added as an internal standard. NMR yields were determined by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy of the crude reaction mixture.
Table S2. Investigation of Ni-catalyzed Alkene Carboacylation of Norbornene 4a with Amides 2a-2f and Sodium tetraphenylborate 5a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>conver. (%)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>yield (%)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Et</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>'Pr</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>'Bu</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bn</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: Amide 2a-2f (0.100 mmol), norbornene 4a (1.00 mmol), NaBPh<sub>4</sub> 5a (0.200 mmol), Ni(cod)<sub>2</sub> (0.010 mmol), H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> (0.200 mmol), Pr<sub>2</sub>EtN (0.300 mmol), benzene (1 mL) at 95 °C for 16 h. <sup>b</sup>Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy of the crude reaction mixture using dibromomethane as an internal standard.
Procedure for Investigation of Ni-catalyzed Alkene Carboacylation of Norbornene 4a with Amides 2a-2f and Sodium tetraphenylborate 5a

An oven dried 1-dram vial was charged with Ni(cod)$_2$ (2.80 mg, 0.010 mmol), appropriate amide 2 (0.100 mmol), H$_3$BO$_3$ (12.4 mg, 0.200 mmol) NaBPh$_4$ 5a (68.4 mg, 0.200 mmol), norbornene 4a (92.1 mg, 1.00 mmol), $^{1}$Pr$_2$EtN (52.2 µL, 0.300 mmol), and benzene (1.00 mL, 0.100 M). The resulting solution was stirred at 95 °C for 16 h. Upon completion of the reaction, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and was filtered through a short plug of silica gel eluting with 50:50 hexanes:EtOAc and concentrated under vacuum. The crude reaction mixture was dissolved in CDCl$_3$ (0.700 mL) and CH$_2$Br$_2$ (3.51 µL, 0.050 mmol) was added as an internal standard. NMR yields were determined by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy of the crude reaction mixture.

General Procedure C for Synthesis of Carboacylation Products 6a-6o, 6p, 6r, 6u-6v, and 6x-6y:

An oven dried 1-dram vial was charged with 0.100 mmol of the appropriate amide 3a-3p, 1b, Ni(cod)$_2$ (2.80 mg, 0.010 mmol), H$_3$BO$_3$ (12.4 mg, 0.200 mmol), NaBAr$_4$ 5a, 5c-5d (0.200 mmol), alkene 4a, 4b, 4d, 4g, 4h (1.00 mmol), and benzene (1.0 mL, 0.1 M). The resulting solution was stirred at 80-95 °C for 8-16 h. Upon completion of the reaction, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and was filtered through a short plug of silica gel eluting with 50:50 hexanes:EtOAc and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was
purified by flash column chromatography to give carboacylation products 6a-6o, 6p, 6r, 6u-6v, and 6x-6y.

**General Procedure D for Synthesis of Carboacylation Products 6a and 6q-6w:**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ph-N-Ph} & \quad \text{Ni(cod)}_2 (10 \text{ mol\%}) \\
\text{Ph-N-Ph} & \quad \text{NaBAr}_4 5\text{a-5b} (2 \text{ equiv}) \\
\text{R} & \quad \text{H}_3\text{BO}_3 (2 \text{ equiv}) \\
\text{R} & \quad \text{p-F-styrene} (1 \text{ equiv}) \\
\text{benzene} (0.1 \text{ M}) & \quad 80-95 {^\circ}\text{C}, 8-16 \text{ h}
\end{align*}
\]

An oven dried 1-dram vial was charged with 0.100 mmol of \(N\)-benzoyl-\(N\)-phenylbenzamide 3a (30.1 mg, 0.100 mmol), Ni(cod)$_2$ (2.80 mg, 0.010 mmol), H$_3$BO$_3$ (12.4 mg, 0.200 mmol), NaBAr$_4$ 5a-5b (0.200 mmol), alkene 4a, 4c-4h (0.400 mmol), \(p\)-F-styrene (11.9 µL, 0.100 mmol) and benzene (1.0 mL, 0.1 M). The resulting solution was stirred at 80-95 °C for 8-16 h. Upon completion of the reaction, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and was filtered through a short plug of silica gel eluting with 50:50 hexanes:EtOAc and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to give carboacylation products 6a and 6q-6w.

**General Procedure E for Synthesis of Carboacylation Product 6z:**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ph-N-Ph} & \quad \text{Ni(cod)}_2 (10 \text{ mol\%}) \\
\text{Ph-N-Ph} & \quad \text{NaB(3-OCH}_3\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4)_4} 5\text{e} (2 \text{ equiv}) \\
\text{R} & \quad \text{p-F-styrene} (1 \text{ equiv}) \\
\text{Benzene, 80 °C, 8 h}
\end{align*}
\]

An oven dried 1-dram vial was charged with 0.100 mmol of \(N\)-benzoyl-\(N\)-phenylbenzamide 3a, Ni(cod)$_2$ (2.80 mg, 0.010 mmol), NaB(3-OCH$_3$-C$_6$H$_4$)$_4$ 5e (92.4 mg, 0.200 mmol), norbornene 4a (37.6 mg, 0.400 mmol), \(p\)-F-styrene (11.9 µL, 0.100 mmol) and benzene (1.0 mL, 0.1 M). The resulting solution was stirred at 80 °C for 8 h. Upon completion of the
reaction, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and was filtered through a short plug of
silica gel eluting with 50:50 hexanes:EtOAc and concentrated under reduced pressure. The
 crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (100:0→92:8
pentane:EtO) to give \(6z\) as a colorless oil in 42% yield (12.9 mg, 0.042 mmol).

**phenyl(3-phenylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl)methanone 6a:** Prepared according to general procedure C from \(N\)-benzoyl-\(N\)-phenylbenzamide \(3a\) (30.1 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.00 equiv), norbornene \(4a\) (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv), and sodium tetraphenylborate \(5a\) (68.4 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00 equiv) at 80°C in 8 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (100:0 hexanes:EtOAc) to (91:9 hexanes:EtOAc) to yield \(6a\) as a white solid in 85% yield (25.5 mg, 0.085 mmol). Or prepared according to general procedure D from \(N\)-benzoyl-\(N\)-phenylbenzamide \(3a\) (30.1 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.00 equiv), norbornene \(4a\) (37.7 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv), and sodium tetraphenylborate \(5a\) (68.4 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00 equiv) at 80°C in 8 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (100:0→91:9 hexanes:EtOAc) to yield \(6a\) as a white solid in 85% yield (25.5 mg, 0.085 mmol).

**\(^{1}H\) NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 1.36-1.75 (m, 5H), 2.43-2.50 (m, 2H), 2.71 (m, 1H), 3.29 (d, \(J = 10.4\) Hz, 1H), 3.85 (d, \(J = 10.4\) Hz, 1H), 6.88-6.97 (m, 5H), 7.21 (t, \(J = 7.6\) Hz, 2H) 7.32-7.36 (m, 1H), 7.53-7.56 (m, 2H), \(^{13}C\) NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): 29.1, 31.3, 37.6, 39.3, 43.7, 54.0, 56.4, 126.0, 127.8, 128.0, 128.1, 128.5, 132.1, 138.6, 141.9, 201.8. **HRMS** (ESI): Calcd. for \(C_{20}H_{21}O^+([M+H]^+)\): 277.1587, Found: 277.2202.

**\((4\text{-methoxyphenyl})(3\text{-phenylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl})\text{methanone 6b}**:** Prepared according to general procedure C from 4-methoxy-\(N\)-(4-methoxybenzoyl)-\(N\)-phenylbenzamide \(3b\) (36.1 mg,
0.100 mmol, 1.00 equiv), norbornene 4a (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv), and sodium terphenylborate 5a (68.4 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00 equiv) at 80°C in 8 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (100:0→91:1 hexane:EtOAc) to give 6b as a white solid in 91% yield (27.9 mg, 0.091 mmol). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 1.36-1.51 (m, 3H), 1.66-1.76 (m, 2H), 2.42 (app. s, 1H), 2.48 (dp, J = 10.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 2.67 (app. s, 1H), 3.28 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.79 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 6.70 (ddd, J = 9.0, 2.8, 2.1 Hz, 2H), 6.88-6.99 (m, 5H), 7.57 (ddd, J = 9.0, 2.8, 2.1 Hz, 2H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ 29.2, 31.3, 37.5, 39.4, 43.6, 54.2, 55.4, 55.9, 113.2, 125.9, 127.7, 128.5, 130.4, 131.7, 142.1, 162.7, 200.2. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C21H23O2+ ([M+H]+): 307.1693, Found: 307.1697.

(3-phenylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl)(p-tolyl)methanone 6c: Prepared according to general procedure C from 4-methyl-N-(4-methylbenzoyl)-N-phenylbenzamide 3c (32.9 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.00 equiv), norbornene 4a (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv), and sodium terphenylborate 5a (68.4 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00 equiv) at 80°C in 8 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (100:0→96:4 hexane: EtOAc) to give 6c as a white solid in 82% yield (23.8 mg, 0.082 mmol). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 1.37-1.51 (m, 3H), 1.66-1.76 (m, 2H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.43 (app. s, 1H), 2.48 (dp, J = 10.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 2.68 (app. s, 1H), 3.29 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 6.88-6.99 (m, 5H), 7.02 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.48 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ 21.6, 29.2, 31.3, 37.5, 39.5, 43.6, 54.1, 56.1, 125.9, 127.7, 128.3, 128.5, 128.7, 136.1, 142.0, 142.7, 201.4. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C21H23O+ ([M+H]+): 291.1743, Found: 291.1746.
[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl(3-phenylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl)methanone

6d: Prepared according to general procedure C from \(N-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carbonyl)-N-phenyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carboxamide\) 3d (45.3 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.00 equiv), norbornene 4a (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv), and sodium tertraphenylborate 5a (68.4 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00 equiv) at 95°C in 8 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (100:0→94:6 pentane:Et₂O) to give 6d as a white solid in 66% yield (23.3 mg, 0.066 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): \(\delta 1.38-1.54 (m, 3H), 1.69-1.79 (m, 2H), 2.46 (app. s, 1H), 2.51 (dt, J = 10.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 2.73 (app. s, 1H), 3.33 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 6.89-7.01 (m, 5H), 7.37 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.42-7.46 (m, 2H), 7.55-7.57 (m, 2H), 7.63 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): \(\delta 29.1, 31.3, 37.6, 39.4, 43.6, 54.1, 56.4, 126.0, 126.7, 127.3, 127.8, 128.1, 128.6, 128.7, 129.0, 137.4, 140.2, 142.0, 144.6, 201.5. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₂₆H₂₅O⁺ ([M+H]⁺): 353.1900, Found: 353.1900.

(4-chlorophenyl)(3-phenylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl)methanone

6e: Prepared according to general procedure C from 4-chloro-\(N-(4-chlorobenzoyl)-N-phenylbenzamide\) 3e (37.0 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.00 equiv), norbornene 4a (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv), and sodium tertraphenylborate 5a (68.4 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00 equiv) at 95°C in 8 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (100:0→94:6 pentane:Et₂O) to give 6e as a white solid in 56% yield (17.4 mg, 0.056 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): \(\delta 1.37-1.53 (m, 3H), 1.66-1.74 (m, 2H), 2.42-2.47 (m, 2H), 2.70 (app. s, 1H), 3.28 (dd, J = 10.3, 0.5 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (dd, J = 10.3, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.90-6.98 (m, 5H), 7.18 (ddd, J = 8.7, 2.4, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 7.47 (ddd, J = 8.7, 2.4, 2.0 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): \(\delta 29.0, 31.3, 37.6, 39.2, 43.8, 54.0, 56.5, 126.2,

(4-fluorophenyl)(3-phenylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl)methanone **6f**: Prepared according to general procedure C from 4-fluoro-N-(4-fluorobenzoyl)-N-phenylbenzamide **3f** (33.7 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.00 equiv), norbornene **4a** (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv), and sodium tertraphenylborate **5a** (68.4 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00 equiv) at 95°C in 8 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (100:0→96:4 hexane:EtOAc) to give **6f** as a white solid in 78% yield (23.0 mg, 0.078 mmol). **1H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 1.37-1.53 (m, 3H), 1.66-1.78 (m, 2H), 2.42-2.47 (m, 2H), 2.70 (d, $J = 1.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.28 (dd, $J = 10.2$, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (dd, $J = 10.2$, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.85-6.97 (m, 7H), 7.54-7.59 (m, 2H). **13C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 29.0, 31.3, 37.6, 39.1, 43.8, 54.1, 56.4, 115.0 (d, $J = 21.6$ Hz, 2C), 126.1, 127.8, 128.5, 130.6 (d, $J = 9.2$ Hz, 2C), 135.0 (d, $J = 3.0$ Hz, 1C), 142.8, 165.1 (d, $J = 252.0$ Hz, 1C), 200.2. **19F NMR** (376 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ -107.02 (m, 1F). **HRMS** (ESI): Calcd. for C$_{20}$H$_{20}$FO$^+$ ([M+H]^+): 295.1493, Found: 295.1491.

(3-methoxyphenyl)(3-phenylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl)methanone **6h**: Prepared according to general procedure C from 3-methoxy-N-(3-methoxybenzoyl)-N-phenylbenzamide **3h** (36.1 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.00 equiv), norbornene **4a** (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv), and sodium tertraphenylborate **5a** (68.4 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00 equiv) at 95°C in 8 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (100:0→96:4 hexane:Et$_2$O) to give **6h** as a white solid in 63% yield (19.3 mg, 0.063 mmol). **1H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 1.35-1.53 (m, 3H), 1.65-1.78 (m, 2H), 2.44-2.49 (m, 2H), 2.69 (d, $J = 1.5$ Hz, 1H), 3.28 (dd, $J = 10.2$, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (dd, $J = 10.2$, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 6.85-6.97 (m, 7H), 7.54-7.59 (m, 2H).
10.2, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.82 (dd, J = 10.2, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.88-6.97 (m, 7H), 7.15 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (dt, J = 7.8, 1.4 Hz, 1H). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 29.1, 31.3, 37.5, 39.4, 43.5, 54.0, 55.4, 56.6, 112.2, 118.8, 120.8, 125.9, 127.8, 128.6, 128.9, 140.3, 142.0, 159.4, 201.8. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. For C$_{21}$H$_{23}$O$_2$+ ([M+H]$^+$): 307.1693, Found: 307.1695.

(3-phenylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl)(m-toly)methanone 6i: Prepared according to general procedure C from 3-methyl-N-(3-methylbenzoyl)-N-phenylbenzamide 3i (32.9 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.00 equiv), norbornene 4a (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv), and sodium tertraphenylborate 5a (68.4 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00 equiv) at 80°C in 8 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (100:0→96:4 hexane:EtOAc) to give 6i as a white solid in 69% yield (20.0 mg, 0.069 mmol). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 1.38-1.52 (m, 3H), 1.65-1.79 (m, 2H), 2.26 (s, 3H), 2.44-2.50 (m, 2H), 2.69 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 1H), 3.29 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (dd, J = 10.2, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 6.89-6.97 (m, 5H), 7.09-7.16 (m, 2H), 7.28 (s, 1H), 7.37 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 21.3, 29.1, 31.3, 37.6, 39.4, 43.6, 54.0, 56.5, 125.3, 125.9, 127.7, 127.8, 128.5, 128.7, 132.8, 137.6, 138.8, 142.0, 202.2. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C$_{21}$H$_{23}$O$^+$ ([M+H]$^+$): 291.1743, Found: 291.1746.

(3-chlorophenyl)(3-phenylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl)methanone 6j: Prepared according to general procedure C from 3-chloro-N-(3-chlorobenzoyl)-N-phenylbenzamide 3j (37.0 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.00 equiv), norbornene 4a (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv), and sodium tertraphenylborate 5a (68.4 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00 equiv) at 95°C in 11 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (100:0→98:2 hexane:EtOAc) to give 6j as a white solid in 50% yield (15.5 mg, 0.050 mmol). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 1.38-1.53 (m, 3H), 1.66-
1.78 (m, 2H), 2.41-2.44 (m, 2H), 2.71 (app. s, 1H), 3.28 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 6.90-6.98 (m, 5H), 7.14 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (s, 1H).  

$^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 28.9, 31.3, 37.6, 39.1, 43.8, 53.9, 56.8, 126.1, 126.2, 127.9, 128.3, 128.5, 129.3, 131.9, 134.2, 140.2, 141.7, 200.7. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C$_{20}$H$_{20}$ClO$^+$ ([M+H]$^+$): 311.1197, Found: 311.1198.

(3-fluorophenyl)(3-phenylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl)methanone 6k: Prepared according to general procedure C from 3-fluoro-3-fluorobenzoyl-N-phenylbenzamide 3k (33.7 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.00 equiv), norbornene 4a (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv), and sodium tertraphenylborate 5a (68.4 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00 equiv) at 95°C in 11 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (100:0→98:2 hexane:EtOAc) to give 6k as a white solid in 74% yield (21.8 mg, 0.074 mmol).  

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 1.38-1.54 (m, 3H), 1.67-1.78 (m, 2H), 2.42-2.47 (m, 2H), 2.71-2.72 (m, 1H), 3.29 (d, J = 10.3, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (d, J = 10.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.90-6.97 (m, 5H), 7.03 (tdd, J = 8.2, 2.6, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.14-7.22 (m, 2H), 7.35 (dt, J = 7.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H).  

$^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 28.9, 31.3, 37.6, 39.1, 43.8, 54.0, 56.7, 114.8 (d, J = 22.2 Hz, 1C), 119.0 (d, J = 21.4 Hz, 1C), 123.8 (d, J = 2.9 Hz, 1C), 126.1, 127.9, 128.5, 129.6 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1C), 140.8 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1C), 141.7, 162.5 (d, J = 245.6 Hz, 1C), 200.6 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1C).  

$^{19}$F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ -113.01 (m, 1F).


(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)(3-phenylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl)methanone 6l: Prepared according to general procedure C from $N$-(3,4-dimethoxybenzoyl)-3,4-dimethoxy-N-phenylbenzamide 3l (42.1 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.00 equiv), norbornene 4a (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv), and sodium
terphenylborate 5a (68.4 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00 equiv) at 80°C in 8 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (100:0→96:4 hexanes:EtOAc) to give 6l as a white solid in 90% yield (30.3 mg, 0.090 mmol). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.37-1.51 (m, 3H), 1.66-1.75 (m, 2H), 2.43 (app. s, 1H), 2.48 (dt, J = 10.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 2.67 (app. s, 1H), 3.26 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.79 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 6.71 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.89-6.97 (m, 6H), 7.35 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.9 Hz, 1H). ^13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 29.1, 31.2, 37.5, 39.5, 43.5, 54.2, 54.88, 54.94, 55.0, 109.4, 110.3, 122.6, 125.9, 127.7, 128.5, 132.2, 142.1, 148.5, 152.5, 200.5. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₂₂H₂₅O₃⁺ ([M+H]^+): 337.1798, Found: 337.1811.

(3-phenylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl)(o-tolyl)methanone 6m: Prepared according to general procedure C from 2-methyl-N-(2-methylbenzoyl)-N-phenylbenzamide 3m (32.9 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.00 equiv), norbornene 4a (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv), and sodium tetraphenylborate 5a (68.4 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00 equiv) at 80°C in 8 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (100:0→96:4 hexanes:EtOAc) to yield 6m as a white solid in 67% yield (19.4 mg, 0.067 mmol). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.36-1.75 (m, 5H), 1.86 (s, 3H), 2.49 (m, 2H), 2.69 (m, 1H), 3.23 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 3.84 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 6.93-7.02 (m, 5H), 7.15 (td, J = 7.6, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (td, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.25-7.29 (m, 1H), 7.52 (dd, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H). ^13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 20.8, 29.2, 31.2, 37.5, 40.0, 42.9, 53.5, 57.7, 125.2, 126.0, 127.9, 128.5, 128.8, 130.8, 131.8, 139.0, 139.3, 141.8, 204.9. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₂₁H₂₃O⁺ ([M+H]^+): 291.1743, Found: 291.1744.
furan-2-yl(3-phenylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl)methanone 6n: Prepared according to general procedure C from N-(furan-2-carbonyl)-N-phenylfuran-2-carboxamide 3n (28.1 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.00 equiv), norbornene 4a (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv), and sodium tetraphenylborate 5a (68.4 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00 equiv) at 80°C in 8 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (100:0→90:10 pentane:Et₂O) to give 6n as a white solid in 80% yield (21.3 mg, 0.080 mmol). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.35-1.51 (m, 3H), 1.66-1.73 (m, 2H), 2.44-2.46 (m, 2H), 2.65 (app. s, 1H), 3.33 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (dd, J = 10.1, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 6.30 (dd, J = 3.5, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.74 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1H), 6.95-7.03 (m, 5H), 7.39 (d, J = 0.9 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 29.0, 31.2, 37.8, 39.0, 42.9, 53.8, 56.4, 112.2, 115.9, 126.0, 127.8, 128.1, 141.7, 145.1, 153.7, 190.7. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₁₈H₁₉O₂⁺ ([M+H]⁺): 267.1380, Found: 267.1385.

naphthalen-2-yl(3-phenylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl)methanone 6o: Prepared according to general procedure C from 1-(2-naphthoyl)piperidine-2,6-dione 1b (26.7 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.00 equiv), norbornene 4a (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv), and sodium tetraphenylborate 5a (68.4 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00 equiv) at 95°C in 16 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (100:0→94:6 pentane:Et₂O) to give 6o as a white solid in 80% yield (26.1 mg, 0.080 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.45-1.57 (m, 3H), 1.69-1.82 (m, 2H), 2.48 (app. s, 1H), 2.54 (dp, J = 10.0, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 2.76 (app. s, 1H), 3.38 (d, J = 10.3, 1H), 4.01 (d, J = 10.3, 1H), 6.60-6.88 (m, 3H), 6.94-6.98 (m, 2H), 7.47-7.56 (m, 3H), 7.64 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.87 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.15 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 29.2, 31.3, 37.6, 39.5, 43.6, 54.2, 56.4, 124.3, 126.0, 126.5, 127.7, 127.8, 127.9,
phenyl(3-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1,4-methanonaphthalen-2-yl)methanone 6p: Prepared according to general procedure C from N-benzoyl-N-phenylbenzamide 3a (30.1 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.00 equiv), benzonorbornadiene 4b (142.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv), and sodium tetraphenylborate 5a (68.4 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00 equiv) at 95°C in 12 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (100:0→90:10 hexanes:EtOAc) to yield 6p as a white solid in 68% yield (22.0 mg, 0.068 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.06-2.10 (m, 1H), 2.90 (dt, J = 9.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.36 (dd, 10.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.49 (m, 1H), 3.73 (m, 1H), 3.86 (dd, J = 10.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.96-7.01 (m, 5H), 7.16-7.23 (m, 4H), 7.27-7.32 (m, 2H), 7.36 (tt, J = 7.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 46.8, 47.5, 50.8, 52.0, 52.8, 120.8, 121.4, 126.1, 126.2, 126.4, 128.0, 128.1, 128.2, 128.7, 132.4, 138.4, 140.7, 148.6, 150.6, 202.6. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₂₄H₂₃O⁺ ([M+H]⁺): 327.1743, Found: 327.1748.

5,8-dimethoxy-3-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1,4-methanonaphthalen-2-yl)(phenyl)methanone 6q: Prepared according to general procedure D from N-benzoyl-N-phenylbenzamide 3a (30.1 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.00 equiv), 5,8-dimethoxy-1,4-dihydro-1,4-methanonaphthalene 4c (80.9 mg, 0.400 mmol, 4.0 equiv), and sodium tetrphenylborate 5a (68.4 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00 equiv) at 95°C in 12 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (100:0→90:10 hexanes:EtOAc) to yield 6q as a white solid in 40% yield (15.4 mg, 0.040 mmol). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.01 (dt, J = 9.6, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 2.84 (dt, 9.6, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 3.34 (dd, J = 10.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.78
(s, 3H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.84 (dd, \( J = 10.0, 1.2 \) Hz, 1H), 3.93 (d, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.67 (s, 2H), 6.94-7.00 (m, 5H), 7.19-7.21 (m, 2H), 7.34 (tt, \( J = 7.2, 1.2 \) Hz, 1H), 7.53 (dd, \( J = 8.4, 1.2 \) Hz, 2H).

\( ^{13}\text{C NMR} \) (150 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): 43.4, 47.0, 47.2, 51.5, 52.1, 56.18, 56.20, 109.7, 109.9, 126.3, 127.9, 128.1, 128.2, 128.8, 132.3, 137.6, 138.5, 139.7, 140.8, 147.7, 148.2, 202.6. \( \text{HRMS (ESI)} \): Calcd. for C\(_{26}\)H\(_{25}\)O\(_3\)\(^+\) ([M+H]\(^+\)): 385.1798, Found: 385.1799.

Phenyl(7-phenyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-5,8-methanonaphtho[2,3-d][1,3]dioxol-6-yl)methanone \( \text{6r} \):

Prepared according to general procedure C from \( N \)-benzoyl-\( N \)-phenylbenzamide \( \text{3a} \) (30.1 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.00 equiv), 5,8-dihydro-5,8-methanonaphtho[2,3-d][1,3]dioxole \( \text{4d} \) (186.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv), and sodium tetraphenylborate \( \text{5a} \) (68.4 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00 equiv) at 95\(^\circ\)C in 12 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (100:0→90:10 hexanes:EtOAc) to yield \( \text{6r} \) as a white solid in 48% yield (17.7 mg, 0.048 mmol). \( \text{Or} \) prepared according to general procedure D from \( N \)-benzoyl-\( N \)-phenylbenzamide \( \text{3a} \) (30.1 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.00 equiv), 5,8-dihydro-5,8-methanonaphtho[2,3-d][1,3]dioxole \( \text{4d} \) (74.5 mg, 0.400 mmol, 4.00 equiv), and sodium tetraphenylborate \( \text{5a} \) (68.4 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00 equiv) at 95\(^\circ\)C in 12 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (100:0 hexanes:EtOAc) to (90:10 hexanes:EtOAc) to yield \( \text{6r} \) as a white solid in 51% yield (18.8 mg, 0.051 mmol). \( ^{1}\text{H NMR} \) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \( \delta \) 2.03-2.07 (m, 1H), 2.85 (dt, \( J = 9.6, 1.6 \) Hz, 1H), 3.30 (d, \( J = 9.6, 1.2 \) Hz, 1H), 3.39 (m, 1H), 3.63 (m, 1H), 3.80 (dd, \( J = 10.0, 1.2 \) Hz, 1H), 5.93 (d, \( J = 1.2 \) Hz, 1H), 5.95 (d, \( J = 1.2 \) Hz, 1H), 6.81 (s, 1H), 6.83 (s, 1H), 6.95-6.99 (m, 5H), 7.21 (t, \( J = 7.6 \) Hz, 2H), 7.36 (tt, \( J = 7.6, 1.2 \) Hz, 1H), 7.52 (m, 2H). \( ^{13}\text{C NMR} \) (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): 29.9, 46.9, 47.7, 50.9, 52.4, 53.2, 100.8, 103.2, 103.7, 126.4, 128.0, 128.10, 128.14, 128.6, 132.4, 138.4,
diethyl-5-benzoyl-6-phenylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-2,3-dicarboxylate 6w: Prepared according to general procedure D from N-benzoyl-N-phenylbenzamide 3a (30.1 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.00 equiv), diethyl-(exo,exo)-5-nobornene-2,3-dicarboxylate 4e (117.8 mg, 0.400 mmol, 4.00 equiv), and sodium tetraphenylborate 5a (68.4 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00 equiv) at 95°C in 12 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (90:10→70:30 hexanes:EtOAc) to yield 6s as a white solid in 57% yield (24.0 mg, 0.057 mmol). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): 1.22-1.29 (m, 6H), 2.38 (dt, $J = 11.2, 1.2$ Hz, 1H), 2.52 (dt, $J = 11.2, 1.2$ Hz, 1H), 2.76-2.80 (m, 1H), 2.97 (qd, $J = 10.0, 1.6$ Hz, 2 H), 3.03-3.06 (m, 1H), 3.30 (dd, $J = 10.0, 0.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.85 (dd, $J = 10.0, 0.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.07-4.17 (m, 4H), 6.89-6.96 (m, 5H), 7.22 (t, 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (tt, $J = 7.6, 1.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.51 (m, 2H). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): 14.29, 14.31, 35.5, 43.0, 46.8, 50.6, 52.5, 53.2, 55.1, 60.8, 60.9, 126.5, 128.0, 128.1, 128.2, 128.5, 132.5, 138.2, 140.3, 172.5, 172.7, 200.5. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C$_{26}$H$_{29}$O$_5$ $^+$ ([M+H]$^+$): 421.2010, Found: 421.2011.

dibutyl-5-benzoyl-6-phenylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-2,3-dicarboxylate 6t: Prepared according to general procedure D from N-benzoyl-N-phenylbenzamide 3a (30.1 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.00 equiv), dibutyl-(exo,exo)-5-nobornene-2,3-dicarboxylate 4f (117.8 mg, 0.400 mmol, 4.00 equiv), and sodium tetraphenylborate 5a (68.4 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00 equiv) at 95°C in 12 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (90:10→70:30 hexanes:EtOAc) to yield 6t as a white solid in 68% yield (32.4 mg, 0.068 mmol). $^1$H NMR
(400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): 0.90-0.96 (m, 6H), 1.32-1.44 (m, 4H), 1.55-1.65 (m, 4H), 2.37 (dt, \(J = 11.2, 1.6\) Hz, 1H), 2.52 (dt, \(J = 11.2, 1.5\) Hz, 1H), 2.78 (m, 1H), 2.93-3.01 (m, 2H), 3.03 (m, 1H), 3.31 (d, \(J = 10.0\) Hz, 1H), 3.85 (d, \(J = 10.0\) Hz, 1H), 3.96-4.12 (m, 4H), 6.90-6.95 (m, 5H), 7.21 (t, \(J = 7.6\) Hz, 2H), 7.36 (tt, \(J = 7.6, 1.2\) Hz, 1H), 7.52 (m, 2H). \(^{13}\text{C NMR}\) (100 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): 13.9, 19.3, 19.4, 30.8, 35.4, 43.0, 46.8, 50.7, 52.6, 53.2, 53.3, 55.1, 55.2, 64.8, 64.9, 126.5, 128.0, 128.1, 128.2, 128.5, 132.5, 138.2, 140.3, 172.6, 172.7, 200.5. \(^{13}\text{C NMR}\) (100 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): 13.9, 19.3, 19.4, 30.8, 35.4, 43.0, 46.8, 50.7, 52.6, 53.2, 53.3, 55.1, 55.2, 64.8, 64.9, 126.5, 128.0, 128.1, 128.2, 128.5, 132.5, 138.2, 140.3, 172.6, 172.7, 200.5. \(^{13}\text{C NMR}\) (100 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): 13.9, 19.3, 19.4, 30.8, 35.4, 43.0, 46.8, 50.7, 52.6, 53.2, 53.3, 55.1, 55.2, 64.8, 64.9, 126.5, 128.0, 128.1, 128.2, 128.5, 132.5, 138.2, 140.3, 172.6, 172.7, 200.5. \(^{13}\text{C NMR}\) (100 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): 33.5, 42.9, 46.8, 50.4, 52.6, 53.2, 53.3, 55.1, 55.2, 64.8, 64.9, 126.5, 128.0, 128.1, 128.2, 128.5, 132.5, 138.2, 140.3, 172.6, 172.7, 200.5. \(^{13}\text{C NMR}\) (100 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): 33.5, 42.9, 46.8, 50.4, 52.6, 53.2, 53.3, 55.1, 55.2, 64.8, 64.9, 126.5, 128.0, 128.1, 128.2, 128.5, 132.5, 138.2, 140.3, 172.6, 172.7, 200.5. \(^{13}\text{C NMR}\) (100 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): 33.5, 42.9, 46.8, 50.4, 

\[\text{methyl-5-benzyol-6-phenylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-2,3-dicarboxylate 6u:}\] Prepared according to general procedure C from \(N\)-benzoyl-\(N\)-phenylbenzamide 3a (30.1 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.00 equiv), dimethyl-(exo,exo)-5-nobornene-2,3-dicarboxylate 4g (210.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv), and sodium tetraphenylborate 5a (68.4 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00 equiv) at 95°C in 8 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (90:10→70:30 hexanes:EtOAc) to yield 6u as a white solid in 75% yield (29.4 mg, 0.075 mmol). Or Prepared according to general procedure D from \(N\)-benzoyl-\(N\)-phenylbenzamide 3a (30.1 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.00 equiv), dimethyl-(exo,exo)-5-nobornene-2,3-dicarboxylate 4g (84.1 mg, 0.400 mmol, 4.00 equiv), and sodium tetraphenylborate 5a (68.4 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00 equiv). The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (90:10 hexanes:EtOAc) to (70:30 hexanes:EtOAc) to yield 6u as a white solid in 60% yield (23.5 mg, 0.060 mmol). \(^{1}\text{H NMR}\) (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): 2.35 (dt, \(J = 11.2, 1.6\) Hz, 1H), 2.53 (dd, \(J = 11.2, 1.6\) Hz, 1H), 2.77-2.78 (m, 1H), 2.95-3.05 (m, 2H), 3.05 (m, 1H), 3.31 (d, \(J = 10.4\) Hz, 1H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.85 (d, \(J = 10.4\) Hz, 1H), 6.90-6.95 (m, 5H), 7.22 (t, \(J = 7.6\) Hz, 2H), 7.36 (tt, \(J = 7.6, 1.2\) Hz, 1H), 7.51-7.52 (m, 2H). \(^{13}\text{C NMR}\) (100 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): 33.5, 42.9, 46.8, 50.4,
methyl-5-benzoyl-6-phenylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-2,3-dicarboxylate 6v: Prepared according to general procedure C from N-benzoyl-N-phenylbenzamide 3a (30.1 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.00 equiv), dimethyl-(endo,endo)-5-nobornene-2,3-dicarboxylate 4h (210.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv), and sodium tetraphenylborate 5a (68.4 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00 equiv) at 95°C in 12 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (90:10→70:30 hexanes:EtOAc) to yield 6v as a white solid in 53% yield (20.8 mg, 0.053 mmol). Or Prepared according to general procedure D from N-benzoyl-N-phenylbenzamide 3a (30.1 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.00 equiv), dimethyl-(endo,endo)-5-nobornene-2,3-dicarboxylate 4h (84.1 mg, 0.400 mmol, 4.00 equiv), and sodium tetraphenylborate 5a (68.4 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00 equiv). The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (90:10 hexanes:EtOAc) to (70:30 hexanes:EtOAc) to yield 6v as a white solid in 44% yield (17.2 mg, 0.044 mmol).

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): 1.67 (dt, J = 10.8, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 2.69 (dt, J = 10.8, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 2.74-2.75 (m, 1H), 3.19-3.20 (m, 2H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.94 (dd, J = 10.4, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 4.63 (dd, J = 10.4, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.93-6.96 (m, 5H), 7.22 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (tt, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.58-7.60 (m, 2H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): 38.8, 42.9, 46.2, 46.9, 47.0, 48.3, 49.3, 51.82, 51.84, 126.3, 128.0, 128.1, 128.4, 128.7, 132.4, 138.4, 141.0, 172.7, 173.2, 201.6. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C24H25O5+ ([M+H]+): 393.1697, Found: 393.1698.

(3-(4-methoxyphenyl)bicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl)(phenyl)methanone 6w: Prepared according to general procedure D from N-benzoyl-N-phenylbenzamide 3a (30.1 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.00
equiv), norbornene 4a (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv), and sodium tetrakis(4-
methoxyphenyl)borate 5b (92.4 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00 equiv) at 80°C in 8 h. The crude reaction
mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (100:0→92:8 pentane:Et₂O) to give 6w
as a white solid in 34% yield (10.1 mg, 0.034 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.33-
1.51 (m, 3H), 1.65-1.76 (m, 2H), 2.38 (app. s, 1H), 2.45 (dp, J = 10.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 2.69 (d, J
= 1.3 Hz, 1H), 3.26 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 3.63 (s, 3H), 3.81 (dd, J = 10.2, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 6.47
(ddd, J = 8.8, 3.1, 2.1 Hz, 2H), 6.87(ddd, J = 8.8, 3.1, 2.1 Hz, 2H), 7.21-5.25 (m, 2H), 7.35 (tt,
J = 7.4, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.55-7.58 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 29.1, 31.2, 37.5, 39.2,
43.9, 53.2, 55.2, 56.4, 113.1, 128.0, 128.1, 129.5, 132.1, 134.1, 138.7, 157.6, 202.1. HRMS

**phenyl(3-(p-tolyl)bicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl)methanone 6x:** Prepared
according to general procedure C from N-benzoyl-N-phenylbenzamide 3a
(30.1 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.00 equiv), norbornene 4a (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol,
10.0 equiv), and sodium tetra-p-tolylborate 5c (79.6 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00
equiv) at 80°C in 8 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column
chromatography (100:0→96:4 hexanes:EtOAc) to yield 6x as a white solid in 69% yield (20.0
mg, 0.069 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.38-1.73 (m, 5H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 2.41 (m,
1H), 2.44-2.49 (m, 1H), 2.68 (m, 1H), 3.27 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H),
6.74 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.23 (t, J = 7.6, 2H), 7.35 (tt, J = 7.6, 1.2,
1H), 7.56 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 20.9, 29.2, 31.2, 37.5, 39.4, 43.7, 53.7, 56.4,
128.0, 128.1, 128.3, 128.4, 132.1, 135.3, 138.7, 138.9, 202.0. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for
(3-(4-fluorophenyl)bicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl)(phenyl)methanone 6y: Prepared according to general procedure C from N-benzyol-N-phenylbenzamide 3a (30.1 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.00 equiv), norbornene 4a (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv), and sodium tetrakis(4-fluorophenyl)borate 5d (94.5 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00 equiv) at 80°C in 8 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (100:0→95:5 hexane:EtOAc) to give 6y as a white solid in 55% yield (16.2 mg, 0.055 mmol). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 1.35-1.52 (m, 3H), 1.65-1.77 (m, 2H), 2.39 (app. s, 1H), 2.43 (d, $J = 10.3$ Hz, 1H), 2.70 (app. s, 1H), 3.27 (d, $J = 10.1$ Hz, 1H), 3.48 (d, $J = 10.1$ Hz, 1H), 6.61 (t, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 6.90 (dd, $J = 8.6$, 5.7 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 2H), 7.37 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.55 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 2H). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 29.0, 31.3, 37.4, 39.3, 43.7, 53.2, 56.2, 114.5 (d, $J = 20.9$ Hz, 2C), 128.06, 128.14, 129.9 (d, $J = 7.74$ Hz, 2C), 132.3, 137.6 (d, $J = 3.2$ Hz, 1C), 138.5, 161.1 (d, $J = 243.1$ Hz, 1C), 201.8. $^{19}$F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ -117.44 (m, 1F). HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C$_{20}$H$_{19}$FO$^+$ ([M+H]$^+$): 295.1493, Found: 295.1494.

(3-(3-methoxyphenyl)bicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl)(phenyl)methanone 6z: Prepared according to general procedure E from N-benzyol-N-phenylbenzamide 3a (30.1 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.00 equiv), norbornene 4a (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv), and sodium tetrakis(3-methoxyphenyl)borate 5e (92.4 mg, 0.200 mmol, 2.00 equiv) at 80°C in 8 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (100:0→92:8 pentane:Et$_2$O) to give 6z as a colorless oil in 42% yield (12.9 mg, 0.042 mmol). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 1.34-1.52 (m, 3H), 1.67-1.77 (m, 2H), 2.43-2.47 (m, 2H), 2.70 (d, $J = 1.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.27 (d, $J = 10.1$ Hz, 1H), 3.52 (s, 3H), 3.84 (dd, $J = 10.1$, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.45-6.47 (m, 2H), 6.59 (d, $J = 7.7$ Hz,
1H), 6.85-6.89 (m, 1H), 7.24 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (tt, J = 7.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.56-7.58 (m, 2H). \(^{13}\text{C} \) NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta \) 29.07, 31.2, 37.6, 39.3, 43.5, 54.0, 55.0, 56.2, 111.8, 114.3, 120.8, 128.0, 128.1, 128.8, 132.1, 138.8, 143.5, 158.9, 201.8. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C\(_{21}\)H\(_{23}\)O\(_2\)\(^+\) ([M+H]\(^+\)): 307.1693, Found: 307.1696.

**General Procedure F for Synthesis of Carboxylation Products 6a and 6aa Using Organozinc Nucleophiles**

An oven dried 1-dram vial was charged with amide 3a (30.1 mg, 0.100 mmol), Ni(cod)\(_2\) (2.80 mg, 0.010 mmol), ZnR\(_2\) (0.200 mmol), norbornene 4a (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol), and benzene (1.0 mL, 0.1 M). The resulting solution was stirred at 80 °C for 8 h. Upon completion of the reaction, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and was flirted through a short plug of silica gel eluting with 50:50 hexanes:EtOAc and concentrated under reduced pressure. \(^1\)H NMR yields were determined for the correspond crude reaction mixtures using dibromomethane as an internal standard.
References:
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Abstract

This chapter outlines the detailed discussion on the development of palladium-catalyzed, intermolecular acylative Heck reactions of amides. The developed palladium-catalyst system allows for Heck reaction between a wide array of N-benzoylglutarimides bearing electron-donating, halogenated, and electro-withdrawing substituents and a bicyclic alkene, norbornene, to generate α,β-unsaturated ketones in moderate to high yields (25-82%).

Introduction

Heck (or Heck-Mizoroki) reaction, discovered simultaneously by Heck and Mizoroki, is a powerful technique to rapidly construct C–C bonds.1 The Heck reaction has been implemented to construct C–C bonds in the synthesis of a wide array of biologically active compounds such as Taxol,2 (-)-Eptazocine,3 DG-041,4 CP-724,714,5 and Chantix® (Figure 1).6 In the late 1960s, Richard Heck discovered a protocol to synthesize functionalized olefins using arylmercuric compounds and either stoichiometric or catalytic amounts of palladium salts.7 Later on, independent and pioneering work from Heck8-11 and Mizoroki12 laboratories revealed the use of less toxic, commercially available, and more stable arylhalides as an arylating agent in the Heck-Mizoroki reaction. For his contribution in developing palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions, Heck received the Nobel Prize in Chemistry in 2010 along
Figure 1. Heck-Mizoroki Reaction and its application in synthesis of biologically active compounds

with Japanese chemists Akira Suzuki and Ei-chi Negishi. Over the years, the chemistry scientific community has witnessed a continuous development of the Heck reaction using various aryl electrophiles and improved palladium catalyst systems including design of new ligands.¹
In 1974, in a series of scientific reports, Heck and co-workers revealed protocols for carbonylative Heck reaction.\textsuperscript{8-11} These reports described palladium-catalyzed carboalkoxylation, amidation, and formylation of aryl, vinyl, and heteroaryl halides using carbon monoxides to access esters, amides, and aldehydes. However, these reactions needed to use toxic carbon monoxide as a C1 building block to introduce a carbonyl group. In 1985, Negishi and coworkers discovered intermolecular acylative Heck reaction of $o$-allylbenzoyl chloride using stoichiometric amounts of palladium to form 2-methylene-2,3-dihydro-inden-1-one in 50\% yield (Scheme 2A).\textsuperscript{13} This reaction was the first example of acylative Heck reaction using carboxylic acid derivative as an acyl electrophile. The development of acylative Heck reaction using carboxylic acid derivatives gives an alternative to carbonylative Heck reaction using toxic carbon monoxide.

Following the discovery of acylative Heck reaction by Negishi in 1985, various research groups developed intra- and intermolecular acylative Heck reactions using carboxylic acid derivatives such as acid chlorides,\textsuperscript{14} thioesters,\textsuperscript{15} acid anhydrides,\textsuperscript{16} and carboxylic phosphoric anhydrides\textsuperscript{17} via activation of C-Cl, C-S and C-O bonds (Scheme 2). In a 1988 report, Hallberg and coworkers reported Pd-catalyzed Heck reaction between aroyl chlorides and vinyl ethers to form corresponding products in 12-77\% yields (Scheme 2A).\textsuperscript{14} Later, in 2013, Du Bois and coworkers revealed an intramolecular Heck reaction using thioesters to form cyclic ketones with exocyclic alkenes (Scheme 2B).\textsuperscript{15} Kim\textsuperscript{17} and Stambuli\textsuperscript{16} independently reported Pd-catalyzed methods for acylative Heck reactions of other carboxylic acid derivatives, such as acid anhydrides and phosphoric anhydrides, to form cyclic ketones in good to excellent yields (Scheme 2C and 2D). Recently, Garg and coworkers reported the first...
Scheme 2. Pd-catalyzed, acylative Heck reactions of carboxylic acid derivatives
examples of nickel-catalyzed, intramolecular Heck reaction of $o$-allylbenzamides via activation of amide C-N bonds (Scheme 3A). The Ni-catalyst generated from Ni(cod)$_2$ and a $N$-heterocyclic carbene ligand, BenzIcy, allows access to ketones with $\alpha$-quaternary center in good to excellent yields (53-93%). However, there are no reports of transition metal-catalyzed intermolecular acylative Heck reaction using amides acyl electrophiles. This chapter discusses our efforts to develop palladium-catalyzed, intermolecular acylative Heck reaction using amides as acyl electrophiles.

Scheme 3. TM-catalyzed, acylative Heck reactions using amides as acyl electrophiles
Results and Discussion

Ligand studies:

**Table 1.** Ligand studies for Pd-catalyzed acylative Heck reaction of *N*-benzoylglutarimide 1a and norbornene 2a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>ligand</th>
<th>conv.</th>
<th>3a (%)</th>
<th>4a (%)</th>
<th>5a (%)</th>
<th>6a (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>dppe</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>dppp</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>dppb</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>DPEphos</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>DPEphos</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>DPEphos</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>DPEphos</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>DPEphos</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>DPEphos</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Reaction run using 200 mol % of NaBPh<sub>4</sub>; <sup>b</sup>Reaction run using 25 mol % of NaBPh<sub>4</sub>; <sup>c</sup>Reaction run without NaBPh<sub>4</sub>; <sup>d</sup>Reaction run using 200 mol % of NaBF<sub>4</sub>; <sup>e</sup>Reaction run using 200 mol % NaBAr<sub>F</sub>
To identify reaction conditions, we chose N-benzoylgularimide 1a and norbornene 2a as our model substrates. We investigated an array of Pd(0) complexes generated from Pd$_2$dba$_3$ and bisphosphine ligands containing various bite angles (Table 1, entries 1-4). We observed that the yield of the model reaction improved with increase in the bite angle of bisphosphine ligands. Reactions of palladium catalyst generated from Pd$_2$dba$_3$ and dppe (1,2-Bis(diphenylphosphino)-ethane, bite angle 86°) and dppp (1,3-Bis(diphenylphosphino)-propane, 91°) formed the bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl(phenyl)methanone 3a in <10% yield with benzophenone 6a, a product of Suzuki-Miyaura reaction, in <5% yield. The reaction conducted using a catalyst generated from Pd$_2$dba$_3$ and dppb (1,4-Bis(diphenylphosphino)-butane, bite angle 94°) formed bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl(phenyl)methanone 3a in 25% yield (Table 1, entry 3). The reaction also formed formal carboaclylation product 4a in 13% yield and benzophenone 6a in 4% yield. We next investigated complexes generated from Pd$_2$dba$_3$ and bisphosphine ligand containing ether backbone. When we conducted the model reaction using a catalyst generated from Pd$_2$dba$_3$ and DPEphos, the reaction formed bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl(phenyl)methanone 3a in 60% yield with formal carboaclylation products 4a and 5a in total 6% yield and benzophenone 6a in 2% yield (Table 1, entry 4). We then studied the effect of the loading of sodium tetraphenylborate (NaBPh$_4$) on the yield of the model reaction. Increasing the loading of NaBPh$_4$ did not lead to improvement in the yield of the reaction (Table 1, entry 5). We also observed that lowering the loading of NaBPh$_4$ did not lower the yield of the model reaction (Table 1, entry 6). Control experiment showed that the reaction did not occur to form the product 3a in the absence of NaBPh$_4$. We hypothesized that the NaBPh$_4$ was involved in generating cationic palladium intermediates. We next studied the impact of other sodium additives on the yield of the model reaction (Table 1, entries 8-9).
However, the reactions conducted in the presence of NaBF$_4$ and non-coordinating NaBAr$_F$ formed the product 3a in <5% yield.

**Impact of palladium precursors and solvents:**

**Table 2.** Impact of palladium precursors and solvents on Pd-catalyzed acylative Heck reaction of N-benzyolglutarimide 1a and norbornene 2a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Pd source (mol %)</th>
<th>solvent</th>
<th>conv. (3a) (%)</th>
<th>4a (%)</th>
<th>5a (%)</th>
<th>6a (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pd$_2$dba$_3$</td>
<td>dioxane</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>[Pd(allyl)Cl]$_2$</td>
<td>dioxane</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>[Pd(cinnamyl)Cl]$_2$</td>
<td>dioxane</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pd$_2$dba$_3$</td>
<td>CPME</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Pd$_2$dba$_3$</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Pd$_2$dba$_3$</td>
<td>benzene</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We next studied the impact of palladium precursors on the yield of the model reaction (Table 2, entries 1-3). When conducted using [Pd(allyl)Cl]$_2$ and [Pd(cinnamyl)Cl]$_2$, instead of Pd$_2$dba$_3$ as palladium precursors, the model reaction formed bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl(phenyl)methanone 3a in 48 and 49% yields (Table 2, entries 2-3). The reactions also formed byproducts 4a, 5a, and 6a in <10% yields. These results suggest that the active catalyst can be accessed by using other Pd(II) precursors. We then studied the impact of solvent on the model reaction. When we conducted the model reaction in other ethereal solvents such as
cyclopentylmethyl ether (CPME) and tetrahydrofuran (THF), the reaction formed the product 3a in 61-66% yields (Table 2, entries 4-5). The yield of the reaction increased slightly (69% yield) when the reaction was conducted in benzene (Table 2, entry 6).

**Impact of base:**

**Table 3.** Impact of base on Pd-catalyzed acylative Heck reaction of N-benzoyleglutarimide 1a and norbornene 2a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Conv. (%)</th>
<th>3a (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>LiO\textsubscript{t}Bu</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>K\textsubscript{3}PO\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ag\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>K\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cs\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>CsF</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Et\textsubscript{3}N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>\textsuperscript{a}Pr\textsubscript{2}EtN</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>Ag\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>Ag\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>Ag\textsubscript{3}PO\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Reaction run using benzene as solvent; \textsuperscript{b}Reaction run using CPME as solvent.
We hypothesized that besides serving as a non-coordinating counter ion, NaBPh$_4$ could also be involved in either $\beta$-hydride elimination after migratory insertion and/or in generating active catalyst back from palladium hydride intermediate after product formation. We recognized by eliminating NaBPh$_4$, we would also eliminate other byproducts, such as formal carboacylation and Suzuki-Miyaura byproducts, that resulted from using NaBPh$_4$. Based on this hypothesis, we sought to investigate the impact of bases on the model reaction. We examined the impact of a wide range of bases including inorganic and organic bases (Table 3, entries 2-10). The model reaction formed the product 3a in 17% and 1% yield, when the reaction was conducted in the presence of Ag$_2$CO$_3$ and K$_2$CO$_3$ (Table 3, entries 4-5). The reaction did not form the product 3a when other bases were used. We also observed formation of a byproduct in 6% yield generated from [4+2] cycloaddition reaction between two molecules of product 3a. When the reaction was run conducted benzene and CPME, the model reaction formed the product 3a in 47% and 49% yields with cycloaddition byproduct in 9% and 10% yields (Table 3, entries 11-12). The yield of the reaction improved to 55%, when the reaction was conducted using Ag$_3$PO$_4$ in CPME (Table 3, entries 13). The reaction also formed the cycloaddition byproduct in 12% yield.

**Investigation of the byproduct:**

In order to investigate the formation of the cycloaddition byproduct, we conducted various control experiments (Scheme 4). We exposed the Heck reaction product 3a to the reaction conditions. When we reacted the product 3a in the presence of palladium catalyst and silver phosphate, the reaction formed the cycloaddition product 7a in 21% yield by consuming 40% of the enone 3a. (Note: The relative stereochemistry of the cycloaddition product 7a is temporarily assigned using molecular model kit. The relative stereochemistry will be
determined using spectrochemical analysis and/or by single-crystal X-ray diffraction) We also observed that when the enone was exposed to the reaction conditions in the absence of palladium catalyst and silver phosphate separately, the reactions formed the cycloaddition product 7a in 13-15% yield (Scheme 4, eq 2 and 3). However, when the product 3a was heated at 110 °C in CPME in the absence of palladium catalyst and silver phosphate, the reaction generated the product 7a in 13% yield in 5 h (Scheme 4, eq 4). These results suggest that the enone product 3a undergoes thermal [4+2] cycloaddition reaction to form 7a.

Scheme 4. Control experiments to investigate the formation of Diels Alder product 7a.
Impact of palladium precursors and temperature:

Table 4. Impact of palladium precursors and temperature on Pd-catalyzed acylative Heck reaction of \(N\)-benzoylglutarimide 1a and norbornene 2a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Pd source</th>
<th>time (h)</th>
<th>temp (°C)</th>
<th>conv. (%)</th>
<th>3a (%)</th>
<th>7a (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pd(_{2})dba(_3)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pd(_{2})dba(_3)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pd(_{2})dba(_3)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pd(OAc)(_2)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>[Pd(cinnamyl)Cl(_2)]</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>[Pd(allyl)Cl(_2)]</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Pd(OAc)(_2)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>[Pd(cinnamyl)Cl(_2)]</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>[Pd(allyl)Cl(_2)]</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>[Pd(allyl)Cl(_2)]</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>[Pd(allyl)Cl(_2)]</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We next sought to investigate the impact of palladium precursor, temperature, and time on the model reaction. These results are summarized in Table 4. We observed that when the model reaction was conducted using Pd\(_{2}\)dba\(_3\) as Pd(0) source, the reaction goes through an induction period (Table 4, entries 1-3). After 6 h, the model reaction formed the enone product 3a in 7% yield and the byproduct 7a in 1% yield (Table 4, entry 2). The model reaction, when
conducted using Pd$_2$dba$_3$, formed the enone 3a in 55% yield and the byproduct 7a in 12% yield after 12 h (Table 4, entry 3). However, when Pd(II) precursors were used in the reaction, the rate of the reaction improved (Table 4, entries 1-6). When the model reaction was conducted using Pd(OAc)$_2$, [Pd(cinnamyl)Cl]$_2$, and [Pd(allyl)Cl]$_2$ as precursors, the reactions formed the enone product in 46%, 59%, and 65% yield at 110 °C in 12 h (Table 4, entries 7-9). These reactions also formed the byproduct 7a in 14-18% yield. We then studied impact of temperature and time using [Pd(allyl)Cl]$_2$ as the precursor of choice. Lowering the temperature of the reaction and running the reaction longer improved the ratio of 3a:7a from 3.6:1.0 to 16:1.0 (Table 4, entries 9-13). When the model reaction was conducted at 90 °C, the reaction formed enone 3a in 84% yield and byproduct 7a in 5% yield (Table 4, entry 13).
Identification of reaction conditions:

**Table 5.** Identification of reaction conditions for Pd-catalyzed acylative Heck reaction of *N*-benzoylglutarimide 1a and norbornene 2a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Deviation from the standard conditions</th>
<th>conv. (%)</th>
<th>3a (%)</th>
<th>7a (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pd&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;dba&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; (5 mol %)</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>K&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;PO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt; (25 mol %)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ag&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;PO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt; (15 mol %)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>no Ag&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;PO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>nbe (5 equiv)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>dioxane</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We identified reaction conditions mentioned in Table 5, entry 1 as the optimized reaction conditions. When the model reaction was conducted using a Pd(0) source, Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub>, the reaction formed Heck product 3a in 59% yield and Diels Alder byproduct 7a in 3% yield (Table 5, entry 2). When the model reaction was conducted using K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> instead of Ag<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, the reaction formed the enone product 3a in 8% yield (Table 5, entry 3). Lowering the Ag<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> loading to 15 mol % significantly lowered the yield of the reaction (Table 5, entry 4). No product was observed when the model reaction was conducted in the absence of Ag<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (Table
5, entry 5). These results show the importance of silver(I) additives in Pd-catalyzed acylative Heck reactions of amides. We hypothesize that Ag$_3$PO$_4$ helps in generating cationic palladium intermediate that facilitates migratory insertion. Lowering the loading of norbornene to from 10 to 5 equivalents led to the formation of Heck product 3a in 68% yield. The model reaction formed the Heck product 3a in 61% and 34% yields, when the reaction was conducted in dioxane and THF as solvents instead of cyclopentylmethyl ether (Table 5, entries 7-8).
Substrate Scope:

Scheme 5. Substrate scope of Pd-catalyzed acylative Heck reaction of amides 1a-1m and norbornene 2a

With a catalyst system identified for the model reaction between N-benzyolglutarimide 1a and norbornene 2a, we next evaluated the scope of amides for Pd-catalyzed acylative Heck reaction of amides (Scheme 5). Heck reaction between para-, meta-, and ortho-substituted N-benzyolglutarimide derivatives 1a-1l and norbornene 2a formed the corresponding Heck products 3a-3l in 25-82% yields. Pd-catalyzed acylative Heck reaction between amides containing electron-donating, halogenated, and electron-withdrawing para-substituents and norbornene formed Heck products 3b-3e in moderate to good yields (25-68%). Amides bearing electron-donating, halogenated, and electron-withdrawing meta-substituents formed products
3f-3i in 25-76% yields. Heck reaction between 1-(2-naphthoyl)piperidine-2,6-dione 1j and norbornene 2a formed product 3j in 62% yield. Reactions of amides containing ortho-methyl and ortho-fluoro substituents formed the Heck products 3k and 3l in 30-37% yields. A heteroaromatic amide, 1-(thiophene-2-carbonyl)piperidine-2,6-dione 1m, was also a suitable electrophile for Pd-catalyzed acylative Heck reaction. The reaction between 1-(thiophene-2-carbonyl)piperidine-2,6-dione 1m and norbornene 2a formed the corresponding Heck product 3m in 25% yield.

**Proposed Catalytic Cycle:**

![Scheme 6. Proposed catalytic cycle](image)

We propose a classical Pd(0)/Pd(II) cycle for Pd-catalyzed acylative Heck reactions using amides as acyl electrophiles as depicted in Scheme 6. In this catalytical cycle, the active Pd(0) catalyst undergoes oxidative addition into the C–N bond of the amide to form acyl–
Pd(II)–amido complex **II.** Upon reaction of the complex **II** with Ag(I) salt, a cationic acyl–Pd(II) complex **III** is formed. Migratory insertion of alkene into Pd–C(O) bond of complex **III** generates cationic alkyl–Pd(II) complex **IV**. The alkyl–Pd(II) complex **IV** then undergoes non-classical $\beta$-hydride elimination in the presence of a base to give the desired enone product.

Although we propose a classical Pd(0)/Pd(II) cycle for the Heck reaction, a non-classical, rare Pd(II)/Pd(IV) pathway cannot be ruled out.\textsuperscript{19-21} We observe an induction period when the model reaction is conducted using a Pd(0) pre-catalyst (Pd$_2$dba$_3$) (Table 4, entries 1-3). This induction period could result from either the slow displacement of dibenzylideneacetone ligands by DPEphos ligand or oxidation of Pd(0) to Pd(II) by Ag(I) additive. When we conducted the model reaction using Pd(OAc)$_2$ precatalyst, the reaction formed the product **2a** in 55% yield in 5 h (Table 4, entry 4). These results together allude to Pd(II)/Pd(IV) cycle, however more experiments are needed to support the catalytic Pd(II)/Pd(IV) pathway.

**Conclusion:**

In summary, we have developed a palladium-catalyzed acylative Heck reaction using amides as electrophiles. The products of these reactions form $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated ketone products from simple starting materials such as amides and alkenes. These products can undergo a rapid Diels-Alder reaction to form compounds containing complex architectures. Studies to further expand the scope of the reaction and utility of these products are on-going in our laboratory.
Experimental

**General Details.** All air-sensitive procedures were conducted under inert atmosphere in a nitrogen-filled dry box or by standard Schlenk techniques. All reactions were performed under nitrogen unless otherwise stated. Benzene, toluene, dichloromethane (DCM), diethylether (Et₂O), and tetrahydrofuran (THF) were degassed by purging with argon for 45 minutes and dried with a solvent purification system by passing through a one-meter column of activated alumina. Anhydrous 1,4-dioxane and cyclopentyl methyl ether (CPME) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. Flash column chromatography was performed on SiliFlash® P60 silica gel (40-63μm, 60Å) or using a Teledyne Isco CombiFlash® Rf system with RediSep GoldTM columns using hexane/ethyl acetate or hexane/Et₂O or and pentane/Et₂O. Reaction products were visualized on TLC under UV light or by staining with KMnO₄.

HRMS (ESI) analysis was performed at the Iowa State University Chemical Instrumentation Facility on an Agilent 6540 QTOF spectrometer. Elemental analysis was performed at the Iowa State University Chemical Instrumentation Facility on the Perkin Elmer 2100 Series II CHN/S Analyzer. NMR spectra were acquired on Varian MR-400 and Bruker Avance III 600 spectrometers at the Iowa State University Chemical Instrumentation Facility. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to a residual solvent peak (CDCl₃ = 7.26 ppm for ¹H and 77.16 ppm for ¹³C). ¹⁹F NMR shifts are reported based on indirect reference to CDCl₃.²² Coupling constants are reported in hertz.

**Materials.** Sodium tetraphenylborate, norbornene, 4-methoxybenzoic acid, 4-methylbenzoic acid 4-trifluoromethylphenylbenzoic acid, 4-fluorobenzoic acid, 3-
trifluoromethylphenylbenzoic acid, 2-fluorobenzoic acid, 2-methylbenzoic acid, 2-thiophenecarboxylic acid, silver phosphate, benzoyl chloride, and [Pd(cinnamyl)Cl]$_2$ were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. [Pd(allyl)Cl]$_2$ and DPEphos were purchased from Strem Chemicals. 3-Methylbenzoic acid was purchased from Lancaster Synthesis. 3-Methoxybenzoic acid was purchased from Eastman Organic Chemicals. 4-Methoxybenzoyl chloride, and 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzoyl chloride were purchased from TCI America. Amides 1a-1m were synthesized according to literature procedures.$^{23-25}$

General Procedure A for Synthesis of Heck Products

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(Het)Ar} \quad \stackrel{\text{N}}{\text{O}} \quad \text{O} \\
1a-1m & \quad + \quad \text{2a} \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{[Pd(allyl)Cl]}_2 (5 \text{ mol} \%) \quad & \quad \text{DPEphos (12 mol \%)} \\
\text{Ag}_3\text{PO}_4 (25 \text{ mol} \%) & \quad \text{CPME, 90 °C, 16 h} \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(Het)Ar} \quad \stackrel{\text{O}}{\text{O}} \\
3a-3m & \\
\end{align*}
\]

An oven-dried 1-dram vial was charged with [Pd(allyl)Cl]$_2$ (1.80 mg, 0.005 mmol), DPEphos (6.50 mg, 0.012 mmol), amide (0.100 mmol), silver phosphate (10.5 mg, 0.025 mmol), alkene (1.00 mmol), and cyclopentyl methyl ether (0.333 M, 0.300 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at 80-90 °C for 16 h. Upon completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was filtered through a short plug of silica using ethyl acetate (20 mL) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to give Heck products 3a-3m.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{3a} & \quad \text{(bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)(phenyl)methanone 3a: Prepared according} \\
to \text{General Procedure A from 1-benzoypiperidine-2,6-dione (21.7 mg,} \\
0.100 \text{ mmol, 0.100 equiv) and norbornene (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv)} \\
at \text{90 °C in 16 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified using flash} \\
\text{chromatography (100:0} \\
\rightarrow 94:7 \text{ hexane:diethyl ether) to yield (bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)(phenyl)methanone 3a as} \\
\end{align*}
\]
(bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)(4-methoxyphenyl)methanone 3b: Prepared according to General Procedure A from 1-(4-methoxybenzoyl)piperidine-2,6-dione (24.7 mg, 0.100 mmol, 0.100 equiv) and norbornene (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv) at 90 °C in 16 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified using flash chromatography (100:0 → 94:6 hexane:diethyl ether) to yield (bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)(4-methoxyphenyl)methanone 3b as a white solid in 68% yield (15.5 mg, 0.068 mmol). \(^1H\) NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 1.05-1.12 (m, 1H), 1.18-1.24 (m, 1H), 1.27 (d, \(J = 8.7\) Hz, 1H), 1.55-1.59 (m, 1H), 1.77-1.86 (m, 2H), 3.12 (s, 1H), 3.47 (s, 1H), 6.65 (d, \(J = 3.0\) Hz, 1H), 7.42 (t, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, 2H), (t, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, 1H), 7.75 (d, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, 2H). \(^{13}C\) NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 24.8, 25.5, 42.6, 44.5, 47.2, 128.3, 129.0, 132.0, 138.4, 148.7, 149.9, 192.9. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C\(_{14}\)H\(_{15}\)O\(^+\) ([M+H]\(^+\)): 199.1117, Found: 199.1119.
equiv) and norbornene (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv) at 90 °C in 16 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified using flash chromatography (100:0 → 93:7 hexane:diethyl ether) to yield (bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)(ρ-tolyl)methanone 3c as a white solid in 61% yield (12.9 mg, 0.061 mmol). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): δ 1.04-1.12 (m, 1H), 1.18-1.23 (m, 1H), 1.24-1.28 (m, 1H), 1.54-1.58 (m, 1H), 1.76-1.85 (m, 1H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 3.11 (s, 1H), 3.45 (s, 1H), 6.63 (d, \(J = 3.12\) Hz, 1H), 7.22 (d, \(J = 7.92\) Hz, 2H), 7.67 (d, \(J = 7.92\) Hz, 2H). \(^13\)C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): δ 21.7, 24.8, 25.5, 42.7, 44.5, 47.2, 129.0, 129.2, 135.7, 142.7, 148.7, 149.1, 192.7. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C\(_{14}\)H\(_{17}\)O\(^+\) ([M+H]\(^+\)): 213.1274, Found: 213.1278.

(bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)(4-fluorophenyl)methanone 3d: Prepared according to General Procedure A from 1-(4-fluorobenzoyl)piperidine-2,6-dione (23.5 mg, 0.100 mmol, 0.100 equiv) and norbornene (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv) at 90 °C in 16 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified using flash chromatography (100:0 → 92:8 hexane:diethyl ether) to yield (bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)(4-fluorophenyl)methanone 3d as a white solid in 60% yield (13.0 mg, 0.060 mmol). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): δ 1.05-1.12 (m, 1H), 1.17-1.29 (m, 2H), 1.54-1.58 (m, 1H), 1.77-1.87 (m, 2H), 3.11-3.14 (m, 1H), 3.45 (s, 1H), 6.63 (d, \(J = 3.16\) Hz, 1H), 7.09 (t, \(J = 8.84\) Hz, 2H), (dd, \(J = 8.84, 5.52\) Hz, 2H). \(^13\)C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): δ 24.8, 25.5, 42.8, 44.5, 47.2, 115.4 (d, \(J = 21.6\) Hz, 2C), 131.4 (d, \(J = 9.0\) Hz, 2C), 134.6 (d, \(J = 3.0\) Hz, 1C), 148.6, 149.6, 165.3 (d, \(J = 251.5\) Hz, 1C), 191.4. \(^19\)F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): δ -107.28 (m, 1F). HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C\(_{14}\)H\(_{14}\)FO\(^+\) ([M+H]\(^+\)): 217.1023, Found: 217.1025.
(bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)methanone 3e: Prepared according to General Procedure A from 1-(4-trifluoromethylbenzoyl)piperidine-2,6-dione (28.5 mg, 0.100 mmol, 0.100 equiv) and norbornene (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv) at 90 °C in 16 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified using flash chromatography (100:0 → 96:4 hexane:diethyl ether) to yield (bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)methanone 3e as a white solid in 26% yield (6.9 mg, 0.026 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.05-1.13 (m, 1H), 1.16-1.25 (m, 1H), 1.30 (dd, J = 8.80, 0.840 Hz, 1H), 1.57-1.61 (m, 1H), 1.79-1.89 (m, 2H), 3.15 (d, J = 1.28 Hz, 1H), 3.49 (s, 1H), 6.68 (d, J = 3.12 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (d, J = 8.12 Hz, 2H), 7.83 (d, J = 8.12 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 24.7, 25.5, 42.4, 44.7, 47.3, 123.9 (q, J = 271.7 Hz, 1C), 125.3 (q, J = 3.7 Hz, 2C), 129.2, 133.4 (q, J = 32.4 Hz, 1C), 141.3 (q, J = 1.32 Hz, 2C), 148.6, 151.2, 191.6. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -62.96 (s, 3F). HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₁₅H₁₄F₃O⁺ ([M+H]^⁺): 267.0991, Found: 267.0991.

(bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)(3-methoxyphenyl)methanone 3f: Prepared according to General Procedure A from 1-(3-methoxybenzoyl)piperidine-2,6-dione (24.7 mg, 0.100 mmol, 0.100 equiv) and norbornene (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv) at 90 °C in 16 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified using flash chromatography (100:0 → 92:8 hexane:diethyl ether) to yield (bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)(3-methoxyphenyl)methanone 3f as a white solid in 69% yield (15.7 mg, 0.069 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.05-1.12 (m, 1H), 1.17-1.28 (m, 3H), 1.55-1.59 (m, 1H), 1.76-1.86 (m, 2H), 3.12 (s, 1H), 3.46 (s, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 6.68 (d, J = 3.16 Hz, 1H), 7.04-7.09 (m, 1H), 7.27-7.34 (m, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 24.8, 25.5,
(bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)(m-tolyl)methanone 3g: Prepared according to General Procedure A from 1-(3-methylbenzoyl)piperidine-2,6-dione (23.1 mg, 0.100 mmol, 0.100 equiv) and norbornene (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv) at 90 °C in 16 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified using flash chromatography (100:0 → 92:8 hexane:diethyl ether) to yield (bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)(m-tolyl)methanone 3g as a white solid in 49% yield (10.4 mg, 0.049 mmol). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 1.04-1.12 (m, 1H), 1.17-1.28 (m, 2H), 1.55-1.59 (m, 1H), 1.76-1.86 (m, 2H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 3.12 (s, 1H), 3.46 (s, 1H), 6.64 (d, \(J = 3.12\) Hz, 1H), 7.30 (t, \(J = 7.44\) Hz, 1H), 7.31-7.34 (m, 1H), 7.52-7.56 (m, 2H). \(^1\)3C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 21.5, 24.8, 25.5, 42.5, 44.5, 47.2, 126.3, 128.1, 129.4, 132.8, 138.1, 138.4, 148.8, 149.8, 193.2. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C\(_{15}\)H\(_{17}\)O\(_2\)\(^+\) ([M+H]\(^+\)): 229.1223, Found: 229.1228.

(bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)(3-fluorophenyl)methanone 3h: Prepared according to General Procedure A from 1-(3-fluorobenzoyl)piperidine-2,6-dione (23.5 mg, 0.100 mmol, 0.100 equiv) and norbornene (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv) at 90 °C in 16 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified using flash chromatography (100:0 → 92:8 hexane:diethyl ether) to yield (bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)(3-fluorophenyl)methanone 3h as a white solid in 76% yield (16.4 mg, 0.076 mmol). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 1.05-1.12 (m, 1H), 1.15-1.29 (m, 2H), 1.55-1.59 (m, 1H), 1.77-1.87 (m, 2H), 3.14 (s, 1H), 3.46 (s, 1H), 6.69 (d, \(J = 3.08\) Hz, 1H), 7.21 (td, \(J = 8.20, 2.32\) Hz,
1H), 7.37-7.45 (m, 2H), 7.53 (d, J = 7.68 Hz, 1H). $^1$H NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): \( \delta \) 24.7, 25.5, 42.6, 44.6, 47.2, 115.8 (d, \( J = 22.3 \) Hz, 1C), 119.0 (d, \( J = 21.3 \) Hz, 1C), 124.7 (d, \( J = 3.0 \) Hz, 1C), 130.0 (d, \( J = 7.7 \) Hz, 1C), 140.4 (d, \( J = 6.2 \) Hz, 1C), 148.5, 150.4, 162.6 (d, \( J = 247.5 \) Hz, 1C), 191.4 (d, \( J = 2.0 \) Hz, 1C). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): \( \delta \) 24.7, 25.5, 42.5, 44.7, 47.2, 123.9 (q, \( J = 272.6 \) Hz, 1C), 125.8 (q, \( J = 3.8 \) Hz, 1C), 128.5 (q, \( J = 3.7 \) Hz, 1C), 128.96, 130.91 (q, \( J = 32.7 \) Hz, 1C), 132.1 (q, \( J = 1.6 \) Hz, 1C), 138.9, 148.5, 150.9, 191.3. $^{19}$F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl$_3$): \( \delta \) -62.74 (s, 3F). HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C$_{13}$H$_{14}$F$_3$O$^+$([M+H]$^+$): 267.0991, Found: 267.1004.

(bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)methanone 3i: Prepared according to General Procedure A from 1-(3-trifluoromethylbenzoyl)piperidine-2,6-dione (28.5 mg, 0.100 mmol, 0.100 equiv) and norbornene (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv) at 90 °C in 16 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified using flash chromatography (100:0 → 96:4 hexane:diethyl ether) to yield (bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)methanone 3i as a white solid in 25% yield (6.7 mg, 0.025 mmol). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): \( \delta \) 1.05-1.13 (m, 1H), 1.16-1.25 (m, 1H), 1.30 (d, \( J = 8.76 \) Hz, 1H), 1.60 (d, \( J = 8.72 \) Hz, 1H), 1.78-1.88 (m, 2H), 3.16 (s, 1H) 3.48 (s, 1H), 6.67 (d, \( J = 2.84 \) Hz, 1H), 7.56 (t, \( J = 7.80 \) Hz, 1H), 7.77 (d, \( J = 7.80 \) Hz, 1H), 7.92 (d, \( J = 7.80 \) Hz, 1H), 7.99 (s, 1H). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): \( \delta \) 24.7, 25.5, 42.5, 44.7, 47.2, 123.9 (q, \( J = 272.6 \) Hz, 1C), 125.8 (q, \( J = 3.8 \) Hz, 1C), 128.5 (q, \( J = 3.7 \) Hz, 1C), 128.96, 130.91 (q, \( J = 32.7 \) Hz, 1C), 132.1 (q, \( J = 1.6 \) Hz, 1C), 138.9, 148.5, 150.9, 191.3. $^{19}$F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl$_3$): \( \delta \) -62.74 (s, 3F). HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C$_{15}$H$_{14}$F$_3$O$^+$([M+H]$^+$): 267.0991, Found: 267.1004.
(bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)(naphthalen-2-yl)methanone 3j:

Prepared according to General Procedure A from 1-(2-naphthoyl)piperidine-2,6-dione (26.7 mg, 0.100 mmol, 0.100 equiv) and norbornene (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv) at 90 °C in 16 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified using flash chromatography (100:0 → 96:4 hexane:ethyl acetate) to yield (bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)(naphthalen-2-yl)methanone 3j as a white solid in 62% yield (15.4 mg, 0.062 mmol). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 1.08-1.15 (m, 1H), 1.23-1.33 (m, 2H), 1.65 (dp, $J$ = 8.72, 1.92 Hz, 1H), 1.80-1.89 (m, 2H), 3.17 (s, 1H). 3.52 (s, 1H), 6.72 (d, $J$ = 3.16 Hz, 1H), 7.52-7.60 (m, 2H), 7.84-7.90 (m, 3H), 7.93-7.95 (m, 1H), 8.26 (s, 1H). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 24.8, 25.6, 42.8, 44.6, 47.2, 125.0, 128.1, 129.8, 131.0, 136.1, 249.1274, Found: 149.1277.

(bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)(o-tolyl)methanone 3k: Prepared according to General Procedure A from 1-(2-methylbenzoyl)piperidine-2,6-dione (23.1 mg, 0.100 mmol, 0.100 equiv) and norbornene (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv) at 90 °C in 16 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified using flash chromatography (100:0 → 96:4 hexane:diethyl ether) to yield (bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)(o-tolyl)methanone 3k as a white solid in 37% yield (7.84 mg, 0.037 mmol). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 1.06-1.20 (m, 2H), 1.29 (dd, $J$ = 8.72, 0.88 Hz, 1H), 1.57 (dp, $J$ = 8.72, 1.96 Hz, 1H), 1.78-1.86 (m, 2H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 3.06 (d, $J$ = 1.32 Hz, 1H), 3.51 (s, 1H), 6.51 (d, $J$ = 3.24 Hz, 1H), 7.15-7.22 (m, 2H), 7.24-7.26 (m, 1H), 7.30 (td, $J$ = 7.48, 1.40 Hz, 1H). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 19.8, 24.8, 25.3, 41.1, 44.3, 47.8, 125.0, 128.1, 129.8, 131.0, 136.1,
HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C\textsubscript{14}H\textsubscript{17}O\textsuperscript{+} ([M+H\textsuperscript{+}]): 213.1274, Found: 213.1268.

(bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)(2-fluorophenyl)methanone 3l: Prepared according to General Procedure A from 1-(2-fluorobenzoyl)piperidine-2,6-dione (23.5 mg, 0.100 mmol, 0.100 equiv) and norbornene (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv) at 90 °C in 16 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified using flash chromatography (100:0 → 95:5 hexane:diethyl ether) to yield (bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)(2-fluorophenyl)methanone 3l as a white solid in 30% yield (6.48 mg, 0.030 mmol). \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): δ 1.07-1.22 (m, 2H), 1.29 (dd, J = 8.72, 0.680 Hz, 1H), 1.59 (dp, J = 8.72, 1.92 Hz, 1H), 1.77-1.86 (m, 2H), 3.09 (d, J = 1.44 Hz, 1H), 3.51 (s, 1H), 6.67 (s, 1H), 7.09 (t, J = 8.80 Hz, 1H), 7.17 (td, J = 7.56, 0.72 Hz, 1H), 7.40-7.45 (m, 2H). \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): δ 24.7, 25.2, 41.3, 44.4, 47.8, 116.3 (d, J = 22.1 Hz, 1C), 124.0 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1C), 127.8(d, J = 15.0 Hz, 1C), 130.2 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1C), 132.3 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1C), 149.9 (d, J = 1.0 Hz), 151.85 (d, J = 2.2 Hz), 159.82 (d, J = 251.8 Hz), 189.58. \textsuperscript{19}F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): δ -113.45 (m, 1F). HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C\textsubscript{14}H\textsubscript{14}FO\textsuperscript{+} ([M+H\textsuperscript{+}]): 217.1023, Found: 217.1018.

(bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-yl)(thiophen-2-yl)methanone 3m: Prepared according to General Procedure A from 1-(thiophene-2-carbonyl)piperidine-2,6-dione (22.3 mg, 0.100 mmol, 0.100 equiv) and norbornene (94.2 mg, 1.00 mmol, 10.0 equiv) at 90 °C in 16 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified using flash chromatography (100:0 → 96:4 hexane:diethyl ether) to yield (bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-en-2-
yl)(thiophen-2-yl)methanone 3m as a white solid in 25% yield (5.10 mg, 0.025 mmol). $^1$H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 1.14-1.18 (m, 1H), 1.25-1.29 (m, H), 1.57 (dp, $J = 8.70, 1.98$ Hz, 1H), 1.81-1.89 (m, 2H), 3.16-3.17 (m, 1H), 3.47 (s, 1H), 6.91 (d, $J = 3.12$ Hz, 1H), 7.13 (dd, $J = 4.98, 3.78$ Hz, 1H), 7.62 (dd, $J = 4.98, 1.14$ Hz, 1H), 7.71 (dd, $J = 3.78, 1.14$ Hz, 1H). $^{13}$C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 24.9, 25.5, 43.2, 44.4, 47.1, 127.8, 132.1, 132.7, 143.9, 147.6, 148.8, 184.2. HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C$_{12}$H$_{13}$SO$^+$ ([M+H]$^+$): 205.0682, Found: 205.0681.
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CHAPTER 5. CONCLUSION

Summary

This thesis describes the first examples of enantioselective, palladium-catalyzed conjugate additions of arylboronic acids to $\beta$-aryl $\beta,\beta$-disubstituted cyclic enones. A catalyst generated in situ from Pd(TFA)$_2$ and (S)-$t$-BuPyOx catalyzes enantioselective conjugate additions of electronically and structurally diverse arylboronic acids to a variety of $\beta$-aryl $\beta,\beta$-disubstituted enones. These reactions generate cyclic ketone products containing bis-benzylic quaternary carbon stereocenters in up to 92% yield and up to 93% ee by iterative addition of the arylboronic acid nucleophile to minimize protodeboronation.

This thesis discusses nickel-catalyzed intermolecular, three-component alkene carboacylation reaction triggered by activation of an amide C–N bond. This nickel-catalyzed conjunctive cross-coupling encompasses reactions of a variety of bicyclic alkenes, amides, and tetraarylborates to generate highly functionalized ketone products in high yields and excellent diastereoselectivities. In addition, preliminary mechanistic studies suggest that reductive elimination is the turnover-limiting step, and that migratory insertion precedes transmetalation.

The research findings of the work described in the Chapter III has led to various new avenues that are further being explored in our laboratory. Efforts for the development nickel-catalyzed intermolecular alkyne carboacylation to synthesize tetrasubstituted alkenes are currently on-going and have shown promising results. The discovery of new class of imides that can be activated using nickel-catalyst has led to the development of nickel-catalyzed alkene carboacylation reactions that allow synthesis of $\gamma$-amino ketones. Efforts to develop enantioselective alkene carboacylation reactions are also currently on-going in our laboratory.
This thesis describes the first examples of palladium-catalyzed intermolecular acylative Heck reaction using amides as acyl electrophiles. This catalyst system allows reaction between a wide range of electronically and sterically diverse amides and bicyclic alkene to form $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated ketone products in up to 82% yield. This work shows that amides can be used as acyl electrophiles for palladium-catalyzed intermolecular Heck reaction.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the methods developed in this thesis allow rapid functionalization of alkenes to access various enantio-enriched and racemic products. The new catalytic methods represent advancement in the fields of transition metal-catalyzed conjugate addition reactions, alkene carboacylation, and acylative Heck reactions. Additionally, the work described in this thesis reveals that amides can be used as acyl electrophiles for alkene functionalization reactions.