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Abstract

1 Earlier population genetic spatial analysis of European corn borer Ostrinia nubilalis (Hübner) indicated no genetic differentiation even between locations separated by 720 km. This result suggests either high dispersal resulting in high gene flow or that populations are not in migration–drift equilibrium subsequent to their invasion of the central U.S.A. in the 1940s.

2 To discriminate among these two possibilities, samples were collected at 12 locations in eight states from New York to Colorado, a geographic scale that is three-fold greater than previously tested. Eight microsatellite markers were employed to estimate genetic differentiation and gene flow among these populations, and to test for isolation-by-distance.

3 Although pairwise $F_{ST}$ estimates were very low, there was a significant isolation-by-distance relationship.

4 Wright’s neighbourhood area (i.e. the surface area covered by a panmictic group of individuals within a larger continuous distribution) was calculated as 433 km$^2$, and the radius indicates that approximately 13% of O. nubilalis adults disperse a net distance >12 km per generation from their natal source.

5 Analyses indicated significant differentiation between the north-eastern region (New York and Pennsylvania) and the region combining sample locations from Ohio to Colorado, suggesting the potential for isolation of populations by topographic barriers in the Northeast.

6 Taken together, the results suggest that O. nubilalis exhibits substantial gene flow over long distances and that the lack of genetic differentiation between populations across hundreds of kilometres is not simply a result of migration–drift disequilibrium arising from the recent range expansion.
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Introduction

The European corn borer Ostrinia nubilalis (Hübner) was introduced at least thrice to North America from Europe in the early 20th Century (Caffrey & Worthley, 1927), and then proceeded to invade nearly all corn producing regions of the U.S.A. and Canada east of the Rocky Mountains (Showers, 1979; Mason et al., 1996). It is a chronic pest of corn that causes substantial losses on an annual basis in many areas, but it is quite difficult to control with chemical insecticides because of its ability to bore into the stalk where it is sheltered from contact sprays (Mason et al., 1996; Rice & Pitcher, 1998). The introduction of transgenic Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) corn in the U.S.A. in 1997 has revolutionized O. nubilalis management,
providing essentially 100% protection from this pest over the entire season (Graeber et al., 1999; Ma & Subedi, 2005).

The very high adoption rate of this technology in the U.S.A. (James, 2010) and the resulting high selection pressure increases the risk that resistance will evolve in an *O. nubilalis* population, followed by geographic spread of the resistance trait and loss of the yield protection provided by Bt transgenic technology (Bravo & Soberón, 2008; Tabashnik et al., 2008a, b, 2009; MaIntosh, 2009; Lopez et al., 2010). To slow resistance development, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency requires growers to plant a refuge of non-Bt corn serving as a nursery for susceptible moths that would mate with any resistant moths surviving and emerging from Bt plants (EPA, 2001; Ives & Andow, 2002; Bravo & Soberón, 2008; Tabashnik et al., 2008a, 2009). The rate at which resistance, or any other local adaptation, may develop and spread depends critically on gene flow (Slatkin, 1987; Caprio & Tabashnik, 1992; Andow, 2002; Manel et al., 2003; Sisterson et al., 2004). Therefore, to improve the modelling of resistance development and to forecast the spread of Bt resistant moths so that rational control strategies may be implemented if resistance does arise, it would be useful to understand *O. nubilalis* dispersal behaviour and dispersal distances relative to the location and timing of mating and oviposition (Dalecky et al., 2006a; Reardon et al., 2006a; Bailey et al., 2007; Tyutyunov et al., 2008). Population genetics analyses are useful for examining patterns of dispersal over even great geographic scales (Kim et al., 2006; Jiang et al., 2007, 2010; Nagoshi et al., 2009).

*Ostrinia nubilalis* is distributed through much of the U.S. Corn Belt as an essentially continuous population without obvious environmental barriers to adult movement. Genetic differentiation can take place within a continuous distribution based on distance alone, depending on effective dispersal distances of individuals within the population, resulting in a genetic pattern of isolation-by-distance (Wright, 1943; Slatkin, 1987). To conceptualize functional populations within a continuous distribution, Wright (1946) introduced the idea of the neighbourhood. Neighbourhood size is defined as the number of local individuals within the larger population that interact as if they are effectively in a panmictic (i.e. random mating) population. The neighbourhood area is the two-dimensional space occupied by those individuals (Wright, 1946; Slatkin & Barton, 1989; Rousset, 1997; Allendorf & Luikart, 2007). Knowing the neighbourhood area of *O. nubilalis* within the larger continuous distribution is important for determining the most efficient sampling strategy to monitor development of resistance to Bt corn (Ives & Andow, 2002; Kim et al., 2009) because, conceptually, it is a measure of the typical distance that genes move per generation.

Kim et al. (2009) examined genetic variation at eight microsatellite loci to estimate gene flow along 720-km transects in the central Corn Belt of the U.S.A., although they found that, even at these long distances, populations were undifferentiated, and there was no significant isolation-by-distance pattern. Typically, a lack of genetic differentiation is caused by high gene flow over the spatial dimensions tested. In the case of *O. nubilalis*, however, it might also be caused by a lack of genetic drift–migration equilibrium among populations resulting from its westward range expansion across the U.S.A. several decades ago (Slatkin, 1987; Hutchison & Templeton, 1999). Temporal analyses (Wang & Whitlock, 2003) in four locations in Iowa suggested that high gene flow may best explain the observed lack of spatial differentiation (Kim et al., 2009). It is important to conclude which of these two alternatives is valid because, if gene flow is as high as suggested by the previous data, it has important implications for predicting the rate of geographic spread of resistance. High gene flow also limits options and time-frames for effective mitigation measures once resistance is detected, and an awareness of these limits is essential for realistic planning. Conversely, if the observed spatial homogeneity of genetic variation is a remnant signature of the North American invasion event, then reliable spatial estimates of gene flow will be difficult to obtain at this stage.

In the present study, we sampled and genotyped *O. nubilalis* at a geographic scale (2179 km, maximum), which is approximately three-fold greater than in the previous study. Our premise is that if genetic differentiation and isolation-by-distance patterns are not observed at such a large scale, it is probably because there has been insufficient time subsequent to the invasion of the continent for distant populations to have accumulated genetic differences via drift (Slatkin, 1987, 1993; Wang & Whitlock, 2003). On the other hand, genetic differentiation and a pattern of isolation-by-distance at this larger spatial scale would support the idea that high gene flow is responsible for the observed lack of genetic structure at smaller scales rather than insufficient time for genetic drift to generate differences (Hutchison & Templeton, 1999; Wang & Whitlock, 2003). Finding an appropriate geographic scale over which isolation-by-distance can be detected (Hellberg, 2009) is important for estimating neighbourhood size, and hence the neighbourhood area and dispersal distance. In the present study, we calculated the neighbourhood area of *O. nubilalis* based on the observed isolation-by-distance relationship reported across much of its range in the U.S.A. from Colorado to New York.

**Materials and methods**

**Geographic samples of *O. nubilalis***

Adult male European corn borers were sampled at 12 sites from Colorado to New York (Fig. 1). One of the locations, near Arcadia, Iowa (AIA), was sampled during the second flight in 2006 as part of a previous study (Kim et al., 2009). The collection from Oaks Corners, New York (OCNY), was made in 2008. All other samples were collected during the second flight in 2007. Temporal analysis in single locations indicated very little genetic change over two generations (Kim et al., 2009), and thus the two populations sampled in different years can be used for the spatial analysis in the present study. Samples from Illinois, Indiana and Ohio were collected from grassy adult aggregation sites (Showers et al., 1976; Sappington & Showers, 1983) with a sweep net. All other locations were sampled with cone-style traps (Reardon et al., 2006b; Kim et al., 2009), each baited with a Z-race pheromone lure (Trécé Inc., Adair, Oklahoma).
Gene flow among European corn borer populations

Figure 1 Geographic locations of Ostrinia nubilalis adult samples. SCO, Sterling, Colorado; YCO, Yuma, Colorado; NPNE, North Platte, Nebraska; AIA, Arcadia, Iowa; MIL, Monmouth, Illinois; WIL, Waterloo, Illinois; WIN, Wanatah, Indiana; FIN, Frankfort, Indiana; CCIN, Columbia City, Indiana; BOH, Bowersville, Ohio; RPA, Rockspring, Pennsylvania; OCNY, Oaks Corners, New York.

Genotyping

Genomic DNA from individual moths was extracted using the Puregene Core Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, California) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. Eight European corn borer microsatellite loci, On-T2, On-T3 and On-T4 from Kim et al. (2008), and D63, D65, D145, D243 and T81 from Dalecky et al. (2006b), were selected to infer the population genetic structure based on low frequencies of null alleles and deviation from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE), adequate polymorphism, and ease of scoring and multiplexing, as previously described by Kim et al. (2009). The microsatellites were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in two separate multiplex reactions for each insect: multiplex 1: D63, D65, D145, D243 and T81; multiplex 2: On-T2, OnT-3 and OnT-4. The loci were amplified from 57 to 60 individuals per population (except OCNY, where n = 33) using the Qiagen Multiplex Kit in accordance with the protocol previously described by Dalecky et al. (2006a). The PCR fragments were analyzed by capillary gel electrophoresis and genotypes were determined as previously described by Kim et al. (2009). Ambiguous genotypes were determined by visual inspection. When necessary (approximately 5% of moths), individual loci were re-amplified with single primer pairs and re-analyzed to clarify ambiguous genotypes.

Statistical analysis

Within-population genetic diversity was gauged by allelic diversity per locus per population, observed heterozygosity ($H_o$) and unbiased estimates of expected heterozygosity ($H_E$) (Nei, 1987) under Hardy–Weinberg assumptions using the Microsatellite Toolkit (Park, 2001) and fstat, version 2.9.3 (Goudet, 1995). $F$-statistics (Weir & Cockerham, 1984) and $R_{ST}$ (Goodman, 1997) for each locus, as well as pairwise $F_{ST}$ estimates, were calculated by fstat, version 2.9.3 (Goudet, 1995); significance values were calculated using a permutation approach implemented in the same software. A significant difference between observed and expected heterozygosity results in a significant $F_{IS}$ value, and may indicate the presence of null alleles, the Wahlund effect or some other anomaly. $F_{ST}$ estimates are potentially in the range 0–1, and are a measure of how genetically different two populations are at selectively neutral loci, with an $F_{ST}$ of 0 indicating that no genetic differentiation has occurred, and a value of 1 indicating that the two populations share no genotypes in common.

Linkage disequilibrium between locus pairs, and deviation from HWE for each locus and population were checked by the exact probability test approach (Guo & Thompson, 1992) using genepop, version 4.0.6 (Raymond & Rousset, 1995). The sequential Bonferroni correction was applied when determining significance in multiple comparisons (Rice, 1989). Excessive deviation from HWE would indicate violation of the assumptions of population genetics analyses such as nonrandom mating or a lack of selective neutrality.

The possible presence of null alleles was assessed using MICRO-CHECKER (Van Oosterhout et al., 2004). Null alleles are caused by mutations in the flanking region of a microsatellite locus, resulting in lack of binding by one of the PCR primers and a consequent lack of amplification of the locus. They are
a problem because non-amplification of one allele in a heterozygote results in only one allele being detected and a false inference that the individual is a homozygote for the allele that did amplify. Null alleles are especially frequent in Lepidoptera (Meglécz et al., 2004; Zhang, 2004). Because most of the loci and populations appeared to possess a low frequency of null alleles (see Results), all pairwise $F_{ST}$ were corrected by the ENA (excluding null alleles) procedure of Chapuis and Estoup (2007) using the freenua software package (http://www1.montpellier.inra.fr/URLB/). All values of $F_{ST}$ reported in the present study are ENA-corrected values, except where noted.

The software genalex, version 6.1 (Peakall & Smouse, 2006) was used to carry out a principal coordinate (PCO) analysis using the ENA-corrected pairwise $F_{ST}$ estimates among *O. nubilalis* samples and a covariance matrix. A scatter diagram was plotted based on factor scores along the two PCO axes accounting for the most variation. Such a diagram facilitates visualization of geometric relationships among *O. nubilalis* samples.

Isolation-by-distance (Wright, 1943) was inferred from the regression of $F_{ST}/(1 – F_{ST})$ on geographic distance between all pairs of sample locations. Because the sampling scheme was closer to one-dimensional (i.e. linear) than two-dimensional (Fig. 1), untransformed distance (km) was used for regression rather than the logarithm of distance, as recommended by Rousset (1997). The relationship between matrices for two variables, and the probability that there is no relationship based on 9999 permutations of samples, were calculated using the Matrix Comparison option in ntsyspc, version 1.70 (Rohlf, 1992).

On the basis of the results of the PCO analysis, the 12 locations we sampled were divided into two regions: (i) north-eastern, consisting of Rocksprings, Pennsylvania (RPA) and Oaks Corner, New York (OCNY), and (ii) central-western, consisting of the remaining ten sites from Ohio to Colorado. Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) for the hierarchical partitioning of genetic variation among populations and regions, and calculation of regional $F$-statistics ($F_{ST}$, $F_{SR}$, $F_{ST}$, $F_{IS}$ and $F_{IT}$) and their significance using the permutation approach, were carried out using the programme genalex (Peakall & Smouse, 2006). An AMOVA provides an estimate of the proportion of genetic diversity within and between populations, or among groups of populations that are categorized based on criteria such as region.

Neighbourhood size is given by $4\pi D\sigma^2$, where $D$ is population density and $\sigma^2$ is the one-way variance in parent–offspring dispersal distance (Wright, 1946; Slatkin & Barton, 1989; Rousset, 1997; Sumner et al., 2001; Manel et al., 2003). This value ($4\pi D\sigma^2$) can be estimated from an isolation-by-distance relationship as the inverse of the slope of pairwise genetic differences [expressed as $F_{ST}/(1 – F_{ST})$] regressed on pairwise geographic distances (Slatkin & Barton, 1989; Rousset, 1997, 2000; Cabe et al., 2007). Neighbourhood area is given by $4\pi \sigma^2$ and represents the area of a circle anywhere within which the parents of a zygote could have been drawn at random from a panmictic population (Endler, 1979; Allendorf & Luikart, 2007; Ishida, 2009). Thus, neighbourhood area reflects variation in net dispersal distances of individuals from their natal source (King & Murtaugh, 1997). Wright’s neighbourhood area circle contains 86.5% of individuals dispersing from its centre per generation, and its radius is $2\sigma$ (Wright, 1946; Boshier et al., 1995; King & Murtaugh, 1997; Kitamura et al., 2008). Therefore, the radius, which represents a measure of gene flow, can be calculated from an estimate of $4\pi D\sigma^2$ if an independent demographic estimate of $D$ can be obtained (Rousset, 1997; Sumner et al., 2001).

Density of *O. nubilalis* adults per km$^2$ was calculated from flush sample data for the first flight of 2004 in Marshall County, Iowa, as reported in table 3 of Sappington (2005). The data were collected from grassy roadside ditches, where moths preferentially aggregate during the day (Showers et al., 1976; Sappington & Showers, 1983), and were segregated by the presence of corn on one, both, or neither side of the road. To obtain an estimate of the mean density of moths, the data for these categories were adjusted for the proportion of farmland acres planted to corn (0.427) in Marshall County (http://www.city-data.com/county/Marshall_County-IA.html) and summed, yielding an estimate of 37 moths per 100 m of roadside ditch (assuming typical 3-m wide ditches). In much of rural Iowa, farmland is laid out in 1-mile$^2$ (1.609-km$^2$) grid-like sections, with roads (and accompanying ditches) on each of the four sides. Under the simplifying assumption that the density of adult *O. nubilalis* is represented adequately by those found in the grass around these sections, we calculated a mean of 919 adults per km$^2$. Some moths aggregate in grass in fences and waterways within the sections, and a small proportion may remain in the cornfield itself as well, so that any calculations made under this assumption will tend to underestimate the true number of total moths per km$^2$. On the other hand, not all parts of all ditches are equally suitable for adult habitation. Although sample locations were chosen without previous information being available on moth abundance, stretches of ditch with a habitat clearly unsuitable for adults were avoided (Sappington, 2005). Thus, the number of moths per linear km of ditch observed in the flush samples probably overestimates the true density along the entire length of the ditches. Given these caveats, the estimate of density in the present study is imprecise, although probably not unreasonable.

## Results

### Allele frequency and within-population diversity

A total of 86 alleles across eight microsatellite loci were observed for 682 *O. nubilalis* individuals from the 12 locations sampled (Table 1). The number of alleles per locus ranged from 7 in On-T2 to 18 in T81 (mean 10.8). Fourteen of 86 alleles were unique to a single location. Most of the unique alleles were observed in north-eastern sites: seven in Rocksprings, Pennsylvania (RPA) and four in Oaks Corners, New York (OCNY). However, all unique alleles occurred at very low frequencies (<0.025), except one On-T2 allele found only in Oaks Corners, New York (OCNY) that was sampled at a frequency of 0.061. There was no evidence of linkage disequilibrium between any loci within or across populations (data not shown).

Mean frequencies of null alleles for each locus ranged from 0.000 for D243 to 0.109 for On-T4 (Table 1). Reduction in observed heterozygosity from the expected heterozygosity...
under HWE, indicated by the $F_{IS}$ estimate, was significant for all but one locus, and ranged from −0.051 in D243 to 0.273 in On-T4. Null alleles were likely present at more than one locus in all *O. nubilalis* samples except Oaks Corners, New York (OCNY), and all samples deviating from HWE were estimated to have had at least one locus containing a null allele (Table 2). The On-T4 locus appeared to have null alleles present in ten of 12 populations, whereas there was no evidence for null alleles to have had at least one locus containing a null allele (Table 2).

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Geographical positioning system coordinates</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Allelic diversity</th>
<th>$H_O$</th>
<th>$H_E$</th>
<th>$F_{ST}^b$</th>
<th>$P^c$</th>
<th>Number (identity) of loci deviating from HWE</th>
<th>Number (identity) of loci with null allele$^\dagger$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sterling, Colorado</td>
<td>N40 40.222</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td>0.175*</td>
<td>1 (On-T4)</td>
<td>0 (On-T4, D65, T81)</td>
<td>3 (On-T4, D65, T81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuma, Colorado</td>
<td>N40 13.177</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.529</td>
<td>0.585</td>
<td>0.096$^\text{NS}$</td>
<td>2 (On-T4, T81)</td>
<td>0 (On-T4, T81)</td>
<td>2 (On-T4, T81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Platte, Nebraska</td>
<td>N41 05.378</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td>0.574</td>
<td>0.166*</td>
<td>2 (On-T3, On-T4)</td>
<td>0 (On-T3, On-T4, T81)</td>
<td>3 (On-T3, On-T4, T81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arcadia, Iowa</td>
<td>N42 02.860</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.526</td>
<td>0.609</td>
<td>0.136*</td>
<td>2 (On-T4, T81)</td>
<td>0 (On-T4, T81)</td>
<td>3 (On-T3, On-T4, T81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth, Illinois</td>
<td>N40 52.430</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.540</td>
<td>0.584</td>
<td>0.077$^\text{NS}$</td>
<td>1 (T81)</td>
<td>0 (T81)</td>
<td>1 (T81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterloo, Illinois</td>
<td>N35 14.696</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>0.614</td>
<td>0.129*</td>
<td>3 (On-T2, On-T4, T81)</td>
<td>0 (On-T2, On-T4)</td>
<td>2 (On-T2, On-T4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wanatallah, Indiana</td>
<td>N41 23.159</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>0.494</td>
<td>0.584</td>
<td>0.156*</td>
<td>3 (On-T2, On-T4, D65)</td>
<td>0 (On-T2, On-T3, On-T4, D65)</td>
<td>5 (On-T2, On-T3, On-T4, D65, T81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frankfort, Indiana</td>
<td>N40 14.814</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td>0.151*</td>
<td>1 (On-T3)</td>
<td>0 (On-T2, On-T3, On-T4, D65, T81)</td>
<td>4 (On-T2, On-T3, On-T4, D65, T81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia City, Indiana</td>
<td>N41 04.990</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.484</td>
<td>0.596</td>
<td>0.189*</td>
<td>3 (On-T2, On-T4, T81)</td>
<td>0 (On-T2, On-T3, On-T4, D65, T81)</td>
<td>5 (On-T2, On-T3, On-T4, D65, T81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowersville, Ohio</td>
<td>N39 38.735</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.610</td>
<td>0.133*</td>
<td>3 (On-T2, D65, D66)</td>
<td>0 (On-T2, On-T3, On-T4, D65, T81)</td>
<td>3 (On-T2, On-T3, On-T4, D65, T81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockspring, Pennsylvania</td>
<td>N40 42.196</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.536</td>
<td>0.648</td>
<td>0.174*</td>
<td>3 (On-T2, On-T4, D65)</td>
<td>0 (On-T2, On-T3, On-T4, D65, T81)</td>
<td>3 (On-T2, On-T3, On-T4, D65, T81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oaks Corners, New York</td>
<td>N42 0.934</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.578</td>
<td>0.628</td>
<td>0.082$^\text{NS}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 (On-T4)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^\dagger$Significance level for $F_{ST}$ within samples based on 1920 randomizations. Indicative adjusted nominal level (5%, marked with an asterisk if significant at this level) for multiple testing is 0.00052.

$^\ddagger$Probability that sample-wide deviation from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) is by chance alone, based on Fisher’s method.

$^\S$Based on MICRO-CHECKER analysis (Van Oosterhout et al., 2004).
alleles at the D243 locus in any of the samples. Exact tests for deviations from HWE across all loci revealed that ten of 12 samples were significantly out of equilibrium after correction for multiple testing. All deviations from HWE were in the direction of deficiency of heterozygotes (Table 2), as expected if the deviations are caused by one or more null alleles. Such consistent heterozygote deficiency is striking, although a high frequency of null alleles plague microsatellites in Lepidoptera (Meglécz et al., 2004; Zhang, 2004; Van’t Hof et al., 2007) and has been previously observed in O. nubilalis as well (Daleyck et al., 2006b; Kim et al., 2008), making development of suitable markers for population genetics studies difficult (Coates et al., 2005). Analyses of families from laboratory crosses of moths originating in central Iowa have confirmed the presence of null alleles for at least On-T2, On-T3, On-T4, D63 and D65 (K. S. Kim and T. W. Sappington, unpublished data).

Allelic diversity, $H_E$ and $H_O$ all indicate high levels of genetic diversity across all populations (Table 2). Allelic diversity ranged from 6.0 in Monmouth, Illinois (MIL) to 7.5 in Rockspring, Pennsylvania (RPA), averaging 6.5. $H_E$ ranged from 0.574 in North Platte, Nebraska (NPNE) to 0.648 in Rockspring, Pennsylvania (RPA), averaging 0.601. There were no significant differences in genetic diversity across locations ($KW$ statistic = 3.589, $P = 0.980$ for allelic diversity; $KW$ statistic = 3.001, $P = 0.9981$ for $H_E$).

Genetic structure within and among O. nubilalis samples

The global estimate of $F_{ST}$ across all loci and all populations was very low but significant (Table 1) (uncorrected $F_{ST} = 0.003$; ENA-corrected $F_{ST}$ for null alleles = 0.004). Corrected pairwise $F_{ST}$ estimates across all loci among the 12 O. nubilalis samples ranged from −0.004 to 0.012 (Table 3). Permutation tests indicated that allelic differentiation was significant in 19 of the 66 pairwise comparisons (Table 3). Most of the significant pairwise differentiation involved populations at the extreme eastern [Oaks Corners, New York (OCNY) and Rockspring, Pennsylvania (RPA)] and western [Yuma, Colorado (YCO)] sample locations. Surprisingly, a different Colorado location, Sterling (SCO), showed no differentiation from any other location, whereas the centrally-located Monmouth, Illinois (MIL) population was significantly differentiated from a number of populations including relatively nearby samples from Arcadia, Iowa (AIA) and Franklin, Indiana (FIN).

Relationships among O. nubilalis samples based on microsatellite genotype data were visualized by PCo analysis (Fig. 2). The first two axes together accounted for 73.2% of the variation. Factor scores along axis 1 clearly highlight the relative genetic distance of the north-eastern [Rockspring, Pennsylvania (RPA) and Oaks Corners, New York (OCNY)] populations from the central and western samples. The Monmouth, Illinois (MIL) and North Platte, Nebraska (NPNE) samples stood out at the other extreme of axis 1.

Table 3 Corrected $F_{ST}$ estimates (below diagonal) and significance of permutation tests for allelic differentiation (above diagonal) across eight microsatellite loci in pairwise comparisons of the Ostrinia nubilalis samples from 12 locations of the U.S.A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SCO</th>
<th>YCO</th>
<th>NPNE</th>
<th>AIA</th>
<th>MIL</th>
<th>WIL</th>
<th>WIN</th>
<th>FIN</th>
<th>CCIN</th>
<th>BOH</th>
<th>RPA</th>
<th>OCNY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCO</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YCO</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPNE</td>
<td>−0.003</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIA</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>−0.002</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIL</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIN</td>
<td>−0.001</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>−0.001</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIN</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCIN</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>−0.001</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOH</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>−0.001</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPA</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCNY</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>−0.001</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001. Probability values are based on 999 permutations.

NS, not significant.

SCO, Sterling, Colorado; YCO, Yuma, Colorado; NPNE, North Platte, Nebraska; AIA, Arcadia, Iowa; MIL, Monmouth, Illinois; WIL, Waterloo, Illinois; WIN, Wanatah, Indiana; FIN, Frankfort, Indiana; CCIN, Columbia City, Indiana; BOH, Bowersville, Ohio; RPA, Rockspring, Pennsylvania; OCNY, Oaks Corners, New York.
Although axis 2 accounted for almost 30% of the total variation in the data, the relative positions of the *O. nubilalis* samples along that axis did not show any obvious clustering or directionality related to geography.

There is a significant genetic isolation by geographic distance relationship over all samples \((y = 0.00159 + 0.000002515x; P = 0.002; r^2 = 0.136)\). The isolation-by-distance relationship disappears \(r^2 = 0.0282, P = 0.110\) when both Pennsylvania and New York are excluded based on their clustering in the PCo analysis (Fig. 2), suggesting that differences between the eastern *O. nubilalis* samples and others may drive the isolation-by-distance relationship. However, when the Sterling, Colorado (SCO) population near the western extreme of the geographic range sampled also is excluded, based on its surprising lack of any significant pairwise *F*\(_{ST}\) estimates [compared with nearby Yuma, Colorado (YCO)] (Table 3), the isolation-by-distance relationship was significant with a slope similar to that when all locations are included \((y = 0.00204 + 0.000002510x; P = 0.044; r^2 = 0.108)\).

AMOVA analysis among the 12 *O. nubilalis* samples revealed that most of the genetic variation was partitioned to within- and among individuals (Table 4). Genetic differences were examined between the north-eastern region [Rockspring, Pennsylvania (RPA) and Oaks Corners, New York (OCNY)] and the region combining all locations from Ohio westward. The difference was low but significant \((F_{ST} = 0.006)\) and was six-fold higher than that among populations within region \((F_{SR} = 0.001)\), supporting the relative isolation of *O. nubilalis* populations between the north-eastern and the central-western regions, which are separated by the Appalachian Mountains.

Neighbourhood size for *O. nubilalis* \((4\pi D\sigma^2)\) was calculated as the inverse of the isolation-by-distance regression slope: \(1/0.000002515 \approx 400,000\). Given the density \((D)\) estimate of 919 adults/km\(^2\), variance in dispersal distance \((\sigma^2)\) was calculated as approximately 34, and neighbourhood area \((4\pi\sigma^2)\) as approximately 430 km\(^2\). The radius of the neighbourhood area \((2\sigma)\) is thus approximately 12 km. This means that after dispersal, approximately 87% of adults are located within 12 km of the egg mass from which they originated. Conversely, it means that approximately 13% of *O. nubilalis* adults disperse a net distance >12 km from their natal site per generation.

Discussion

In the present study, we found low, but significant, genetic differentiation between *O. nubilalis* populations from a number of locations across the northern U.S.A. We also found a significant isolation-by-distance relationship across the locations when examined at the level of population means. This relationship is weak but, together with the results of temporal analyses (Kim et al., 2009), we tentatively conclude that the lack of genetic differentiation between locations along the full length of two 720-km transects in the central Corn Belt in our earlier study is largely a result of high gene flow in the geographic region studied rather than to invasion history.

Other studies reporting low genetic differentiation among *O. nubilalis* populations in the Great Plains of the U.S.A. (Krumm et al., 2008) and in France (Bourguet et al., 2000a; Martel et al., 2003; Leniaud et al., 2006; Malaura et al., 2007) are consistent with our conclusion of high gene flow over long distances. Furthermore, the significant isolation-by-distance relationship that we observed allowed us to calculate neighbourhood size and neighbourhood area for *O. nubilalis*. The estimates are of course approximate but provide an indication of the scale at which dispersal and gene flow are occurring in this species. The estimate of neighbourhood area is large, being almost one-third the mean size of an Iowa county. The neighbourhood radius of 12 km represents the net displacement of only approximately 87% of adults, so that 13% disperse >12 km per generation. The ability to disperse such distances is consistent with observations from mark–recapture experiments where dispersal to 49 km was demonstrated (Showers et al., 2001), as well as with species and trait range expansion data (Caffrey & Worthley, 1927; Chiang, 1972; Showers et al., 1995), water crossings (Caffrey & Worthley, 1927; Bretherton & Chalmers-Hunt, 1989; Langmaid & Young, 2006), and flight mill studies (Dorhout et al., 2008).

Estimates of *O. nubilalis* effective population size from temporal analyses of genetic variation were large (Kim et al., 2009). Similarly, our estimate of the number of individuals comprising a panmictic breeding group (approximately 400,000), or neighbourhood size, is quite large, such that the rate of differentiation through genetic drift is expected to be slow. Our finding of significant isolation-by-distance despite the genetic homogenization that may have occurred in the wake of the range expansion across the northern U.S.A., which ended 30–40 years ago, suggests that drift has had time to create genetic differences and that gene flow is restricted over the large geographic scale tested. Nevertheless, the low slope of the isolation-by-distance regression line, in combination with several other lines of evidence noted above, suggests that gene flow in *O. nubilalis* commonly occurs over great distances. However, the sampled landscape in the Midwest Corn Belt is relatively uniform and without major topographical features. It is possible that the significant isolation-by-distance pattern we observed is the result of greater genetic isolation of the two eastern populations where the landscape is not so uniform and where there may be more opportunity for genetic drift to affect neutral variation. The Appalachian Mountains are a significant landscape barrier to gene flow and dispersal for many faunal groups, including insects (Schultheis et al., 2002; Heilveil & Berlocher, 2006).

There are some anomalies in the results obtained in the present study emphasizing the complexity of gene flow and dispersal in *O. nubilalis*. For example, closer examination of the Monmouth, Illinois (MIL) population revealed significant differentiation from a number of other populations relatively nearby (354 and 396 km), whereas it was not differentiated from other populations much further away geographically (848 and 1056 km). Not surprisingly, the Yuma, Colorado (YCO) population near the western extreme of the range sampled was genetically differentiated from several other populations. Oddly, however, the nearby (64 km) Sterling, Colorado (SCO) population was not significantly differentiated from any other population, including the most distant locations in the North-east (>2100 km). The reasons for such anomalies are unclear.
Cornfields in Colorado and western Nebraska are usually irrigated and can be relatively isolated. Thus, facultative ranging in search of suitable oviposition and adult aggregation sites may result in differences in distance and patterns of dispersal compared with regions further east where rainfall and the percentage of corn in the landscape are greater. Theoretically, human-mediated transport can provide a means of dispersal, although, currently, there is no obvious mechanism for large-scale long-distance transport of *O. nubilalis* by normal human agricultural practices. Although transport in harvested seed corn ears may be possible (Prasifka et al., 2006), survival in harvested commercial grain is effectively nil (Wilde, 1976). Regardless, the environments of the Yuma, Colorado (YCO) and Sterling, Colorado (SCO) locations do not differ in any noticeable way.

Population genetics studies of *O. nubilalis* in France, where post-invasion disequilibrium is not an issue, have revealed a strikingly similar pattern. For example, in the microsatellite-based population genetics study performed by Malausa et al. (2007), one sampled locale was significantly differentiated from populations only 12, 18 and 22 km away, and yet was not differentiated from populations 480 and 509 km away. As previously hypothesized (Kim et al., 2009), landscape barriers to *O. nubilalis* movement may differentially isolate some populations in a way that generates patterns unrelated to simple isolation-by-distance, a phenomenon referred to as ‘chaotic genetic patchiness’ (Johnson & Black, 2006; Selkoe et al., 2010). Although *O. nubilalis* adults, both mated and unmated, make local flights for many reasons throughout their life (Dorhout et al., 2008), most long-range displacement of *O. nubilalis* probably occurs the first night after emergence, when at least a certain proportion of unmated females (but not males) engage in a true migratory flight (Reardon et al., 2006a; Dorhout et al., 2008). Direction and distance during *O. nubilalis* long-duration flight is almost certainly affected by wind (Caffrey & Worthley, 1927; Mikkola, 1986; Showers et al., 1995, 2001), and local differences in wind patterns may affect patterns of gene flow and contribute to genetic patchiness.

In conclusion, the results obtained in the present study are consistent with a pattern of high gene flow in *O. nubilalis* in the absence of topographic barriers (e.g. much of the Corn Belt) and more moderate gene flow where topographic barriers exist (north-eastern U.S.A.). These patterns have implications for resistance management for *O. nubilalis* in Bt corn. Restricted gene flow can promote evolution of resistance in isolated populations but results in slow expansion of the trait throughout the species range. High gene flow, on the other hand, can inhibit evolution of resistance by swamping out resistance alleles, although it allows rapid expansion of the resistance trait once established (Peck et al., 1999; Ives & Andow, 2002; Moran & Rieseberg, 2004). Although pooling samples from widely separated locations in the Corn Belt to increase the power of *F*₂ screens to detect resistance alleles (Bourguet et al., 2003; Stodola et al., 2006) appears justified given the high gene flow in this part of the country (Kim et al., 2009), the potential for restricted gene flow in the north-eastern U.S.A. revealed in the present study suggests that more intensive monitoring may be needed. To our knowledge, no resistance monitoring at all is currently conducted in the north-east because of the relatively low percentage of hectarage planted to corn, although this may be the area at highest risk of resistance development. We have begun studies in the Northeast aiming to systematically examine the effects of its fragmented agricultural landscapes and topographic barriers on gene flow in *O. nubilalis*, which will permit modelling the risk of resistance evolution specific to this region.
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