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ABSTRACT


An experiment was conducted in Heterodera glycines–infested fields in north central U.S. environments (21 sites in 1994 and 19 sites in 1995) to assess reproduction of this nematode. Two resistant and two susceptible soybean cultivars from each of the maturity groups (MG) I through IV were grown at each site in 6.1 m by 4 row plots. Soil samples were collected from each plot at planting and harvest and processed at Iowa State University to determine through IV were grown at each site in 6.1 m by 4 row plots. Soil samples were collected from each plot at planting and harvest and processed at Iowa State University to determine the initial (Pi) and final (Pf) population densities as eggs per 100 cm² of soil. Overall, reproduction (Pf/Pi) of H. glycines on susceptible cultivars in all MG was similar. Reproduction was higher on MG III and IV susceptible cultivars than on those in MG I and II. Resistant MG I and II cultivars reduced nematode population densities more consistently than those in MG III and IV. Reproduction of the nematode was similar among sites within the same maturity zone (MZ), defined as the areas of best adaptation of the corresponding MG. Nonetheless, careful monitoring of nematode population densities is necessary to assess changes that occur over time in individual fields.

Additional keywords: Glycine max, soybean maturity group, soybean maturity zone

The soybean cyst nematode, Heterodera glycines, is an economically important pathogen of soybean, Glycine max, in the north central region where most U.S. soybeans are produced (3). In north central states (Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, and Ohio) surveyed for the presence of H. glycines, 47 to 83% of soybean hectarage was found to be infested (13). One estimate of the soybean crop loss in north central states attributable to H. glycines parasitism was over $200 million annually (2). Significant yield losses can occur in the absence of aboveground symptoms (7), which delayed recognition of the extent of the problem in this region until the last decade. Recommendations for soybean management tactics in H. glycines–infested fields vary from state to state, but all have in common the use of resistant soybean cultivars as the first and most effective option (8). Nonetheless, H. glycines continues to spread, and development of resistant cultivars and other effective control options remains a priority.

Interactions between field populations of H. glycines and soybean cultivars within maturity groups adapted to the north central states have not been described. Consequently, a group of plant pathologists and nematologists from 10 north central states investigated the effects of soybean maturity group, resistance to H. glycines, and environment on the reproduction of H. glycines.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two H. glycines–resistant and two susceptible cultivars from each of four matur-

Table 1. Characteristics of soybean cultivars grown in 40 environments in maturity zones 1 to 4 in 1994 and 1995

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivar</th>
<th>Maturity group</th>
<th>Reaction to H. glycines⁵</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parker</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surdy</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP 1991</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>R (Peking)</td>
<td>AgriPro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>R (PI 88788)</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corsoy 79</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenwood</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newton</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>R (Peking)</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jack</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>R (PI 88788)</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resnik</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams 82</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFA 9043²</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>R (Peking)</td>
<td>Missouri Farmers Assoc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linford</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>R (PI 88788)</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flyer</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spencer</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharaoh</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>R (Peking)</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delsoy 4210</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>R (PI 88788)</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁵ S = susceptible to Heterodera glycines; R = resistant to H. glycines (source of resistance in parentheses).
² MFA 9045 was used in 1995.
Table 2. Test sites, soil texture, initial population densities, maturity zones (MZ), planting dates, and race tests in 1994 and 1995

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Test site</th>
<th>Soil texture</th>
<th>Pi*</th>
<th>MZ</th>
<th>Planting date*</th>
<th>Race test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>St. Charles, MI</td>
<td>Sandy loam</td>
<td>3,464</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Renville, MN</td>
<td>Clay loam</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jackson, MN</td>
<td>Loam</td>
<td>1,172</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hancock, WI</td>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>4,294</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kanawha, IA</td>
<td>Clay loam</td>
<td>1,293</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>May 9</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crawfordsville, IA</td>
<td>Clay loam</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>May 19</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ames, IA</td>
<td>Clay loam</td>
<td>3,998</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dowagiac, MI</td>
<td>Loamy sand</td>
<td>4,287</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tekamah, NE</td>
<td>Clay loam</td>
<td>5,112</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tekamah, NE</td>
<td>Loam</td>
<td>5,500</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Racine, WI</td>
<td>Clay loam</td>
<td>2,377</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Champaign, IL</td>
<td>Loam</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>May 19</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Champaign, IL</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>944</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>May 20</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lafayette, IN</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Romney, IN</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>1,032</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Severance, KS</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>May 13</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benton City, MO</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>2,058</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Edina, MO</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>2,316</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mt. Sterling, OH</td>
<td>Clay loam</td>
<td>3,995</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>May 12</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bucyrus, OH</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>8,519</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>May 5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbus, KS</td>
<td>Loam</td>
<td>1,482</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>St. Charles, MI</td>
<td>Sandy loam</td>
<td>5,677</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>May 18</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St. Charles, MI</td>
<td>Sandy loam</td>
<td>4,078</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>May 18</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sacred Heart, MN</td>
<td>Clay loam</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>May 30</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lake Crystal, MN</td>
<td>Clay loam</td>
<td>824</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kanawha, IA</td>
<td>Clay loam</td>
<td>3,208</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>May 12</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Muscatine, IA</td>
<td>Loamy sand</td>
<td>10,110</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>May 19</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ames, IA</td>
<td>Loam</td>
<td>2,180</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dwight, IL</td>
<td>Loam</td>
<td>1,664</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tekamah, NE</td>
<td>Loam</td>
<td>15,220</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>June 16</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tekamah, NE</td>
<td>Silty clay loam</td>
<td>10,102</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>June 16</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jerry City, OH</td>
<td>Sandy loam</td>
<td>4,399</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>May 23</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Racine, WI</td>
<td>Clay loam</td>
<td>1,162</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Champaign, IL</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>June 2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Newton, IL</td>
<td>Loam</td>
<td>29,765</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lafayette, IN</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>3,294</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>June 5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Romney, IN</td>
<td>Loam</td>
<td>6,036</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>June 6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rossville, KS</td>
<td>Sandy loam</td>
<td>11,970</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>May 16</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benton City, MO</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>5,855</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>June 19</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Edina, MO</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>6,110</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>West Milton, OH</td>
<td>Clay loam</td>
<td>13,629</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>June 8</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbus, KS</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>4,803</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Pi = number of eggs of *Heterodera glycines* per 100 cm³ soil at planting.

* Planting dates are given when exact date is known; for those not given, plants were planted on locally recommended dates.

* Race testing was conducted by local cooperators according to various protocols.

* St. Charles is located along the division line between maturity zones I and II. It was included in both maturity zones for analysis.

Table 3. Means of initial population (Pi) and reproduction (Rf) of the soybean cyst nematode on resistant and susceptible soybean cultivars within maturity zones (MZ) 1 to 4 in the north central region in 1994 and 1995

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>MZ</th>
<th>Pi*</th>
<th>Rf*</th>
<th>N*</th>
<th>Pi</th>
<th>Rf</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>631 b</td>
<td>0.62 a</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>871 b</td>
<td>7.59 b</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,380 a</td>
<td>0.66 a</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>1,380 a</td>
<td>5.25 b</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>364 c</td>
<td>1.12 a</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>468 c</td>
<td>6.03 b</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>661 abc</td>
<td>1.15 a</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>661 abc</td>
<td>43.65 a</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>832 b</td>
<td>0.81 a</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>851 b</td>
<td>5.37 a</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3,162 a</td>
<td>0.48 bc</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>2,951 a</td>
<td>2.34 b</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2,512 a</td>
<td>0.34 c</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>3,236 a</td>
<td>1.91 b</td>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* All data were transformed to log 10(Pi + 1) values for Pi or log 10[(Pi + 1)/(Pi + 1)] values for Rf before analysis. Means of non-transformed Pi or Rf are presented for clarity. Mean separation was performed with the least-squares means (LSMEANS) option of the general linear models (GLM) procedure of SAS. Means followed by the same letter within columns and the same year are not significantly different at P = 0.05. Number of sites in each maturity zone from 1 to 4 were 4, 8, 9, and 1 in 1994; from zone 1 to 3 were 4, 9, and 8 in 1995.

* F values of the 2 years: Fπ = 0.5973/0.2192 = 2.725, FRF = 0.7331/0.3930 = 1.865 (P = 0.05).

* Pi = number of eggs per 100 cm³ of soil at planting.

* Rf = (Pi + 1)/(Pi + 1), where Pi is the number of eggs per 100 cm³ of soil at or near harvest, and Pi is the number of eggs per 100 cm³ of soil at planting.

* Total number of observations. Only sites with complete data sets (four maturity groups at any site) were included for analysis.
ity groups (MG I through IV) (Table 1) were grown at each location in 1994 and 1995. Plots consisted of mostly medium-textured soil (loam, silt loam, clay loam, and silty loam) in both years (Table 2). Experimental locations were distributed within maturity zones (MZ) 1 to 4 in 10 states. MZ 1 to 4 are the geographic zones of best adaptation of the soybean MG I to IV, respectively. All field plots were naturally infested with *H. glycines*. Seeds were planted during the appropriate period for commercial soybean production at each location. Weed control at each location was accomplished with traditionally recommended herbicides and/or cultivation. At every location, individual plots consisted of four 6.1-m-long rows spaced 76 cm apart. Each cultivar was replicated four times in randomized complete blocks. Soil samples were taken at or shortly after planting but before any postplant cultivation for estimation of initial population densities (Pi) of *H. glycines*, and at or near harvest for final population densities (Pf).

Ten soil cores (19 mm diameter by 15 to 20 cm) were collected in a zig-zag pattern from the center 4.9-m length of the two center rows. A composite sample from each plot was sent to Iowa State University for cyst extraction and egg enumeration. Cysts were extracted from 100 cm³ subsamples with a semiautomatic elutriator (1). Eggs were released from extracted cysts with a motorized pestle (7) and counted under a dissecting microscope. Race determination tests were conducted locally by some cooperators using various protocols (e.g., 7).

All nematode data were transformed to log 10(x+1) values before analysis to reduce the correlation between means and variances. The effects of year, MZ, site, MG, and cultivar resistance were evaluated by means of the general linear models (GLM) procedure of SAS (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Means over MZ and MG were separated with least-squares estimates of

### Table 4. Analysis of variance for reproduction (Rf) of the soybean cyst nematode in the north central region in 1995

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>Pr &gt; F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MZ</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30.50</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site (MZ)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>157.50</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rep (MZ x site)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>44.00</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>163.51</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MZ x res</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>0.0533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MZ x MG</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>0.5290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG x res</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.5828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MZ x MG x res (MZ)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>0.0957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (MG x res)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>0.4336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site x res (MZ)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>55.52</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site x MG (MZ)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>24.78</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site x MG x res (MZ)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>26.22</td>
<td>0.1384</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Rf = (Pf + 1)/(Pi + 1) where Pf is the number of eggs per 100 cm³ of soil at or near harvest, and Pi is the number of eggs per 100 cm³ of soil at planting.

### Table 5. Means of initial population (Pi) and reproduction (Rf) of the soybean cyst nematode on resistant and susceptible soybean cultivars of maturity groups (MG) I through IV in four maturity zones combined in the north central region in 1994 and 1995

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>MG</th>
<th>Pi</th>
<th>Rf</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Pi</th>
<th>Rf</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>724 a</td>
<td>0.50 b</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>813 a</td>
<td>6.17 ab</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>813 a</td>
<td>0.56 b</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>933 a</td>
<td>4.79 b</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>513 b</td>
<td>1.02 a</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>676 a</td>
<td>6.76 ab</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>661 ab</td>
<td>1.10 a</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>741 a</td>
<td>8.71 a</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>2,630 a</td>
<td>0.39 b</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>2,239 b</td>
<td>1.91 b</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>2,344 a</td>
<td>0.38 b</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>2,754 ab</td>
<td>2.00 b</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>1,950 b</td>
<td>0.47 ab</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>2,818 a</td>
<td>2.95 a</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>2,399 ab</td>
<td>0.65 a</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>2,399 ab</td>
<td>3.24 a</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* All data were transformed to log 10(Pi + 1) values for Pi or log 10[(Pf + 1)/(Pi + 1)] values for Rf before analysis. Means of non-transformed Pi or Rf are presented for clarity. Means separation was performed with means (MEANS) option of the general linear models (GLM) procedure of SAS. Means followed by the same letter within columns are not significantly different at P = 0.05. Number of sites in each zone from 1 to 4 were 4, 8, 9, and 1 in 1994 and 4, 10, 8, and 1 in 1995.

### Table 6. Means of reproduction (Rf) of the soybean cyst nematode on resistant and susceptible soybean cultivars of maturity groups (MG) I through IV within maturity zones (MZ) 1 to 4 in the north central region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>MG</th>
<th>MZ 1</th>
<th>MZ 2</th>
<th>MZ 3</th>
<th>MZ 4</th>
<th>MZ 1</th>
<th>MZ 2</th>
<th>MZ 3</th>
<th>MZ 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.34 b</td>
<td>0.69 ab</td>
<td>0.47 b</td>
<td>0.30 c</td>
<td>0.34 a</td>
<td>5.00 a</td>
<td>5.01 b</td>
<td>69.18 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>0.59 ab</td>
<td>0.38 b</td>
<td>0.79 ab</td>
<td>0.32 bc</td>
<td>0.59 a</td>
<td>4.07 a</td>
<td>4.07 a</td>
<td>19.05 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>0.58 ab</td>
<td>0.98 a</td>
<td>1.32 a</td>
<td>2.34 ab</td>
<td>0.58 a</td>
<td>5.01 a</td>
<td>6.92 ab</td>
<td>38.90 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1.29 a</td>
<td>0.76 ab</td>
<td>1.26 a</td>
<td>2.57 a</td>
<td>1.29 a</td>
<td>7.08 a</td>
<td>9.33 a</td>
<td>70.79 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 1995 | I  | 0.63 b| 0.46 a| 0.26 b| ... | 0.63 a| 1.62 c| 1.32 ab| ...
|      | II | 0.65 ab| 0.37 a| 0.32 ab| ... | 0.65 a| 2.00 bc| 1.32 ab| ...
|      | III| 0.76 ab| 0.55 a| 0.30 ab| ... | 0.76 a| 2.82 ab| 2.57 a | ...
|      | IV | 1.48 a| 0.56 a| 0.51 a| ... | 1.48 a| 3.31 a| 3.16 a | ...

* Rf = (Pf + 1)/(Pi + 1), where Pf is the number of eggs per 100 cm³ of soil at or near harvest, and Pi is the number of eggs per 100 cm³ of soil at planting. All data were transformed to log 10(Pf + 1)/(Pi + 1) values before analysis. Means of nontransformed Rf are presented for clarity. Means separation was performed with the least-square means (LSMEANS) option of the general linear models (GLM) procedure of SAS. Means followed by the same letter within columns are not significantly different at P = 0.05. Number of sites in each zone from 1 to 4 were 4, 8, 9, and 1 in 1994 and 4, 9, and 8 in 1995. Data were incomplete for MZ 4 in 1995.
marginal means (LSMEANS) whenever possible. Relationships between reproduction (Rf, defined as \( [P_f + 1]/[P_i + 1] \)) and Pi at each location were analyzed by regression. Parameters (slopes, intercepts) of linear models generated at any location were compared with those at other locations within the same MZ to test differences between those models.

**RESULTS**

Pi and Rf were different between the 2 years. Higher Pi and lower Rf occurred in 1995 than in 1994, especially in MZ 2 and 3 (Table 3). Analysis of variance indicated that the 2 years had heterogeneous variances \((P = 0.05)\). Consequently, results from each year were analyzed separately. Reproduction of *H. glycines* was strongly influenced by local environments represented by site, MZ, their combinations, and soybean MG; however, interactions between MG and MZ were not significant in either year (Table 4; 1994 data not shown).

Reproduction on MG I to IV cultivars. In 1994, cultivars in MG III and IV supported greater *H. glycines* reproduction than those in MG I and II (Table 5). In MZ 1, resistant cultivars in MG I through III suppressed *H. glycines* reproduction (Rf < 1.0), whereas those in MG IV did not. MG I cultivars were the most effective in reducing *H. glycines* population densities (Table 6). In MZ 2, all resistant cultivars reduced *H. glycines* reproduction, with the greatest reduction on cultivars in MG II. In MZ 3, resistant cultivars in MG I and II suppressed *H. glycines* reproduction, but cultivars in MG III and IV allowed population densities to increase. The differences in *H. glycines* reproduction on resistant cultivars between MG I and II versus MG III and IV were also observed in MZ 4.

Reproduction on susceptible cultivars in MG I, II, III, and IV was similar within each MZ (Table 6). The average Pf on all susceptible cultivars was considerably higher than Pi in MZ 4.

In 1995, cultivars in MG III and IV similarly supported greater *H. glycines* reproduction than those in MG I and II, although overall Pi values were 2.8 to 4.1 times greater in 1995 than in 1994 (Table 5). In MZ 1, all resistant cultivars reduced *H. glycines* reproduction except those in MG IV; however, the reduction was less than that in 1994 (Table 6). All susceptible cultivars supported increases in *H. glycines* population densities. Susceptible cultivars in MG III and IV supported greater *H. glycines* reproduction in MZ 2 and 3 than in MZ 1 (Table 6).

**Relationships between Pi and reproduction (Rf).** Reproduction influenced by local environment (MZ and site) (Table 4); however, relationships between Pi and reproduction were similar regardless of locality (Table 7). Thirty-three of 40 Rf on Pi regressions (82.5%) were inverse for resistant cultivars. For susceptible cultivars, 38 of 40 (95%) were inverse. Slopes of regressions ranged from –0.44 to 1.28.

### Table 7. Regression of Heterodera glycines reproduction (Rf) on initial nematode population density (Pi) at tested sites in soybean maturity zones (MZ) 1, 2, and 3 in 1994 and 1995

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>MZ</th>
<th>Test site</th>
<th>Resistant</th>
<th>Susceptible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>Int.</td>
<td>( R^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>St. Charles, MI</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Renville, MN</td>
<td>–0.75</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Jackson, MN</td>
<td>–0.75</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hancock, WI</td>
<td>–0.87</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Kanawha, IA</td>
<td>–0.63</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Crawfordsville, IA</td>
<td>–0.54</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ames, IA</td>
<td>–1.00</td>
<td>3.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Dowagiak, MI</td>
<td>–0.73</td>
<td>2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tekamah, NE</td>
<td>–0.93</td>
<td>3.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tekamah, NE</td>
<td>–0.47</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Racine, WI</td>
<td>–1.83</td>
<td>4.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Champaign, IL</td>
<td>–0.56</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Champaign, IL</td>
<td>–1.24</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lafayette, IN</td>
<td>–0.55</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Romney, IN</td>
<td>–0.97</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Severance, KS</td>
<td>–0.95</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Benton City, MO</td>
<td>–0.60</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Edina, MO</td>
<td>–1.10</td>
<td>3.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mt. Sterling, OH</td>
<td>–0.58</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Bucyrus, OH</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>St. Charles, MI</td>
<td>–1.28</td>
<td>4.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>St. Charles, MI</td>
<td>–0.95</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sacred Heart, MN</td>
<td>–1.05</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Lake Crystal, MN</td>
<td>–1.02</td>
<td>2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Kanawha, IA</td>
<td>–1.04</td>
<td>2.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Muscatine, IA</td>
<td>–0.44</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ames, IA</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Dwight, IL</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tekamah, NE</td>
<td>–0.60</td>
<td>2.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tekamah, NE</td>
<td>–0.53</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Jerry City, OH</td>
<td>–0.52</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Racine, WI</td>
<td>–0.85</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Champaign, IL</td>
<td>–1.09</td>
<td>1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Newton, IL</td>
<td>–0.95</td>
<td>3.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lafayette, IN</td>
<td>–0.48</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Romney, IN</td>
<td>–0.58</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Rossville, KS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Benton City, MO</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Edina, MO</td>
<td>–0.51</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>West Milton, OH</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( R_f = \frac{P_f + 1}{P_i + 1} \)

\( R^2 \) presented in the tables are for linear models which are significant at \( P = 0.05 \).
Soybean MG influenced *H. glycines* reproduction in North Carolina, where MG V to VIII cultivars were tested (5). Cultivars in later MG tended to have a longer root growth period, which presumably provided additional time for nematode reproduction. The use of early-maturing cultivars has been proposed for use in conjunction with other tactics for management of *H. glycines* in North Carolina (6,11). In contrast, Todd (12) found little influence of soybean MG on *H. glycines* reproduction in MG III and IV cultivars tested in Kansas. In the present study, we observed that resistant cultivars of earlier MG appeared to be more effective in reducing nematode population density than those of later MG in all MZ tested. However, there were no significant differences in Rf on susceptible cultivars regardless of MG. The results suggest that although planting early-maturing resistant cultivars may reduce *H. glycines* RF and PF, the benefit of reducing nematode reproduction is unlikely to be sufficient to overcome the economic effects of lower yields that early-maturing cultivars would probably have in higher MZ. Planting early-maturing cultivars that are susceptible to the nematode provides no benefits in reducing nematode population densities and may result in lower yields than similar later maturing cultivars.

Of particular interest in our data were increases in the nematode population on resistant cultivars observed in both years. Resistant cultivars in MG IV (Pharaoh, Delsoy 4210) were not effective in reducing *H. glycines* PF in MZ 1 in either year and in MZ 3 and 4 in 1994, although PI were similar within MZ in both years. Similar results were observed with MG III resistant cultivars. In fact, increases in PI were observed at more than half of all test sites where the resistant cultivars Linford, MFA 9043, Pharaoh, and Delsoy were planted in 1994. Linford has only a moderate level resistance to both race 3 and 4 in Illinois (9). Our results suggest that environmental factors play a significant role in regulating nematode reproduction on these cultivars. The genetic makeup of the nematode population at the test sites also may have affected our results. However, the results of race tests cannot be compared because the tests were conducted locally according to various protocols. Race test results can differ among labs, even when protocols are similar (10). Resistant cultivar-location specificity should be considered when recommendations for resistant cultivars are to be made. However, resistant cultivars in MG I and II were not influenced as much by factors associated with MZ as were resistant cultivars in MG III and IV.

Calculation of reproduction is used widely in nematology as an indicator of host status; higher reproduction rates are generally associated with more compatible interactions. Hosts are considered resistant if RF < 1.0 where conducive environments for reproduction occur. By taking soil samples from the two center rows of each plot in this study, final populations were estimated from the stratum of maximum reproductive potential, due presumably to high root densities (4). Thus, PF and RF herein provide relative trends in many diverse environments. In addition, many factors other than host status and sampling can substantially affect RF. Soil properties (e.g., soil type, texture, and moisture content) and PI are the most important factors.

Rf usually is inversely related to PI; therefore, Rf at different locations can only be compared over a comparable range of PI. Alternatively, slopes associated with linear relationships between RF and PI provide a means to evaluate and compare fitness of particular hosts or locations for nematode reproduction. The intercepts of the regression lines can be used to estimate the minimum population densities that must occur to observe the decline in population densities due to competition and/or other density-related factors. Given the same PI, locations or crops with steeper slopes are likely to have smaller nematode population densities at the end of season. The significant differences in slopes and intercepts between resistant and susceptible cultivars within the same MZ reflected the fact that two host types have different influences on nematode reproduction. In spite of the differences in PI and RF among our test sites, slopes and intercepts appeared to be similar among test sites. Comparisons of slopes and intercepts indicated that sites in MZ 1 appeared more homogeneous than those in MZ 2 and 3. The heterogeneity found in MZ 2 and 3 indicates careful monitoring is warranted when management decisions are to be made for soybean production in *H. glycines*-infested fields.
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### Table 8. Comparisons of slopes and intercepts derived from regression linear models (log 10RF = slope × log 10(Pi+1) + intercept) of tested sites for each host reaction type (resistant and susceptible) within the same maturity zone (MZ) and the same yeara

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MZ</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Resistant</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>Intercept</th>
<th>Susceptible</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>Intercept</th>
<th>Resistant/susceptibleb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td></td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>2/6</td>
<td></td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>1/6</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>1/11</td>
<td>1/11</td>
<td></td>
<td>1/21</td>
<td>5/21</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a There was only one location in zone 1. RF = (PF + 1)/(Pi + 1), where PF is the number of eggs per 100 cm³ of soil at or near harvest, and Pi is the number of eggs per 100 cm³ of soil at planting.

b Regression models derived from resistant or susceptible cultivars at each location within the same maturity zone and the same year are compared. In each maturity zone and each year, the total number of pair comparisons of linear models for slopes and intercepts are indicated by numbers in denominator. Among those pair comparisons, incidences when slopes or intercepts were significantly different (P = 0.05) are indicated by numbers in numerator.

c The regression model derived from all pooled resistant cultivars within each maturity zone in each year is compared with the model from all pooled susceptible cultivars. S, NS are significant, not significant (P = 0.05), respectively.
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