

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY
Digital Repository
Farm Progress Reports

2015 Report
Issue 1 2015 *Farm Progress Reports*

Number RFR A1554

2016

NTEP Turf-Type Tall Fescue Study 2015

Dan Strey
Iowa State University, dstrey@iastate.edu

Nick Christians
Iowa State University, nchris@iastate.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farmprogressreports>

 Part of the [Agricultural Science Commons](#), [Agriculture Commons](#), and the [Horticulture Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Strey, Dan and Christians, Nick (2016) "NTEP Turf-Type Tall Fescue Study 2015," *Farm Progress Reports*: Vol. 2015 : Iss. 1 , Article 52.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.31274/farmprogressreports-180814-61>

Available at: <https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/farmprogressreports/vol2015/iss1/52>

This Horticulture Station is brought to you for free and open access by the Extension and Experiment Station Publications at Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Farm Progress Reports by an authorized editor of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

NTEP Turf-Type Tall Fescue Study 2015

RFR-A1554

Dan Strey, research associate
Nick Christians, university professor
Department of Horticulture

Introduction

The National Turfgrass Evaluation Program (NTEP) conducts trials throughout the United States on turfgrass adaptation. This trial was established in September 2012 as a part of the NTEP program. This is the second year data have been collected on this trial. It contains 116 turf type-tall fescue cultivars.

Materials and Methods

The individual plots measure 5 ft by 5 ft and the study is replicated three times. Quality ratings were conducted monthly in 2014. Spring greenup data was taken on May 8, 2015, while genetic color and texture uniformity was taken in mid-August. Ratings are based on a scale of 9 = best quality and 1 = lowest quality. A rating of 6 or above is considered to be commercially acceptable. In

the case of color and uniformity, a 9 is the darkest green color and a 9 is the most uniform turf. The plots were only irrigated to prevent dormancy.

Results and Discussion

Table 1 contains spring greenup, genetic color, texture uniformity, and quality ratings for the 2015 season. The mean quality rating was calculated for each cultivar. There were significant differences in the mean quality, spring greenup, texture uniformity, and genetic color ratings for the year. We will continue to take data for two more years. The data also are submitted to NTEP each year and will be included in their yearly report with data from all of the other states conducting this trial. Their data can be found at www.ntep.org.

Acknowledgements

Appreciation is extended to the NTEP organization for funding to conduct this project.

Table 1. Turf-type tall fescue quality ratings for 2015.

No.	Cultivar	Spring greenup	Quality ¹							Mean Q	Texture uniformity ²	Genetic color ³
			May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct				
1	Terrano	5	7	7	8	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
2	Ky-31	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
3	Regenerate	6	7	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	8
4	Fesnova	6	7	7	7	8	8	8	7	7	7	8
5	ZW 44	6	7	7	8	9	8	7	8	8	8	8
6	W45	6	7	8	8	9	8	7	8	8	8	8
7	U43	6	6	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
8	LSD	5	7	7	8	8	8	7	7	7	7	7
9	Aquaduct	5	6	6	6	7	6	6	6	6	6	6
10	Catalyst	6	7	7	8	8	7	7	7	7	7	7
11	Marauder	5	6	6	6	6	6	5	6	6	6	6
12	Warhawk	6	6	6	6	7	6	6	6	6	6	6
13	Annihilator	5	6	5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
14	Comp. Res.	5	6	7	7	7	6	6	7	6	6	7
15	204 Res. Blk4	5	6	5	5	6	6	6	6	5	5	6
16	JS 819	6	6	7	7	7	7	6	7	6	6	7
17	JS 818	6	7	8	7	7	7	6	7	6	6	7
18	JS 809	5	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
19	JS 916	6	7	7	8	8	7	7	7	7	7	7
20	JS 825	5	7	7	7	7	7	6	7	6	6	7
21	MET 1	6	7	7	8	8	7	7	8	8	8	7
22	F711	6	7	7	7	8	7	7	7	7	7	7
23	IS-TF 291	6	7	8	8	8	8	7	8	8	8	8
24	IS-TF 276 M2	6	7	7	8	8	7	7	7	7	7	7
25	IS-TF 305 SEL	6	7	7	7	8	7	7	7	7	7	7
26	IS-TF 269 SEL	6	7	8	8	8	8	7	8	7	7	7
27	IS-TF 282 M2	5	7	7	8	7	7	6	7	7	7	7
28	IS-TF 284 M2	6	7	7	8	8	7	7	7	7	7	7
29	OR-21	6	7	8	8	7	7	7	7	6	6	7
30	TY 10	6	7	7	7	8	7	7	7	7	7	7
31	Exp TF-09	5	6	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
32	SRX-TPC	5	7	7	7	7	7	6	7	7	7	7
33	PSG-WE1	5	6	6	7	8	7	7	7	7	7	7
34	Pick-W43	6	7	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
35	Grade 3	5	6	6	7	7	7	6	7	7	7	7
36	PSG-PO1	6	7	7	8	8	8	7	7	7	7	7
37	U45	6	7	8	7	8	7	7	7	7	7	8
38	B23	5	7	7	8	8	8	7	8	8	8	8
39	ATF 1612	5	7	7	8	8	8	7	7	7	7	8
40	ATF 1704	5	6	6	7	7	7	6	6	6	6	7
41	Burl TF-2	5	7	7	7	8	8	7	7	8	7	7
42	Burl TF-136	5	6	7	7	9	8	7	8	7	7	8
43	LTP-FSD	5	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
44	LTP-TWUU	6	7	7	8	8	7	7	7	7	7	8
45	LTP-F5DPDR	6	7	7	8	8	7	7	8	7	7	7
46	IS-TF 289	5	7	7	8	8	7	7	7	7	7	7
47	MET 6 SEL	6	7	7	8	8	7	7	7	7	7	7
48	IS-TF 330	5	6	7	8	8	8	7	7	8	8	8
49	TF-287	5	6	7	7	7	6	6	6	6	6	7
50	IS-TF 307 SEL	6	7	7	7	7	8	7	7	7	7	7
51	IS-TF 308 SEL	6	6	7	7	8	7	6	7	7	7	7
52	IS-TF 311	6	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
53	IS-TF 285	5	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
54	IS-TF 310 SEL	5	6	7	7	7	7	6	7	7	7	7
55	IS-TF 272	5	7	7	8	8	8	7	7	8	8	8
56	ATF 1736	5	6	7	7	7	7	6	7	6	6	7

Table 1. Turf-type tall fescue quality ratings for 2015 (continued).

No.	Cultivar	Quality ¹							Mean Q	Texture Uniformity ²	Genetic Color ³
		Spring greenup	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct			
57	ATF 1754	6	7	7	7	8	8	7	7	7	7
58	Hemi	5	6	8	8	8	7	7	7	8	8
59	Firebird 2	5	6	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
60	Bullseye	6	7	7	8	8	8	7	8	7	8
61	PST-5EV2	5	6	7	8	8	8	7	7	7	7
62	PST-5GRB	5	6	7	7	8	7	7	7	7	7
63	PST-5SALT	6	7	7	7	7	7	6	7	7	7
64	PST-5SDT	5	7	7	7	7	7	6	7	7	7
65	PST-5DZP	5	7	7	7	7	6	6	7	7	7
66	PST-5RO5	6	7	7	7	7	7	6	7	7	7
67	PST-5BPO	6	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
68	PST-5BRK	6	7	7	8	8	8	7	7	7	7
69	DB1	5	7	7	8	8	8	7	7	7	8
70	RZ2	6	6	7	8	8	7	7	7	7	7
71	TD1	4	7	7	8	8	8	7	7	7	7
72	DZ1	6	7	8	7	8	7	7	7	7	8
73	T31	5	7	7	8	8	7	7	7	8	7
74	PSG-GSD	6	6	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
75	PSG-8BP2	6	6	7	7	6	7	6	6	6	6
76	PSG-TT4	5	6	6	7	7	7	6	6	7	6
77	Faith	6	7	7	8	8	7	7	7	7	7
78	K12-13	5	6	6	7	7	7	7	7	6	7
79	K12-05	5	7	7	8	8	8	7	7	7	7
80	PPG-TF-156	6	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
81	PPG-TF-157	6	7	8	8	8	7	7	8	7	8
82	PPG-TF-169	5	7	7	7	7	8	7	7	7	7
83	PPG-TF-170	6	7	7	7	8	7	6	7	7	7
84	PPG-TF-137	5	6	7	7	8	8	7	7	7	7
85	PPG-TF-135	6	7	7	8	8	8	7	8	8	8
86	PPG-TF-115	5	7	7	7	8	7	7	7	7	7
87	PPG-TF-105	6	7	7	8	8	7	7	7	7	8
88	PPG-TF-172	5	7	7	8	8	7	7	7	8	7
89	PPG-TF-151	6	7	7	8	7	7	7	7	7	7
90	PPG-TF-152	6	7	7	7	8	7	7	7	7	7
91	PPG-TF-148	6	7	7	8	8	7	7	7	7	7
92	PPG-TF-150	5	7	7	8	8	7	7	7	8	7
93	Bizem	6	7	8	8	8	8	7	8	8	8
94	CCR2	6	7	7	8	8	8	7	8	8	8
95	MET-3	6	7	7	7	8	7	7	7	7	7
96	W41	6	7	7	8	8	8	7	7	7	7
97	PPG-TF-145	5	7	8	8	8	7	7	7	7	8
98	PPG-TF-138	5	7	7	8	8	8	7	7	7	7
99	PPG-TF-139	6	7	8	7	8	8	7	7	8	8
100	PPG-TF-142	6	7	7	7	8	7	7	7	7	7
101	RAD-TF-89	5	7	8	7	8	8	7	8	7	8
102	RAD-TF-92	6	6	7	7	8	7	6	7	7	7
103	GO-DFR	5	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
104	K12-MCD	5	7	7	7	7	6	6	7	6	7
105	PST-5EX2	5	6	6	7	7	7	6	7	7	7
106	PST-5MVD	6	7	7	7	8	7	7	7	7	7
107	RAD-TF-83	6	7	7	8	8	7	7	7	7	7
108	RAD-TF-88	5	6	6	7	7	7	6	7	6	7
109	BAR Fa 120878	5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
110	BAR Fa 121089	5	6	7	6	7	7	6	7	6	7

Table 1. Turf-type tall fescue quality ratings for 2015 (continued).

No.	Cultivar	Spring greenup	Quality ¹							Mean Q	Texture uniformity ²	Genetic color ³
			May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct				
111	BAR Fa 121091	5	6	7	7	7	6	6	7	6	7	
112	BAR Fa 121095	5	7	7	8	7	7	6	7	6	7	
113	PST-R5NW	5	7	7	7	8	7	7	7	7	7	
114	Burl TF-69	6	6	7	7	7	6	6	7	7	7	
115	Falcon IV	6	7	7	7	7	7	6	7	7	7	
116	Falcon V	6	7	7	8	8	8	7	7	7	7	
	LSD 0.05	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	

¹Quality rated on a 1 to 9 scale with 9 = best quality, 1 = lowest quality, and 6 = lowest commercially acceptable.

²Texture uniformity rated on a 1 to 9 scale with 9 = most uniform, 1 = least uniform, and 6 = lowest commercially acceptable.

³Genetic color rated on a 1 to 9 scale with 9 = darkest green, 1 = brown turf, and 6 = lowest commercially acceptable.