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GENERAL INTRODUCTION

The development of cytoplasmic substitution lines through reciprocal matings is possible in plant species that exhibit maternal inheritance of the cytoplasm. Genetic lines with the same nuclear genomes in reciprocal cytoplasms often express differences for agronomic and physiological traits. These differences can be attributed to cytoplasmic effects. Hermesen (1968) suggested that all traits are affected by a cytoplasmic component. For example, if a population with nuclear-cytoplasmic male sterility were to lose the male-fertile cytoplasm, then the population would appear to be one of genetic male sterility (Charlesworth and Ganders, 1979; Clark, 1984).

Kihara (1973) introduced the term nuclear-cytoplasmic heterosis to describe the superior performance of cytoplasmic substitution lines (nuclear-cytoplasmic hybrids) relative to the performance of sib lines in the original cytoplasm. Kihara (1980) also developed nuclear-cytoplasmic hybrids that exhibit nuclear-cytoplasmic heterosis for grain yield in both spring and winter wheat varieties.

A phenomenon akin to nuclear-cytoplasmic heterosis was reported by Robertson and Frey (1984) for cytoplasmic isopopulations of oats. Robertson (1980) created 20 isopopulations in the BC_0, BC_1, and BC_2 generations from reciprocal matings of two Cornbelt oat varieties (Avena sativa L.) and five A. sterilis L. accessions. The grain yield average of five BC_2 populations with A. sterilis cytoplasm from crosses involving 'CI 9170' was greater than the counterpart average in A. sativa cytoplasm by 8.6%. One of the five BC_2 populations with A. sterilis
cytoplasm exhibited 8.6% greater grain yield than the recurrent parent. These results suggest that the expression of nuclear-cytoplasmic heterosis in oats may be realized in as few as two backcross generations; although the extent of the phenomenon from matings of *A. sativa* and *A. sterilis* is unknown.

Robertson (1980) used 20 lines per population to evaluate cytoplasmic effects, although fewer lines may have produced similar results. Analyses of Robertson's results (Robertson and Frey, 1984) indicated both direct cytoplasmic effects and nuclear-cytoplasmic interaction effects influenced seven traits. There were no significant interactions of cytoplasms with backcross generations but there were significant interactions of cytoplasms with matings for grain yield and heading date. Therefore, Robertson and Frey (1984) suggested that superior grain yields and later heading dates of the isopopulations with *A. sterilis* cytoplasm may have been influenced by interactions of the *A. sterilis* cytoplasm with *A. sativa* nuclear genes. All other traits showed significant cytoplasm by backcross generation interactions, which the authors cited as evidence for plasmagenes interacting with nuclear genes from both parents. Although Robertson and Frey (1984) suggested the sources of variability, they did not model their results.

The general objective of the studies reported herein is to investigate the nature of nuclear-cytoplasmic interactions from matings of *A. sativa* and *A. sterilis* on agronomic traits such as grain yield, straw yield, biomass, harvest index, heading date, height, vegetative growth rate and unit straw weight. Specific objectives are:
1. To investigate the ability of existing genetic models to describe and predict generation means from four of Robertson's (1980) matings.

2. Propose an alternative model based upon biological evidence and derive quantitative genetic variances and covariances for a theoretical population exhibiting nuclear-cytoplasmic interactions.

3. Determine the minimum number of random segregating lines per population necessary to evaluate isopopulations in the BC$_2$ generation.

4. Investigate the extent of nuclear-cytoplasmic interactions and heterosis among 80 BC$_2$F$_2$ isopopulations from reciprocal matings of four A. _sativa_ Cornbelt varieties with 10 A. _sterilis_ accessions.
LITERATURE REVIEW

Extranuclear Effects

Inheritance of extranuclear effects has been known since early studies of foliar variegation by Bauer, 1909 and Correns, 1909, cited in Kirk and Tilney-Bassett (1967), and it can be classified as dauermodification, maternal inheritance, or cytoplasmic inheritance (Caspari, 1948). Endosperm effects represent a fourth category applicable to many plant species.

Dauermodification is described as environmentally induced changes which are transmitted maternally through a number of generations. Viral infections that are transmitted from mother to offspring in animals are examples of this type of extranuclear inheritance.

Caspari (1948) described maternal inheritance as the maternal transmission of a substance which is manifested in the offspring and gradually disappears during the growth and development of the individual. A more appropriate term to describe such phenomena is maternal effects; maternal inheritance is best used as a descriptor of heredity. Models with maternal effects have been described by Mather and Jinks (1982) and Willham (1963), who developed a quantitative theory to describe the impact of maternal effects on genetic variability.

The endosperm is, in effect, a nurse organism to germinating embryos. The endosperm is related to both the maternal parent, from which it receives two-thirds of its triploid nuclear genome, and the paternal parent of a developing seedling. Van Aarde (unpublished manuscript) has developed a quantitative theory to describe the impact of endosperm effects on genetic variability.
Cytoplasmic inheritance is used to describe the inheritance of
cytoplasmic effects (Caspari, 1948). The discovery of DNA in the
organelles of the cytoplasm (Beale and Knowles, 1978; Gillham, 1978)
provided evidence that cytoplasmic effects have a genetic basis. To
date, a quantitative genetic theory which describes the influence of
cytoplasmic effects on genetic variability has not been developed.

Many studies have been conducted on maternally inherited effects
through the use of reciprocal mating designs (Ashri, 1964; Ellsworth
and Peloquin, 1972; Miksch, 1980; Ruebenbauer, 1962). Caspari (1948)
and Bhan (1964) indicate that differences from reciprocal matings can
be ascribed to cytoplasmic sources only if the effects remain in the
offspring obtained from repeated backcrosses to the paternal parent.
Indeed, the development of cytoplasmic substitution lines in wheat
(Triticum and Aegilops spp.) by Kihara (1951) has been a powerful tool
for studying cytoplasmic effects on nuclear genomes. Robertson and
Frey (1984) used the "isopopulation method" (Burton, 1966) for comparing
two alternative cytoplasms in oats (Avena spp.). In this method, a
set of $F_2$-derived lines from a backcross generation of a mating are
compared with another set of $F_2$-derived lines from the same backcross
generation of the reciprocal mating. Thus, assuming that the cytoplasm
does not affect segregation in the nuclear genome, the two sets of lines
are expected to have equivalent samples of nuclear genes. Caspari (1948)
proposed that loss of an effect in successive generations is likely a
daumermodification. However, as Robertson and Frey (1984) indicated,
loss of an effect with successive backcrosses also may be related to the
loss of specific nuclear-cytoplasmic interactions.
Function and Structure of the Cytoplasm

The cytoplasm consists of subcellular organelles imbedded in an amorphous matrix. The fine structure of the cytoplasmic matrix has been studied in recent years because it is responsible for both intra- and intercellular transport of metabolites (Marx, 1983). However, very little is known about its genetic regulation or its impact on the cytoplasmic genome.

The discovery of DNA in the cytoplasmic organelles in the early 1960s (Gillham, 1978) increased the frequency of studies on the cytoplasm and its hypothesized plasmagenes (Caspari, 1948). In the last 20 years, emerging biotechnologies have enhanced studies of subcellular phenomena. These studies have identified encoding sequences for specific cytoplasmic polypeptides and elucidated the inheritance, replication, and structure of the cytoplasmic genome.

Many quantitative traits of economic importance are end-products of metabolic processes that occur in cytoplasmic organelles. For example, vegetative biomass measures the end product of photosynthesis, which occurs in the chloroplasts, and respiration, which occurs in the mitochondria. Many cytoplasmic functions are regulated by enzymes encoded in both nuclear and cytoplasmic DNA. The "light harvesting complex" of the chloroplasts contains two types of chlorophyll, a and b, that are composed of polypeptides encoded by nuclear-genes (Dunsmuir et al., 1983a). The ATP synthetase complex responsible for electron transport across membranes of the chloroplast and for conversion of ADP to ATP has four of its sub-units encoded by the chloroplast genome.
(Huttly and Gray, 1984). The reverse process, controlled by the ATPase complex of the mitochondrion has four of its sub-units encoded in the mitochondrial genome (Borst et al., 1983). The code for Cytochrome F of wheat has been located within the chloroplast and sequenced for wheat (Willey et al., 1984).

The most studied enzyme of photorespiration is ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase (Rubisco). Rubisco is a bifunctional enzyme that is composed of eight copies of two nonidentical sub-units. The larger sub-unit is encoded in the chloroplast (Bowman et al., 1981) and the smaller sub-unit is encoded in the nucleus (Cashmore et al., 1978; Highfield and Ellis, 1978) by a multigenic family (Dunsmuir et al., 1983b). The composition, site of translation, and transport of the small sub-unit to the chloroplast also have been studied (Cashmore et al., 1978; Chua and Schmidt, 1978; Corruzi et al., 1984; Highfield and Ellis, 1978; and Roy, 1982).

The cytoplasmic genome of plants consists primarily of DNA in the chloroplasts and mitochondria (Beale and Knowles, 1978). The numbers of chloroplasts and mitochondria per cell are variable and depend upon the state of cellular differentiation (Butterfass, 1979; Grun, 1976). Generally, organelles tend toward a homogenous state within the cell. This tendency occurs in species that exhibit uniparental inheritance (Michael, 1978; Conde et al., 1979) and species that exhibit biparental inheritance (Metzlaff et al., 1981; Michaelis, 1958; Tilney-Bassett, 1975) of the cytoplasm. A tendency toward homogenous lines also occurs in artificial systems designed to create heteroplasmic conditions; e.g.,
plant cells subjected to mutagenic agents (Hagemann, 1976), somatic hybridization (Izhar, 1980), and cytoplast-protoplast fusion (Maliga et al., 1982). Unlike chromosomes, replication and transmission of organelles are independent of nuclear control (Birkey, 1983). Michaelis (1958) proposed that the process of apportioning organelles to daughter cells during mitosis is stochastic. Thus, it is probable that the daughter cells will not receive equal distributions of organelles. Birkey (1983) agreed with Michaelis and added that replication of organelles also was stochastic. For example, an organelle might reproduce itself 10 times or not at all during the interphase of mitosis. Birkey (1983) also indicated that the phenomenon of uniparental inheritance perpetuates the homoplasmic condition within maternal lines of descent.

The structure of the chloroplast (cp) genome is known. Each chloroplast contains 10 to 60 complete copies of its genome and each copy consists of a single, circular, double-stranded molecule of DNA (Gillham, 1978; Grun, 1976). The structure of the mitochondrial (mt) genome is still being investigated. Early studies by Kolodner and Tewari (1972) on mtDNA from pea leaves indicated that the mt-genome consisted of single, circular, double-stranded molecules of DNA. However, according to Leaver and Gray (1982) and authors cited therein, maize (Zea mays L.) mitochondria have yielded various sizes of circular and linear mtDNA. In addition, electrophoretic patterns on restriction endonuclease digests of mtDNA from a single sample are heterogenous in numerous plant species. Leaver and Gray (1982) suggest that the
source of the heterogenous pieces of mtDNA are intra- rather than inter-
mitochondrial. Levings et al. (1979) proposed that heterogeneous pieces
of mtDNA may be a manifestation of a genome organized into different
sized "chromosomes." An alternative hypothesis currently supported
by most investigators (Leaver et al., 1983; Levings, 1983; Lonsdale
et al., 1983a) is that the genome exists as a single, large, circular,
duplex molecule of DNA from which smaller molecules arise by various
mechanisms. If this latter hypothesis is accepted, the structure of
the cytoplasmic genome can be viewed as two populations of polyploid
organelles with a basic chromosome number of one.

Cytoplasmic Diversity

Maternal inheritance

Most higher plant species exhibit uniparental inheritance of cyto-
plasmic effects through the maternal parent, although exceptions occur
in species of Secale, Solanum and Oenothera (Gillham, 1978). Mechanisms
responsible for uniparental maternal inheritance include exclusion of
paternal organelles from the male gamete during spermatogenesis, loss
of parental plastids from the motile sperm, exclusion of paternal
plastids during fertilization, and degradation of paternal plastids
within the zygote (Sears, 1980). Regardless of the mechanism, the result
is that little cytoplasmic diversity is expected within maternal lines
of descent.

As previously noted, maternal inheritance of cytoplasm does not
imply that differences between individuals from reciprocal matings are
due to cytoplasmic effects. The literature is replete with studies on reciprocal effects; see Robertson (1980) for a comprehensive review. It is the intent of this review to concentrate on studies of traits that show the effects of cytoplasmic diversity.

Cytoplasmic diversity, expressed as nuclear-cytoplasmic interaction effects on numerous agronomic, qualitative, and physiological traits, has been studied best through the development of cytoplasmic substitution lines. The trait studied most, because of its commercial implications, is nuclear-cytoplasmic male sterility.

**Male sterility**

Nuclear-cytoplasmic (NC) male sterility in maize was first reported by Rhoades (1931). Since Rhoades' discovery, three NC-male sterility inducing cytoplasms have been identified and are classified as S, C, and T (Beckett, 1971). The commercial usefulness of each was assessed by Duvick (1972). NC-male sterility first was reported in sorghum, *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench., by Stevens and Holland (1954) and has been necessary for commercial production of hybrid sorghum. Initial identification and classification of male sterility cytoplasms of sorghum were pursued primarily through the Sorghum Conversion Program conducted by Schertz and Ritchey (1978). Recently, the Sorghum Genetic Resources Unit at ICRISAT (International Crops Research Institute for Semi-Arid Tropics), Hyderabad, India, has identified different cytoplasms responsible for NC-male sterility (ICRISAT, 1983). NC-male sterility also is known in other crop species. Unlike corn and sorghum, NC-male sterility in other crop species was induced by plant breeders.

Until recently, none of the induced systems were utilized for commercial hybrid production because the hybrids often were undesirable for quality and agronomic traits (Kihara and Tsunewaki, 1964).

**Quantitative traits**

The impact of NC-male sterile lines on hybrid performance in maize has been studied primarily for the T-cytoplasm. Duvick (1958) compared normal and T-cytoplasm forms of six hybrids. He did not include male sterile forms with restoration genes. He evaluated these in three locations at six sowing densities. At high densities, the T-cytoplasm hybrids had a yield advantage but a disadvantage at low densities. Stringfield (1958) found that T-cytoplasm hybrids with restorer alleles were higher yielding than their normal counterparts. Noble and Russell (1963) included restoration genes in both normal and T-cytoplasm forms of hybrids and found that hybrids with T-cytoplasms gave reduced yields but differences were not universally significant. Russell and Marquez-Sanchez (1966) also observed reduced yields in some hybrids with T-cytoplasm. After the southern corn leaf blight race T epiphytotic of 1970, hybrid corn has been produced by using mechanical detasseling and the C cytoplasm. The S NC-male sterility inducing systems exhibit incomplete and unstable male sterility (Duvick and Noble, 1978).
Quinby (1970) and Atkins and Kern (1972) contrasted the influence of normal and NC-male sterile lines on hybrid performance in sorghum and found no significant differences in grain yield. Kern (1969) noted that such studies in sorghum are academic because there are no alternatives to producing hybrid sorghum on a commercial scale.

As with hybrid seed corn production prior to 1970, most (97%) grain sorghum grown in the U.S. is produced using a single cytoplasm known as 'milo' cytoplasm (Harvey, 1977). An insect or disease capable of attacking this cytoplasm would be more devastating to the sorghum seed industry than southern corn leaf blight was to the seed corn industry because there is no other method for producing commercial hybrids. Thus, there has been considerable effort in sorghum to assess hybrid performance in different NC-male sterile inducing cytoplasms. Ross and Kofoid (1979) compared six 'KS' cytoplasms with the milo cytoplasm. Hybrids from one, KS37, consistently yielded better than hybrids in the milo cytoplasm. Other hybrids with KS cytoplasms gave mixed performances relative to hybrids with milo cytoplasm. Lenz and Atkins (1981) found no differences in hybrid performance between hybrids with KS cytoplasms and milo cytoplasm. Thus, these two studies indicate that as many as six alternative cytoplasms are available to diversify the cytoplasmic genomes used in hybrid seed production of sorghum. The effect of cytoplasm on flowering (Barikar and Balaich, 1977) and seed viability (Rao and Fleming, 1978) also have been noted in isogenic inbred lines of sorghum and maize.
Nuclear-cytoplasmic effects have been described in several crop species by using cytoplasmic substitution lines per se. The development of alloplasmic wheat lines by Kihara (1951) provided genetic materials, i.e., those with cytoplasmic diversity, for studies on heading date, number of spikes, plant height, biomass, number of spikelets, seed fertility, and grain yield (Kinoshita et al., 1979; Mukai and Tsunewaki, 1975; Tsunewaki, 1980; Kihara, 1980).

Qualitative traits

The effect of Helminthosporium maydis Nisikado and Miyari, race T, on maize plants with different cytoplasms provides a striking example of cytoplasmic diversity. Cytoplasm T is also more susceptible to yellow leaf blight caused by Phyllosticta spp. (Ayers et al., 1970). Washington and Maan (1974) reported differential responses of cytoplasmic substitution lines of three wheat varieties to three races of wheat stem rust, Puccinia recondita (Rob). Cytoplasm from Gossypium harkenessii improved resistance to bacterial blight in cotton, G. hirsutum caused by Xanthomonas malvacearum E. F. Sm. (Mayhill and Davis, 1978).

Resistance to some antibiotics has been shown to be regulated by the cytoplasm (Cseplo and Maliga, 1984; Maliga et al., 1982; Menczel et al., 1983). In addition, Menczel et al. (1983) showed that cytoplasmic resistance to streptomycin was associated with nuclear-cytoplasmic male sterility in regenerated plants from irradiated cell line cultures of fused protoplasts from tobacco species (N. tabacum and N. plumbaginifolia). However, resistance to another antibiotic, lincomycin,
in regenerated tobacco plants from cell lines of fused protoplasts as not associated with male sterility.

The emerging biotechnologies have permitted the study of cytoplasmic effects on specific metabolic products. For example, seed proteins of the endosperm, exhibit various electrophoretic patterns depending upon the cytoplasm of the alloplasmic line (Yamada et al., 1980). Beta-amylase, which is encoded in the nucleus, shows different specific activities in various alloplasmic lines (Li et al., 1980). Respiratory and photosynthetic rates both exhibit differential responses to cytoplasmic substitution (Iwanaga et al., 1978). Variant forms of Rubisco's large sub-unit have been detected (Hirai and Tsunewaki, 1981), but variability in Rubisco has not been related to variability in photosynthesis or photorespiration (Tomarchio et al., 1983). Different cytoplasms also produce variable mitochondrial protein products (Forde and Leaver, 1980).

Data on cytoplasmic diversity in *Triticum* and related genera has been used in phylogenetic studies of wheat (Endo and Tsunewaki, 1975; Mukai and Tsunewaki, 1975; Ohtsuka and Otsuka, 1981; Tsuji and Tsunewaki, 1976) by applying cluster analyses to quantitative and qualitative traits exhibited by alloplasmic lines. The results often have confirmed nuclear genome analyses (Kihara, 1929; Riley et al., 1958) but have provided conflicting evidence on the origin of the B genome.

**Direct evidence**

Despite evidence for cytoplasmic diversity from measurements on quantitative and qualitative traits, it was electrophoretic patterns
of endonuclease restricted cpDNA and mtDNA that confirmed genomic diversity of cytoplasms. Vedel et al. (1976) first showed that an endonuclease restriction enzyme EcoRI when applied to isolated chloroplast DNAs from higher plants produced distinctive electrophoretic patterns. Later, Vedel and Quetier (1978) and Vedel et al. (1981) used EcoRI to elucidate the genomic relationships in wheat cytoplasms. Vedel et al. (1982) also confirmed strict maternal inheritance of cpDNA and mtDNA in Triticale. Tsunewaki and Ogihara (1983), who used other enzymes to analyze the chloroplast-genomic relationships in wheat, confirmed and expanded the work of Vedel et al. (1981). Electrophoretic patterns of numerous restriction endonucleases have been investigated for most major crop species (Hansen and Macker, 1984; Hatfield et al., 1985; Kung et al., 1982; Levings et al., 1979; Sisson et al., 1978) including oats (Rines et al., unpublished manuscript).

Because each endonuclease restriction enzyme produces a unique electrophoretic pattern, Nei and Li (1979) developed a multivariate cluster analysis to categorize similar patterns. Thus, the dendrograms produced for the expression of plant traits can be compared with those produced for electrophoretic patterns (Terachi et al., 1984). Most taxonomic descriptions which use electrophoretic patterns of endonuclease restriction digests of cpDNA and mtDNA are based upon an assumption that there is little diversity within maternal lines of descent.
Origin

If there is no diversity among maternal lines of descent, a question arises concerning the origin of diverse cytoplasms within species, such as maize and the diverse cytoplasms of the closely related genera, *Triticum* and *Aegilops*. The biological mechanisms responsible for cytoplasmic and nuclear diversity are probably similar, although mutation rates may differ. For example, Avise et al. (1979) demonstrated that silent nucleic acid substitutions in the mitochondria of mice occur six times as often as substitutions in nuclear genes, but the rate of substitutions causing amino acid changes in gene products appeared to be the same for nuclear and mitochondrial genomes (Brown et al., 1979). In addition, a cpDNA sequence associated with the large sub-unit of Rubisco has been detected in the mitochondrial genome of some maize lines (Lonsdale, 1983b).

Theoretical models to explain the evolution and maintenance of cytoplasmic diversity have been proposed. A model of nuclear-cytoplasmic male sterility with biallelic restoration and two cytoplasm types, male fertile and male sterile, was investigated by Charlesworth and Ganders (1979). Their model showed that if the nonrestorer allele was eliminated, both cytoplasm types could be maintained in the population. Conversely, if the nonrestorer alleles remained in the population, the male-sterile cytoplasm would become fixed, and the population would be indistinguishable from one with genetic male sterility. In the model of Charlesworth and Ganders (1979), polymorphisms at both nuclear and cytoplasmic loci for male sterility would not be maintained in a random
mating population. Clark (1984) showed similar results for viability; i.e., polymorphisms at nuclear and cytoplasmic loci for viability would not be maintained. Delanney et al. (1981) used the model of Charlesworth and Ganders for a self-pollinated population of hermaphrodites, but allowed for different female fertilities: i.e., male sterility was pleiotropic. Nontrivial equilibria could be maintained for nuclear and cytoplasmic diversity if the fertility of nuclear-cytoplasmic male sterile cytoplasms was greater than the fertility of normal fertile individuals. Gregorius and Ross (1984) and Ross and Gregorius (1985) have described the minimum sufficiency conditions for fitness needed to maintain both nuclear and cytoplasmic diversity in a random mating population. The conditions include sexual asymmetry for fertility and negatively frequency-dependent fitness (Gregorius and Ross, 1984). An implication of their results is that nuclear-cytoplasmic interactions are needed to establish the phenomenon of gynodioecy (Ross and Gregorious, 1985). Thus, given certain constraints, nuclear-cytoplasmic interactions fit established tenets of evolutionary theory.

Explanation of Dissertation Format

This dissertation consists of four sections. Section I investigates the ability of models with maternal effects (Mather and Jinks, 1982) to describe grain yield of parental and backcross generations from reciprocal matings of CI 9170 with four A. sterilis accessions. Section II develops an alternative theoretical model, based upon biological evidence to describe traits influenced by nuclear-cytoplasmic
interactions. Variance and covariance components of this model are
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components via a reciprocal mating design is described. Section III
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SECTION I. GENETIC MODELLING OF NUCLEAR-CYTOPLASMIC HETEROSIS IN OATS
ABSTRACT

A phenomenon akin to nuclear-cytoplasmic heterosis (NC-heterosis) was observed for grain yield in a cytoplasmic isopopulation of oat (Avena sativa L.) lines with A. sterilis L. cytoplasm. An accurate modelling of the genetic effects responsible for the phenomenon would provide the plant breeder with a predictive tool for screening a large number of matings.

Models developed by Mather and Jinks adequately described generation means from three of four matings involving A. sterilis accessions and 'CI 9170'. In all three, the best model included parameters for additive nuclear effects, cytoplasmic effects, and an interaction of additive nuclear and cytoplasmic effects. In two mating systems, an added parameter describing epistatic nuclear effects was needed for a good fit. The predicted grain yields of advanced backcross generations and NC-hybrids were calculated by using the best fitting model for each mating system. Data from advanced backcross generations tested in 12 environments indicated that the best fitting model did not predict grain yield of advanced backcross generations.

Additional Keywords: Nuclear-cytoplasmic hybrids, reciprocal backcross populations, cytoplasmic isopopulations, cytoplasmic substitution lines
INTRODUCTION

A study in 1909 conducted by Correns (Beale and Knowles, 1978) showed cytoplasmic influences on plant variegation. Later, Malinowski (Ruebenbauer, 1962) reported height differences between reciprocal hybrids of two Petunia species, and Ruebenbauer (1962) presented a theoretical explanation for these differences. The discovery of cytoplasmic male sterility in maize (Zea maize L.) and sorghum (Sorghum bicolor Moench.) led to numerous studies on cytoplasmic effects in hybrids (Atkins and Kern, 1972; Duvick, 1958; Ross and Kofoid, 1979; Russell and Marquez-Sanchez, 1966). Kihara and Tsunewaki (1964) working with wheat species (Triticum and Aegilops spp.) used the term cytoplasmic heterosis to describe the added vigor of agronomic traits in cytoplasmic substitution lines. Kihara (1973) referred to cytoplasmic substitution lines as nuclear-cytoplasmic hybrids (NC-hybrids) and proposed the term nuclear-cytoplasmic heterosis (NC-heterosis) to describe superior yields of NC-hybrids (Kihara, 1979). Kihara (1980) has identified a few wheat NC-hybrids that exhibit heterosis, but the phenomenon is not universal.

A phenomenon akin to NC-heterosis was reported by Robertson and Frey (1984) in oats (Avena spp.). They evaluated the BC$_0$F$_4$, BC$_1$F$_4$, and BC$_2$F$_4$ populations of segregates from reciprocal matings of 'CI 9170' (A. sativa L.) and four A. sterilis L. accessions. A BC$_2$F$_4$ population of segregates with A. sterilis (PI 317757) cytoplasm yielded significantly more than the recurrent parent, CI 9170. They suggested
that cytoplasmic effects and/or interactions of the A. sterilis cytoplasm with the nuclear genome from CI 9170 was responsible for the heterotic response.

Accurate genetic modelling of the nuclear-cytoplasm system would predict heterosis in NC-hybrids and could be used to screen specific matings for potential to exhibit NC-heterosis. I used data from the BC₀, BC₁, and BC₂ backcross generations from reciprocal matings of true-breeding lines created by Robertson (1980) to test the ability of Mather and Jinks (1982) genetic models to describe the generation means. The best fitting model for each mating was used to predict grain yield in the BC₂, BC₄, and BCₙ generations. Data from BC₃ and BC₄ bulk populations derived by additional backcrossing onto four high yielding BC₂F₂ lines were used to assess the predictive ability of the best fitting model.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Average grain yield of $BC_0 F_4$, $BC_1 F_4$, and $BC_2 F_4$ cytoplasmic iso­
populations were obtained from experiments conducted by Robertson (1980).
The mating scheme followed by Robertson (1980) in creating these iso­
populations is shown in Figure 1.

Means of isopopulations were described using the genetic models
and generation means analyses of Mather and Jinks (1982). This tech­
nique attempts to describe generation means in terms of "net" genetic
effects. Coefficients of net effects are derived from the expected
segregation patterns at a single locus. The genetic effects for a
single locus model are $m_0$, for the homozygotes. Mather and Jinks
(1982) extended the model to multiple genes by assuming there are $k$ dif­
ferent genes in two true-breeding lines. Within a line, $k'$ genes will
be $a^+$ and $k-k'$ will be $a^-$, and the difference between the two true-
breeding lines is:

$$P_1 - P_2 = 2r_{a} \sum_{k} a_{k};$$ (1)

where $r_{a}$ is a coefficient of gene distribution between the two lines
(Mather and Jinks, 1982). The coefficient of gene distribution can
take on a value of unity if one parent has all $a^+$ alleles and a value of
zero if the $a^+$ alleles are evenly distributed between the parents. The
coefficient, $r_{a}$, cannot be estimated from generation means and is a
convenience to facilitate algebraic manipulation of additive effects
for the whole nuclear genome; i.e., the net additive effects are assumed
to segregate similar to an effect under the control of a single locus.
Figure 1. Generalized flow chart for development of reciprocal back-cross populations
Formula (1) can be set equal to $2\alpha$, which is equivalent to $2d$ in the notation of Mather and Jinks (1982). Thus, the net effect expressed by either homozygote is $\pm \alpha$. Coefficients of $\alpha$ for populations obtained from matings and backcrosses of the homozygous parents are equal to the difference in frequencies of homozygotes in the populations. Dominance effects would have little influence on the populations created by Robertson (1980) because the frequency of heterozygous loci would be only $1/8$, $1/16$, and $1/32$, respectively, for the $BC_0F_4$, $BC_1F_4$, and $BC_2F_4$ populations.

Cytoplasmic effects were modelled such that two true breeding parents with cytoplasm $t$ and $u$ have cytoplasmic effect of $+c$ and $-c$, respectively. Because the cytoplasmic integrity is maintained through maternal inheritance in oats (Beale and Knowles, 1978), cytoplasmic effects need not be expanded into additive and dominance components. Therefore, the difference between cytoplasmic effects of two true breeding lines is equal to $2r_cC$; where $r_c = 0$ if the two lines have the same cytoplasm, or $r_c = 1$ if they do not. To keep the notation consistent, let $2r_cC = 2\gamma$. The use of $\gamma$ to describe cytoplasmic effects is merely a specification of one of the possible effects that might arise from reciprocal matings. Mather and Jinks (1982) developed parameters for the more general reciprocal or maternal effects.

Because coefficients of $\alpha$ are calculated from the expected frequencies of segregates at a single locus, the coefficients of parameters describing interaction effects (e.g., $\alpha\gamma$, $\alpha\alpha$, $\alpha\alpha\gamma$, etc.) are calculated as the products of coefficients of the corresponding simple effects.
(Mather and Jinks, 1982). Thus, the interaction effects are also parameters that describe net effects. Coefficients for $\mu$, $\alpha$, $\gamma$, and parameters describing interaction effects for parental and backcross generations are presented in Table 1. Mather and Jinks (1982) suggest that models which include only parameters for simple effects should be used to describe the data initially. If the simple model does not fit the data (i.e., a significant $x^2$ statistic produced by the joint scaling technique of Cavalli (1952)), then other models which include simple effects, epistatic nuclear effects, and nuclear-cytoplasmic interaction effects should be tested to fit. Among models which fit the data for a pair of reciprocal matings, a "best" model was defined to be the one with the fewest parameters.

If a model with a good fit accurately identifies the genetic effects responsible for the generation means, the model should be able to predict the yield of NC-hybrids. With this in mind, the four highest yielding $F_2$-derived lines of the $BC_2$ population from 'PI 317757' x 'CI 9170' were backcrossed to CI 9170 to form $BC_3$ and $BC_4$ bulk populations. Each of these superior $F_2$-derived lines (denoted D623-13, D623-15, D623-17, D623-18) and their respective $BC_3$ and $BC_4$ bulk populations were evaluated for grain yield in 12 environments: four locations with two replications in each of three years. The results of these yield tests were compared with modelled predictions for the $BC_3$ and $BC_4$ from PI 317757 x CI 9170.
Table 1. Coefficients of genetic parameters that describe additive nuclear (α), cytoplasmic (γ), and nuclear-cytoplasmic interaction (αγ, αα, ααγ) effects for parental and reciprocal backcross generations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generationᵃ</th>
<th>μ</th>
<th>α</th>
<th>γ</th>
<th>αγ</th>
<th>αα</th>
<th>ααγ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P₁(sa)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂(st)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC₀F₄(sa)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC₀F₄(st)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC₁F₄(sa)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>½</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC₁F₄(st)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-½</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>-½</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC₂F₄(sa)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>9/16</td>
<td>9/16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ᵃCytoplasm for the parental, P, and backcross, BC, generations is indicated by (sa) for A. sativa L. and (st) for A. sterilis.
RESULTS

Mean grain yield ± one standard deviation for isopopulations and parents are presented in Figure 2. Mean yields of the four A. sterilis accessions were one-third to one-half that of CI 9170. Mean yields of all four BC₀ populations with A. sativa cytoplasm were lower than the mid-parent values, whereas mean yields of three of four BC₀ populations with A. sterilis cytoplasm were greater than their respective mid-parent values. All BC₁ and BC₂ isopopulations, irrespective of cytoplasm, yielded above the mid-parent values. The mean yield of isopopulations with A. sterilis cytoplasm exceeded their counterparts with A. sativa cytoplasm in nine of 12 mating-generation combinations; for eight, the superiority was significant. The mean yield of three populations, all with A. sterilis cytoplasm, exceeded the yield of CI 9170 (i.e., the BC₂ from PI 317757 x CI 9170, BC₂ from 'PI 317982' x CI 9170, and BC₁ from 'PI 217512' x CI 9170), but only the BC₂ from PI 317757 x CI 9170 was significantly greater.

Estimates of the parameters for the best fitting model for each mating and its respective backcross isopopulations are presented in Table 2. A model which fit the generation means was found for three of four matings. For all three, the best model included additive nuclear (α), cytoplasmic (γ), and additive nuclear by cytoplasmic effects (αγ). Epistatic nuclear effects (αα) were included in the best models for matings of CI 9170 with PI 317757 and PI 317982. No model fit the generation means from the mating of CI 9170 x PI 217512. Sixty-six percent of the lack of fit was due to the deviation of the
Figure 2. Mean grain yields (●,○) and standard deviations (|) of parental and backcross populations for crosses of CI 9170 mated reciprocally to four *A. sterilis* accessions.
Table 2. Estimates and standard deviations of genetic parameters from the best fitting model for generation means from reciprocal crosses of CI 9170 mated with four A. sterilis accessions; where best model is defined as one with the lowest significant $\chi^2$ statistic (PI 217512) or as one with significant estimates of parameters among models with nonsignificant $\chi^2$ statistics (PI 317757, PI 317982, and PI 324725)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. sterilis</th>
<th>$\mu$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$\gamma$</th>
<th>$\alpha\gamma$</th>
<th>$\alpha\alpha$</th>
<th>$\alpha\alpha\gamma$</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PI 317757</td>
<td>2232 ± 70</td>
<td>514 ± 176</td>
<td>157 ± 87</td>
<td>-683 ± 166</td>
<td>888 ± 230</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI 317982</td>
<td>2604 ± 64</td>
<td>740 ± 105</td>
<td>-261 ± 79</td>
<td>347 ± 151</td>
<td>-308 ± 196</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI 324725</td>
<td>2010 ± 44</td>
<td>1039 ± 69</td>
<td>-232 ± 44</td>
<td>248 ± 69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI 217512</td>
<td>2101 ± 69</td>
<td>719 ± 225</td>
<td>-361 ± 69</td>
<td>-122 ± 225</td>
<td>89 ± 208</td>
<td>692 ± 208</td>
<td>19.35**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
observed mean from the predicted value for the BC₁ isopopulation with A. sterilis cytoplasm (Figure 2); i.e., the BC₁ was a "peak" or "optional" backcross generation.

The predicted grain yields for the BC₃, BC₄, and BC₆ in A. sterilis cytoplasm were calculated for the three matings with means that were described by the models (Table 3). The predicted grain yield of the NC-hybrid (BC₆) derived from PI 317757 x CI 9170 was the greatest of the three. The predicted grain yields for the BC₃ and BC₄ of this mating was 3802 kg/ha and 3977 kg/ha, respectively. Empirical grain yields of the BC₃ and BC₄ populations were obtained by backcrossing the four highest yielding F₂-derived lines from the BC₂ of this mating to CI 9170. Grain yields averaged over 12 environments are shown in Figure 3. Further backcrossing of these F₂-derived lines gave BC₃ and BC₄ populations with very different grain yields than those predicted for these isopopulations. Whereas the predicted grain yield for BC₃ and BC₄ were greater than the BC₂, the actual yields showed a rather sharp negative regression toward CI 9170. If the performance of the BC₃ and BC₄ bulk populations from the four superior BC₂F₂ lines is indicative of the performance to expect from backcross bulk populations in general, then the BC₂ would be the optimal backcross generation for the mating.
Table 3. Predicted grain yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) and predicted NC-heterosis (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) of the BC\(_3\), BC\(_4\), and BC\(_\infty\) (NC-hybrid) from matings of CI 9170 with three *A. sterilis* accessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. sterilis accession</th>
<th>(\hat{BC}_3)</th>
<th>(\hat{BC}_4)</th>
<th>(\hat{BC}_\infty)</th>
<th>Predicted NC-heterosis(^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PI 317757</td>
<td>3802</td>
<td>3977</td>
<td>4160</td>
<td>1052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI 317982</td>
<td>2973</td>
<td>2962</td>
<td>2950</td>
<td>-172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI 324725</td>
<td>2934</td>
<td>2983</td>
<td>3033</td>
<td>-32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Predicted NC-heterosis = Predicted (BC\(_\infty\)(st)) - Predicted CI 9170.
Figure 3. Mean grain yield of four BC\(_2\)F\(_2\)-derived lines, their associated BC\(_3\) and BC\(_4\) bulk populations, and the recurrent parent CI 9170 evaluated in three years at four locations
DISCUSSION

Research on male sterile cytoplasms of corn and sorghum indicate nuclear-cytoplasmic interactions may influence hybrid vigor (Atkins and Kern, 1972; Ross and Kofoid, 1979; Russell and Marquez-Sanchez, 1966). Kihara (1980) found NC-heterosis in NC-hybrids of wheat, and Robertson (1980) showed NC-heterosis could occur in any backcross generation of oats. These studies also showed that NC-heterosis is not a general phenomenon.

Robertson and Frey (1984) suggested that superior grain yield of backcross generations in A. sterilis cytoplasms could be due to cytoplasms per se, an interaction of A. sativa nuclear genes with A. sterilis cytoplasms, an interaction of A. sterilis nuclear genes with A. sterilis cytoplasms, and/or the second order interaction of A. sterilis and A. sativa nuclear genes with A. sterilis cytoplasms. The Mather and Jinks models which fitted the generation means for three of four matings showed that the second order interactions were not significant. The models used combined A. sterilis nuclear by cytoplasmic interaction effects with A. sativa nuclear by cytoplasmic interaction effects into a single parameter (αγ), which describes the net effect of all nuclear by cytoplasmic interactions. Estimates of (αγ) were significant in all mating systems.

No model could adequately describe the inheritance responsible for the generation means for the matings of PI 217512 and CI 9170. Recall that the BC₁ population in the A. sterilis cytoplasm from these parents deviated from that predicted by a fully parameterized model. Failure to
describe the generation means from these parents shows that the Mather and Jinks models of net effects may not accurately describe the underlying genetic effects. One possible reason is that the interaction, αγ, lumps the effects of *A. sativa* nuclear by cytoplasm and *A. sterilis* nuclear by cytoplasm interactions. Because cytoplasmic DNA constitutes only 2% of the total plant genome (Beale and Knowles, 1978), perhaps the beneficial nuclear-cytoplasmic interaction effect may involve as little as 2% of the nuclear genome. Therefore, under random assortment of the nuclear genome, there is a nonzero probability that beneficial *A. sterilis* nuclear by *A. sterilis* cytoplasmic interaction effects would remain through several backcrosses to the *A. sativa* parent. There is also a nonzero probability that beneficial interactions could be eliminated in one or two backcross, which could explain the situation in the second backcross generation of the mating PI 217512 x CI 9170.

Models that accurately describe the inheritance patterns in reciprocal crosses are needed for correct estimation of generation means and for making accurate predictions of means from anticipated matings. Since the genetic models did not describe generation means for all mating pairs, it is not surprising that the model that best described generation means from PI 317757 x CI 9170 did not predict the observed trend in BC$_3$ and BC$_4$ bulk populations of the mating. However, one should be careful in drawing inferences about average grain yield from the BC$_3$ and BC$_4$ isopopulations of the mating PI 317757 x CI 9170 because the BC$_3$ and BC$_4$ bulk populations were obtained from selected BC$_2$F$_2$ lines. In stating that the BC$_2$ of this cross is an optimal backcross generation,
I have assumed that all BC$_2$F$_2$ lines will produce BC$_3$ and BC$_4$ bulk populations with yields similar to the four best BC$_2$F$_2$ lines. Given that the models did not describe generation means that exhibit a peak generation, the models may not describe generation means that include advanced backcross generations from the cross PI 317757 x CI 9170. Perhaps an alternative model which does not combine nuclear-cytoplasmic generations into a single parameter would be more flexible and this should be investigated for ability to describe mating systems with backcross generations that exhibit peaks.

Mean yields of backcross generations from PI 217512 x CI 9170 and PI 317757 x CI 9170 also show that NC-heterosis can occur in any backcross generation. The concept of an optimal number of backcross generations for matings involving an unadapted nuclear genome has been studied theoretically by Bailey (1977) and Dudley (1982). Lawrence (1974), using empirical data, showed that the BC$_2$ to BC$_4$ were the optimal generations for deriving superior lines from A. sativa by A. sterilis matings. However, none of these studies have considered reciprocal cytoplasmic effects. Given an assumption of Mendelism for nuclear genes, no model that is dependent upon data-based estimates of its genetic parameters can predict the optimal backcross generation for a specific mating when nuclear-cytoplasmic interactions are important.
CONCLUSIONS

The genetic models of Mather and Jinks (1982) showed the existence of significant genetic parameters that describe reciprocal generation means found by Robertson (1980). However, the genetic models were unable to describe the generation means where the $BC_1$ exhibited a performance that was higher than the $BC_0$ and $BC_2$. This occurs because the models used herein combine all nuclear-cytoplasmic interactions into a single parameter of net effects. An alternative model which does not combine all nuclear-cytoplasmic effects into a single parameter may be flexible enough to describe generation means when a mating system has an intermediate backcross generation that shows peak performance. Further, no model that depends upon data-based estimates of parameters can predict the optimal backcross generation for a specific mating.
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SECTION II. A THEORETICAL MODEL FOR QUANTITATIVELY INHERITED
TRAITS INFLUENCED BY NUCLEAR–CYTOPLASMIC
INTERACTIONS
ABSTRACT

Cytoplasmic inheritance is distinct from other types of non-mendelian inheritance. Cytoplasms of crop species affect quantitatively inherited traits that are measurable end products of photosynthesis and respiration, e.g., biomass and grain yield. Numerous enzymes involved in regulating photosynthesis and respiration are encoded by both cytoplasmic and nuclear genes.

The cytoplasm contains two organellar genomes: the chloroplast genome and the mitochondrial genome. Unlike the nuclear genome, the cytoplasmic genomes consist of single, circular, double stranded molecules of DNA. In many crop species, the cytoplasmic genomes are transmitted solely through the maternal parent and exhibit little variability within maternal lines of descent. These biological features were used to genetically model the genotypic value of an individual. Genetic variances and covariances were derived for a random mating population. However, clear estimates of some variance components cannot be obtained through the use of reciprocal mating designs if cytoplasms are inherited solely through the maternal parent.

Keywords: cytoplasmic genome, extranuclear inheritance, reciprocal mating designs
INTRODUCTION

Caspari (1948) classified the different types of nonmendelian inheritance as maternal inheritance, dauermutation, and cytoplasmic inheritance. The phenomenon of maternal inheritance led to the development of a quantitative theory for traits influenced by maternal effects in animals by Willham (1963). Just as maternal inheritance led to the concept of maternal effects, so too has cytoplasmic inheritance led to the concept of cytoplasmic effects. Evidence for cytoplasmic effects on quantitative traits of plants has been adequate since Kihara (1951) developed alloplasmic wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) lines. The effects of cytoplasms involve both direct influence and interactions of cytoplasms with nuclear effects (Ashri, 1964; Duvick, 1958; Robertson and Frey, 1984). Hermes (1968) suggested that every nuclear effect has a cytoplasmic component.

Many quantitative traits rely on metabolic pathways that are controlled by both nuclear and cytoplasmic genes (Beale and Knowles, 1978). For example, vegetative biomass is a measure of CO$_2$ assimilation which is regulated by photosynthesis and respiration. Both processes are jointly controlled by nuclear and cytoplasmic genes (Iwanaga et al., 1978). Most organelle enzymes are constructed from polypeptides encoded in both the organelle and nuclear genomes (Borst et al., 1983). For example, ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase, an enzyme responsible for carbon fixation in bundle sheath cells of maize (Zea mays L.), consists of eight copies of two nonidentical subunits. The larger subunit
is coded by chloroplast DNA (Bowman et al., 1981) and the smaller one is encoded in the nucleus (Highfield and Ellis, 1978; Cashmore et al., 1978) by a multigenic family (Dunsmuir et al., 1983).

To date, a theory for quantitative traits influenced by cytoplasmic effects has not been developed; however, with certain assumptions, models for maternal or reciprocal effects (Mather and Jinks, 1982) can describe cytoplasmic effects. For example, Beavis and Frey (1985) attempted to describe the nuclear and cytoplasmic effects on grain yield means of backcross populations from reciprocal matings by using the models of Mather and Jinks (1982). Their results indicated, however, that the models do not always fit the data. They suggested that a model based upon biological phenomena might better describe the underlying genetic effects of cytoplasms for quantitative traits.

Most higher plant species exhibit uniparental inheritance of cytoplasmic organelles through the maternal parent, although exceptions occur in Secale, Solanum, and Oenothera (Gillham, 1978). Developments in biotechnology have allowed molecular geneticists to confirm the inheritance of organellar DNA. Electrophoretic patterns of endonucleic restriction digests of chloroplast and mitochondrial DNA have been used to describe the inheritance of organelle DNA in numerous plant species (Conde et al., 1979; Hatfield et al., 1985; Sisson et al., 1978; Tsunewaki and Oghihara, 1983; Vedel et al., 1976). Although there are numerous mechanisms responsible for uniparental inheritance (Sears, 1980), the important feature is that the cytoplasmic genome from the paternal parent is eliminated from the zygote.
This manuscript proposes a quantitative genetic model for traits influenced by cytoplasmic genes which exhibit strict maternal inheritance, develops variance/covariance components for a random mating population, and considers the use of a reciprocal mating design for the estimation of the components.
ASSUMPTIONS

Cytoplasmic Genome

The cytoplasmic genome consists primarily of DNA in the chloroplasts (cpDNA) and mitochondria (mtDNA) (Beale and Knowles, 1978). The number of chloroplasts and mitochondria per cell is variable and depends upon the differentiated state of the cell (Butterfass, 1979). Organelles in both biparental and maternal inherited cytoplasms tend toward a homogenous state within the cell (Michael, 1978; Sears, 1980) and, unlike chromosomes, replication and transmission of organelles are independent of nuclear control (Birky, 1983). Michaelis (1958) proposed that the partitioning of organelles during mitosis is stochastic; i.e., there is a positive probability that daughter cells will not receive equal quantities of organelles. Birky (1983) also indicated that the uniparental inheritance perpetuates the homoplasmic condition within maternal lines of descent. Thus, it can be assumed that plant species exhibiting uniparental inheritance of the cytoplasm consist of individuals with cells that contain genetically homogenous chloroplast and mitochondrial populations.

Each chloroplast contains from 10 to 60 complete copies of its genome (Grun, 1976), and each copy consists of a single, circular, double-stranded DNA molecule (Gillham, 1978). The structure of the mitochondrial genome is unknown, but Kolodner and Tewari (1972), working on mtDNA from pea (Pisum sativum L.) leaves, indicated that the mitochondrial genome also consists of single, circular, double-stranded molecules.
of DNA. However, according to Leaver and Gray (1982) and authors cited therein, maize (*Zea mays* L.) mitochondria contain circular and linear DNA of various sizes. Also, electrophoretic patterns of restriction endonuclease digests of mtDNA from a single sample show heterogeneity in many plant species. Leaver and Gray (1982) suggest that the heterogeneous pieces of mtDNA may be a manifestation of a genome organized into different sized "chromosomes." An alternative hypothesis currently supported by most investigators (Leaver et al., 1983; Levings, 1983; Lonsdale et al., 1983) is that the genome exists as a single, large, circular, duplex molecule of DNA from which smaller molecules arise. Given this hypothesis, the structure of the cytoplasmic genome can be viewed as two populations of polyploid organelles where the basic chromosome number is one. If the polyploid organelles are homozygous for all loci, then they are effectively haploid and all genes may be considered linked.

In summary, I assumed that the cytoplasm is maternally inherited, consists of two homogenous populations of polyploid (x=1) organelles, each of which is homozygous, and that cytoplasmic genes appear completely linked.

Population Model

Consider a hypothetical metric trait possessed by diploid individuals in an infinite random mating population. Assume that diverse cytoplasms exist within the population, but not within maternal lines of descent, and assume, at least temporarily, no environmental influence.
Let the genotypic value be under the control of two genetic loci: one located in the nuclear genome and the other in the cytoplasmic genome. Because the cytoplasmic genome of plant species consists of two distinct genomes, arbitrarily assign the cytoplasmic locus to either the chloroplast or mitochondrial genome. At each locus, it is possible to have an arbitrary number of alleles. Denote the nuclear alleles, $A_1$, $A_2$, $A_3$, ..., $A_k$ and the cytoplasmic alleles $C_1$, $C_2$, $C_3$, ..., $C_m$. Each of these alleles have arbitrary allelic frequencies in the population of $p_1$, $p_2$, ..., $p_k$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i = 1$ for the nuclear genes and $q_1$, $q_2$, ..., $q_m$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{m} q_j = 1$ for the cytoplasmic genes. The genotype representing the nuclear locus of an individual in the population may be denoted $A_iA_j$. A genotype representing the cytoplasmic genome for the same individual may be denoted $C_t$. Thus, the genotype of an individual may be fully expressed as $A_iA_jC_t$. With Mendelian inheritance of the nuclear genes and strict maternal inheritance of the cytoplasmic genes, the probability of selecting $A_iA_jC_t$ is $p_ip_jq_t$. Following the algebra of Kempthorne (1957), the genotypic value of this individual may be denoted $Y_{ijt}$ and can be modelled as

$$Y_{ijt} = \mu + \alpha_i^R + \gamma_j^R + \xi_{ij}^R + (\alpha_i^C \gamma_j^C)_i + (\alpha_i^R \gamma_j^C)_j + (\xi_{ij}^R \gamma_j^C)_{ijt}. \quad (1)$$

In (1), $\mu$ is the population mean and is calculated as the sum of the product of gene frequencies by genotypic values; i.e.,

$$\mu = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{t} p_ip_jq_t Y_{ijt}$$
\( \alpha_i^n \) and \( \alpha_j^n \) are the additive effects of alleles \( i \) and \( j \) at the nuclear locus and are calculated as average deviations from the population mean; i.e.,

\[
\alpha_i^n = \sum_j \sum_t p_j q_t Y_{ijt} - \mu, \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
\alpha_j^n = \sum_i \sum_t p_i q_t Y_{ijt} - \mu.
\]

\( \gamma_t^c \) is the additive effect of allele \( t \) at the cytoplasmic locus; i.e.,

\[
\gamma_t^c = \sum_i \sum_j p_i p_j Y_{ijt} - \mu.
\]

\( \delta_{ij}^n \) is the dominance deviation attributed to the nuclear locus and is calculated as an average deviation from the population mean after it is adjusted for additive effects from the nuclear locus; i.e.,

\[
\delta_{ij}^n = \sum_t q_t Y_{ijt} - (\mu + \alpha_i^n + \alpha_j^n).
\]

Due to the assumption of a homozygous organelle, no dominance deviation needs to be defined for the cytoplasmic locus. The three nuclear-cytoplasmic interaction effects can be defined in a manner similar to the nuclear dominance effects; i.e.,

\[
(a^n \gamma_c^c)_{it} = \sum_j p_j Y_{ijt} - (\mu + a_i^n + \gamma_t^c),
\]

\[
(a^n \gamma_c^c)_{jt} = \sum_i p_i Y_{ijt} - (\mu + a_j^n - \gamma_t^c), \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
(\delta^n \gamma_c^c)_{ijt} = Y_{ijt} - (\mu + a_i^n + a_j^n + \gamma_t^c + \delta_{ij}^n + (a^n \gamma_c^c)_{it} + (a^n \gamma_c^c)_{jt}).
\]
Some important algebraic properties resulting from these definitions are:

1. \( \sum_{i} p_{i} \alpha_{i}^{n} = \sum_{t} q_{t} \gamma_{t}^{c} = 0 \),

2. \( \sum_{i} \sum_{j} p_{i} p_{j} \delta_{ij}^{n} = 0 \),

3. \( \sum_{i} \sum_{t} p_{i} q_{t} (\alpha^{n}_{c})_{i,t} = 0 \),

4. \( \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{t} p_{i} p_{j} q_{t} (\delta^{n}_{c})_{i,t} = 0 \).
RESULTS

Variances

By utilizing the properties developed in the assumptions section, the genotypic variance for a random mating population can be partitioned into independent components:

\[ \sigma^2 = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{t} p_i p_j q_t (y_{ijt} - \bar{y})^2 \]

\[ = 2 \sum_{i} p_i (\alpha_i^a)^2 + \sum_{t} q_t (\gamma_t^c)^2 + \sum_{i} \sum_{j} p_i p_j (\delta_{ij}^c)^2 + 2 \sum_{i} \sum_{t} p_i q_t (\alpha_i \gamma_t^c)^2 \]

\[ + \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{t} p_i p_j q_t (\delta_{ij}^c)^2 \]

Notice that two terms describe variability from the additive effects at the nuclear locus and one term describes the variability attributable to additive effects at the cytoplasmic locus. One term describes variability derived from effects of dominance deviations at the nuclear locus, but no analogous term is associated with the cytoplasmic locus. Also, the epistatic components consist of three terms, two associated with interlocus variability attributed to additive by additive effects and one associated with the interlocus variability attributable to the additive by dominance effects. The result is similar to the more general two locus model with arbitrary epistasis and no linkage (Kempthorne, 1957) and may be written as:

\[ \sigma^2 = \sigma^2_A + \sigma^2_A + \sigma^2_D + (A_1 A_2)_{nc} + (DA)_{nc} \]
Consider a case of two unlinked nuclear loci with arbitrary epistasis and one cytoplasmic locus. Again, the algebra of Kempthorne (1957) is utilized to determine the genotypic variance for a random mating population:

\[ \sigma_g^2 = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} \sum_{l} \sum_{t} \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 \right] \left[ \frac{1}{2} \right] p_i p_j p_k p_l q_t (Y_{ijkt} - Y_{\ldots})^2, \]

where the superscript above \( p \) is used to designate a nuclear locus with \( \sum_k p_k = 1 \). Therefore, the algebraic properties which apply to the first nuclear locus also apply to the second, and the decomposed genetic variance may be written as:

\[
\sigma_g^2 = \sigma_{A_{11}}^2 + \sigma_{A_{12}}^2 + \sigma_{A_{22}}^2 + \sigma_{D_{11}}^2 + \sigma_{D_{12}}^2 + \sigma_{D_{22}}^2 + \sigma_{A_{11}A_{12}}^2 + \sigma_{A_{12}A_{22}}^2 + \sigma_{D_{11}D_{12}}^2 + \sigma_{D_{12}D_{22}}^2 + \sigma_{A_{11}D_{12}}^2 + \sigma_{A_{12}D_{22}}^2 + \sigma_{D_{11}A_{12}}^2 + \sigma_{D_{12}A_{22}}^2 + \sigma_{A_{11}A_{22}}^2 + \sigma_{D_{11}D_{22}}^2 + \sigma_{A_{12}A_{22}}^2 + \sigma_{D_{12}D_{22}}^2 + \sigma_{A_{12}A_{22}}^2 + \sigma_{D_{12}D_{22}}^2 + \sigma_{A_{22}A_{22}}^2 + \sigma_{D_{22}D_{22}}^2 + \sigma_{A_{22}D_{22}}^2 + \sigma_{D_{22}A_{22}}^2 \]

Note that the decomposition consists of the same terms derived by Kempthorne (1957) for a two-locus model with arbitrary epistasis; where the subscripts describe the source and the locus (loci) responsible for the effects. For example, \( \sigma_{A_{11}}^2 \) refers to the additive effects from the first nuclear locus and \( \sigma_{A_{12}A_{22}}^2 \) refers to the sum of the additive by dominance epistatic effects from the nuclear loci. The decomposition also includes a term that describes variability at the cytoplasmic locus, \( \sigma_C^2 \), and seven terms that describe variability due to nuclear by
cytoplasmic interactions. For example, $\sigma^2_{(A\bar{A}A)_{n1}n2c}$ describes the variability due to additive by additive nuclear epistasis interacting with cytoplasmic effects.

The model obtained by increasing the number of cytoplasmic loci that affect a trait can be obtained by reconsidering the structure, replication, and inheritance of the cytoplasmic genomes. Recall, we assumed that each copy of organellar DNA is an exact replica of its sisters. Because $x=1$, organellar loci can be considered to be completely linked. Also consider that at least one copy of the chloroplast and mitochondrial genomes will be passed on to all daughter cells. Therefore, the integrity of the cytoplasmic genome will remain constant in a maternally inherited cytoplasm, if no mutations occur (Birky, 1983). Therefore, the results given in (4) apply for two nuclear loci and any number of cytoplasmic loci and can be extended to any number of nuclear loci as well.

Covariances

The algebra of Kempthorne (1957) also can be utilized to calculate the covariance between individuals for a trait influenced by nuclear and cytoplasmic genes. Consider two individuals, X and Y, drawn from an infinite random mating population with genotypes denoted $X = A^jA^t$ and $Y = A^kA^1u$. Setting the population mean equal to zero, the genotypic values for X and Y may be modelled as:
Thus, the Cov(X, Y) is \(E(X_{ijt} Y_{klu})\) which equals

\[
E[(\alpha^i + \alpha^j + \gamma^c + \delta^i) (\alpha^k + \alpha^l + \gamma^c + \delta^k)] +
\]

\[
E[(\alpha^i)_{it} (\alpha^j)_{jt} ((\alpha^n)_{ku} + (\alpha^c)_{ku})] + E[(\delta^c)_{ijt} (\delta^n)_{klu}].
\]

The four previous algebraic properties and an additional property,

\[
E(\alpha^i Y_{uy}) = 0,
\]

make Cov \((X, Y)\) equal to

\[
(P_{ik} + P_{il} + P_{jk} + P_{jl}) \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2_A + P_{tu} \sigma^2_A + (P_{ik,jk} + P_{il,jk}) \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2_{DA} +
\]

\[
P_{tu} \cdot (P_{ik,jl} + P_{il,jk}) \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2_{(AA)_c} +
\]

\[
P_{tu} \cdot (P_{ik,jl} + P_{il,jk}) \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2_{(DA)_c},
\]

where \(P_{ik}\) is the probability that \(A_i\) is identical by descent to \(A_k\), and

\(P_{ik,jl}\) is the joint probability that \(A_i\) is identical by descent to \(A_k\) and \(A_j\) is identical by descent to \(A_l\). Notice that \(P_{tu}\) is the probability that \(X\) and \(Y\) have the same cytoplasmic genes by descent. In species that exhibit strict maternal inheritance of cytoplasmic genes,

\[
P(C_t = C_u | X \text{ and } Y \text{ are full sibs}) = P(C_t = C_u | X \text{ and } Y \text{ are maternal half sibs}) = 1,
\]

and

\[
P(C_t = C_u | X \text{ and } Y \text{ are reciprocal full sibs}) = P(C_t = C_u | X \text{ and } Y \text{ are paternal half sibs}) = .
\]
By utilizing Malecot's coefficient of parentage \((r_{xy})\), Kempthorne's

U_{xy} to denote \((p_{1k, j} + p_{1j, k})\), and C_{xy} to denote \(p_{tu}\),

\[
\text{Cov}(X,Y) = 2r_{xy} \sigma_x^2 A_n + C_{xy} \sigma_x^2 A_c + U_{xy} \sigma_y^2 D_n + 2r_{xy} C_{xy} \sigma_y^2 (AA)_{nc}
\]

\[
+ U_{xy} C_{xy} \sigma_y^2 (DA)_{nc}.
\]

(5)

The model may be extended to any number of nuclear and cytoplasmic loci. Again, by making use of Kempthorne's results, and assuming that all cytoplasmic loci are completely linked and that strict maternal inheritances of cytoplasms occurs, Cov(X,Y) is given by

\[
\sum \sum \frac{(2r_{xy})^n(U_{xy})^n_2 \sigma_y^2 A_n}{n_2 n_2} + C_{xy} \sigma_y^2 A_c
\]

\[
C_{xy} \sum \sum \frac{(2r_{xy})^n(U_{xy})^n_1 \sigma_y^2 (AD)}{n_1 n_2 A_c}
\]

where \(n_1\) is the total number of nuclear loci involved in the interaction of additive effects and \(n_2\) is the total number of nuclear loci involved in the interaction of dominance effects.

Estimation of Parameters

Under certain assumptions, model (1) provides biological interpretations for parameters generated from statistical analyses of reciprocal crosses. Yates (1947) was the first to analyze data from a complete set of reciprocal crosses. Griffing (1956) considered such an analysis as one of four possible diallel methods. Cockerham (1963) related variance components of reciprocal crosses generated by the complete
diallel and North Carolina Design II with reciprocals of Comstock and Robinson (1948) but presented no biological interpretation for reciprocal or maternal effects. Cockerham and Weir (1977) considered the relationships among three models used to describe reciprocal effects generated by quadratic analyses. One of the models, a "bio model," considers nuclear and extranuclear effects from both parents.

My model (1) appears to be a special case of the "bio model" which, in the notation of Cockerham and Weir (1977), is

\[ G_{ij} = n_i + n_j + t_{ij} + m_{ij} + p_{ij} + k_{ij}. \]  

\( G_{ij} \) is the coded genotypic mean of effects attributable to maternal parent i and paternal parent j; where \( n \) and \( t \) refer to nuclear effects, and \( m, p, \) and \( k \) refer to simple and epistatic extranuclear effects. The relationship between our model (1) and model (c) of Cockerham and Weir (1977) can be shown as follows. If a maternal parent, \( A_iA_iC_t \), and a paternal parent, \( A_jA_jC_t \), are mated, the array of full sib offspring from the mating is

\[ \frac{1}{4}[A_iA_iC_t + A_jA_jC_t + A_iA_jC_t + A_jA_iC_t]. \] 

Thus, if Cockerham and Weir's (i) is replaced by (ijt) and (j) is replaced by (vwu), the coded genotypic mean, \( G_{ij} \), becomes

\[
G_{(ijt),(vwu)} = n_{ij} + n_{vw} + t_{ij,vw} + m_{ij,vw} + p_{vwu} + k_{(ijt)(vwu)}
\]

\[ = (\frac{1}{4}(a^n_i + a^n_j)) + (\frac{1}{4}(a^n_v + a^n_w)) + (\frac{1}{4}(\delta^n_{iv} + \delta^n_{iw})) + (\gamma^c_t + (a^n_{ic})_{jt}) + (\frac{1}{4}(a^n_{ic})_{vt}) + (\frac{1}{4}(\delta^n_{ic})_{ivt}) \]
By (7), \( A_i A_j C_u, A_i A_j C_w, A_j A_i C_u, \) and \( A_j A_i C_w \) do not exist. Therefore, the components of variance are

\[
\sigma_n^2 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} p_i p_j \left( \alpha_i + \alpha_j \right)^2 = 4 \sigma_n^2,
\]

\[
\sigma_t^2 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} p_i p_j \left( \delta_{ij}^n \right)^2 = 4 \sigma_n^2,
\]

\[
\sigma_m^2 = \sum_{i,t} q_t \left( \gamma_i^c \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,t} p_i q_t \left( \alpha_i^c \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,t} p_i q_t \left( \alpha_i^c \right)^2
\]

\[
= 4 \sigma_n^2 + 4 \sigma_t^2.
\]

\[
\sigma_p^2 = 0,
\]

\[
\sigma_k^2 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,t} p_i q_t \left( \alpha_i^c \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j,t} p_j q_t \left( \alpha_j^c \right)^2
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j,t} p_i p_j q_t \left( \delta_{ij}^n \right)^2 = 4 \sigma_n^2 + 4 \sigma_t^2 + 4 \sigma_k^2.
\]

Covariances for specific relationships investigated by Cockerham and Weir (1977) are determined for model (1) using the general formula for covariances, (5) and the coefficients of Table 1. Results of covariances for Cockerham and Weir's model (c) and our model (1) are summarized in Table 2. The analysis of variance table for a reciprocal mating design (Table 3 from Cockerham and Weir) can be recast in our notation (Table 3). It is obvious that model (1) will only produce estimates of genetic variance components for

\[
\sigma_n^2, \sigma_t^2, \sigma_m^2, \sigma_k^2, \text{ and } \sigma_p^2, \sigma(DD)_{nc}.
\]

from a reciprocal mating design.
Table 1. Values of Malecot's coefficient of parentage $r_{xy}$, Kempthorne's $U_{xy}$, and the cytoplasmic coefficient $C_{xy}$ for relationships obtained from reciprocal mating designs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relationship</th>
<th>Cockerham-Weir notation</th>
<th>$2r_{xy}$</th>
<th>$U_{xy}$</th>
<th>$C_{xy}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>full sibs</td>
<td>$C_f$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reciprocal full sibs</td>
<td>$C_{rf}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maternal half sibs</td>
<td>$C_m$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>paternal half sibs</td>
<td>$C_p$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reciprocal half sibs</td>
<td>$C_r$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a*Cockerham and Weir (1977)*.
Table 2. Covariances of related individuals obtained from a reciprocal mating design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relationship</th>
<th>Covariance</th>
<th>Model (1)</th>
<th>Model (c)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_f$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2_{A} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2_{D} + \sigma^2_{E} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2_{A} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2_{D_{nc}} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2_{A_{nc}}$</td>
<td>$\sigma^2_{M} + \sigma^2_{P} + \sigma^2_{MP}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{rf}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2_{A} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2_{D}$</td>
<td>$2\sigma^2_{n} + \sigma^2_{t}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_m$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2_{A} + \sigma^2_{A} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2_{A_{nc}}$</td>
<td>$\sigma^2_{M}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_p$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2_{A}$</td>
<td>$\sigma^2_{P}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_r$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2_{A}$</td>
<td>$\sigma^2_{n}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Notation of Cockerham and Weir (1977).
Table 3. Expected mean squares for indicated sources of variability in an analysis of variance of offspring from a reciprocal mating design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Expected mean squares Model (1)</th>
<th>Model (c)^a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>2(N-1)</td>
<td>$\sigma^2 + \frac{U}{4}(\sigma^2_A) + \frac{U}{2}\sigma^2_D + \frac{UN}{2}(\sigma^2_A + l\sigma^2_{A\text{nc}})$</td>
<td>$\sigma^2 + U\sigma^2_k + 2U\sigma^2_t + \frac{UN}{2}(\sigma^2_m + \sigma^2_p)$ + $2UN\sigma^2_n$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific</td>
<td>(N-1)^2</td>
<td>$\sigma^2 + \frac{U}{4}(\sigma^2_A) + \frac{U}{2}\sigma^2_D$</td>
<td>$\sigma^2 + U\sigma^2_k + 2U\sigma^2_t$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reciprocal</td>
<td>2(N-1)</td>
<td>$\sigma^2 + \frac{U}{4}(\sigma^2_{AA} + \sigma^2_{DA} + \sigma^2_{(AA)\text{nc}} + \frac{UN}{2}(\sigma^2_A + l\sigma^2_{(AA)\text{nc}})$</td>
<td>$\sigma^2 + U\sigma^2_k + \frac{UN}{2}(\sigma^2_m + \sigma^2_p)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>(N-1)^2</td>
<td>$\sigma^2 + \frac{U}{4}(\sigma^2_{AA}) + \frac{U}{2}\sigma^2_{DA}$</td>
<td>$\sigma^2 + U\sigma^2_k$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific</td>
<td>2N^2(U-1)</td>
<td>$\sigma^2$</td>
<td>$\sigma^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>2N^2(U-1)</td>
<td>$\sigma^2$</td>
<td>$\sigma^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2N^2U-2</td>
<td>$\sigma^2$</td>
<td>$\sigma^2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^aIn the notation of Cockerham and Weir (1977).
DISCUSSION

A quantitative genetic model was developed for traits influenced by cytoplasmic genes which are strictly maternally inherited based upon biological features of the cytoplasmic genome. Application of the model will depend, in part, upon the biological validity of the assumptions. For cytoplasms that are maternally inherited, it was assumed that each organellar genome is homoplasmic, that each organelle was polyploid with a basic chromosome number of one \((x=1)\), and that each organelle was homozygous at all loci.

There is abundant evidence that both the chloroplasts and mitochondria of a cell tend toward a homoplasmic state; i.e., that a population of chloroplasts or mitochondria within each cell tends to be homogenous (Birky, 1983). This tendency is best shown in species which exhibit bi-parental cytoplasmic inheritance but also has been shown to occur in studies on protoplast fusion (Izhar, 1980) and cytoplasm-protoplast fusion (Maliga et al., 1982). Work on cytoplasm fusion among Nicotiana spp. (Maliga et al., 1982; Cseplo and Maliga, 1984) indicated that heterogenous populations could be maintained through plant regeneration and a generation of seed production. Nevertheless, the tendency toward a homoplasmic condition was evident. Indeed, taxonomic studies on cytoplasmic genomes which utilize electrophoretic patterns of endonuclease restricted cpDNA and/or mtDNA rely on the assumption that the organellar genomes are homogenous within maternal lines of descent. Thus, it is
not likely that a heteroplasmic condition needs to be considered in a genetic model for maternally inherited cytoplasmic effects.

As noted in the introduction, the structure of the genome in both the chloroplast and mitochondrion is hypothesized to consist of many double stranded, circular molecules of DNA. Each molecule is thought to code for the same genes. Thus, I assumed that each chloroplast and mitochondrion is a polyploid \((x=1)\) organelle. There is a possibility that the mitochondrial genome contains more than one "chromosome" (Leaver and Gray, 1982). If this alternative structure is true, then the possibilities of interorganellar recombination and segregation would have to be investigated. To date, fusion between organelles has not been observed (Birky, 1983). Of course, the impact of inter-organellar recombination and segregation on the model is negligible given that the cytoplasm consists of homogenous organelles that are homozygous. If recombination events occur between heterozygous organelles, then it is unlikely that the integrity of the cytoplasm will be maintained under the relaxed controls of replication and segregation described by Birky (1983), and none of my assumptions about the cytoplasm would hold.

To my knowledge, the heterozygosity of organelles has not been tested, and the technology needed to investigate intra-organellar heterozygosity may not exist. A heterozygous, homoplasmic, cellular condition of the mitochondrial genome might explain observed heterogenous restriction endonuclease digests of mtDNA (Leaver and Gray, 1982). If the organelles are heterozygous, then the cytoplasmic effect \((\gamma)\)
may be a composite of additive, dominance, and interactions of additive and dominance, genetic effects. Nuclear by cytoplasmic interaction effects in (1) also would be more complex. However, if the integrity of the cytoplasm is maintained, i.e., a homoplasmic condition is maintained, from one generation to the next, then the individual component effects of a heterozygous cytoplasm would not be detected and the model could still be applied.

My population assumptions are the usual ones for a diploid species except that I also assumed that multiple cytoplasmic alleles existed in the random mating population. Theoretical models describing the origination, evolution, and equilibrium conditions of cytoplasmic gynodioecy (male sterility) have been proposed (Charlesworth and Ganders, 1979; Clark, 1984; Gregorius and Ross, 1984; Ross and Gregorius, 1985). All of these studies were developed on simple models with two cytoplasm types (e.g., male sterile and male fertile) and can be generalized to cover multiple cytoplasmic types. Of course, under the condition of complete linkage, multiple alleles are equivalent to multiple cytoplasms. In addition, cytoplasmic variability in the form of cytoplasmic gynodioecy has been observed in natural random mating populations (Ganders, 1978) and is well-utilized in plant species that are economically important (Duvick, 1965; Quinby, 1970). Therefore, the assumption of multiple cytoplasmic alleles is valid and the resulting model can be applied to some random mating populations.

In order to apply model (1) to populations derived from reciprocal matings, it must be assumed that the only source of extranuclear effects
are cytoplasmic. For most plant breeding experiments where careful field husbandry is practiced, maternal effects will be minimized. However, for those species where a triploid endosperm affects growth and development of the seedling, reciprocal effects cannot be ascribed solely to the cytoplasm. Even in the absence of endosperm effects, the use of a reciprocal mating design will not provide unique estimates of the cytoplasmic variance components for species that experience uniparental maternal inheritance of cytoplasms. Our results indicate that the estimate of general reciprocal effects will be an amalgam of cytoplasmic variability and additive nuclear by cytoplasmic variability. The reason is revealed in equation (8). Cockerham and Weir's (1977) extranuclear maternal effects include cytoplasmic effects and additive nuclear by cytoplasmic interaction effects from the maternal parent. From a biological perspective, it is not possible to separate cytoplasmic effects from additive nuclear by cytoplasmic interactions because both are inherited as a unit in species that exhibit strict maternal inheritance of the cytoplasm. There is little biological evidence for pure cytoplasmic effects; products of cytoplasmic genes combine with the products of nuclear genes to produce functional enzymes. Also from a plant breeding perspective, confounding $\sigma^2_{AC}$ with $\sigma^2_{ANC}$ will have little impact on estimates of heritability or genetic gain.
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SECTION III. THREE METHODS FOR DETERMINING THE MINIMUM NUMBER OF SEGREGATING LINES NEEDED TO DETECT SIGNIFICANT VARIABILITY AMONG CYTOPLASMIC ISOPOPULATIONS OF OATS
INTRODUCTION

Plant breeders who conduct research on germplasm introgression have an interest in identifying superior populations from wide crosses. Superior populations are defined as those that exhibit above average performance and/or large genetic variability. Most populations derived from wide crosses perform poorly relative to the adapted germplasm, although exceptional populations occur occasionally. Because exceptional populations are identified infrequently in germplasm introgression studies, the researcher must evaluate as many populations as possible. Identification of superior populations can be accomplished only through field evaluation, which is expensive. Due to the cost of field evaluation, the number of plots available per experiment is restricted. Therefore, in order to evaluate a large number of populations with a fixed number of plots, it is important to use the minimum number of lines needed to represent a population. The minimum sample size of a population depends upon the objective of the researcher. As noted above, criteria may be the mean performance of a population, the variability exhibited by a population, or both.

The small grains research project at Iowa State University has been involved in introgression of Avena sterilis L. genes into cultivated oats (A. sativa L.) since ca. 1970 (Frey, 1986). Robertson and Frey (1984) studied the effects of introgression of both cytoplasmic and nuclear A. sterilis genes and identified one heterotic isopopulation from 10 reciprocal pairs. Robertson (1980) also identified cytoplasmic effects as a significant source of variability in five of 10
pairs of isopopulations. The sample size used by Robertson was 20 $F_2$-derived lines per population. However, Frey (Dept. of Agronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, personal communication) felt that 20 $F_2$-derived lines was too large a sample size. Since future studies involving introgression of nuclear and cytoplasmic genes from A. sterilis are planned, it was felt that an estimate of the minimum sample size to detect significant variability was needed. Therefore, the primary objective of this study was to determine the minimum number of $F_2$ lines per population needed to detect significant variability among populations. The methods used, results obtained, and impact upon related results are presented and discussed herein.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Empirical Data

The minimum number of lines needed to detect significant differences among populations was estimated by three methods. All three utilize empirical data to estimate a minimum sample size. We used grain yield data obtained from 20 BC$_2$ isopopulations created by Robertson (1980) from 10 paired reciprocal crosses involving all possible matings among two Avena sativa L. and five A. sterilis L. parents. Robertson evaluated 20 random F$_2$ lines from each of these populations in hill plots replicated three times at each of two locations in 1979.

The linear model used to describe grain yield was:

\[ Y_{ijkl} = \mu + L_i + R_{ij} + P_k + G_{kl} + (LP)_{ik} + (LG)_{ikl} + e_{ijkl} \]  

where

- $Y_{ijkl}$ = yield of the $l$th $F_2$-derived line from the $k$th population evaluated in the $j$th replicate at the $i$th location;
- $\mu$ = an average effect for the experiment;
- $L_i$ = the effect of the $i$th location;
- $R_{ij}$ = effect of the $j$th replicate within the $i$th location;
- $P_k$ = effect of the $k$th population;
- $G_{kl}$ = effect of the $l$th $F_2$ line within the $k$th population; and
- $e_{ijkl}$ = experimental error of the $l$th $F_2$-derived line from the $k$th population evaluated in the $j$th replicate at the $i$th location.
It should be pointed out that $P_k = C_t + M_u + (CM)_{tu}$; where $C_t$ is the effect of the $t$th cytoplasm, and $M_u$ is the effect of the $u$th pair of parents (mating). The $F_2$-derived lines within populations were obtained randomly. All other identified sources of variability, i.e., populations, cytoplasm, matings, and locations, were considered to be fixed. The analysis of variance for Robertson's data is shown in Table 1. The interaction of cytoplasms with matings were significant, so cytoplasmic differences were investigated for each mating using an "LSD statistic."

The hypothesis of no cytoplasmic differences was rejected ($\alpha = 0.05$) for matings involving five pairs of parents: 'PI 324725' and 'Otee,' 'PI 317982' and 'Otee,' 'PI 317757' and 'Otee,' 'PI 324819' and 'CI 9170,' and 'PI 317757' and CI 9170 (Robertson, 1980).

Method I

This first method for estimating minimal sample size is an intuitive approach. The ratio of $MS_{\text{popn}}$ to $MS_{(\text{lines/popn})}$ provides the $F$ statistic for testing the null hypothesis of no population difference. Consider first $MS_{\text{popn}}$. The data set from Robertson (1980) is balanced and described by a linear model with independent components. Therefore, the estimate of sums of squared deviations due to populations should be independent of the number of lines within populations; i.e., Robertson's estimate of the variability among populations ($\sigma_p^2$ in Table 1) should be a best unbiased estimator. Next, consider the denominator of the $F$ statistic, $MS_{(\text{lines/popn})}$. The maximum sums of squared deviations due
Table 1. Analysis of variance of 20 BC$_2$F$_2$-derived lines from 10 reciprocal crosses of two *Avena sativa* L. and varieties and five *A. sterilis* L. accessions evaluated for grain yield in three replications at two locations in 1979 (adapted from Robertson, 1980)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>$E(\text{MS})^a$</th>
<th>$\bar{\text{MS}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>$\sigma^2$</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rep/location</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>$\sigma^2 + rL_0^2/k/p + rLk\theta^2_p$</td>
<td>690.9**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lines/populations</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>$\sigma^2 + rL_0^2/k/p$</td>
<td>133.6**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location x population</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma^2 + rL_0^2/\frac{k}{p}L_p\theta^2$</td>
<td>171.1**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location x lines/population</td>
<td>380</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma^2 + rL_0^2/k/p$</td>
<td>31.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>1596</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>$\sigma^2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[\theta^2_p = \frac{1}{19} \sum_{k=1}^{20} (p_k - \bar{p})^2/19.\]

... to lines within populations will result if all 20 lines per population are included in the calculation. If one line per population is removed, the sums of squared deviations due to lines within populations will either decrease or be unaffected by its removal. Likewise, the removal of two lines, or three lines, or four lines, ..., or 18 lines will either decrease or have no effect on the sums of squared deviations. If it is assumed that the removal of 1 to 18 lines per population will not affect the sums of squared deviations for populations, then the estimate of \(\bar{\text{MS}}(\text{lines/popn})\) will be maximized for decreasing numbers of lines per
population. The estimated F statistic under these assumptions will be
a function of the form $\frac{mx+k}{mx}$; where $k = \frac{\theta^2}{p}$, $m =$ slope of line, and $x =$ number of lines per population.

Method II

The second method used to estimate the minimum sample size can be
referred to as a Monte Carlo method (McCracken, 1955). In this method,
the analysis of variance was determined on a random sample of lines
from each of Robertson's populations. Sample sizes of five to 10 random
lines were obtained. Each sample size was repeated 10 times using a
unique seed for the random number generator, "Uniform," provided by
SAS (1982). The minimum sample size was determined to be the one in
which the null hypothesis of no population effects was rejected at
$\alpha = 0.05$ for all 10 repeated samples.

Method III

Odeh and Fox (1975) presented a methodology for determining the
minimum sample size based upon the use of the test statistic of a null
hypothesis for a parameter of a general linear model. The methodology
requires that the researcher state the null hypothesis and fix the
probability of committing a type I error. This probability is usually
denoted as $\alpha$. The alternative hypothesis also needs to be stated and
the power function, denoted $\pi$, needs to be fixed. $\pi$ is equal to $1-\beta$;
where $\beta$ is the probability of committing a type II error. A third
quantity known as the noncentrality parameter, denoted $\phi$, also needs to
be estimated from experimental results.
For purposes of this study, \( \alpha \) was set equal to 0.05 and 0.01 and
\( \beta \) was set equal to 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, and 0.2. For the test of no popula-
tion differences, the third parameter, \( \phi \), is equal to

\[
\frac{g \cdot r \cdot \sigma^2 \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sigma^2 + r \cdot \sigma^2_{\text{lines/popn}}}
\]  

(2)

where all variables were estimated from the data supplied by Robertson
(1980) (Table 1).

Evaluation of Estimates

The minimum sample size for each of the three methods was compared
and a mid-range value, denoted \( g_m \), was accepted as an estimate of the
minimum number of lines. The effect of \( g_m \) on the sources of variability
within populations was investigated by repeated sampling of \( g_m \) random
lines per population. The sampling was repeated 10 times. An analysis
of variance for the complete model, (1), was calculated for each
repetition. Estimates from these 10 repeats were used to construct
an LSD statistic for testing cytoplasmic effects in pairs of BC2
isopopulations.
RESULTS

The estimated F statistic for the null hypothesis of no variability among populations calculated by method I is plotted against the number of lines per population in Figure 1. Also plotted are the values of the F statistic for \( \alpha = 0.05 \) and \( \alpha = 0.01 \). Most plant breeders would reject the null hypothesis for values of \( F^c \) which are equal to or above the plot of \( F_{0.05} \). The minimum number of lines needed to arrive at such a conclusion is determined from the intersection of \( F^c \) and \( F_{0.05} \); which for these data is nine lines per population.

Results from method II indicated that the minimum number of lines necessary to reject the null hypothesis (\( \alpha = 0.05 \)) in all 10 sample lines was seven (Table 2). In method III, both \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) were fixed prior to determining the minimum sample size. The results of method III are given in Table 3. As might be expected, small values of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) will produce large (conservative) estimates of the minimum sample size. For example, the minimum sample size needed to detect significant variability with \( \alpha = 0.01 \) among populations is 13 when the alternative hypothesis also is rejected (\( \beta = 0.01 \)). It is apparent that method III provided the widest range of estimates of minimum sample size.

From the range of values provided by the three methods, 10 lines is a moderate estimate of minimum sample size. The impact of \( g_m = 10 \) on Robertson's inferences about sources of population variability are shown in Table 4. Of 10 analyses on random samples of 10 lines per population, six rejected the hypothesis of no variability among
Figure 1. Plots of F statistics vs. number of segregating lines per population from 20 BC2 populations (Robertson, 1980). Fc are the values calculated under assumptions that a 20-line estimate of sums of squares for lines within populations is a maximum, that the estimate of variability among populations is independent of sample size and equal to the value obtained from Robertson's data. F.01 and F.05 are tabulated values (Vogler and Norton, 1957) for V1 = 19 degrees of freedom and V2 = (20 x number of segregating lines - 1) degrees of freedom.
Table 2. Influence of number of segregating lines, \( n \), on number of significant mean squares (\( \alpha = 0.05, 0.01 \)) for the population source of variability from 10 repeated samplings of \( n \) BC\(_2\)F\(_2\) derived lines per population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample size=( n )</th>
<th>Number of significant mean squares (( \alpha=0.05 ))</th>
<th>(( \alpha=0.01 ))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Effect of fixing \( \beta \) and \( \alpha \) on minimum sample size, for \( \hat{\phi} = \sqrt{(0.198)g} \). \( \phi \) was estimated by the function \((gr\hat{\phi}^2 + \sigma^2 + rL\sigma^2_L)^{1/2} \); where \( g \) is number of lines, \( r = 3 \), \( L = 2 \), and the estimate of \( \hat{\phi}^2 + \sigma^2 + rL\sigma^2_L/p \) was 0.66 for Robertson's 20 BC\(_2\) isopopulations (1980)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \beta )</th>
<th>Minimum sample size (( \alpha=0.05 ))</th>
<th>(( \alpha=0.01 ))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Effect of sampling 10 random lines on estimates of mean squares for the cytoplasm, mating, and cytoplasm by mating interaction sources of population variability. $MS_i$ refers to the estimated mean square for the $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ 10 sampling of 10 lines. $MS_R$ refers to the mean square estimated from 20 lines (Robertson, 1980)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variability</th>
<th>$MS_1$</th>
<th>$MS_2$</th>
<th>$MS_3$</th>
<th>$MS_4$</th>
<th>$MS_5$</th>
<th>$MS_6$</th>
<th>$MS_7$</th>
<th>$MS_8$</th>
<th>$MS_9$</th>
<th>$MS_{10}$</th>
<th>$MS_R$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>499.8</td>
<td>427.9</td>
<td>497.0</td>
<td>469.3</td>
<td>421.1</td>
<td>393.4</td>
<td>288.9</td>
<td>576.2</td>
<td>283.7</td>
<td>329.8</td>
<td>690.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytoplasm</td>
<td>122.2</td>
<td>434.4</td>
<td>581.0</td>
<td>1200.0</td>
<td>603.5</td>
<td>855.1</td>
<td>782.5</td>
<td>391.0</td>
<td>779.2</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>1215.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mating</td>
<td>1221.4</td>
<td>481.2</td>
<td>712.2</td>
<td>496.7</td>
<td>318.9</td>
<td>272.0</td>
<td>260.0</td>
<td>697.9</td>
<td>242.8</td>
<td>478.1</td>
<td>751.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytoplasm by Mating</td>
<td>373.4</td>
<td>373.8</td>
<td>273.0</td>
<td>360.8</td>
<td>503.0</td>
<td>463.6</td>
<td>262.9</td>
<td>475.0</td>
<td>269.6</td>
<td>215.9</td>
<td>572.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lines/Populations</td>
<td>140.9</td>
<td>120.8</td>
<td>120.6</td>
<td>143.4</td>
<td>147.9</td>
<td>131.0</td>
<td>129.6</td>
<td>141.5</td>
<td>116.6</td>
<td>131.6</td>
<td>133.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cytoplasms, 10 rejected the hypothesis of no variability among matings, and nine rejected the null hypothesis of no variability in cytoplasm by mating interactions at $\alpha = 0.05$. Thus, in only six of these random samples of $g_m = 10$ would the results of Robertson be repeated.

Recall that the interaction effects of cytoplasms by matings is the important feature in the analysis of these data; i.e., the decision to investigate cytoplasmic differences by mating was based upon rejection of the null hypothesis for the interaction effect, cytoplasm by mating. In nine of 10 repeats, such a decision would have been made.

The results of investigating cytoplasmic differences between isopopulations of each mating for 20 lines and each of 10 repeats of 10 lines are given in Table 5. None of the results obtained for the reduced sample size would have been identical to those of Robertson (1980) for all 10 matings. Only two of 10 repeats of 10 lines produced the same result as that obtained with 20 BC$_2$F$_2$ lines from matings of PI 324725 and Otee. Six of 10, three of 10, nine of 10, and eight of 10 repeats produced the same results as did 20 lines from the respective matings involving PI 317982 and Otee, PI 317757 and Otee, PI 324819 and CI 9170, and PI 317757 and CI 9170. Three of 10 repeats detected differences in cytoplasmic isopopulations for the mating of PI 217512 with CI 9170. The null hypothesis was not rejected for these populations when 20 BC$_2$F$_2$ lines were used.
Table 5. Effect of sampling 10 random lines on yield means (g/plot) for 10 repeated samplings of 20 BC$_2$F$_2$-derived lines from 10 A. *sativa* x A. *sterilis* matings in either A. *sativa* or A. *sterilis* cytoplasm. The estimated mean in A. *sativa* cytoplasm is referred to as (sa) and the estimated mean in A. *sterilis* cytoplasm is referred to as (st) for each sample number. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample number</th>
<th>A. <em>sativa</em> parent</th>
<th>A. <em>sterilis</em> parent</th>
<th>S1</th>
<th>S2</th>
<th>S3</th>
<th>S4</th>
<th>S5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324725</td>
<td>Otee</td>
<td>A. <em>sativa</em></td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>217512</td>
<td></td>
<td>A. <em>sterilis</em></td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317757</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324725</td>
<td>CI 9170</td>
<td>A. <em>sativa</em></td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>217512</td>
<td></td>
<td>A. <em>sterilis</em></td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>29.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>27.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317757</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>30.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1sd 0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>4.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1sd 0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>5.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324725</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>217512</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317757</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample number</th>
<th>A. sterilis</th>
<th>A. sativa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>parent</td>
<td>parent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324725</td>
<td>Otee</td>
<td>26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>217512</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317982</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324819</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317757</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>29.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324725</td>
<td>CI 9170</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>217512</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317982</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324819</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317757</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>29.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>28.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>29.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>29.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Minimum sample sizes from methods I and II suggest that inferences about variability among populations should not change with as few as seven segregating lines. Notice that neither method I nor method II calculates the number of lines based upon the probability of error in accepting the alternative hypothesis, \( g \). Beta can be obtained from the tables of Odeh and Fox (1975) for fixed levels of \( \alpha \), estimated values of \( \phi \), and sample size. For example, the probability (\( B \)) of failing to detect variability when the null is rejected (\( \alpha = 0.05 \)) with sample sizes of seven and nine would be 0.2 and less than 0.1, respectively (Table 3).

The method of Odeh and Fox (1975), referred to as method III, does consider the effect of \( \beta \) on calculating the minimum sample size. It is the only method of the three which considers all parameters that influence estimates of minimum sample size. The use of method III assumes that \( \beta \) can be determined. Unfortunately, most plant breeders have little experience with the significance of \( \beta \). I arbitrarily chose values of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) that gave a moderate estimate of sample size to illustrate the effect of this criterion on the other results obtained by Robertson (1980).

With a sample size of 10 lines per population, I detected significant mean squares for the cytoplasm by mating source of variability in only nine of 10 samples. Thus, there is a positive, albeit small, probability that the reduced sample size of 10 would not produce the same results that Robertson (1980) produced with 20 lines per population.
As noted, none of our investigations of specific cytoplasm by mating interactions with $g_m = 10$ produced the same results as Robertson (1980). However, the reduced sample size consistently identified two isopopulations that he identified as superior.

From the results, it is apparent that the criterion used to decide minimum sample size is critical. If the goal of reducing the sample size was to repeat the analysis of variance on sources of population variability, then the estimate of cytoplasm variability, not population variability, should have been used. If it were important to repeat the results of comparisons of isopopulations within matings, then the pair of isopopulations with the smallest significant lsd statistic should have been used to reduce sample size.

Our original intent was to determine the minimum sample size needed to detect significant variability among cytoplasmic isopopulations in the BC$_2$ generation created by Robertson (1980). The results will be used in future studies on the introgression of A. sterilis nuclear and cytoplasmic genes. From model (1), it is obvious that the inference base consists of the BC$_2$ cytoplasmic isopopulations. Because matings produced by Robertson (1980) were a small selected sample of all possible A. sativa and A. sterilis matings, the value of $g_m = 10$ should be viewed as merely a "ball park" figure to be applied to BC$_2$ cytoplasmic isopopulations from other A. sterilis by A. sativa matings.
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SECTION IV. EXPRESSION OF NUCLEAR-CYTOPLASMIC INTERACTIONS
AND HETEROSIS IN QUANTITATIVE TRAITS OF OATS

(Avena spp.)
ABSTRACT

Nuclear and cytoplasmic genes from 10 diverse Avena sterilis L. accessions were introgressed into four Corn Belt oat (A. sativa L.) varieties. Grain yield, straw yield, harvest index, heading date, height, unit straw weight, and vegetative growth index were evaluated in the BC$_2$ generation of 76 cytoplasmic isopopulations. Relative to the recurrent parent 'Tippecanoe,' all seven traits improved with introgression of A. sterilis germplasm, whereas all seven traits were inferior in BC$_2$ populations of 'Ogle.' BC$_2$ populations of the other two oat varieties, 'CI 9170' and 'CI 9268' gave mixed responses relative to the recurrent parents.

The phenomena of nuclear-cytoplasmic interaction effects and nuclear-cytoplasmic heterosis also were investigated. Where significant differences were expressed between cytoplasmic isopopulations, those with A. sterilis cytoplasm were usually superior; although no trait exhibited consistent cytoplasmic effects across matings. Thus, all seven traits were influenced by significant nuclear-cytoplasmic interactions. Nuclear-cytoplasmic heterosis for grain yield was observed in only two of 38 isopopulations with A. sterilis cytoplasm; both were from matings involving Tippecanoe. Nuclear-cytoplasmic heterosis among the remaining traits was observed in four to eight of the 38 isopopulations with A. sterilis cytoplasm.
INTRODUCTION

Cytoplasmic effects in plants are involved in the expression of male sterility (Stephens and Holland, 1954; Duvick, 1965), heading date (Barikar and Balaich, 1977; Kinoshita et al., 1979), plant height, biomass, grain yield (Tsunewaki, 1980) and seed viability (Rao and Fleming, 1978; Yamada et al., 1980). Through the use of cytoplasmic substitution lines of wheat (Triticum spp. and Aegilops spp.), Kihara (1980) identified "nuclear-cytoplasmic" hybrids that exhibited nuclear-cytoplasmic heterosis for grain yield. A phenomenon akin to nuclear-cytoplasmic heterosis was reported by Robertson and Frey (1984) in a BC$_2$ cytoplasmic isopopulation of oats (Avena sativa L.).

Although differences among cytoplasmic substitution lines often are attributed to differences in cytoplasms, the cause is more likely an interaction of nuclear and cytoplasmic factors (Hermeson, 1968). Data from Robertson and Frey (1984) support this hypothesis; none of the seven traits they studied showed a consistent cytoplasmic effect across matings and backcross generations.

Robertson and Frey (1984) were the first to investigate the nature of nuclear-cytoplasmic interactions from the introgression of A. sterilis L. nuclear and cytoplasmic genes into cultivated oats. They studied reciprocal matings of two A. sativa cultivars and five A. sterilis accessions. This represented a small sample of both Cornbelt oat varieties and A. sterilis accessions, so the extent of nuclear-cytoplasmic heterosis among matings of A. sativa and A. sterilis is still unknown.
This study was conducted to investigate the extent of nuclear-cytoplasmic interaction effects and nuclear-cytoplasmic heterosis from a larger matrix of interspecific matings of Cornbelt oat varieties and accessions of *A. sterilis*. 
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

The four *A. sativa* cultivars used as recurrent parents were developed from breeding programs in three different states (Table 1). 'CI 9170' and 'CI 9268' are lines developed from the *A. sterilis* introgression program at Iowa State University; 'Ogle' was developed at the University of Illinois; and 'Tippecanoe' was developed at Purdue University.

To insure that *A. sterilis* accessions used as donor parents were diverse, I selected them based upon the results of cluster analyses of 15 agronomic traits measured on 457 plant introductions (PI) of *A. sterilis* (Rezai, 1977). Rezai (1977) grouped PIs by country and geographic region. The 10 *A. sterilis* accessions used and their origin are given in Table 1.

*A. sativa* and *A. sterilis* parents were mated with reciprocals according to a North Carolina Design II (Comstock and Robinson, 1948) to give 80 hybrids. The hybrids were backcrossed twice to their respective *A. sativa* parents (Figure 1) to give 40 pairs of cytoplasmic isopopulations. An isopopulation is represented by BC$_2$F$_2$-derived lines from one reciprocal cross of a mating. Isopopulations from the crosses Ogle x 'PI 412267' and 'PI 324780' x Tippecanoe were lost during development of the BC$_2$ generation so these two matings were eliminated from the study. All crosses were made in the greenhouse, and BC$_2$F$_2$ seeds were space-planted in the field. The bulk seed from each plant was used to establish a BC$_2$F$_2$-derived line in the F$_3$. 
Table 1. Name and origin of four *Avena sativa* L. cultivars and 10 *A. sterilis* accessions used as parents in a North Carolina II mating scheme with reciprocals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parental line</th>
<th>Origin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>A. sativa</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI 9170</td>
<td>Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI 9268</td>
<td>Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ogle</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tippecanoe</td>
<td>Indiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. sterilis</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI 318253</td>
<td>Northern Israel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI 324740</td>
<td>Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI 324716</td>
<td>Greece</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI 324780</td>
<td>Libya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI 412267</td>
<td>Morocco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI 309033</td>
<td>Southern Israel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI 411560</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI 412578</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI 411816</td>
<td>Iran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI 411976</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Beavis and Frey (1985a) found that a random sample of seven to 13 F$_2$-derived lines were needed to detect significant variability between cytoplasmic isopopulations in the BC$_2$ generation. I chose a conservative estimate and utilized 12 random BC$_2$F$_2$-derived lines to represent each isopopulation, except from two crosses: only 11 lines were available from 'PI 309033' x Tippecanoe and only eight lines were available from CI 9170 x 'PI 411976.' Thus, the experiment consisted of 38 matings of two BC$_2$ isopopulations each. Each isopopulation was represented by 12 F$_2$-derived lines except for the two cases noted. I also entered each *A. sativa* parent 10 times to serve as checks.
Figure 1. Generalized flow chart for development of reciprocal back-cross cytoplasmic isopopulations
Field Evaluation

In 1984, the 931 F$_2$-derived lines in the F$_3$ and 40 parental checks were evaluated in a randomized complete block design with three replications at each of three locations. The experiments were sown on April 19, April 20, and April 25 at the Agronomy Field Research Center near Ames, Iowa, the Clarion-Webster Research Center near Kanawha, Iowa, and the Northwest Research Center near Sutherland, Iowa, respectively. The soil at the Ames and Kanawha sites is a clay loam of the Clarion-Webster association and at Sutherland it is a silty clay loam of the Galva-Sac association. Soybeans occupied the experimental fields at all three sites in the year prior to the study. Fertilizer applications were 33.6 kg N and 51.5 kg P$_2$O$_5$ and K$_2$O at the Ames site; 33.6 kg N, 7 kg each of P$_2$O$_5$ and K$_2$O at the Kanawha site; and 16.8 kg N, 67.2 kg P$_2$O$_5$, and 7 kg K$_2$O at the Sutherland site. A plot was a hill sown with 30 seeds, and hills were spaced 30.5 cm apart in perpendicular directions. Two rows of border hills were sown around each replicate to provide competition for peripheral plots. A systemic fungicide, Bayleton, was applied to the plots at anthesis to eradicate crown rust (*Puccinia coronata* Cda. avena Frazier and Led.) and preclude other foliar diseases.

Seven traits were measured or computed on a plot basis. Heading date was recorded as the number of days from sowing until 50% of the panicles were fully emerged. Plant height was recorded as the distance (cm) from ground level to the tip of the tallest panicle. When mature, the plants in a plot were cut at ground level, dried, and weighed to obtain biological yield (kg ha$^{-1}$). Subsequently, the plants from a
plot were threshed and grain yield was recorded (kg ha\(^{-1}\)). Straw yield was calculated by subtracting grain from biological yield, and harvest index was calculated as the ratio of grain to biological yield and expressed as a percentage. Vegetative growth index was calculated as the ratio of straw yield to heading date, and unit straw weight was computed as the ratio of straw yield to height. Plant height and unit straw weight were determined from two replicates at Ames, heading date and vegetative growth rate were determined from three replicates at Ames, and other traits were measured on all nine replicates.

**Statistical Analyses**

Because two isopopulations had fewer than 12 lines, sums of squared deviations for lines within populations and lines within population by locations were initially computed by isopopulation using SAS (1982), and later the degrees of freedom and sum of squares for populations were combined to obtain mean squares for these sources of variability. Population marginal means (Searle et al., 1980) were used by SAS (1982) to calculate sums of squares for locations, replications within locations, populations, and populations x locations sources of variability. The residual sum of squares was computed by subtraction.

Comparisons of cytoplasms for individual matings and over matings were tested for significant differences by calculating a t statistic (Snedecor and Cochran, 1980):

\[
t = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{\sqrt{S^2 / 0}}
\]

(1)
where
\[ \bar{X}_1 = \text{mean value for population(s) in } A. \text{ sativa cytoplasm}; \]
\[ \bar{X}_2 = \text{mean value for population(s) in } A. \text{ sterilis cytoplasm}; \]
\[ S^2 = \text{mean square for lines within populations}; \] and
\[ n_0 = \text{number of observations per mean}. \]

Significance of \( t^C \) was determined by comparison with \( t_{\alpha, df}; \) where
\[ t_{\alpha, df} = \text{tabular t-value at the } \alpha \text{ probability level}; \] and
\[ df = \text{degrees of freedom associated with } S^2. \]

The \( A. \text{ sativa} \) parental checks were compared with: 1) \( \text{BC}_2F_2 \) isopopulations pooled across parents, matings, and cytoplasms; 2) cytoplasms pooled across parents and matings; 3) \( \text{BC}_2F_2 \) isopopulations pooled by parent across matings and cytoplasms; 4) cytoplasms pooled by parent across matings; and 5) \( \text{BC}_2F_2 \) cytoplasmic isopopulations. These comparisons were tested using a \( t \) statistic (Snedecor and Cochran, 1980):
\[
 t_c' = \frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2}{\sqrt{S_1^2/n_1 + S_2^2/n_2}} \tag{2}
\]

where
\[ \bar{X}_1 = \text{mean value for } \text{BC}_2F_2 \text{ isopopulation(s)}; \]
\[ \bar{X}_2 = \text{mean value for parental check(s)}; \]
\[ S_1^2 = \text{mean square for lines within } \text{BC}_2F_2 \text{ populations}; \]
\[ S_2^2 = \text{appropriate mean square for testing variability among parental varieties (Carmer et al., 1969)}; \]
\[ n_1 = \text{number of observations in the mean of the } \text{BC}_2F_2 \text{ isopopulation(s)}; \] and
\( n_2 \) = the number of observations in the mean of the parental variety(ies).

Significance of \( t' \) was determined by comparison with \( t'_{\alpha, df'} \); where \( t'_{\alpha, df'} \) = tabular t-value at the \( \alpha \) probability level, and from Satherwaite (1946):

\[
df' = \frac{S_1^2/n_1 + S_2^2/n_2}{(\frac{1}{df_1} S_1^2/n_1 + \frac{1}{df_2} S_2^2/n_2)}/(\frac{1}{df_1} + \frac{1}{df_2})
\]
RESULTS

When pooled over all crosses, the BC$_2$F$_2$-derived lines had greater straw yield, later heading dates, and were taller than the averaged values from the parental checks (Table 2). When pooled over crosses, the *A. sterilis* cytoplasm gave significantly higher grain yield and harvest index, earlier heading date, and shorter plant height than did *A. sativa* cytoplasm (Table 3). Relative to recurrent parents (Table 2), the BC$_2$F$_2$-derived lines with *A. sterilis* cytoplasm (Table 3) were later, taller, and produced more straw; however, they produced less grain than the *A. sativa* parents.

Means of *A. sativa* parents and their respective BC$_2$F$_2$ progenies pooled over matings for seven traits are given in Table 4. Mean grain yields of BC$_2$ isopopulations from CI 9170 and Ogle were significantly lower than their respective recurrent parents, but the reverse was observed for Tippecanoe and its BC$_2$ isopopulations. For three cases, CI 9170, CI 9268, and Tippecanoe, the BC$_2$ populations had greater straw yield than their respective recurrent parents. BC$_2$ isopopulations from Ogle produced less straw, were taller, and had lower unit straw weight than the recurrent parent. Vegetative growth rate was improved by introgression of *A. sterilis* genes into CI 9268 and Tippecanoe. All traits had significantly larger values for the BC$_2$ populations of Tippecanoe than for the recurrent parent.

Means of BC$_2$ isopopulations with *A. sativa* and *A. sterilis* cytoplasms pooled by recurrent parent over crosses for seven traits are given in Table 5. Grain yield and harvest index were greater in
Table 2. Means of *A. sativa* (recurrent) parents and BC$_2$F$_2$-derived lines for grain yield, straw yield, harvest index, heading date, plant height, unit straw weight, and vegetative growth index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Populations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain yield (kg ha$^{-1}$)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straw yield (kg ha$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>3473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvest index (%)</td>
<td>3932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heading date (days)</td>
<td>46.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant height (cm)</td>
<td>66.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit straw weight (g cm$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>97.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetative growth index (kg ha$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>71.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**,** *Significance at the 1% and 5% probability levels, respectively, for the mean of the comparison.

Table 3. Means for grain yield, straw yield, harvest index, heading date, plant height, unit straw weight, and vegetative growth index over all matings for F$_2$-derived lines with *A. sativa* and *A. sterilis* cytoplasms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Number of replicates</th>
<th>Cytoplasm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>A. sativa</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain yield (kg ha$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straw yield (kg ha$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvest index (%)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>45.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heading date (days)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>66.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant height (cm)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100.89**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit straw weight (g cm$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetative growth index (kg ha$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>73.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**,** *Significance at the 1% and 5% probability levels, respectively, for the larger mean of the comparison.
Table 4. Means for *A. sativa* parents and their F<sub>2</sub>-derived lines pooled over matings and cytoplasms for seven traits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>CI 9170</th>
<th>CI 9268</th>
<th>Ogle</th>
<th>Tippecanoe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A.sativa</td>
<td>BC&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; iso-</td>
<td>A.sativa</td>
<td>BC&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; iso-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain yield (kg ha&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>3430**</td>
<td>3129</td>
<td>3554</td>
<td>3599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straw yield (kg ha&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>3313</td>
<td>3471*</td>
<td>4091</td>
<td>4458**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvest index (%)</td>
<td>49.67**</td>
<td>47.46</td>
<td>46.31**</td>
<td>44.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heading date (days)</td>
<td>62.83</td>
<td>63.88**</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>69.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant height (cm)</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>98.83**</td>
<td>98.45</td>
<td>101.209**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit straw weight (g cm&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>0.417</td>
<td>0.465</td>
<td>0.490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetative growth index (kg ha&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt; day&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>65.36</td>
<td>66.22</td>
<td>70.41</td>
<td>76.22*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**,** *Indicate that the means for an *A. sativa* parent and its B<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>-derived lines differ at the 1% and 5% probability levels, respectively.*
Table 5. Means of seven traits pooled by parent over matings for BC2F2 isopopulations with *A. sativa* and *A. sterilis* cytoplasms pooled by parent over matings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Cytoplasm</th>
<th>CI 9170</th>
<th>CI 9268</th>
<th>Ogle</th>
<th>Tippecanoe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grain yield (kg ha⁻¹)</td>
<td>A. sativa</td>
<td>3217*</td>
<td>3629*</td>
<td>3608</td>
<td>3225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. sterilis</td>
<td>3148</td>
<td>3569</td>
<td>3757**</td>
<td>3360**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straw yield (kg ha⁻¹)</td>
<td>A. sativa</td>
<td>3441</td>
<td>4453</td>
<td>4275</td>
<td>4145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. sterilis</td>
<td>3499</td>
<td>4462</td>
<td>4301</td>
<td>4210*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvest index (%)</td>
<td>A. sativa</td>
<td>48.40*</td>
<td>44.94*</td>
<td>45.82</td>
<td>43.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. sterilis</td>
<td>47.52</td>
<td>44.32</td>
<td>46.56**</td>
<td>44.39*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heading date (days)</td>
<td>A. sativa</td>
<td>63.81</td>
<td>68.64*</td>
<td>67.74</td>
<td>66.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. sterilis</td>
<td>63.95</td>
<td>68.19</td>
<td>67.52</td>
<td>66.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant height (cm)</td>
<td>A. sativa</td>
<td>98.88</td>
<td>102.58*</td>
<td>101.09**</td>
<td>100.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. sterilis</td>
<td>98.79</td>
<td>101.59</td>
<td>99.92</td>
<td>100.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit straw weight (g cm⁻¹)</td>
<td>A. sativa</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>0.493</td>
<td>0.480</td>
<td>0.484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. sterilis</td>
<td>0.427**</td>
<td>0.488</td>
<td>0.488</td>
<td>0.490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetative growth index (kg ha⁻¹ day⁻¹)</td>
<td>A. sativa</td>
<td>65.25</td>
<td>76.54</td>
<td>73.96</td>
<td>75.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. sterilis</td>
<td>67.08**</td>
<td>75.90</td>
<td>74.71</td>
<td>76.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**, *Significance at the 1% and 5% probability levels, respectively.
A. sativa cytoplasm from CI 9170 and CI 9268 matings, whereas the reverse was observed in matings of Ogle and Tippecanoe. There was no mean cytoplasmic effect on heading date except with CI 9268. A. sterilis cytoplasms had higher average values for unit straw weight and vegetative growth index for matings of CI 9170.

Despite these general trends, significant nuclear-cytoplasmic interactions occurred for all traits. The numbers of matings exhibiting significant differences between cytoplasmic isopopulations are summarized by recurrent parent for each trait in Table 6. A consistent cytoplasmic effect was exhibited for heading date when the A. sterilis parent, 'PI 411560,' was involved in the mating. This cytoplasm consistently produced earlier heading dates in all matings.

Of the matings that exhibited significant differences between cytoplasmic isopopulations, the numbers with superior performance in A. sterilis cytoplasm are given in Table 7. (Superior is defined for all traits except heading date as having the larger numeric value.) In matings involving CI 9170, there were 22 traits-mating combinations where the means of cytoplasmic isopopulations were significantly different and 17 of these favored the A. sterilis cytoplasm. In CI 9268 matings, 30 trait-mating combinations showed significant differences between cytoplasmic populations, and 14 were superior in A. sterilis cytoplasm. In Ogle and Tippecanoe matings, 32 and 30 trait-matings, respectively, showed significant differences between isopopulations, and 20 and 19 of these were superior in A. sterilis cytoplasm.
Table 6. Numbers of matings that exhibit significant differences between *A. sativa* and *A. sterilis* isopopulations summarized by recurrent parent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Recurrent parent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CI 9170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain yield</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straw yield</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvest index</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heading date</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit straw weight</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetative growth index</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. Number of matings that exhibited significant superior performance in *A. sterilis* cytoplasm summarized by recurrent parent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Recurrent parent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CI 9170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain yield</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straw yield</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvest index</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heading date</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit straw weight</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetative growth index</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Numbers of isopopulations with *A. sterilis* cytoplasm that exhibited nuclear-cytoplasmic heterosis summarized by trait and recurrent parent are given in Table 8. Only two isopopulations, both from Tippecanoe matings, expressed nuclear-cytoplasmic heterosis for both grain yield and harvest index. This phenomenon occurred for straw yield, unit straw weight, and vegetative growth index in isopopulations of some matings with every recurrent parent except Ogle. It was for only two trait-mating combinations when Ogle was a parent, whereas *A. sterilis* isopopulations from Tippecanoe matings exhibited nuclear-cytoplasmic heterosis for all traits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>CI 9170</th>
<th>CI 9268</th>
<th>Ogle</th>
<th>Tippecanoe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grain yield</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straw yield</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvest index</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heading date</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit straw weight</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetative growth index</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Robertson (1980) found that expression of nuclear-cytoplasmic interactions for all traits occurred most frequently in the $BC_0$ and $BC_1$ of interspecific oat matings. However, the greatest frequency of nuclear-cytoplasmic heterosis was observed in the $BC_2$. Lawrence (1974) showed that the $BC_2$ to $BC_4$ were optimal generations for selecting good lines after introgression of nuclear genes from $A. sterilis$. Beavis and Frey (1985b) reasoned that a few $A. sterilis$ nuclear genes could interact with the $A. sterilis$ cytoplasm to produce large nuclear-cytoplasmic interaction effects, and that these few genes could be lost easily in a backcrossing program. Therefore, to minimize the chance of losing favorable nuclear-cytoplasmic interaction effects and to use the optimum number of backcrosses for obtaining desirable lines (Lawrence, 1974), I investigated nuclear-cytoplasmic interaction effects and nuclear-cytoplasmic heterosis in the $BC_2$ generation.

Because Robertson and Frey (1984) investigated cytoplasmic iso-populations from only a few parental combinations, the extent of nuclear-cytoplasmic interactions and nuclear-cytoplasmic heterosis among matings of $A. sativa$ and $A. sterilis$ was unassessed. In this study, the $A. sterilis$ parents were sampled from diverse sources, and the sampling represents a single stage cluster procedure (Cochran, 1977) of the $A. sterilis$ world germplasm collection. $A. sterilis$ accessions used by Robertson (1980) were not classified by Rezai (1977), although their geographic origins are known. The four $A. sativa$ parents I used
were selected to represent Cornbelt oat varieties developed in three different plant breeding programs. Thus, information from this study should assess how Corn Belt oat varieties of different origin respond to introgression of *A. sterilis* nuclear and cytoplasmic genes.

My results were similar to those of Robertson and Frey (1984) in that significant cytoplasm x mating interactions were observed for all traits. No *A. sterilis* cytoplasm gave consistently superior or inferior performance relative to its *A. sativa* cytoplasm counterpart for any trait except heading date; i.e., isopopulations with cytoplasm from PI 411560 consistently exhibited earlier heading dates than their counterparts in *A. sativa* cytoplasm. Except for these four matings, there was no evidence for a cytoplasmic effect per se. That is, all cytoplasmic effects were expressed through an interaction with nuclear sources. Thus, the assertion by Hermesen (1968) that all traits are affected by nuclear-cytoplasmic interactions is supported by my results. Recent work in molecular genetics also supports this hypothesis, in that most enzymes encoded by cytoplasmic DNA contain polypeptides encoded by nuclear DNA (Borst et al., 1983).

No *A. sterilis* accession that I used was common with any used by Robertson and Frey (1984), but both studies included CI 9170 as a recurrent parent. Robertson and Frey (1984) found that the average grain yield of isopopulations in *A. sterilis* cytoplasm was 8% greater than the mean for *A. sativa* isopopulations. This result was caused primarily by two of the five isopopulations, which exceeded their *A. sativa* counterparts by 17% each. My study, like Robertson and Frey (1984), also
found two CI 9170 isopopulations with *A. sterilis* cytoplasm that exceeded their *A. sativa* counterparts, one by 26% and one by 11%. However, when averaged over all matings, the *A. sterilis* cytoplasm had 2% less grain yield than the *A. sativa* cytoplasms; although no *A. sterilis* isopopulation yielded significantly less than its *A. sativa* counterpart.

Relative to CI 9170, on average, the BC$_2$ isopopulations in *A. sterilis* cytoplasm created by Robertson (1980) yielded 6.6% less and those I created yielded 8.2% less than the recurrent parent. Robertson (1980) found one *A. sterilis* isopopulation that yielded more than CI 9170 by 8%, and I also found one *A. sterilis* isopopulation that yielded more than CI 9170, however, by only 1.5%. This isopopulation was from PI 412267 x CI 9170 and it yielded more than its counterpart in *A. sativa* cytoplasm by 6.7%. The mean grain yield of this isopopulation was not considered to be due to nuclear-cytoplasmic heterosis because its superiorities to both recurrent parent and cytoplasmic reciprocal were not statistically significant. The results from my study and those of Robertson and Frey (1984) show nuclear-cytoplasmic heterosis for grain yield from matings of CI 9170 and *A. sterilis* accessions is rarely observed in the BC$_2$ generation.

Grain yield in *A. sterilis* cytoplasm from the cross PI 412267 x CI 9268 exceeded the recurrent parent by 8%. This is the same *A. sterilis* accession that was responsible for superior yield when mated to CI 9170. However, the isopopulation from PI 412267 x CI 9268 did not exceed its counterpart in *A. sativa* cytoplasm; therefore, the response was due to beneficial *A. sterilis* nuclear genes. Because nuclear genes from
PI 412267 provided beneficial effects for grain yield when combined with both Iowa cultivars, this accession may be a good source for genetic improvement in the *A. sterilis* introgression program.

Mean grain yield of isopopulations in *A. sterilis* cytoplasm from Ogle matings was greater than that of their *A. sativa* counterparts by 4.1%, but in only three were the *A. sterilis* isopopulations significantly better. No isopopulation in either cytoplasm yielded more than Ogle; on the contrary, grain yield was significantly less than Ogle for most isopopulations. Apparently, the effects of *A. sterilis* genes for grain yield were detrimental when introgressed into Ogle; although the detrimental effect of *A. sterilis* genes in *A. sterilis* cytoplasms tended to be less than in *A. sativa* cytoplasms. This illustrates a situation where detrimental effects of *A. sterilis* nuclear genes are either offset by beneficial interactions with *A. sterilis* cytoplasms or intensified by detrimental interactions with *A. sativa* cytoplasms.

Isopopulations in *A. sterilis* cytoplasm developed from Tippecanoe, on average, yielded 4.1% more than counterpart isopopulations in *A. sativa* cytoplasm. Most isopopulations developed from Tippecanoe matings yielded more than the recurrent parent, and the two with *A. sterilis* cytoplasm from PI 324740 and PI 318253 exhibited nuclear-cytoplasmic heterosis for grain yield. These two isopopulations exceeded the recurrent parent by 29% and 38%, respectively. The isopopulation from the cross PI 318253 x Tippecanoe produced a grain yield that was greater than either CI 9170 or CI 9168, both of which exceeded Tippecanoe. These
two heterotic populations from Tippecanoe matings illustrate a situation
where grain yield was enhanced by interactions of \textit{A. sterilis} nuclear
genes with \textit{A. sterilis} cytoplasmic genes.

In general, the grain yield response to introgression of \textit{A. sterilis}
genomes into the germplasm of Corn Belt oat varieties produced variable
results. Introgression caused decreased yield in Ogle and CI 9170
matings, increased yield in Tippecanoe matings, and had no effect for
matings with CI 9268. The general response was modified by \textit{A. sterilis}
cytoplasm and in some specific crosses the response was improved signifi­
cantly by \textit{A. sterilis} cytoplasmic genes. Robertson (1980) found one
\textit{BC}_2 cytoplasmic isopopulation in 10 that exhibited nuclear-cytoplasmic
heterosis for grain yield; I found two in 38.

For the traits straw yield, harvest index, heading date, plant
height, unit straw weight, and vegetative growth index, Robertson (1980)
found one or two of 10 cytoplasmic isopopulations that exhibited nuclear
cytoplasmic heterosis. For these same traits, I found nuclear-cytoplasmic
heterosis in four to eight of 38 cytoplasmic isopopulations. Thus,
depending upon the trait, nuclear-cytoplasmic heterosis occurs in about
5 to 20\% of cytoplasmic isopopulations in the \textit{BC}_2 generation from matings
of Corn Belt varieties with \textit{A. sterilis} accessions.
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