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West Liberty Foods has proven that a value-added cooperative owned by Iowa turkey growers can carve out a profitable niche in the marketplace. In just five years, West Liberty Foods has gone from a fledgling startup company of 425 employees to an industry leader that employs 1,350 and produces more than 120 million pounds of meat products per year. Let’s begin by reviewing the short history of the Iowa Turkey Growers Cooperative.

- In 1943 Louis Rich purchased the West Liberty Iowa Tomato Canning Plant. At that time he was operating a small plant in the Quad Cities, which he had started in 1930.

- In 1946 the plant was converted into a chicken processing facility.

- In 1948 the sons of Louis Rich, Martin and Norman, focused the company on production of turkey products. This focus is on fully cooked further processed products. Over the next several years the company introduced many new turkey products. The company became known as a turkey product innovator, introducing a full line of fully cooked turkey products.

- In 1979 Oscar Mayer purchased the “Louis Rich” company. The purchase included plants in West Liberty, Iowa., Modesto, Calif., and Newberry, S.C.

- In April of 1996, Oscar Mayer, a division of Kraft Foods, a division of General Foods, a division of Phillip Morris, announced that they were closing the West Liberty plant effective December 31, 1996.

- In May 1996, 47 Iowa turkey growers banded together to try to find a way to continue production of turkeys. Their motto became “Strive to Survive”. Their task was a daunting one. They would either find a way to continue to produce turkeys or convert their buildings to boat storage. Boat storage in Iowa is not an attractive alternative.

The Growers found they needed to:

- Mortgage everything they owned in order to be able to continue to produce turkeys.

- Take a risk few individuals would be willing to take.

- Put together a program of financing with the US Department of Agriculture (Rural Development Agency), the State of Iowa (Department of Economic Development), Muscatine County, Muscatine Development Corporation, the City of West Liberty, Norwest Bank, and Kraft Foods, and do this in a six-month period of time.

With the help of many, many individuals and organizations they were able to pull all the parties together and a program was launched. On December 27, 1999 the facilities of Kraft Foods in Iowa were transferred to the Iowa Turkey Growers Cooperative.

Now the real fun began.

The growers discovered that there was nothing easy about being in the processing and marketing side of the turkey business. The first turkeys were processed during a time of record production and the lowest price in the history of the modern turkey business. This low market condition continued through June of 1998. To give you an idea of how serious this situation was, the normal break-even summary of payment acres and yields

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Payment</th>
<th>Payment Rate per bu.</th>
<th>Payment Acres</th>
<th>Payment Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loan deficiency</td>
<td>Co. loan rate – posted co. price</td>
<td>Actual harvested</td>
<td>Actual harvested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>Fixed rate</td>
<td>85% of old or new base</td>
<td>Old harvested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counter cyclical</td>
<td>Target price - higher of</td>
<td>85% of old or new base</td>
<td>Old or new program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>loan rate or market price - direct</td>
<td></td>
<td>yield</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

continued on page 3
level for turkey meat is in the $1.60 range. The market reached a low of $1.07 during the first year and a half of the Cooperative's existence.

**Significant developments**

During the first year and a half; however, many significant events unfolded:

1. Two companies closed sizable turkey plants and idled or converted them to chicken.
2. The industry began to exercise serious production restraint.
3. Supply and demand came into balance. As an old friend used to say, "The law of supply and demand will never be repealed.
4. A major player in the business decided to discontinue slaughter operations.
5. The sales and marketing programs the West Liberty Foods staff had been pursuing began to bear fruit.
6. Strategic alliances began to be put into place.
   - A private label line of high-end deli products for the largest retailer in the country and for another midsize retailer was developed and began to be distributed.
   - A co-manufacturing agreement of major volume levels was concluded with one of the largest food companies in the world.
   - The program with Oscar Mayer was continued and strengthened beyond the initial two-year period.
   - The plant began production of beef, pork, and chicken products in addition to turkey.
   - The plant became the major producer of deli items for two of the largest sandwich shops in the U.S.
   - The company began to receive recognition as a preferred production unit.

In January 1999, a very viable Cooperative emerges from the trying 1997 and 1998 experiences. The Cooperative continues to seek out business opportunities to insulate it from the vagaries of the commodity turkey market, even setting plans for a natural turkey product line.

In 1997, the Cooperative processed 2.9 million head of turkeys from our members. In 1999 we completed the year with over 4.5 million head of turkeys processed. During the 2000 calendar year we not only processed 4.5 million head but also purchased the equivalent of over 1.0 million additional head in the form of deboned meat from other companies.

In 2000, the Cooperative concluded the purchase of another 50,000 square foot processing facility in Sigourney, Iowa.

Ninety percent of the products manufactured by West Liberty Foods are branded and sold by other companies and ten percent are marketed under the West Liberty Foods label.

The future opportunity for West Liberty Foods lies in selecting the appropriate partners from a co-manufacturing and private label standpoint, negotiating long term financially favorable agreements which will continue to insulate us from severe market swings, continue to seek out higher margin niche markets to blend in with the co-manufacturing/private label product and continue to improve the most efficient production level at the plant.

If we are able to accomplish these tasks, we will provide to our grower/owners a profitable, sustainable business which can be passed down to the next generation as a financial investment worthy of their time and money. This is the key to a cooperative's long term viability and survivability.

In a recent article from a trade publication ranking the top 200 meat and poultry processors based on sales revenue, West Liberty Foods ranked #157 in 1998, #90 in 1999 and #75 in 2000. We ended F/Y 2000 at over $135 million in revenue. We have arrived and we intend to stay a viable food production entity for the long term.

**Drivers of the future**

We are concentrating on three major trends that we believe will drive our business for the future and will also be applicable to any other cooperative food venture.

**Brand Marketing**

Brand marketing in the future will focus corporate shareholder attention on marketing of the end product and cause major food companies to look for strategic alliance partners to grow, slaughter and process product. This is already happening at West Liberty Foods. This trend has resulted in four separate co-manufacturing agreements at West Liberty Foods with four of the largest food companies in the country.

The example used as the ultimate brand-marketing program is Nike. Nike owns no production facilities.

*continued on page 4*
Building your brand with Flanker Brands

by Nancy Giddens, agricultural extension value-added marketing specialist, Missouri Value-added Development Center, University of Missouri; and Amanda Hofmann, student research assistant

Flanker brands are a new brand introduced into the market by a company that already has an established brand in the same product category. The new brand is designed to compete in the category without damaging the existing item's market share by targeting a different group of consumers. This strategy, also called fighter branding or multibranding, is used to achieve a larger total market share than one product could garner alone. Companies with multiple brands in a single product category generally have the following types of products in their portfolios:

- A premium brand that offers high quality at a higher price.
- One or more “value” brands offering a slightly lower quality or a different set of benefits for a lower price.

For example, General Mills markets both Gold Medal and Robin Hood brand flours. Gold Medal serves as a premium product and commands a premium price from consumers who value quality. However, Robin Hood offers a lower-priced product with a slightly lower level of quality for those who are more heavily influenced by the price of products within a category.

Why is flanker branding important?

Flanker branding is important because it allows a company to attract new customers from various market segments. The main brand of a company's portfolio should target the market segment containing the most consumers. Another brand can then be positioned to convert users from other market segments by using a different set of benefits or product characteristics. For example, Proctor and Gamble's (P&G) Tide is an extremely successful laundry detergent. In order to appeal to consumers who desired a lower-cost detergent, P&G introduced Cheer, which is a slightly lower quality product offered at a value price. While Tide's sales dropped slightly with the introduction of the new brand, the combined sales of Cheer and Tide were higher than Tide's original sales alone, allowing P&G to gain a greater market share. A company's brands should attract customers from competing brands and not each other.

There are a number of advantages to developing a flanker brand:

- Gain more shelf space for the company, which increases retailer dependence on the company's brands.
- Capture “brand switchers” by offering several brands.
- Develop excitement within the company by monitoring sales figures of the different brands.
- Protect the company – giving a product its own unique name means it will not be readily associated with the existing brand. This reduces risk to the existing brand and/or company if the product fails.