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Abstract. We determine structure constants for the universal
nonassociative enveloping algebra $U(M)$ of the four-dimensional
non-Lie Malcev algebra $M$ by constructing a representation of
$U(M)$ by differential operators on the polynomial algebra $P(M)$.
The structure constants for $U(M)$ involve the Stirling numbers of
the second kind. This work is based on the recent theorem of Pérez-
Izquierdo and Shestakov which generalizes the Poincaré-Birkhoff-
Witt theorem from Lie algebras to Malcev algebras. We use our
results for $U(M)$ to determine structure constants for the universal
alternative enveloping algebra $A(M) = U(M)/I(M)$ where $I(M)$ is
the alternator ideal of $U(M)$. The structure constants for $A(M)$
were obtained earlier by Shestakov using different methods.

1. Introduction

A Malcev algebra $M$ over a field $F$ is a vector space with a bilinear
product $M \times M \rightarrow M$ denoted $\langle x, y \rangle \mapsto [x, y]$, satisfying the anticom-
mutative identity $[x, x] = 0$ and the Malcev identity $[J(x, y, z), x] =
J(x, z, [x, z])$, where $J(x, y, z) = [x, [y, z]] + [[y, z], x] + [[x, z], y]$. These
two identities hold for the commutator $[x, y] = xy - yx$ in any alternative
algebra. Basic references on Malcev algebras are [1, 2, 3, 4, 6].

The Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt (PBW) theorem constructs, for any Lie
algebra $L$, a universal associative enveloping algebra $U(L)$ together
with an injective Lie algebra morphism $\iota: L \rightarrow U(L)^-$; thus $L$ is iso-
morphic to a subalgebra of the commutator algebra of an associative
algebra. It is an open problem whether every Malcev algebra is spe-
cial (isomorphic to a subalgebra of the commutator algebra of an al-
ternative algebra); see Shestakov [7, 8, 9], Shestakov and Zhukavets
[11, 12, 13, 14]. A solution to a closely related problem was given a
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few years ago by Pérez-Izquierdo and Shestakov [5]: they constructed universal nonassociative enveloping algebras for Malcev algebras.

In dimension 4, there is (up to isomorphism) a unique non-Lie Malcev algebra over any field of characteristic \( \neq 2, 3 \). This algebra is solvable; its structure constants appear in Table 1. We write \( M \) for this algebra, and \( M \) for an arbitrary Malcev algebra. In this paper we determine: (1) explicit structure constants for the universal nonassociative enveloping algebra \( U(M) \); (2) a finite set of generators for the alternator ideal \( I(M) \subset U(M) \); (3) explicit structure constants for the universal alternative enveloping algebra \( A(M) = U(M)/I(M) \). Shestakov [8, Example 1] found the structure constants for \( A(M) \) as an application of Malcev Poisson algebras. Shestakov and Zhelyabin [10] proved that if \( M \) is finite dimensional and semisimple then \( U(M) \) is a free module over its center and that the center is isomorphic to a polynomial algebra on \( n \) variables where \( n \) is the dimension of the Cartan subalgebra; they also calculate the center of \( U(M) \) for several Malcev algebras of small dimension. In the case \( M = M \), the center can be obtained as a corollary to our structure constants for \( U(M) \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[ , ]</th>
<th>( a )</th>
<th>( b )</th>
<th>( c )</th>
<th>( d )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( a )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(-b)</td>
<td>(-c)</td>
<td>( d )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b )</td>
<td>( b )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2( d )</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c )</td>
<td>( c )</td>
<td>(-2d)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d )</td>
<td>(-d)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. The four-dimensional Malcev algebra \( M \)

2. Preliminary results

All multilinear structures are over a field \( F \) with \( \text{char} F \neq 2, 3 \).

**Definition 2.1.** The **generalized alternative nucleus** of a nonassociative algebra \( A \) is

\[
N_{\text{alt}}(A) = \{ a \in A \mid (a, x, y) = -(x, a, y) = (x, y, a), \forall x, y \in A \},
\]

where the **associator** is \((x, y, z) = (xy)z - x(yz)\).

**Lemma 2.2.** In general \( N_{\text{alt}}(A) \) is not a subalgebra of \( A \), but it is a subalgebra of \( A^- \) and is a Malcev algebra.

**Theorem 2.3** (Pérez-Izquierdo and Shestakov). For every Malcev algebra \( M \) there is a universal nonassociative enveloping algebra \( U(M) \) and an injective morphism \( \iota : M \to U(M)^- \) with \( \iota(M) \subseteq N_{\text{alt}}(U(M)) \).
Let \( F(M) \) be the unital free nonassociative algebra on a basis of \( M \). Let \( R(M) \) be the ideal generated by the elements \( ab - ba - [a, b] \), \( (a, x, y) + (x, a, y) \), \( (x, a, y) + (x, y, a) \) for all \( a, b \in M \), \( x, y \in F(M) \). Define \( U(M) = F(M)/R(M) \), and the mapping \( \iota : M \to U(M) \) by \( a \mapsto \iota(a) = \overline{a} = a + R(M) \). Since \( \iota \) is injective, we identify \( M \) with \( \iota(M) \subseteq N_{alt}(U(M)) \). Let \( B = \{a_i \mid i \in \mathcal{I} \} \) be a basis of \( M \) with \( \langle \mathcal{I} \rangle \) a total order on the index set \( \mathcal{I} \). Define \( \Omega = \{ (i_1, \ldots, i_n) \mid i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_n \} \). The empty tuple \( \emptyset \) \((n = 0)\) gives \( \overline{a}_\emptyset = 1 \in U(M) \). The \( n \)-tuple \( I = (i_1, \ldots, i_n) \in \Omega \) \((n \geq 1)\) defines a left-tapped monomial \( \overline{a}_I = \overline{a}_{i_1}(\overline{a}_{i_2}(\cdots (\overline{a}_{i_{n-1}}(\overline{a}_{i_n})\cdots)) \) of degree \( |\overline{a}_I| = n \). The set \( \{ \overline{a}_I \mid I \in \Omega \} \) is a basis of \( U(M) \). For details, see Pérez-Izquierdo and Shestakov [5].

For any \( f, g \in M \) and \( y \in U(M) \), since \( f, g \in N_{alt}(U(M)) \) we obtain

\[
(f, g, y) = \frac{1}{6}[[y, f], g] - \frac{1}{6}[[y, g], f] - \frac{1}{6}[[y, [f, g]]].
\]

This equation implies the next three lemmas, which are implicit in [5]. We first compute \([x, f] \) in \( U(M) \); for \(|x| = 1\) we use the bracket in \( M \).

**Lemma 2.4.** Let \( x \) be a basis monomial of \( U(M) \) with \(|x| \geq 2\), and let \( f \) be an element of \( M \). Write \( x = yg \) with \( g \in M \). Then

\[
[x, f] = [yg, f] = [g, f]y + g[y, f] + \frac{1}{2}[[y, f], g] - \frac{1}{2}[[y, g], f] - \frac{1}{2}[[y, [f, g]]].
\]

We next compute \( fx \) in \( U(M) \); for \(|x| = 1\) we have two cases: if \( f \leq x \) in the ordered basis, then \( fx \) is a basis monomial; otherwise, \( fx = xf + [f, x] \) where \([f, x] \in M \).

**Lemma 2.5.** Let \( x \) be a basis monomial of \( U(M) \) with \(|x| \geq 2\), and let \( f \) be an element of \( M \). Write \( x = yg \) with \( g \in M \). Then

\[
fx = f(yg) = g(fy) + [f, g]y - \frac{1}{2}[[y, f], g] + \frac{1}{2}[[y, g], f] + \frac{1}{2}[[y, [f, g]]].
\]

We finally compute \( yz \) in \( U(M) \); for \(|y| = 1\) we use Lemma 2.5.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let \( y \) and \( z \) be basis monomials of \( U(M) \) with \(|y| \geq 2\). Write \( y = fx \) with \( f \in M \). Then

\[
yz = (fx)z = 2f(xz) - x(fz) - x[z, f] + [xz, f].
\]

Expansion in the free nonassociative algebra establishes the identity

\[
(pq, r, s) - (p, qr, s) + (p, q, rs) = p(q, r, s) + (p, q, r)s.
\]

From this equation the next lemma easily follows.

**Lemma 2.7.** For all \( g \in M \) and \( x \in U(M) \) we have

\[
(g^i, g, x) = (g^i, x, g) = (g, g^i, x) = (g, x, g^i) = (x, g, g^i) = (x, g, g^i) = 0.
\]

From this, induction gives \((g^i, g^j, x) = 0\) and hence \([g^kx, g] = g^k[x, g] \).
The algebra $\mathcal{M}$ has solvable Lie subalgebras with bases $\{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}$, and a nilpotent Lie subalgebra with basis $\{b, c, d\}$. The next two lemmas are standard computations in enveloping algebras.

**Lemma 2.8.** For $e \in \{b, c\}$ these equations hold in $U(\mathcal{M})$:
\[
(a^i c^j)(a^k c^\ell) = a^i (a + j)^k c^{j+\ell}, \quad (a^i d^j)(a^k d^\ell) = a^i (a - j)^k d^{j+\ell}.
\]

**Lemma 2.9.** These equations hold in $U(\mathcal{M})$:
\[
(b^i c^j d^k)(b^l c^m d^n) = \sum_{h=0}^\ell (-1)^h 2^h \binom{\ell}{h} \frac{j!}{(j-h)!} b^{i+\ell-h} c^{j+m-h} d^{k+h+n},
\]
\[
[b^i c^j d^k, b] = -2j b^i c^{j-1} d^{k+1}, \quad [b^i c^j d^k, c] = 2ib^{i-1} c^{j+1}, \quad [b^i c^j d^k, d] = 0.
\]

The following representation will play an important role in our computation of the structure constants for $U(\mathcal{M})$.

**Definition 2.10.** Let $M$ be a Malcev algebra, and let $P(M)$ be the polynomial algebra on a basis of $M$. By Theorem 2.3 we have a linear isomorphism $\phi: U(M) \to P(M)$ defined by
\[
\bar{a}_{i_1} (\cdots (\bar{a}_{i_{n-2}} (\bar{a}_{i_{n-1}} \bar{a}_{i_n}) \cdots )) \mapsto a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_{n-2}} a_{i_{n-1}} a_{i_n}.
\]
For $x \in U(M), f \in P(M)$ we define the **right bracket operator** $\rho$ and the **left multiplication operator** $L$ as follows:
\[
\rho(x)(f) = \phi([\phi^{-1}(f), x]), \quad L(x)(f) = \phi(x \phi^{-1}(f)).
\]
Thus $\rho(x)$ (respectively $L(x)$) is the operator on $P(M)$ induced by the mapping $y \mapsto [y, x]$ (respectively $y \mapsto xy$) in $U(M)$. We also have the **right multiplication operator** $R(x) = \rho(x) + L(x)$.

3. Representation of $\mathcal{M}$ by differential operators

**Definition 3.1.** We have these operators on $P(\mathcal{M})$: $I$ is the identity; $M_x$ is multiplication by $x \in \{a, b, c, d\}$; $D_x$ is differentiation with respect to $x \in \{a, b, c, d\}$; $S$ is the shift $a \mapsto a+1$: $S(a^i b^j c^k d^\ell) = (a+1)^i b^j c^k d^\ell$. Since $S$ is invertible, $S^t$ is defined for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In this Section we determine $\rho(x)$ and $L(x)$ for $x \in \{a, b, c, d\}$ as differential operators on $P(\mathcal{M})$. We summarize our results in Table 2.

**Lemma 3.2.** For $x, y \in \{a, b, c, d\}$ we have
\[
[D_x, M_x] = I, \quad [D_x, M_y] = 0 (x \neq y), \quad [D_x, D_y] = 0, \quad [M_x, M_y] = 0,
\]
\[
[M_a, S] = -S, \quad [M_x, S] = 0 (x \neq a), \quad [D_x, S] = 0, \quad [D_x, S^{-1}] = 0,
\]
\[
[M_a, S^{-1}] = S^{-1}, \quad [M_x, S^{-1}] = 0 (x \neq a).
\]

**Proof.** These follow easily from Definition 3.1. $\square$
Table 2. Differential operators $\rho(x)$ and $L(x)$ on $P(\mathbb{M})$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$\rho(x)$</th>
<th>$L(x)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>$M_bD_b + M_cD_c - M_dD_d - 3M_dD_bD_c$</td>
<td>$M_a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b$</td>
<td>$(I-S)M_b + (S-I-2S^{-1})M_dD_b$</td>
<td>$SM_b + (S^{-1}-S)M_dD_c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>$(I-S)M_c + (S-I+2S^{-1})M_dD_b$</td>
<td>$SM_c - (S^{-1}+S)M_dD_b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>$(I-S^{-1})M_d$</td>
<td>$S^{-1}M_d$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Lemma 3.3.** We have $[b^n c^p d^q, a] = (n+p-q)b^n c^p d^q - 3npb^{n-1}c^{p-1}d^{q+1}$.

*Proof.* Induction on $n$; the basis $n = 0$ is $[c^p d^q, a] = (p-q)c^p d^q$, which follows since $a, c, d$ span a Lie subalgebra of $\mathbb{M}$. We now let $n \geq 0$ and use Lemma 2.4 with $f = a, g = b$; we see that $[b^{n+1}c^p d^q, a]$ equals

$$[ba][b^n c^p d^q + b[b^n c^p d^q, a] + \frac{1}{2}([[b^n c^p d^q, a], b] - [[b^n c^p d^q, b], a] - [b^n c^p d^q, [ab]]).$$

We apply Lemma 2.9 to the right side:

$$b^{n+1}c^p d^q + b[b^n c^p d^q, a] + \frac{1}{2}[[b^n c^p d^q, a], b] + p[b^n c^{p-1}d^{q+1}, a] - pb^n c^{p-1}d^{q+1}.$$

The inductive hypothesis gives

$$b^{n+1}c^p d^q + (n+p-q)b^{n+1}c^p d^q - 3npb^{n-1}c^{p-1}d^{q+1} + \frac{1}{2}(n+p-q)[b^n c^p d^q, b]$$

$$- \frac{3}{2}np[b^{n-1}c^{p-1}d^{q+1}, b] + (n+p-q-2)pb^n c^{p-1}d^{q+1}$$

$$- 3np(p-1)b^{n-1}c^{p-2}d^{q+2} - pb^n c^{p-1}d^{q+1}.$$

We use Lemma 2.9 again to get

$$b^{n+1}c^p d^q + (n+p-q)b^{n+1}c^p d^q - 3npb^{n-1}c^{p-1}d^{q+1} - (n+p-q)pb^n c^{p-1}d^{q+1}$$

$$+ 3np(p-1)b^{n-1}c^{p-2}d^{q+2} + (n+p-q-2)pb^n c^{p-1}d^{q+1}$$

$$- 3np(p-1)b^{n-1}c^{p-2}d^{q+2} - pb^n c^{p-1}d^{q+1}.$$

Combining terms gives $(n+1+p-q)b^{n+1}c^p d^q - 3(n+1)pb^n c^{p-1}d^{q+1}$. \[\square\]

**Lemma 3.4.** We have

$$\rho(a) = M_bD_b + M_cD_c - M_dD_d - 3M_dD_bD_c, \quad L(a) = M_a.$$

*Proof.* Lemma 2.7 gives $[a^m b^n c^p d^q, a] = a^m[b^n c^p d^q, a]$, and now Lemma 3.3 gives the formula for $\rho(a)$. The formula for $L(a)$ is clear. \[\square\]

**Lemma 3.5.** We have

$$\rho(b) = (I-S)M_b + (S-I-2S^{-1})M_dD_c, \quad L(b) = SM_b + (S^{-1}-S)M_dD_c.$$

*Proof.* Induction on $m$ where $y = a^m b^n c^p d^q$. We prove the formulas together, since each requires the inductive hypothesis of the other. The
Lemma 3.6. We have
\[ \rho(c) = (I-S)M_c + (S-I+2S^{-1})M_dD_b, \quad L(c) = SM_c - (S+S^{-1})M_dD_b. \]
Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.5. □

Lemma 3.7. We have $\rho(d) = (I - S^{-1}) M_d$ and $L(d) = S^{-1} M_d$.

Proof. Induction on $m$ where $y = a^m b^c c^d d^f$. We prove both formulas together. The basis $m = 0$ is Lemma 2.9. We assume both formulas for $m \geq 0$. Lemma 2.4 with $f = d, g = a$ gives

$$\rho(d)(ay) = dy + a[y, d] + \frac{1}{2} \left( [[y, d], a] - [[y, a], d] + [y, d] \right) = (L(d) + M_a \rho(d) + \frac{1}{2} [\rho(a), \rho(d)] + \frac{1}{2} \rho(d)) (y).$$

The inductive hypothesis gives $[\rho(a), \rho(d)](y) = -\rho(d)(y)$ and so

$$\rho(d)(ay) = \left( L(d) + M_a \rho(d) \right)(y) = (I - S^{-1}) M_d(ay),$$

which completes the proof for $\rho(d)$. Lemma 2.5 with $f = d, g = a$ gives

$$L(d)(ay) = a(dy) - dy - \frac{1}{3} [[y, d], a] + \frac{1}{3} [[y, a], d] - \frac{1}{3} [y, d] = \left( M_a L(d) - L(d) + \frac{1}{3} [\rho(d), \rho(a)] - \frac{1}{3} \rho(d) \right)(y) = \left( M_a L(d) - L(d) \right)(y) = (M_a - I) S^{-1} M_d(y) = S^{-1} M_d(ay),$$

which completes the proof for $L(d)$. □

4. Representation of $U(\mathfrak{M})$ by differential operators

In this Section we determine $L(x)$ for $x = a^b b^c c^d d^f$ as a differential operator on $P(\mathfrak{M})$. We often use the facts that linear operators $E, F, G$ satisfy $[E, FG] = [E, F]G + F[E, G]$, and that if $[[E, F], F] = 0$ then $[E, F^k] = k[E, F]F^{k-1}$ for every $k \geq 1$.

Since $c, d$ span an Abelian Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{M}$, associativity gives $L(c^k d^f) = L(c)^k L(d)^f$ on $U(\mathfrak{A})$; this is also true on $U(\mathfrak{M})$.

Lemma 4.1. In $U(\mathfrak{M})$ we have $L(c^k d^f) = L(c)^k L(d)^f$.

Proof. We first prove $L(d^f) = L(d)^f$ by induction. For $\ell \geq 1$ we get

$$(dd^f)(a^m b^n c^p d^q) = (d, d^f, a^m b^n c^p d^q) + d \left( (d^f)(a^m b^n c^p d^q) \right).$$

The associator is zero by Lemma 2.7. We now use induction on $k$. Lemma 2.6 with $f = c, x = c^k d^f$ gives

$$(c^{k+1} d^f) z = 2c((c^k d^f) z) - (c^k d^f)(cz) - (c^k d^f)[z, c] + [[(c^k d^f) z], c],$$

which can be written as

$$L(c^{k+1} d^f) = L(c) L(c^k d^f) + [L(c), L(c^k d^f)] + [\rho(c), L(c^k d^f)].$$

The inductive hypothesis gives

$$[\rho(c), L(c^k d^f)] = L(c)^k [\rho(c), L(d)^f] + [\rho(c), L(c)^k L(d)^f] = 0,$$
and similarly $[L(c), L(c^k d^\ell)] = 0$. \hfill \qed

Since $b, c, d$ span a nilpotent Lie subalgebra $N \subset M$, associativity gives $L(b^j c^k d^\ell) = L(b) L(c) L(d)^\ell$ on $U(N)$; this is not true on $U(M)$. 

**Lemma 4.2.** In $U(M)$ the operator $L(b^j c^k d^\ell)$ equals

\[
\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\min(j,k)} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\alpha} (-1)^{\alpha-\beta} \alpha! \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \binom{k}{\alpha} S^{-\beta} L(b)^{j-\alpha} L(c)^{k-\alpha} M_d^\alpha L(d)^\ell.
\]

**Proof.** Induction on $j$; the basis is Lemma 4.1. Lemma 2.6 with $f = b$, $x = b^j c^k d^\ell$, $z = a^m b^n c^p d^q$ gives

\[
(b^{j+1} c^k d^\ell)(a^m b^n c^p d^q) = 2b(xz) - x(bz) - x[z, b] + [xz, b] = 2L(b) L(x) z - L(x) L(b) z - L(x) \rho(b) z + \rho(b) L(x) z.
\]

Induction and $[R(b), L(b)] = [R(b), M_d] = [R(b), L(d)] = 0$ show that $[R(b), L(x)]$ equals

\[
\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\min(j,k)} \alpha! \binom{j}{\alpha} \binom{k}{\alpha} (S^{-1} - I)^\alpha L(b)^{j-\alpha} [R(b), L(c)^{k-\alpha}] M_d^\alpha L(d)^\ell =
\]

\[
\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\min(j,k)} \alpha! \binom{j}{\alpha} (k-\alpha) \binom{k}{\alpha} (S^{-1} - I)^{\alpha+1} L(b)^{j-\alpha} L(c)^{k-\alpha-1} M_d^{\alpha+1} L(d)^\ell.
\]

Replacing $\alpha$ by $\alpha - 1$ gives

\[
\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\min(j+1,k)} \alpha! \binom{j}{\alpha-1} \binom{k}{\alpha} (S^{-1} - I)^\alpha L(b)^{j+1-\alpha} L(c)^{\ell-\alpha} M_d^\alpha L(d)^\ell.
\]

We use Pascal’s identity $\binom{j}{\alpha} + \binom{j}{\alpha-1} = \binom{j+1}{\alpha}$ to combine $L(b)L(x)$ and $[R(b), L(x)]$, and obtain this formula for $L(b^{j+1} c^k d^\ell)$:

\[
\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\min(j+1,k)} \alpha! \binom{j+1}{\alpha} \binom{k}{\alpha} (S^{-1} - I)^\alpha L(b)^{j+1-\alpha} L(c)^{k-\alpha} M_d^\alpha L(d)^\ell.
\]

We now expand $(S^{-1} - I)^\alpha$ with the binomial theorem. \hfill \qed

**Lemma 4.3.** We have

\[
[R(a), L(a)^s S L(b)^u D_v^w D_v^x L(c)^2 M_d^y L(d)^z] =
\]

\[
- (t+v+w+y)L(a)^s S L(b)^u D_v^w D_v^x L(c)^2 M_d^y L(d)^z
\]

\[
- uL(a)^s S L(b)^u D_v^w L(c)^2 M_d^{y+1} L(d)^z
\]
\[ + x L(a)^{s} S^{t-1} L(b)^{u} D_{b}^{x+1} D_{c}^{w} L(c)^{y-1} M_{d}^{y+1} L(d). \]

Proof. Table 2 and Lemma 3.2 give
\[ [R(a), L(a)] = 0, \quad [R(a), L(b)] = -S^{-1} M_{d} D_{c}, \]
\[ [R(a), L(c)] = S^{-1} M_{d} D_{b}, \quad [R(a), L(d)] = 0, \]
\[ [R(a), D_{b}] = -D_{b}, \quad [R(a), D_{c}] = -D_{c}, \]
\[ [R(a), M_{d}] = -M_{d}, \quad [R(a), S] = -S. \]

From these equations we get
\[
[R(a), L(a)^{s} S^{t} L(b)^{u} D_{b}^{x} D_{c}^{w} L(c)^{y} M_{d}^{y} L(d)] = \\
L(a)^{s} [R(a), S^{t}] L(b)^{u} D_{b}^{x} D_{c}^{w} L(c)^{y} M_{d}^{y} L(d) \\
+ L(a)^{s} S^{t} [R(a), L(b)^{u}] D_{b}^{x} D_{c}^{w} L(c)^{y} M_{d}^{y} L(d) \\
+ L(a)^{s} S^{t} L(b)^{u} D_{b}^{x} [R(a), D_{c}^{w}] L(c)^{y} M_{d}^{y} L(d) \\
+ L(a)^{s} S^{t} L(b)^{u} D_{b}^{x} D_{c}^{w} [R(a), L(c)^{y}] M_{d}^{y} L(d) \\
+ L(a)^{s} S^{t} L(b)^{u} D_{b}^{x} D_{c}^{w} L(c)^{y} [R(a), M_{d}^{y}] L(d). 
\]

The right side simplifies to
\[- t L(a)^{s} S^{t} L(b)^{u} D_{b}^{x} D_{c}^{w} L(c)^{y} M_{d}^{y} L(d) \\
- u L(a)^{s} S^{t} L(b)^{u-1} S^{-1} D_{c} M_{d} D_{b}^{x} D_{c}^{w} L(c)^{y} M_{d}^{y} L(d) \\
- v L(a)^{s} S^{t} L(b)^{u} D_{b}^{x} D_{c}^{w} L(c)^{y} M_{d}^{y} L(d) \\
- w L(a)^{s} S^{t} L(b)^{u} D_{b}^{x} D_{c}^{w} L(c)^{y} M_{d}^{y} L(d) \\
+ x L(a)^{s} S^{t} L(b)^{u} D_{b}^{x} D_{c}^{w} L(c)^{y-1} S^{-1} D_{b} M_{d} M_{d}^{y} L(d) \\
- y L(a)^{s} S^{t} L(b)^{u} D_{b}^{x} D_{c}^{w} L(c)^{y} M_{d}^{y} L(d), 
\]
which gives the result. \(\square\)

Lemma 4.4. In \(U(\mathbb{M})\) the operator \(L(a^{i} b^{j} c^{k} d^{l})\) equals
\[
\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\min(j,k)} \sum_{\beta=0}^{i} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{i-\gamma-\delta} \sum_{\delta=0}^{i-\gamma-\delta} (-1)^{i+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-\delta} \alpha! \delta! \left(\begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \beta \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} j \\ \alpha \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} k \\ \delta \end{array}\right) \times \\
X_{i}(\gamma, \delta, \epsilon) L(a)^{\gamma} S^{-\beta-\delta-\epsilon} L(b)^{j-\alpha-\epsilon} D_{b}^{x} D_{c}^{w} L(c)^{k-\alpha-\delta} M_{d}^{\alpha+\delta+\epsilon} L(d)^{l}, 
\]
where \(X_{i}(\gamma, \delta, \epsilon)\) is a polynomial in \(\alpha-\beta\) satisfying the recurrence
\(X_{i+1}(\gamma, \delta, \epsilon)\) equals
\[(\alpha-\beta+\delta+\epsilon) X_{i}(\gamma, \delta, \epsilon) + X_{i}(\gamma-1, \delta, \epsilon) + X_{i}(\gamma, \delta-1, \epsilon) + X_{i}(\gamma, \delta, \epsilon-1),\]
with \(X_{0}(0,0,0) = 1\) and \(X_{i}(\gamma, \delta, \epsilon) = 0\) unless \(0 \leq \gamma \leq i, 0 \leq \delta \leq i-\gamma, 0 \leq \epsilon \leq i-\gamma-\delta.\)
Proof. Induction on \(i\); the basis \(i = 0\) is Lemma 4.2. Lemma 2.6 with \(f = a, x = a^ib^j+\delta^k, z = a^m+b^r\delta^d\) gives

\[
(a^{i+1}b^j+\delta^k)(a^mb^r\delta^d) = L(a)L(x)z + [R(a), L(x)]z.
\]

Induction and Lemma 4.3 give \([R(a), L(x)] = A + B + C\) where

\[
A = -\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\min(j,k)} \sum_{\beta=0}^\alpha \sum_{\gamma=0}^\beta \sum_{\delta=0}^\gamma \sum_{\epsilon=0}^\delta (-1)^{i+\alpha-\gamma-\delta} \times
\]

\[
L(a)^\gamma S^{-\beta-\delta}\epsilon L(b)^j+\alpha-\epsilon D_b^\delta D_c^\epsilon L(c)^k-\alpha-\delta M_d^{\alpha+\delta+\epsilon} L(d)^\ell,
\]

\[
B = -\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\min(j,k)} \sum_{\beta=0}^\alpha \sum_{\gamma=0}^\beta \sum_{\delta=0}^\gamma \sum_{\epsilon=0}^\delta (-1)^{i+\alpha-\gamma-\delta} \times
\]

\[
L(a)^\gamma S^{-\beta-\delta-\epsilon} L(b)^j+\alpha-\epsilon-1 D_b^\delta D_c^{\delta+1} L(c)^k-\alpha-\delta M_d^{\alpha+\delta+\epsilon+1} L(d)^\ell,
\]

\[
C = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\min(j,k)} \sum_{\beta=0}^\alpha \sum_{\gamma=0}^\beta \sum_{\delta=0}^\gamma \sum_{\epsilon=0}^\delta (-1)^{i+\alpha-\gamma-\delta} \times
\]

\[
L(a)^\gamma S^{-\beta-\delta-\epsilon-1} L(b)^j+\alpha-\epsilon-1 D_b^\delta D_c^{\delta+1} L(c)^k-\alpha-\delta-1 M_d^{\alpha+\delta+\epsilon+1} L(d)^\ell.
\]

We write \(D = L(a)L(x)\) and obtain

\[
D = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\min(j,k)} \sum_{\beta=0}^\alpha \sum_{\gamma=0}^\beta \sum_{\delta=0}^\gamma \sum_{\epsilon=0}^\delta (-1)^{i+\alpha-\gamma-\delta} \times
\]

\[
L(a)^\gamma S^{-\beta-\delta-\epsilon-1} L(b)^j+\alpha-\epsilon D_b^\delta D_c^\epsilon L(c)^k-\alpha-\delta M_d^{\alpha+\delta+\epsilon} L(d)^\ell.
\]

In \(A\), we include the term (which is zero) for \(\epsilon = i+1-\gamma-\delta\), and absorb the minus sign. In \(B\) we replace \(\epsilon\) by \(-1\), include the term for \(\epsilon = 0\), simplify the coefficient using \((j-\alpha-\epsilon+1)(\epsilon-1)!(\alpha_\epsilon-1) = \epsilon!(\alpha_\epsilon)\), and absorb the minus sign. In \(C\) we replace \(\delta\) by \(-1\), include the term for \(\delta = 0\), and simplify the coefficient using \((k-\alpha-\delta+1)(\delta-1)!(\alpha_\delta-1) = \delta!(\alpha_\delta)\). In \(D\) we replace \(\gamma\) by \(-1\), and include the term for \(\gamma = 0\). We
find that \( A + B + C + D \) equals
\[
\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\min(j,k)} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\alpha} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{i+1} \sum_{\delta=0}^{i+1-\gamma} \sum_{\epsilon=0}^{i+1-\gamma-\delta} (-1)^{i+1+\alpha-\beta-\gamma-\delta} \times \\
\alpha!\beta!\epsilon! \left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \beta \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} j \\ \alpha, \epsilon \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} k \\ \alpha, \delta \end{array} \right) X_{i+1}(\gamma, \delta, \epsilon) \times \\
L(a)^{\gamma} S^{-\beta-\delta-\epsilon} L(b)^{\gamma-\alpha-\epsilon} D_{\alpha}^{\delta} D_{\epsilon}^{\gamma} L(c)^{k-\alpha-\delta} M_{d}^{\alpha+\delta+\epsilon} L(d)^{\ell},
\]
where \( X_{i}(\gamma, \delta, \epsilon) \) satisfies the stated recurrence relation. \( \square \)

**Definition 4.5.** The Stirling numbers of the second kind are
\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{c} r \\ s \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{s!} \sum_{t=0}^{s} (-1)^{s-t} \left( \begin{array}{c} s \\ t \end{array} \right) t^r.
\]

**Lemma 4.6.** The unique solution to the recurrence of Lemma 4.4 is
\[
X_{i}(\gamma, \delta, \epsilon) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \delta+\epsilon \\ \epsilon \end{array} \right)^{i-\gamma-\delta-\epsilon} \sum_{\zeta=0}^{i} \left( \begin{array}{c} \gamma, \zeta-1 \\ \delta+\epsilon \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} i-\gamma-\zeta \\ \delta+\epsilon \end{array} \right) (\alpha-\beta)^{\zeta}. 
\]

**Proof.** The right side of the recurrence is the sum of these five terms:
\[
(\alpha-\beta)X_{i}(\gamma, \delta, \epsilon) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \delta+\epsilon \\ \epsilon \end{array} \right)^{i+1-\gamma-\delta-\epsilon} \sum_{\zeta=1}^{i} \left( \begin{array}{c} \gamma, \zeta-1 \\ \delta+\epsilon \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} i+1-\gamma-\zeta \\ \delta+\epsilon \end{array} \right) (\alpha-\beta)^{\zeta},
\]
\[
(\delta+\epsilon)X_{i}(\gamma, \delta, \epsilon) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \delta+\epsilon \\ \epsilon \end{array} \right)^{i-\gamma-\delta-\epsilon} \sum_{\zeta=0}^{i} \left( \begin{array}{c} \gamma, \zeta \\ \delta+\epsilon \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} i-\gamma-\zeta \\ \delta+\epsilon \end{array} \right) (\alpha-\beta)^{\zeta},
\]
\[
X_{i}(\gamma-1, \delta, \epsilon) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \delta+\epsilon \\ \epsilon \end{array} \right)^{i+1-\gamma-\delta-\epsilon} \sum_{\zeta=0}^{i} \left( \begin{array}{c} \gamma-1, \zeta \\ \delta+\epsilon \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} i+1-\gamma-\zeta \\ \delta+\epsilon \end{array} \right) (\alpha-\beta)^{\zeta},
\]
\[
X_{i}(\gamma, \delta-1, \epsilon) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \delta+\epsilon-1 \\ \epsilon \end{array} \right)^{i+1-\gamma-\delta-\epsilon} \sum_{\zeta=0}^{i} \left( \begin{array}{c} \gamma, \zeta \\ \delta-1+\epsilon \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} i-\gamma-\zeta \\ \delta-1+\epsilon \end{array} \right) (\alpha-\beta)^{\zeta},
\]
\[
X_{i}(\gamma, \delta, \epsilon-1) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \delta+\epsilon-1 \\ \epsilon-1 \end{array} \right)^{i+1-\gamma-\delta-\epsilon} \sum_{\zeta=0}^{i} \left( \begin{array}{c} \gamma, \zeta \\ \delta+\epsilon-1 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} i-\gamma-\zeta \\ \delta+\epsilon-1 \end{array} \right) (\alpha-\beta)^{\zeta}.
\]

Pascal’s formula shows that \( X_{i}(\gamma, \delta-1, \epsilon) + X_{i}(\gamma, \delta, \epsilon-1) \) equals
\[
\left( \begin{array}{c} \delta+\epsilon \\ \epsilon \end{array} \right)^{i+1-\gamma-\delta-\epsilon} \sum_{\zeta=0}^{i} \left( \begin{array}{c} \gamma, \zeta \\ \delta+\epsilon-1 \end{array} \right) (\alpha-\beta)^{\zeta}.
\]
The Stirling numbers satisfy the recurrence
\[
\binom{r}{s} = s \binom{r-1}{s} + \binom{r-1}{s-1},
\]
and therefore \((\delta + \epsilon)X_i(\gamma, \delta, \epsilon) + X_i(\gamma, \delta - 1, \epsilon) + X_i(\gamma, \delta, \epsilon - 1)\) equals
\[
\left(\frac{\delta + \epsilon}{\epsilon}\right)^{i+1} \sum_{\zeta=0}^{i} \binom{i+1-\gamma-\zeta}{\delta+\epsilon} \left(\frac{i+1-\gamma-\zeta}{\delta+\epsilon}\right)(\alpha-\beta)^{\zeta}.
\]
The complete sum of five terms now reduces to
\[
\left(\frac{\delta + \epsilon}{\epsilon}\right)^{i+1} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{x} \binom{x-\lambda}{\lambda, \mu} \left(\frac{x-\lambda}{\lambda, \mu}\right) \binom{y-\mu}{\lambda, \mu} \binom{z-\mu}{\lambda, \mu} = X_{i+1}(\gamma, \delta, \epsilon),
\]
and this completes the proof.

5. The universal nonassociative enveloping algebra

**Lemma 5.1.** The powers of \(L(b)\) and \(L(c)\) are
\[
L(b)^u = \sum_{\eta=0}^{u} \sum_{\theta=0}^{u-\eta} (-1)^{u-\eta-\theta} \binom{u}{\eta, \theta} S^{u-2\theta} M^\theta_b M^{u-\eta} D^\eta_c,
\]
\[
L(c)^x = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{x} \sum_{\mu=0}^{x-\lambda} (-1)^{x-\lambda} \binom{x}{\lambda, \mu} S^{x-2\mu} M^\lambda_c M^{x-\lambda} D^\mu_b.
\]

**Proof.** We apply the trinomial theorem to the formulas for \(L(b)\) and \(L(c)\) in Table 2, since the terms in each operator commute:
\[
L(b)^u = \sum_{\eta=0}^{u} \sum_{\theta=0}^{u-\eta} \binom{u}{\eta, \theta} (SM_b)^\eta (S^{-1} M_d D_c)^\theta (-SM_d D_c)^{u-\eta-\theta},
\]
\[
L(c)^x = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{x} \sum_{\mu=0}^{x-\lambda} \binom{x}{\lambda, \mu} (SM_c)^\lambda (-S^{-1} M_d D_b)^\mu (-SM_d D_b)^{x-\lambda-\mu}.
\]
These formulas simplify as required using Lemma 3.2.

**Lemma 5.2.** The operator monomial of Lemma 4.3 equals
\[
L(a)^s T L(b)^u D^\xi_c D^\omega_c L(c)^x M^\eta_d L(d)^z = \sum_{\eta=0}^{u} \sum_{\theta=0}^{x-\lambda} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{x-\lambda} \sum_{\mu=0}^{y+z} (-1)^{u-\eta-\theta+x-\lambda} \times
\]
\[
\left(\binom{u}{\eta, \theta}\right) \left(\binom{x}{\lambda, \mu}\right) M_s^\eta S^{u-2\theta+x-2\mu-z} M^\eta_b D^\eta_b M^\eta_c D^\eta_c M^\eta_d M^\eta_d M^\eta_d M^\eta_d M^\eta_d M^\eta_d M^\eta_d M^\eta_d.
\]
Proof. Table 2 and Lemma 5.1 show that the operator monomial equals

$$\sum_{\eta=0}^{u} \sum_{\theta=0}^{u-\eta} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{x-\lambda} M^\alpha S^\beta \left( -1 \right)^{u-\eta-\theta} \left( \frac{u}{\eta, \theta} \right) S^{u-2\theta} M^\gamma M^\delta D^{\alpha-\eta} \times$$

$$D^\lambda_c \left( -1 \right)^{x-\lambda} \left( \frac{x}{\lambda, \mu} \right) S^{x-2\mu} M^\alpha M^{x-\lambda} D^\alpha_c \left( S^{-1} M_d \right)^z,$$

which simplifies as required using Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 5.3. \( L(a^{ib^j}c^{k^l}d^m) \) expands in terms of \( M_x, D_x \) and \( S \) to

$$\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\min(j,k)} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\alpha} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\alpha-\delta} \sum_{\delta=0}^{\alpha-\eta} \sum_{\mu=0}^{\mu} \left( -1 \right)^{i+j+k+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-\delta-\eta-\theta-\lambda} \times$$

$$\left( \alpha-\beta \right)^\xi \lambda! \left( \alpha \right) \left( \delta+\epsilon \right)! \left( i, \gamma, \xi \right) \left( \delta+\epsilon \right) \left( j, \alpha, \epsilon, \eta, \theta \right) \left( k, \alpha, \delta, \lambda, \mu \right) \times$$

$$M^\alpha S^\beta k-\eta-\theta-\lambda \left( j-\alpha-\epsilon \right) \left( k-\alpha-\delta \right) \left( \lambda, \mu \right) \times$$

$$M^\alpha k-\eta D^\alpha_c \left( S^{-1} M_d \right)^z \times$$

$$M^\alpha k-\eta \left( S^{-1} M_d \right)^z \left( j-\alpha-\eta \right) \left( k-\alpha-\lambda \right) \left( j-\alpha-\eta \right) \left( k-\alpha-\lambda \right).$$

Proof. In Lemma 5.2 we set \( s = \gamma, t = -\beta-\delta-\epsilon, u = j-\alpha-\epsilon, v = \delta, w = \epsilon, x = k-\alpha-\delta, y = \alpha+\delta+\epsilon, z = \ell \) and obtain

$$L(a)^\gamma S^{-\beta-\delta-\epsilon} L(b)^j-\alpha-\epsilon D^\delta_c L(c)^{k-\alpha-\delta} M^\alpha D^\epsilon_d \left( S^{-1} M_d \right)^z =$$

$$\sum_{\gamma=0}^{\min(j,k)} \sum_{\delta=0}^{\alpha} \sum_{\eta=0}^{\alpha} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\mu} \left( -1 \right)^{i+j+k+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-\delta-\eta-\theta-\lambda} \times$$

$$M^\alpha S^\beta k-\eta-\theta-\lambda \left( j-\alpha-\epsilon \right) \left( k-\alpha-\delta \right) \left( \lambda, \mu \right) \times$$

We now combine this with Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.6.

Definition 5.4. The differential coefficients are

$$\left[ r \right] = 1, \left[ r \right] = r(r-1) \cdots (r-s+1), \text{ so that } D^s_x(x^r) = \left[ r \right] x^{r-s}.$$

In the next theorem we set \((\alpha-\beta)^\xi = 1\) when \(\alpha = \beta\) and \(\xi = 0\).

Theorem 5.5. The product \((a^{ib^j}c^{k^l}d^m)(a^{mb^k}c^p \eta^q)\) in \(U(M)\) equals

$$\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\min(j,k)} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\alpha} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\alpha-\delta} \sum_{\delta=0}^{\alpha-\eta} \sum_{\mu=0}^{\mu} \left( -1 \right)^{i+j+k+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-\delta-\eta-\theta-\lambda} \times$$

$$\left( i, \gamma, \xi \right) \left( \delta+\epsilon \right) \left( j, \alpha, \epsilon, \eta, \theta \right) \left( k, \alpha, \delta, \lambda, \mu \right) \left( m, n \right) \left( j-\alpha-\eta \right) \left( k-\alpha-\lambda \right).$$
Theorem 5.5 gives

\[ a^{m+n-\omega}b^{k+n+\alpha+\eta+\lambda}c^{-j+p+\alpha+\eta+\lambda}d^{k+\ell+q-\alpha-\eta-\lambda}, \]

where \( \omega = j+k-\ell-2\alpha-\beta-2\delta-2\epsilon-2\theta-2\mu. \)

Proof. Apply the \( M_x, D_x, S \) operators in Lemma 5.3 to \( a^mb^n c^p d^q \):

\[
\left[ \frac{p+\lambda}{j-\alpha-\eta} \right] \left[ \frac{n}{k-\alpha-\lambda} \right] a^{\omega} (a+\omega)^m b^{k+n+\alpha+\eta+\lambda} c^{-j+p+\alpha+\eta+\lambda} d^{k+\ell+q-\alpha-\eta-\lambda}.
\]

Use this in Lemma 5.3 and expand \( (a+\omega)^m \).

\( \square \)

6. The Universal Alternative Enveloping Algebra

**Definition 6.1.** The **alternator ideal** in a nonassociative algebra \( A \) is generated by the elements \( (x,x,y) \) and \( (y,x,x) \) for all \( x,y \in A \).

**Definition 6.2.** Let \( M \) be a Malcev algebra, \( U(M) \) its universal enveloping algebra, and \( I(M) \subseteq U(M) \) the alternator ideal. The **universal alternative enveloping algebra** of \( M \) is \( A(M) = U(M)/I(M) \).

**Lemma 6.3.** We have the following nonzero alternators in \( U(M) \):

\[(a,bc, bc) = 2d^2, \quad (b, ac, ac) = cd, \quad (c, ab, ab) = -bd. \]

Proof. Theorem 5.5 gives

\[(a(bc))(bc) = ab^2c^2 - 2abcd + 2d^2, \quad a((bc)(bc)) = ab^2c^2 - 2abcd, \]

which imply the first result. The other two are similar. \( \square \)

**Definition 6.4.** Let \( J \subseteq U(M) \) be the ideal generated by \( d^2, cd, bd \). In \( U(M)/J \) it suffices to consider two types of monomials, \( a^id \) and \( a^i b^j c^k \), which we call type 1 and type 2 respectively. If \( m \) is one of these monomials, we write \( m \) when we mean \( m + J \) in the next lemma.

**Lemma 6.5.** In \( U(M)/J \) we have

\begin{align*}
(1) & \quad (a^i d)(a^m d) = 0, \\
(2) & \quad (a^i b^j c^k)(a^m d) = \delta_{j0}\delta_{k0} a^{i+m} d, \\
(3) & \quad (a^i d)(a^m b^n c^p) = \delta_{j0}\delta_{k0} a^{i}(a-1)^m d, \\
(4) & \quad (a^i b^j c^k)(a^m b^n c^p) = a^i(a+j+k)^m b^{j+n} c^{k+p} + \delta_{j+n,1}\delta_{k+p,1} T_{jk}^{im},
\end{align*}

where

\[ T_{jk}^{im} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (j, k) = (0, 0), \\
(a-1)^{i+m} d - a^i(a+1)^m d & \text{if } (j, k) = (1, 0), \\
-(a-1)^{i+m} d - a^i(a+1)^m d & \text{if } (j, k) = (0, 1), \\
a^i(a-1)^m d - a^i(a+2)^m d & \text{if } (j, k) = (1, 1). \end{cases} \]
Proof. We only need the terms in Theorem 5.5 in which the \(d\)-exponent is 0, or the \(d\)-exponent is 1 and the \(b\)- and \(c\)-exponents are 0.

For equation (1), we have \(j = k = n = p = 0, \ell = q = 1\); hence \(\min(j, k) = 0\), so \(\alpha = 0\). The sums on \(\eta\) and \(\lambda\) are empty unless \(\delta = 0\) and \(\epsilon = 0\); hence \(\eta = \lambda = 0\). Now each term in Theorem 5.5 has \(d\)-exponent \(j+k+\ell+q-\alpha-\eta-\lambda = 2\); but \(d^2 = 0\).

For equation (2), we have \(\ell = n = p = 0, q = 1\). The \(d\)-exponent is \(j+k+1-\alpha-\eta-\lambda\). This is 0 if and only if \(\alpha+\eta+\lambda = j+k+1\); since \(\alpha+\eta \leq j\) and \(\lambda \leq k\) there are no solutions. The \(d\)-exponent is 1 if and only if \(\alpha+\eta+\lambda = j+k\). Since \(\eta \leq j\), \(\alpha+\eta \leq j\), \(\lambda \leq k\), \(\alpha+\lambda \leq k\), the solution has \(\eta = j\), \(\lambda = k\). Therefore \(\alpha = 0\), \(\beta = 0\), and the sums on \(\eta\), \(\lambda\) are empty unless \(\delta = 0\), \(\epsilon = 0\) so we get \(\theta = \mu = 0\). We need \(\zeta = 0\) to make the power of \(\alpha-\beta\) nonzero. But \(\zeta = i-\gamma\) since \(\{\delta\} = \delta_0\), and so \(\gamma = i\). The sum collapses to

\[
\sum_{\nu=0}^{m} (j+k)^{\nu} \binom{m}{\nu} a^{i+m-\nu} b^j c^k d = a^i (a+j+k)^m b^j c^k d.
\]

Since \(bd = cd\), this is 0 unless \(j = k = 0\).

For equation (3), we have \(j = k = q = 0, \ell = 1\); hence \(\min(j, k) = 0\), so \(\alpha = \beta = 0\). The power of \(\alpha-\beta\) is zero unless \(\zeta = 0\). Since \(j = \alpha = 0\), the sum on \(\eta\) is empty unless \(\epsilon = 0\), so \(\eta = \theta = 0\). Since \(k = \alpha = 0\), the sum on \(\lambda\) is empty unless \(\delta = 0\), so \(\lambda = \mu = 0\). We are left with

\[
\sum_{\nu=0}^{i} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{m} (-1)^{i-\gamma} \binom{i}{\gamma} \binom{m}{\nu} a^{m+\gamma-\nu} b^n c^\nu d.
\]

The Stirling number is 0 unless \(\gamma = i\), so we get

\[
\delta_{n0} \delta_{p0} \sum_{\nu=0}^{m} (-1)^{\nu} \binom{m}{\nu} a^{i+m-\nu} d = \delta_{n0} \delta_{p0} a^i (a-1)^m d,
\]

since the monomial vanishes unless \(n = p = 0\).

For equation (4), we have \(\ell = q = 0\); the \(d\)-exponent is \(j+k-\alpha-\eta-\lambda\). This is 0 if and only if \(\alpha+\eta+\lambda = j+k\). As before \(\eta = j\), \(\lambda = k\); hence \(\alpha = 0\), \(\beta = 0\), and so \(\delta = 0\), \(\epsilon = 0\), \(\theta = 0\), \(\mu = 0\) and \(\zeta = 0\). But \(\zeta = i-\gamma\) since \(\{\delta\} = \delta_0\), and so \(\gamma = i\). The sum collapses to

\[
\sum_{\nu=0}^{m} (j+k)^{\nu} \binom{m}{\nu} a^{i+m-\nu} b^j c^k d = a^i (a+j+k)^m b^j c^k d.
\]

If the \(d\)-exponent is 1, the \(b\)- and \(c\)-exponents are 0: \(-k+n+\alpha+\eta+\lambda = 0\), \(-j+p+\alpha+\eta+\lambda = 0\), \(j+k-\alpha-\eta-\lambda = 1\). Adding the first and third (resp. second and third) gives \(j+n = 1\) (resp. \(k+p = 1\)), so we have four cases: \((a^i)(a^m bc)\), \((a^i b)(a^m c)\), \((a^i c)(a^m b)\), \((a^i bc)(a^m)\).
Case 1: \( jkn \mid p = 0011 \). We have \((a^i)(a^mbc) = a^{i+m}bc\), so there is no term with \(d\)-exponent 1.

Case 2: \( jkn \mid p = 1001 \). We have \(\alpha = \beta = 0\) and hence \(\zeta = 0\). The \(\lambda\)-sum is empty unless \(\delta = 0\), and then \(\lambda = \mu = 0\). The \(\eta\)-sum is empty unless \(\epsilon \in \{0,1\}\), so we have four subcases: \((\epsilon, \eta, \theta) = (0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0)\); the last case occurs only when \(\gamma < i\). For \((0, 0, 0)\) the exponent of \(-1\) is \(i+1-\gamma\); otherwise it is \(i-\gamma\). For \((0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0)\) the factor \(\omega^\nu\) is 1; otherwise it is \((-1)^\nu\). If \(\gamma < i\) then the Stirling number \(\delta_{\gamma, i}\) (so \(\eta = \theta = 0\)); otherwise it is \(\delta_{i, 0}\). The monomial for \((0, 1, 0)\) when \(\gamma = i\) has \(d\)-exponent 0, contradicting our assumption, so this term does not appear. The sum collapses to

\[
\sum_{\gamma=0}^{i} \sum_{\nu=0}^{m} (-1)^{i-\gamma} (-1)^\nu \binom{i}{\gamma} \binom{m}{\nu} a^{\gamma+m-\nu}d - \sum_{\nu=0}^{m} \binom{m}{\nu} a^{i+m-\nu}d,
\]

which gives the result.

Case 3: \( jkn \mid p = 0110 \). Similar to Case 2.

Case 4: \( jkn \mid p = 1100 \). We have \(\alpha \in \{0,1\}\). There are three cases: \((\alpha, \beta) = (0, 0), (1, 0), (1, 1)\). The \(d\)-exponent is \(2-\alpha-\eta-\lambda\); by assumption this is 1, so \(\alpha+\eta+\lambda = 1\). For \((\alpha, \beta) = (1, 1)\) we must have \(\delta = 0\) and then \(\lambda = \mu = 0\); likewise \(\epsilon = 0\) and then \(\eta = \theta = 0\). Furthermore \(\zeta = 0\) and \(\gamma = i\). The sum collapses to

\[
\sum_{\nu=0}^{m} (-1)^\nu \binom{m}{\nu} a^{i+m-\nu}d = a^i(a-1)^md.
\]

For \((\alpha, \beta) = (1, 0)\) the sum collapses to

\[
-\sum_{\gamma=0}^{i} (-1)^{i-\gamma} \binom{i}{\gamma} a^{\gamma+m}d = -(a-1)^i a^md.
\]

For \((\alpha, \beta) = (0, 0)\) the sum collapses to

\[
\sum_{\gamma=0}^{i} \sum_{\epsilon=0}^{1-i-\gamma} \sum_{\theta=0}^{1-\epsilon} \sum_{\nu=0}^{m} (-1)^{i-\gamma-\epsilon-\theta-1}(2-2\epsilon-2\theta)^\nu \binom{i}{\gamma} \binom{m}{\nu} a^{\gamma-\nu+m}d.
\]

The sum on \(\theta\) gives \(\epsilon \in \{0,1\}\). If \(\gamma < i\) then \(\epsilon = 1\); hence \(\theta = 0\) and \(\nu = 0\). If \(\gamma = i\) then \(\epsilon = 0\). We separate the last term of the \(\gamma\)-sum:

\[
\sum_{\gamma=0}^{i-1} (-1)^{i-\gamma} \binom{i}{\gamma} a^{\gamma+m}d + \sum_{\theta=0}^{1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{m} (-1)^{-\theta-1}(2-2\theta)^\nu \binom{m}{\nu} a^{i-\nu+m}d.
\]

The first term cancels with the result for \((\alpha, \beta) = (1, 0)\). \(\square\)
The universal alternative enveloping algebra
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We write this as

\[ \text{Theorem 6.6.} \text{ The universal alternative enveloping algebra } A(M) \text{ is isomorphic to the algebra with basis } \{ a^i d, a^i b^j c^k \mid i, j, k \geq 0 \} \text{ and structure constants of Lemma 6.5.} \]

\[ \text{Proof.} \text{ Once we show that } U(M) / J \text{ is alternative, it follows that } J \text{ equals the alternator ideal } I(M) \text{ and hence that } U(M) / J \text{ is isomorphic to } A(M). \text{ We prove alternativity by showing that the associator alternates. Since the associator is multilinear, it suffices to consider monomials. We use Lemma 6.5 repeatedly. Since the product of a monomial of type 1 with any monomial is a linear combination of monomials of type 1, every associator with two monomials of type 1 vanishes. We next consider one monomial of type 1 and two of type 2. Since the } T \text{-term in Equation (4) contains only monomials of type 1, } (a^i d, a^m b^p c^q, a^r b^s c^t) \text{ equals}
\]

\[ \delta_{n0} \delta_{p0} a^i (a-1)^m d \left[ a^r b^s c^t \right] - (a^i d) \left[ a^m (a+n+p)^r b^{n+s} c^{p+t} + T_{rs}^{**} \right] = \delta_{n0} \delta_{p0} a^i (a-1)^m n^r d - \delta_{n+s,0} \delta_{p+t,0} a^i (a-1)^m (a-1+n+p)^p d = 0. \]

Similarly 

\[ (a^i b^j c^k, a^m d, a^r b^s c^t) = (a^i b^j c^k, a^m b^p c^q, a^r d) = 0. \]

We finally consider three monomials of type 2: 

\[ (a^i b^j c^k, a^m b^p c^q, a^r b^s c^t) \text{ equals}
\]

\[ a^i (a+j+k)^m b^{j+n}(c^{k+p} + \delta_{j+n,1} \delta_{k+p,1} T_{jk}^{im}) (a^r b^s c^t) - (a^i b^j c^k) \left[ a^m (a+n+p)^r b^{n+s} c^{p+t} + \delta_{n+s,1} \delta_{p+t,1} T_{np}^{mr} \right]. \]

We write this as

\[ A - B + C - D \text{ where}
\]

\[ A = \left[ a^i (a+j+k)^m b^{j+n} (c^{k+p}) \right] (a^r b^s c^t), \]

\[ B = (a^i b^j c^k) \left[ a^m (a+n+p)^r b^{n+s} c^{p+t} \right], \]

\[ C = \delta_{j+n,1} \delta_{k+p,1} T_{jk}^{im} (a^r b^s c^t), \quad D = \delta_{n+s,1} \delta_{p+t,1} (a^i b^j c^k) T_{np}^{mr}. \]

Expanding 

\[ (a+j+k)^m \text{ and } (a+n+p)^r \text{ we see that } A - B \text{ equals}
\]

\[ \delta_{j+n+s,1} \delta_{k+p+t,1} \left[ \sum_{\nu=0}^{m} \binom{m}{\nu} (j+k)^{\nu} T_{j+n,k+p}^{i+m-\nu,r} - \sum_{\xi=0}^{r} \binom{r}{\xi} (n+p)^{\xi} T_{j+k}^{i,m+r-\xi} \right]. \]

For \( jknpst = 110000 \) we get

\[ A - B = \sum_{\nu=0}^{m} \binom{m}{\nu} 2^\nu T_{11}^{i+m-\nu,r} - T_{11}^{i,m+r} = a^i (a+2)^m (a-1)^r d - a^i (a+2)^{m+r} d - a^i (a-1)^{m+r} d + a^i (a+2)^{m+r} d = a^i (a-1)^r (a+2)^m d - a^i (a-1)^{m+r} d. \]
Similar calculations give

\[
jknpst = 100100: \quad A - B = a^i(a-1)^r(a+1)^m d - a^r(a-1)^{i+m} d,
\]

\[
jknpst = 100001: \quad A - B = a^m(a-1)^{i+r} d - (a-1)^{i+m+r} d,
\]

\[
jknpst = 011000: \quad A - B = a^i(a-1)^r(a+1)^m d + (a-1)^{i+m} a^r d,
\]

\[
jknpst = 001100: \quad A - B = a^{i+m}(a-1)^r d - a^r(a+1)^m d,
\]

\[
jknpst = 001001: \quad A - B = (a-1)^{i+m+r} d - a^r(a+1)^m d,
\]

\[
jknpst = 010010: \quad A - B = -a^m(a-1)^{i+r} d + (a-1)^{i+m+r} d,
\]

\[
jknpst = 000110: \quad A - B = -(a-1)^{i+m+r} d - a^{i+m}(a+1)^r d,
\]

\[
jknpst = 000011: \quad A - B = 0.
\]

For \(C\) and \(D\) we obtain

\[
jknpst = 1100: \quad C = \delta_{s0} \delta_{t0} a^i(a-1)^{i+m} d - \delta_{s0} \delta_{t0} a^r(a-1)^r(a+2)^m d,
\]

\[
jknpst = 1001: \quad C = \delta_{s0} \delta_{t0} (a-1)^{i+m+r} d - \delta_{s0} \delta_{t0} a^r(a-1)^r(a+1)^m d,
\]

\[
jknpst = 0110: \quad C = -\delta_{s0} \delta_{t0} (a-1)^{i+m+r} d - \delta_{s0} \delta_{t0} a^r(a-1)^r(a+1)^m d,
\]

\[
jknpst = 0011: \quad C = 0,
\]

\[
kpst = 1100: \quad D = \delta_{j0} \delta_{k0} a^{i+m}(a-1)^r d - \delta_{j0} \delta_{k0} a^{i+m}(a+2)^r d,
\]

\[
kpst = 1001: \quad D = \delta_{j0} \delta_{k0} a^i(a-1)^{i+m} d - \delta_{j0} \delta_{k0} a^r(a+1)^m d,
\]

\[
kpst = 0110: \quad D = -\delta_{j0} \delta_{k0} a^i(a-1)^{i+m} d - \delta_{j0} \delta_{k0} a^r(a+1)^m d,
\]

\[
kpst = 0011: \quad D = 0.
\]

We combine these results to get \(A - B + C - D:\)

\[
jknpst = 110000: \quad (a^i b, a^m, a^r) = 0,
\]

\[
jknpst = 100100: \quad (a^i b, a^m c, a^r) = (a-1)^{i+m+r} d - a^r(a-1)^{i+m} d,
\]

\[
jknpst = 100001: \quad (a^i b, a^m, a^r c) = a^m(a-1)^{i+r} d - (a-1)^{i+m+r} d,
\]

\[
jknpst = 011000: \quad (a^i c, a^m b, a^r) = -(a-1)^{i+m+r} d + (a-1)^{i+m} a^r d,
\]

\[
jknpst = 001100: \quad (a^i, a^m b c, a^r) = 0,
\]

\[
jknpst = 001001: \quad (a^i, a^m b, a^r c) = (a-1)^{i+m+r} d - a^r(a-1)^{m+r} d,
\]

\[
jknpst = 010010: \quad (a^i c, a^m, a^r b) = -a^m(a-1)^{i+r} d + (a-1)^{i+m+r} d,
\]

\[
jknpst = 000110: \quad (a^i, a^m c, a^r b) = -(a-1)^{i+m+r} d + a^r(a-1)^{m+r} d,
\]

\[
jknpst = 000011: \quad (a^i, a^m, a^r b c) = 0.
\]

The alternativity property is now clear. \(\square\)
7. Conclusion

Since the alternator ideal $I(M)$ contains no elements of degree 1, the natural mapping from $M$ to $A(M)$ is injective, and hence $M$ is special. This also follows directly from the isomorphism $M \cong A^-$ where $A$ is the algebra in Table 3. For any $x, y, z \in A$ we write $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_4)$ etc. and calculate the associator to prove that $A$ is alternative:

$$(xy)z - x(yz) = \begin{bmatrix} 0, 0, 0, -\det \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ y_1 & y_2 & y_3 \\ z_1 & z_2 & z_3 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix}.$$ 

This is analogous to the construction of the split simple Lie algebra $sl_2(\mathbb{F})$ as a subalgebra (the trace-zero matrices) of the commutator algebra of the associative algebra $M_2(\mathbb{F})$ of $2 \times 2$ matrices over $\mathbb{F}$.

Table 3. The 4-dimensional alternative algebra $A$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>·</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>−d</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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