1971

Radial surface waves on piezoelectric substrates

Clifford Keith Day

Iowa State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/rtd

Part of the Electrical and Electronics Commons

Recommended Citation

Day, Clifford Keith, "Radial surface waves on piezoelectric substrates " (1971). Retrospective Theses and Dissertations. 4444.
https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/rtd/4444

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by the Iowa State University Capstones, Theses and Dissertations at Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Retrospective Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.
DAY, Clifford Keith, 1940-
RADIAL SURFACE WAVES ON PIEZOELECTRIC SUBSTRATES.

Iowa State University, Ph.D., 1971
Engineering, electrical

University Microfilms, A XEROX Company, Ann Arbor, Michigan
Radial surface waves on piezoelectric substrates

by

Clifford Keith Day

A Dissertation Submitted to the
Graduate Faculty in Partial Fulfillment of
The Requirements for the Degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Major Subject: Electrical Engineering

Approved:

Signature was redacted for privacy.

In Charge of Major Work

Signature was redacted for privacy.

For the Major Department

Signature was redacted for privacy.

For the Graduate College

Iowa State University
Ames, Iowa
1971
PLEASE NOTE:

Some Pages have indistinct print. Filmed as received.

UNIVERSITY MICROFILMS
TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LIST OF SYMBOLS</td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. INTRODUCTION</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Background</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Motivation and Scope</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. THEORETICAL ANALYSIS</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Governing Equations</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Transforming to curvilinear coordinates</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. General</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Cylindrical</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Problem formulation in cylindrical ...</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Field and Displacement Solutions</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Mathematical representation for solutions</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Computer solution for PZT-5A</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Description of Experiments</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Results of Tests</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Discussion of Results</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. LITERATURE CITED</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII. APPENDIX</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Radial surface waves generated on a piezoelectric substrate</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Coordinate systems and restrictions under which waves are assumed to propagate</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The unique crystal properties for 6mm symmetry referred to the conventional Cartesian crystallographic axes</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Plot used to approximate theoretical wave velocity</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Device 1. PZT-5A disc with two electrode transmit and receive</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Device 2. (a) Composite view, (b) Outer electrodes with electrical connections made by ultrasonic bonder</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Experimental instrumentation. Switch positions: (1) narrowband and (2) broadband</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Results of applying 1.65 MHz, 2 μsec gated signal to outer electrodes of each device</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Comparison of signals produced by outer and inner electrodes on device 1</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Comparison of signals produced by outer and inner electrodes on device 2</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Input (bottom) and output (top) for device 2 arranged for outer electrode transmit</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Response to 20 nsec pulse applied to the outer electrodes</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Comparison of main signal received by the inner (top) and outer (bottom) electrodes</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Demonstration of amplitude build-up due to multiple electrodes of device 2</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# LIST OF TABLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Typical materials with the property of transverse isotropy and the physical constants for PZT-5A</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Velocity, decay, and amplitude constants for radial surface waves on the free surface of PZT-5A. Constants represented here correspond to Equations 42 and 43</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Summary of experimental velocity measurements.</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LIST OF SYMBOLS

\( \alpha \) - decay constant

\( \omega \) - angular frequency

\( k \) - wave number

\( \zeta, \eta, \eta \) - displacement potentials

\( g \) - determinant of metric matrix

\( \rho \) - mass density

\( \varepsilon_0 \) - permitivity of free space

\( \phi \) - electric scalar potential

\( E^i \) - electric field intensity

\( D^i \) - electric flux density

\( x^i \) - coordinate axes

\( \varepsilon_{ij}^S \) - dielectric constant at constant strain

\( S^{ij} \) - strain tensor

\( T^{ij} \) - stress tensor

\( C_{ij} \) - elastic stiffness matrix

\( g_{ij} \) - metric tensor
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I. INTRODUCTION

A. Background

Microwave ultrasonics in general and surface waves in particular have been the subjects of intense study during recent years. There appear to be many reasons for this wide-spread interest. Perhaps the most important, however, is the small device sizes which result because acoustic waves are slower than electromagnetic waves by approximately five orders of magnitude. As van den Heuvel (30) suggests, the goal today is to "pack all of electronics onto a tiny speck of silicon no larger than a grain of sand."

Surface waves offer the additional advantage of accessibility when compared to the long-studied bulk waves. In a surface wave device, all of the traveling energy is available to be sensed, mixed, or amplified along its entire path of travel. Also, surface wave techniques are compatible with microwave and integrated circuit technologies.

Smith and Damon (23) have provided an excellent bibliography that contains many papers describing the development of surface wave technology from its analytical inception by Rayleigh (22) nearly 100 years ago to the recent past. Therefore, no attempt will be made to detail this development here.

Considerable effort has been devoted to the development of transducers to generate acoustical waves in materials. Nearly 100 recent papers are cited in this area alone by Smith and Damon (23). Bradfield (4,5) classifies ultrasonic transducers into 22 separate groups under the main headings of sources, reversible devices, and detectors.
Childs (7) compares microwave frequency transducers and offers a new device, the strip line electrically resonant transducer, for operation in the microwave region of the acoustical spectrum. He anticipates use of the strip line transducer at frequencies well above the photo mask limit (approximately 800 MHz) that restricts the use of surface wave transducers. Most surface wave devices operate below this limit due to the difficulty of making closely spaced conducting electrodes for interdigital transducers. However, recent literature (17) describes 2.5 GHz transducers constructed by electron beam techniques. Using these techniques, Budreau and Carr (6) were able to measure surface wave attenuation at 1.533 GHz.

The most common surface wave transducer is the set of interdigital electrodes deposited on piezoelectric substrates (24). Using parallel electrodes, this transducer produces at least 6 db insertion loss due to equal amounts of acoustic energy propagating in the two directions perpendicular to the electrodes. Collins and others (8) have reported on a special interdigital transducer design to radiate in essentially one direction. Using properly phased electrodes which cancel the "reverse" traveling wave, low insertion losses were achieved. This technique has the inherent disadvantage, however, of narrow bandwidth. Worley and Matthews (31) use quarter wave spaced electrodes and a "meandering" ground line to achieve some improvement in the bandwidth of their unidirectional transducer.

B. Motivation and Scope

The desire to reduce insertion loss by intercepting most of the
radiated energy from interdigital transducers was the driving force behind this research. One transducer configuration which offers this possibility is the point source surface wave transmitter with receiving electrodes arranged to intercept all of the acoustical energy. Figure 1 shows schematically how such a device might operate. The transmitting and receiving transducers could consist of concentric metal electrodes on a piezoelectric substrate spaced to give the desired delay time.

It is the purpose of this thesis to report on the research related to the radial surface waves generated by concentric metal electrodes on certain piezoelectric substrates. The investigation includes a mathematical analysis that will completely characterize the particle displacement and electric field components of the traveling waves. In addition, an experimental study is described and results are presented confirming the validity of the mathematical model.
Fig. 1. Radial surface waves generated on a piezoelectric substrate.
II. THEORETICAL ANALYSIS

The physical laws that provide the basis for the mathematical development include Newton's second law of motion and Maxwell's equations. It is necessary to consider Maxwell's equations in the analysis because of the coupling between electric fields and particle displacements produced by piezoelectric constants for the materials of interest.

A. Governing Equations

In Cartesian coordinates, Newton's second law of motion for elastic waves in materials can be written as (13):

\[ \rho \ddot{u}^{i'} = \frac{\partial T^{ij'}}{\partial x^{j'}} \]

where the tensor index notation described by Aris (2) will be used. The dots specify differentiation with respect to time, \( \rho \) is the material density that is assumed to be homogenous, \( u^{i'} \) is the particle displacement parallel to the \( x^{i'} \) coordinate axis, and \( T^{ij'} \) is the stress tensor in the Cartesian (primed) coordinate system.

Maxwell's divergence of the electric flux density for a charge free region will be used

\[ \frac{\partial D^{i'}}{\partial x^{i'}} = 0 \]

As a further restriction on Maxwell's equations, the electrostatic approximation will be assumed to apply. This is quite adequate since the transducer region is very short when compared to an electrical wavelength. As a consequence of this assumption, the curl of the
electric field is zero and the gradient of a scalar electric potential can represent the electric field or

\[ E_i' = -\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i'} \]  

(3)

where \( \phi \) is the scalar electric potential.

The indices \( i \) and \( j \) of the above equations, as well as the indices of all equations which follow, will take on the values of 1, 2, and 3 corresponding to the three coordinate axes. Using the Einstein summation convention (19), an index appearing once in the superscript position and once in the subscript position of the same term is considered a dummy index and specifies summation over the index range. An index appearing only once in a term is a free index and can assume any value in the range (1, 2, or 3). Therefore, Equation 1 represents three equations for the particle displacements \( u_1' \), \( u_2' \), and \( u_3' \).

The constitutive relationships specify the characteristics of the medium through which the waves must propagate. For piezoelectric media, piezoelectric constants in these equations couple Newton's second law and Maxwell's equations. The constitutive relationships of concern here are

\[ T_{ij}' = C_{E}^{ijkl'} S_{kl'} - e^{ijkl'} E_{k}' \]  

(4a)

\[ D_i' = e^{ijkl'} S_{jk} + \epsilon_{O}^{ijkl'} S_{j} E_{k}' \]  

(4b)

where \( C_{E}^{ijkl'} \) is the fourth rank tensor form of the material elastic constants at constant electric field, \( S^{kl'} \) is the second rank strain
tensor, $e^{klj}$ and $e^{ijk'}$ are third rank piezoelectric constants, $e^{ij}$ is the relative dielectric constant at constant strain, and $\varepsilon_0$ is the permittivity of free space ($8.854 \times 10^{-12}$ farad per meter).

The strain tensor can be expressed in terms of particle displacement or

$$S_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_{i'}}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_{j'}}{\partial x_i} \right) \tag{5}$$

It is now possible to collect all of the preceding equations together into four coupled partial differential equations in terms of three displacement quantities, $u_i'$, and the scalar electric potential, $\phi$. These, along with the appropriate boundary conditions, could completely describe wave propagation in piezoelectric media with respect to Cartesian coordinates.

1. Transforming to curvilinear coordinates

For the electrode configuration suggested by Figure 1, it is necessary that the wave fronts be cylindrically symmetrical. Therefore, the mathematical model should be constructed in cylindrical coordinates.

Martin (18) points to the value of using true tensors in the analysis of problems involving coordinate system other than Cartesian. The notation suggested by Martin and techniques described by Aris (2) were followed during the transformation of the fundamental equations from Cartesian to curvilinear coordinates.

a. General The desire, as stated by Aris, is "to represent physical entities in such a way that when changing the coordinate system our description changes in such a way that we are sure the
entity itself has not changed." This requires a distinction between contravariant and covariant tensors. The distinction is not necessary in the Cartesian system since the behavior of each tensor under simple rotational transformations is identical. Contravariant tensors are identified by one or more superscripts while subscripts denote covariant tensors.

In general, a contravariant tensor of rank one (vector) will transform according to the equation

$$a^i' = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x'^j} a^j$$

(6)

where $a^i'$ is a contravariant vector in the Cartesian system and $a^j$ represents the vector in a curvilinear coordinate system. Higher rank tensors transform into curvilinear coordinates in a similar manner but with additional partial derivatives appearing as products in the above equation.

The covariant first rank tensor transforms according to

$$a_i' = \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial x'_i} a^j$$

(7)

with additional partials required for higher rank covariant tensor transformations.

Covariant and contravariant tensors are related by the metric tensor, $g_{ij}$, as

$$a_i = g_{ij} a^j.$$  

(8)

The metric tensor (see Aris (2), page 142) relates distance to the infinitesimal coordinate increments.
It is also convenient to introduce the Christoffel symbol of the second kind defined by

\[
\{ i \ j \ k \} = \frac{1}{2} g^{i p} \left( \frac{\partial g_{p j}}{\partial x^k} + \frac{\partial g_{p k}}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial g_{j k}}{\partial x^p} \right) \tag{9}
\]

It is now possible to define the covariant derivative of a contravariant tensor, denoted by a comma followed by the proper index. As an example, the covariant derivative of the doubly contravariant stress tensor would be

\[
T^{i j} = \frac{1}{g^{l i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l} (g^{l i} T^{i j}) + \{ i \ j \ k \} T^{i k} \tag{10}
\]

where \( g \) is the determinant of the matrix whose elements are the values of the metric tensor, \( g_{i j} \). Each of the other quantities have been previously defined.

Equations 3 through 10 provide the basic for a general representation of Newton's second law in curvilinear coordinates:

\[
\rho \frac{\partial^2 u^i}{\partial t^2} = \frac{1}{g^{k i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \left[ g^{k i} C^{i j k l} \left( g_{k m} \left[ \frac{\partial u^m}{\partial x^l} + \{ l \ n \} u^n \right] + g_{l n} \left[ \frac{\partial u^m}{\partial x^l} + \{ l \ n \} u^n \right] \right) + \frac{1}{g} \epsilon^{k i j} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^k} \right]
\]

\[
\left. + g_{l m} \left[ \frac{\partial u^m}{\partial x^k} + \{ k \ n \} u^n \right] \right] + \frac{1}{g^{r s k}} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^k} \right]
\]

\[
\left. + \{ i \ r \ s \} \left[ C^{r s k l} \left( g_{k m} \left[ \frac{\partial u^m}{\partial x^l} + \{ l \ n \} u^n \right] + g_{l n} \left[ \frac{\partial u^m}{\partial x^l} + \{ l \ n \} u^n \right] \right) + \epsilon^{r s k} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^k} \right]
\]

where \( i, j, k, l, m, n, r, s = 1, 2, 3 \). This equation represents three
coupled equations for the three displacements of \( u^1, u^2, \) and \( u^3 \) and includes the electric potential, \( \phi \). It is a completely general expression of Newton's second law for piezoelectric media and can represent bulk or surface waves, depending on the conditions placed on the problem.

The divergence of the electric flux density in curvilinear coordinates becomes

\[
0 = \frac{1}{g^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^p} \left[ \frac{1}{g^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{\varepsilon_{kl}} \left( g_{kl} \left[ \frac{\partial u^m}{\partial x^k} + \{m \}^{l} n^i \right] + \right) - \varepsilon_0 g^{\frac{1}{2}} \kappa_{pq} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^q} \right] \tag{12}
\]

where \( k, l, m, n, p, q = 1, 2, 3 \).

b. Cylindrical Using the notation scheme suggested by Martin (18), the following symbols will represent the coordinate systems of interest:

\((x^1', x^2', x^3')\) - Cartesian axes \((x, y, z)\)

\((x^1, x^2, x^3)\) - cylindrical coordinates \((r, \theta, z)\)

The partials of Equations 6 and 7 may be evaluated from the following relationships between Cartesian and cylindrical coordinates.

\[
x^1' = x^1 \cos x^2 \tag{13a}
\]

\[
x^2' = x^1 \sin x^2 \tag{13b}
\]

\[
x^3' = x^3 \tag{13c}
\]

and
\[ x^1 = \sqrt{\left(x^1\right)^2 + \left(x^2\right)^2} \]  
\[ x^2 = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{x^2}{x^1}\right) \]  
\[ x^3 = x^3' \] (14a) (14b) (14c)

For cylindrical coordinates the metric tensor has the following values

\[ g_{11} = g_{33} = 1 \]  
\[ g_{22} = (x^1)^2 \]  
\[ g_{ij}(i \neq j) = 0 \] (15a) (15b) (15c)

Therefore, the value of \( g \) for Equation 10 is

\[ g = (x^1)^2. \] (16)

Using Equations 15 in Equation 9 produces three non-zero Christoffel symbols

\[ \{^1_{22}\} = -x^1 \]  
\[ \{^2_{12}\} = \{^2_{21}\} = \frac{1}{x^1} \] (17a) (17b)

while all others are zero.

2. Problem formulation in cylindrical coordinates

The first conditions placed on the problem relate to the coordinate system shown in Figure 2. The wave is assumed to propagate in the \( x^1 \) or \( r \) direction, to decay in the \( x^3 \) or \( z \) direction, and to remain uniform in the \( x^2 \) or \( \theta \) direction. Uniformity in the \( \theta \) direction implies all derivatives with respect to the \( x^2 \) dimension are zero. The propagation and decay directions will dictate a special form for the solutions to
Fig. 2. Coordinate systems and restrictions under which waves are assumed to propagate.
the governing equations.

Next, it is necessary to select the crystal symmetry to be imposed on the problem. Since it is important that the wave fronts remain circular when viewed down the $x^3$ axis, the wave velocity must be the same for all values of $\theta$. This implies transverse isotropy (20), i.e., an invariance of the parameters of permittivity, piezoelectricity, and elasticity when subjected to arbitrary rotations about the $x^3$ axis. The four crystal classes meeting the criteria for this problem are 4, 4\text{mm}, 6, and 6\text{mm}. Table 1 lists materials for each of these crystal classes. These are only a few of the materials that appear with their properties in Landolt-Börnstein (15,16). The 6\text{mm} crystal class was chosen as the symmetry for this problem because of the availability of a lead-zirconate-titanate ferroelectric ceramic, PZT-5A\textsuperscript{1}, for the experimental portion of the program. Polarized ferroelectric ceramics possess the properties of transverse isotropy (12) or the 6\text{mm} symmetry class. The analysis would hold equally well for the other symmetry classes noted above.

The effects of 6\text{mm} symmetry, as discussed by Mason (19), on the macroscopic properties of permittivity, piezoelectricity, and elasticity are summarized in Figure 3. Matrix notation (21) is used as a matter of convenience. The correspondence between the indices of tensor symbols and matrix symbols are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>tensor notation</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>23,32</th>
<th>13,31</th>
<th>12,21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>matrix notation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{1}Clevite Corporation trademark.
Table 1. Typical materials with the property of transverse isotropy and the physical constants for PZT-5A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Chrysazin ( C_{14}H_{8}O_{4} )</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Lithium iodate ( LiIO_{3} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Di-calcium strontium propionate ( Ca_{2}Sr(C_{2}H_{5}CO_{2})_{6} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lithium potassium sulfate ( LiKSO_{4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Potassium strontium niobate ( KSr_{2}Nb_{2}O_{15} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sodium aluminum silicate ( NaAlSiO_{4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4mm</td>
<td>Barium titanate ( BaTiO_{3} ) (single crystal)</td>
<td>6mm</td>
<td>Cadmium selenide ( CdSe )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Potassium lithium niobate ( K_{3}Li_{2}Nb_{5}O_{15} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cadmium sulfide ( CdS )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Potassium tantalate niobate ( KTaNbO_{3} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lead zirconate titane ( PZT-5A )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strontium barium niobate ( SrBaNb_{2}O_{6} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>Zinc Oxide ( ZnO )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Physical Constants for PZT-5A

\[
\begin{align*}
C_{11} &= 1.21 \times 10^{11} \text{n/m}^2 \\
C_{13} &= 0.752 \times 10^{11} \text{n/m}^2 \\
C_{33} &= 1.11 \times 10^{11} \text{n/m}^2 \\
C_{44} &= 0.211 \times 10^{11} \text{n/m}^2 \\
E_{15} &= 12.3 \text{ c/m}^2 \\
E_{31} &= -5.4 \text{ c/m}^2 \\
E_{33} &= 15.8 \text{ c/m}^2 \\
\kappa_{11} &= 916 \\
\kappa_{33} &= 830 \\
\rho &= 7750 \text{ kg/m}^3
\end{align*}
\]
Fig. 3. The unique crystal properties for 6mm symmetry referred to the conventional crystallographic axes.
For example, $c_{1223}$ becomes $c_{64}$ and $e_{113}$ becomes $e_{15}$.

The unique non-zero values of the physical properties in both the Cartesian and cylindrical systems are shown in Figure 3. Dots represent the zero values of the properties as discussed by Mason (19). Martin (18) describes the relationship between the cylindrical and Cartesian forms of second, third, and fourth rank tensors and shows that the number of unique parameters increases for the cylindrical coordinate system.

Newton's and Maxwell's equations (11 and 12) can now be simplified with the information provided in Figures 2 and 3 and Equations 13 through 17. Newton's equations become:

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = c'_{1111} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1 \partial x^1} + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^1} - \frac{u_1}{(x_1)^2} \right]$$

$$+ c'_{1313} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_3 \partial x^3} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^3} + c'_{1133} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right]$$

$$+ (e'_{113} + e'_{311}) \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_3 \partial x^3} \quad (18a)$$

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = c'_{1212} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_2 \partial x^2} + \frac{3}{x_1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^2} \right] + c'_{2323} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_3 \partial x^3} \quad (18b)$$

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = c'_{1133} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1 \partial x^1} + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^1} \right] + c'_{1313} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_3 \partial x^3} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^3} + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^3} \right] + c'_{3333} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_3 \partial x^3}$$

$$+ e'_{113} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_1 \partial x^1} + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^1} \right] + e'_{333} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_3 \partial x^3} \quad (18c)$$
The divergence of the electric flux density becomes:

\[ 0 = e'_{113} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial x} + \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial x} \right] \]

\[ + e'_{311} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial x} + \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right] + e'_{333} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial x} \]

\[ - e''_{11} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x \partial x} + \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right] - e''_{333} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x \partial x} \]

where the primes on the material constants indicate that the matrix components given in Figure 3, referred to Cartesian crystallographic axes, are being used.

Inspection of Equations 18 reveals coupling between 18a, c, and d since \( u^1, u^3 \), and \( \phi \) appears in each of these equations. Equation 18b involves only the \( u^2 \) component of particle displacement and is, therefore, decoupled from the other three equations. The conditions for decoupling are discussed by Koerber (14). To insure complete decoupling, it is necessary to consider the appropriate boundary conditions. As noted in Figure 2, it is assumed that a piezoelectric substrate fills the lower half space, \( x^3 < 0 \), and free space exists above the piezoelectric medium.

Hence, the boundary conditions would be:

1. Continuous electric potential across the boundary
   \( x^3 = 0, \phi(0^+) = \phi(0^-) \).

2. Continuous electric flux density,
   \( D^3(0^+) = D^3(0^-) \).

3. The components of the stress vector vanish
   at \( x^3 = 0 \).
For the free space region, \( x^3 > 0 \), it is necessary to solve Laplace's equation in cylindrical coordinates (26).

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^3} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z^2} = 0 \tag{19}
\]

When the boundary conditions are applied, only conditions 2 and 3 can provide coupling for the dependent variables \( u^1, u^2, u^3 \), and \( \phi \). Using the constitutive relationships of Equations 4 and the equations for electric field and strain, Equations 3 and 5, respectively, these conditions become:

\[
- \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^3} \bigg|_{x^3 = 0} = [\varepsilon'_{311} \left( \frac{\partial u^1}{\partial x^3} + \frac{u^1}{x^1} \right) + \varepsilon'_{333} \frac{\partial u^3}{\partial x^3}] \tag{20a}
\]

\[
- \varepsilon'_0 \varepsilon_{33} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^3} \bigg|_{x^3 = 0}
\]

for the normal component of flux density and

\[
\tau^{13} = 0 = [c'_{1313} \left( \frac{\partial u^1}{\partial x^3} + \frac{\partial u^3}{\partial x^1} \right) + \varepsilon'_{113} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^1}] \bigg|_{x^3 = 0} \tag{20b}
\]

\[
\tau^{23} = 0 = c'_{2323} \frac{\partial u^2}{\partial x^3} \bigg|_{x^3 = 0} \tag{20c}
\]
\[ T^{33} = 0 = \begin{vmatrix} C_{1313}' \left[ \frac{\partial u_1^3}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x^3} \right] + e_{113}' \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^1} \\ x^3 = 0 \end{vmatrix} \] (20d)

for the zero components of stress. Once again, \( u_1, u_3, \) and \( \phi \) are coupled while \( u_2 \) is decoupled. Hence, two mode groups exist and will be referred to as \( (u_1, u_3, \phi) \), the radial mode, and \( (u_2) \).

As a matter of convenience, the coupled Equations 18a, c, and d are rewritten below using the matrix notation for the physical properties and the symbols \( r \) and \( z \) for the cylindrical coordinate parameters \( x^1 \) and \( x^3 \). Also, \( u_r \) and \( u_z \) replace \( u_1 \) and \( u_3 \), respectively.

\[
\rho \ddot{u}_r = C_{11} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 u_r}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r} - \frac{u_r}{r^2} \right] + C_{44} \frac{\partial^2 u_r}{\partial z^2} 
+ (C_{44} + C_{13}) \frac{\partial^2 u_z}{\partial r \partial z} + (e_{15} + e_{31}) \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial r \partial z} \tag{21a}
\]

\[
\rho \ddot{u}_z = (C_{13} + C_{44}) \left[ \frac{\partial^2 u_r}{\partial r \partial z} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial z} \right] + C_{44} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 u_z}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial r} \right] 
+ C_{33} \frac{\partial^2 u_z}{\partial z^2} + e_{15} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \right] + e_{31} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z^2} \tag{21b}
\]

\[
0 = (e_{15} + e_{31}) \left[ \frac{\partial^2 u_r}{\partial r \partial z} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial z} \right] + e_{15} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 u_z}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial r} \right] 
+ e_{33} \frac{\partial^2 u_z}{\partial z^2} - e_{011} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \right] - e_{033} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z^2} \tag{21c}
\]

These three equations describe the motion of radial waves in the region \( z < 0 \). Rewriting Equation 19 to include the new notation
Again this describes the electric potential in the region $z > 0$ that is associated with the traveling radial surface wave.

The problem now is to find the complete solutions for the particle displacements, $u_r$ and $u_z$, and the electric potential $\phi$. This comprises the next section.

B. Field and Displacement Solutions

1. Mathematical representation for solutions

Ewing, Jardetzky, and Press (10) discuss cylindrical waves in isotropic media. They suggest replacing particle displacements by the sum of the gradient of a scalar potential and the curl of a vector potential. Using this technique, they are able to reduce the two coupled equations of motion involving particle displacements to two separate wave equations for the displacement potentials. In this way, solutions for the particle displacements are immediately suggested.

A similar procedure was followed in searching for solutions to Equations 21. The following substitutions were made for the particle displacements in cylindrical coordinates:

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_r &= \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \phi} \\
  u_z &= \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} (r \psi)
\end{align*}
\]

(23)

where $\zeta$ is a scalar displacement potential and $\psi$ is the $\phi$ component of a vector displacement potential. In addition, it was necessary to
substitute another function, \( \eta \), for \( \psi \) in order to obtain the desired form for the differential equations involving the potentials

\[
\psi = - \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial r} \tag{23c}
\]

The resulting differential equations suggest that Bessel's equation of zero order is the governing equation for the \( r \) dependence of the function \( \zeta, \eta, \) and \( \phi \). Using Harrington's (11) notation and denoting general solutions to Bessel's equation by \( B_0(kr) \), it is an easy matter to determine the form of the solutions for the particle displacements by taking the derivatives indicated in Equations 23. It is found that the \( u_r \) component of displacement has a \( B_1(kr) \) dependence while \( u_z \) and \( \phi \) are each functions of \( B_0(kr) \) where \( k \) is the wave number.

It is necessary for the solutions to describe surface wave propagation. In order for the conditions of Figure 2 to hold, that is, \( r \)-propagating, \( \theta \)-uniform, and \( z \)-decay, the solutions must have the following form in the region \( z < 0 \):

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_r &= A_r B_1(kr) \exp (\alpha kz + j\omega t) \tag{24a} \\
  u_z &= A_z B_0(kr) \exp (\alpha kz + j\omega t) \tag{24b} \\
  \phi &= A_\phi B_0(kr) \exp (\alpha kz + j\omega t) \tag{24c}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( A_r, A_z, \) and \( A_\phi \) are arbitrary amplitude constants, \( \alpha \) is a decay constant, \( k \) is the wave number, \( \omega \) is the angular frequency, and \( t \) is time.

The \( B_1(kr) \) and \( B_0(kr) \) in Equations 24 must represent traveling waves and, therefore, must be Hankel functions (11) of the first (inward
traveling waves) or second (outward traveling waves) kind. This conclusion assumes the wave number, $k$, to be real. If $k$ were complex, the traveling waves would be attenuated in the direction of travel. For purely imaginary $k$, modified Bessel functions result which represent evanescent-type fields, as described by Harrington. For this problem, $k$ will be assumed to be real and the decay constant, $\alpha$, will be allowed to take on complex values.

Most of the mathematical methods that follow are quite similar to those used by Tseng and White (29) and Spaight (25) for the analysis of plane waves in Cartesian coordinates. Tseng and White considered the general problem of piezoelectric surface waves on hexagonal crystals while Spaight investigated these waves on LiNbO$_3$.

If the solutions of Equations 24 are put into Equations 21, it is possible to obtain the following simplified forms:

\begin{align}
(\rho v^2 - c_{11} + c_{44} \alpha^2) A_r - \alpha(c_{44} + c_{13}) A_z \\
- \alpha(e_{15} + e_{31}) A_\phi = 0 \\
\alpha(c_{44} + c_{13}) A_r + (\rho v^2 - c_{44} + c_{33} \alpha^2) A_z \\
+ (e_{33} \alpha^2 - e_{15}) A_\phi = 0 \\
\alpha(e_{15} + e_{31}) A_r + (e_{33} \alpha^2 - e_{15}) A_z \\
+ (\varepsilon_0 \kappa_{11} - \varepsilon_0 \kappa_{33} \alpha^2) A_\phi = 0
\end{align}

(25a)

(25b)

(25c)

where $v = \frac{w}{k}$ is the radial wave velocity. This is a linear set of homogenous equations. For a non-trivial solution, the determinant of the coefficient
matrix for $A_r$, $A_z$, and $A_\phi$ must vanish. The result is

$$|a_{ij}| = \begin{vmatrix} \rho v^2 & -c_{44} & \alpha(c_{44}+c_{13}) & -\alpha(c_{15}+e_{31}) \\ -c_{44} & \rho v^2 & c_{44} & \alpha(c_{33}+c_{15}) \\ \alpha(c_{44}+c_{13}) & c_{44} & \rho v^2 & e_{15} \\ -\alpha(c_{15}+e_{31}) & c_{33} & e_{15} & e_{11} \end{vmatrix} = 0 \quad (26)$$

where $a_{ij}$ is a typical element of the coefficient matrix.

When expanded, this secular equation yields three roots for $\alpha^2$. If all three roots are real, the positive results of $\alpha = \pm (\alpha_i^2)^{1/2}$ are retained since negative values of $\alpha$ would result in infinite displacements and fields as $z$ approaches minus infinity.

The other possibility is one real and two complex conjugate roots for $\alpha^2$. Again, because of physical arguments, the real root with a positive value is retained along with the complex conjugate pair with positive real parts. Synge (27) refers to a plane wave with these decay characteristics as the generalized surface wave.

Using the three decay constants, Equations 24 can be rewritten as

$$u_r = B_1(\kappa r)[Ae^{\alpha_1 \kappa z} + Be^{\alpha_2 \kappa z} + Ce^{\alpha_3 \kappa z}] e^{i\omega t} \quad (27a)$$

$$u_z = B_0(\kappa r)[De^{\alpha_1 \kappa z} + Be^{\alpha_2 \kappa z} + Fe^{\alpha_3 \kappa z}] e^{i\omega t} \quad (27b)$$

$$\phi = B_0(\kappa r)[Ge^{\alpha_1 \kappa z} + He^{\alpha_2 \kappa z} + Ie^{\alpha_3 \kappa z}] e^{i\omega t} \quad (27c)$$

where A through I are constants to be determined. These nine constants can be reduced to three by using Cramer's rule.

Since each of the terms involving $\alpha_1$ alone must satisfy Equation 21
(disregarding the \( \alpha_2 \) and \( \alpha_3 \) terms for the present), the following relations result when Equations 27 are put into Equations 21:

\[
\begin{align*}
&1 \, A + a_{11} \, D + a_{12} \, G = 0 \\
&2 \, A + a_{21} \, D + a_{22} \, G = 0
\end{align*}
\]

(28a)  

(28b)

where the \( a_{ij} \) correspond to the elements of Equation 26 and the superscript 1 means \( \alpha_1 \) appears in place of \( \alpha \) in these elements. Similar equations corresponding to the \( \alpha_2 \) and \( \alpha_3 \) roots can be written:

\[
\begin{align*}
&1 \, B + a_{11} \, E + a_{12} \, H = 0 \\
&2 \, B + a_{21} \, E + a_{22} \, H = 0 \\
&3 \, C + a_{31} \, F + a_{32} \, I = 0 \\
&4 \, C + a_{41} \, F + a_{42} \, I = 0
\end{align*}
\]

(29a)  

(29b)  

(30a)  

(30b)

If Cramer's rule (32) is applied to Equations 28, 29, and 30, the number of constants in Equations 27 reduce to three. A typical relation between the constants using Equations 28 would be:

\[
A = \begin{vmatrix}
-a_{13} & a_{12} \\
-a_{23} & a_{22} \\
a_{11} & a_{12} \\
a_{21} & a_{22}
\end{vmatrix}
= M_{11} \, G
\]

(31)
while the other constants are

\[ B = M_{12}H, \quad C = M_{13}I, \quad D = M_{21}G, \quad E = M_{22}H, \quad F = M_{23}I \] \hspace{1cm} (32)

Substituting the relationships of Equations 31 and 32 into Equations 27 eliminates six arbitrary constants and the solutions simplify to

\[ u \tau = B_1(kr)\{M_{11}G_e^{1\text{Kz}} + M_{12}H_e^{2\text{Kz}} + M_{13}I_e^{3\text{Kz}}\} e^{jut} \] \hspace{1cm} (33a)

\[ u \zeta = B_0(kr)\{M_{21}G_e^{1\text{Kz}} + M_{22}H_e^{2\text{Kz}} + M_{23}I_e^{3\text{Kz}}\} e^{jut} \] \hspace{1cm} (33b)

\[ \phi = B_0(kr)\{G_e^{1\text{Kz}} + H_e^{2\text{Kz}} + I_e^{3\text{Kz}}\} e^{jut} \] \hspace{1cm} (33c)

Another relationship between the velocity and decay constants of Equation 28 can be obtained from the boundary conditions. Also, the boundary conditions allow a further reduction in the number of arbitrary constants in the above equations. The boundary conditions to be used are

1. Continuous electric potential across the boundary \( z = 0 \),
   \[ \phi(0^+) = \phi(0^-). \]
2. Continuous normal component of the electric flux density,
   \[ D_z(0^+) = D_z(0^-). \]
3. All normal components of stress at the surface are zero,
   \[ T_{\tau z} = T_{\theta z} = T_{zz} = 0. \]

Before applying the boundary conditions, however, it is necessary to obtain a solution for Equation 22, the governing equation for the fields outside the piezoelectric material. The solution for \( z > 0 \) is of the form

\[ \phi = L B_0(kr) e^{-\beta k z+jut} \] \hspace{1cm} (34)
where \( L \) is an arbitrary constant and \( \beta = 1 \) is the decay constant in free space (29).

Application of the boundary conditions to Equations 33 and 34 produces the following set of linear homogenous equations which are represented in matrix form:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
C_{44}^{11} (M_{11}^{\alpha_1} - M_{21}^{\alpha_2}) & C_{44}^{12} (M_{12}^{\alpha_2} - M_{22}^{\alpha_2}) & C_{44}^{13} (M_{13}^{\alpha_3} - M_{23}^{\alpha_3}) \\
-e_1 & -e_1 & -e_1 \\
M_{11}^{\alpha_1} + \alpha_1 (M_{21}^{\alpha_1} e_3 + e_3) & M_{12}^{\alpha_2} + \alpha_2 (M_{22}^{\alpha_2} e_3 + e_3) & M_{13}^{\alpha_3} + \alpha_3 (M_{23}^{\alpha_3} e_3 + e_3) \\
M_{11}^{\alpha_1} e_3 + M_{21}^{\alpha_1} e_3 & M_{12}^{\alpha_2} e_3 + M_{22}^{\alpha_2} e_3 & M_{13}^{\alpha_3} e_3 + M_{23}^{\alpha_3} e_3 \\
-e_0 (\alpha_3^{\alpha_3} + 1) & -e_0 (\alpha_3^{\alpha_3} + 1) & -e_0 (\alpha_3^{\alpha_3} + 1)
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix} G \\ H \\ I \end{bmatrix} = 0 \tag{35}
\]

Again, the determinant of the coefficient matrix must be zero for non-trivial solutions. Representing the above coefficient matrix by \([b_{ij}]\) we must have

\[
|b_{ij}| = 0 \tag{36}
\]

From this characteristic equation, a second relationship between velocity and decay constants can be obtained. In theory it is possible to solve Equations 26 and 36 for these needed values. However, in practice the algebraic difficulties are practically insurmountable. These are avoided by using numerical methods to be described later.

Equation 36 also allows the final form of the displacement and potential solutions to be written when used with the following relationship from the theory of systems of linear equations (32):
\[ x_i = N(-1)^{i+1} |B_i|, \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \]  \hspace{1cm} (37)

where \( x_i \) represents the arbitrary amplitude constants of Equation 35, i.e., \( G, H, \) and \( I \). \( N \) is an arbitrary scalar constant, \(|B_i|\) is the determinant of the matrix \([B_i]\) which is obtained from \([b_{ij}]\) above by deleting the last row and \( i^{th} \) column.

Applying Equation 37 to Equation 35 reduces the number of arbitrary amplitude constants to one.

\[ G = N(b_{12}b_{23} - b_{13}b_{22}) = n_1 N \]  \hspace{1cm} (38a)

\[ H = -N(b_{11}b_{23} - b_{13}b_{21}) = n_2 N \]  \hspace{1cm} (38b)

\[ I = N(b_{11}b_{22} - b_{12}b_{21}) = n_3 N \]  \hspace{1cm} (38c)

Equations 33 and 38 combine to give the final form of the solutions for particle displacement and electric potential for \( z < 0 \).

\[ u_r = B_1 (kr)N[M_{11}n_1 e^{\alpha_1 kz} + M_{12}n_2 e^{\alpha_2 kz} + M_{13}n_3 e^{\alpha_3 kz}] e^{jwt} \]  \hspace{1cm} (39a)

\[ u_z = B_0 (kr)N[M_{21}n_1 e^{\alpha_1 kz} + M_{22}n_2 e^{\alpha_2 kz} + M_{23}n_3 e^{\alpha_3 kz}] e^{jwt} \]  \hspace{1cm} (39b)

\[ \phi = B_0 (kr)N[n_1 e^{\alpha_1 kz} + n_2 e^{\alpha_2 kz} + n_3 e^{\alpha_3 kz}] e^{jwt} \]  \hspace{1cm} (39c)

Using Equation 3 on Equation 39c produces the final form of the electric fields for \( z < 0 \):

\[ E_r = B_1 (kr)kN[n_1 e^{\alpha_1 kz} + n_2 e^{\alpha_2 kz} + n_3 e^{\alpha_3 kz}] e^{jwt} \]  \hspace{1cm} (40a)

\[ E_z = -B_0 (kr)kN[\alpha_1 n_1 e^{\alpha_1 kz} + \alpha_2 n_2 e^{\alpha_2 kz} + \alpha_3 n_3 e^{\alpha_3 kz}] e^{jwt} \]  \hspace{1cm} (40b)
For $z > 0$ the final forms of the solutions are

$$\phi = A_0 (kr) N_{n_1+n_2+n_3} e^{-(kz+j\omega t)} \quad (41a)$$

$$E_r = B_0 (kr) k N_{n_1+n_2+n_3} e^{-(kz+j\omega t)} \quad (41b)$$

$$E_z = B_0 (kr) k N_{n_1+n_2+n_3} e^{-(kz+j\omega t)} \quad (41c)$$

The mathematical model for the electric fields and particle displacements is, therefore, complete. The next section will describe a numerical application of the above equations for a lead-zirconate-titanate ceramic selected for the experimental portion of the research.

2. Computer solution for PZT-5A

The lead-zirconate-titanate ceramic PZT-5A was selected as the piezoelectric material to be used for the computational and experimental portions of the research. The physical properties of PZT-5A that are important to this study are listed in Table 1.

The numerical analysis was based on the procedure suggested by Tseng and White (29). The physical constants for PZT-5A from Table 1 were entered into Equation 26. This equation then contains only the undefined variables of velocity, $v$, and decay, $\alpha$. A phase velocity is assumed and substituted into Equation 26. With the aid of a computer, the six roots of $\alpha$ were determined. Three of these roots and the velocity were used in the boundary condition determinant, Equation 35, to see if this equation was satisfied. If not, a new velocity was selected and the process repeated until the boundary condition determinant was satisfied. In practice, it was not necessary to satisfy Equations 26 and 35 exactly
because the number of significant figures required to do so far out-
numbered the significant figures obtainable from any physical measurement.
Therefore, the approximation to be described later was used.

Two computer programs were used in the numerical analysis. The
roots of the decay constant from Equation 26 were found with the aid
of an IBM-360G program written by Deckert and Johnson (9). This linear
systems analysis (LISA) program allows the solution to be obtained for
systems of linear equations that have coefficient matrices with polynomial
elements. A glance at the coefficient matrix, Equation 25, reveals
polynomial elements for the decay constant, \( \alpha \). Therefore, this flexible
program permits a simple solution for the decay roots once the material
constants and phase velocity are given.

A second program was written to test the decay constants obtained
from LISA. Using a complex format, this Fortran program began with the
material constants, assumed velocity, and decay constants from LISA and
calculated the value of the determinant of the coefficient matrix from
Equation 35.

As was noted in the opening paragraphs of this section, an iteration
on the value of phase velocity was necessary to find the correct combin-
ation of velocity and decay constants to solve Equation 26 and 35
simultaneously. The four values of velocity used during the iteration
process are plotted in Figure 4 showing how the imaginary part of the
boundary condition determinant varies. This value goes through zero
for a phase velocity of approximately 1980 meters/second. Smaller velocity
increments were then selected and the imaginary part of the above deter-
Fig. 4. Plot used to approximate theoretical wave velocity.
A more accurate determination of velocity could not be justified in view of the fact that material constants are given to only three significant figures at best. Also, experimental velocity measurements could only be carried out to the third significant figure.

The results of the numerical analysis are as follows:

phase velocity \( v = 1982 \) meters/second

decay constants \( \alpha_1 = 1.078 \)
\( \alpha_2 = 0.6258 - j0.3461 \)
\( \alpha_3 = 0.6258 + j0.3461 \)

An important part of the second program was to calculate the constants for the final solutions represented by Equations 39, 40, and 41. These equations have been simplified by single letter substitutions for the products and sums of amplitude constants and are rewritten below. For \( z < 0 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
 u_r &= B_1 (kr)N \exp(j\omega t) \sum_{i=1}^{3} p_{1i} \exp(\alpha_i kz) \quad (42a) \\
 u_z &= B_0 (kr)N \exp(j\omega t) \sum_{i=1}^{3} p_{2i} \exp(\alpha_i kz) \quad (42b) \\
 \phi &= B_0 (kr)N \exp(j\omega t) \sum_{i=1}^{3} n_{1i} \exp(\alpha_i kz) \quad (42c) \\
 E_r &= B_1 (kr)kN \exp(j\omega t) \sum_{i=1}^{3} n_{1i} \exp(\alpha_i kz) \quad (42d) \\
 E_z &= B_0 (kr)kN \exp(j\omega t) \sum_{i=1}^{3} p_{3i} \exp(\alpha_i kz) \quad (42e)
\end{align*}
\]
For $z > 0$ the solutions have the form

$$
\phi = B_0 (kr) N_n \exp(j\omega t - kz) \quad (43a)
$$

$$
E_r = B_1 (kr) N_n \exp(j\omega t - kz) \quad (43b)
$$

$$
E_z = B_o (kr) N_n \exp(j\omega t - kz) \quad (43c)
$$

where $n = n_1 + n_2 + n_3$. The velocity, decay, and amplitude constants for the above equations are summarized in Table 2.

This concludes the numerical analysis for PZT-5A. The experimental section describes an investigation which has the purpose of checking the validity of the above mathematical model for radial surface waves.
Table 2. Velocity, decay, and amplitude constants for radial surface waves on the free surface of PZT-5A. Constants represented here correspond to Equation 42 and 43.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constant</th>
<th>Value from numerical analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V$ (meters/second)</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_1$</td>
<td>1.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_2, \alpha_3$</td>
<td>$0.6258 + j0.3461$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_{11}$</td>
<td>$j0.2554 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_{12}, p_{13}$</td>
<td>$(\pm 12.77 - j3.811) \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_{21}$</td>
<td>$-j0.2377 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_{22}, p_{23}$</td>
<td>$(\pm 14.08 - 5.006) \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_{31}$</td>
<td>$j11.34$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_{32}, p_{33}$</td>
<td>$\pm 148.4 + j56.36$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_1$</td>
<td>$j10.52$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_2, n_3$</td>
<td>$\pm 220.2 - j31.73$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>$-j52.94$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
III. EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION

Radial surface waves are unique in one respect when compared to plane waves or straight crested waves discussed by others (25, 29). It is possible to simulate the theoretical situation of radial waves exactly with experimental techniques because transducers are circular. Plane waves on the other hand, cannot be produced exactly as the theory assumes because finite width transducers must be used. As a result of this physical limitation on the transducer, plane waves diverge somewhat as they propagate away from the transmitter. This produces higher insertion loss. Insertion loss, phase velocity, and bandwidth are included in the topics to be discussed in the next sections.

A. Description of Experiments

Two devices were constructed in accordance with the procedure given in the appendix. The difference between the two was in the number of electrodes used in the outer transducer set. Figure 5 shows two views of device number one with one electrode pair each for the receive and transmit transducers. The piezoelectric substrate is a disc of PZT-5A measuring 2½ inch in diameter and ½ inch in thickness. This obviously does not approach the theoretically assumed semi-infinite substrate, but, by properly designing the electrodes, measurements can be made without the finite boundaries causing significant interference.

The center-to-center spacing of the copper electrodes shown in Figure 5 measures 0.020 inch corresponding to the half wavelength of a radial surface wave for a frequency of approximately 2 MHz. The electrodes
Fig. 5. Device 1. PZT-5A disc with two-electrode transmit and receive.
are about 10,000 Å in thickness. The distance between the center of the outer ring of the inner electrode pair and the center of the inner ring of the outer electrode pair is 0.385 inch. This is an important distance that will be used with delay time measurements to determine phase velocity.

Electrical interference was minimized by placing each device in a metal container such as the one shown in Figure 5a. Connections to the outside were made through BNC feed-through connectors.

Figure 6 shows device number two. It was constructed from the same master drawing as number 1 after four electrodes were added, two inside and two outside the outer pair shown in Figure 5, giving a total of 6 electrodes for the outer transducer. The center-to-center spacing between each pair and the electrode thickness remains the same as that of device number 1. However, adding two electrodes closer to the center reduces the wave propagation distance from 0.385 inch to 0.345 inch.

Electrical connections were made to each copper electrode by gold wire using an ultrasonic bonder. Figure 6b shows a close-up view of device 2 and the lead connections that were made to the outer transducer set.

The two circuit configurations used during the device tests are shown in Figure 7. By having the switch in position 1 it was possible to gate a narrow band signal through the coupler to the transmit electrodes. The gate width and signal frequency were controlled independently. Switch position 2 placed the output of the pulse generator directly across the transmitting transducer. This provided a broad spectrum of frequencies
Fig. 6. Device 2. (a) Composite view. (b) Outer electrodes with electrical connections made by ultrasonic bonder.
Fig. 7. Experimental instrumentation. Switch positions: (1) narrowband and (2) broadband.
and allowed the transducers to respond at their natural resonant frequency.

The test setup included a Tektronix type 454 oscilloscope that has a bandwidth of 150 MHz. Voltage insertion loss, delay time, and bandwidth were measured with the aid of this instrument.

B. Results of Tests

Velocity provides the basis for checking the mathematical model presented in Chapter II. Using the electrode separation distance given in the previous section and an accurate measurement of time delay, it is possible to compute velocity to three significant figures.

The narrowband and broadband techniques described in Section A were employed in the time delay measurements. Figure 8 shows the results of applying a narrowband signal to each device. A 1.65 MHz signal that was 2 \( \mu \text{sec} \) in time length was gated into the outer electrodes. A portion of this signal couples into the output circuitry and appears in the first 2 \( \mu \text{sec} \) of each photograph. The radial surface wave begins after 4.5 \( \mu \text{sec} \). Individual cycles cannot be seen in the photographs because the oscilloscope synchronization was taken from the pulse generator. Time delay taken from Figure 8, propagation distance, and velocity are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Summary of experimental velocity measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device</th>
<th>Time Delay ( \mu \text{sec} )</th>
<th>Distance inch</th>
<th>Velocity m/sec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>1870</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 8. Results of applying 1.65 MHz, 2 μsec gated signal to outer electrodes of each device.
As one might expect, radial wave transducer sets with different radii will not produce surface waves of the same magnitude. Applying an electrical signal to the outer electrodes results in a larger wave at the inner transducer than when the role of the electrodes is reversed. Figures 9 and 10 demonstrate this phenomenon for both devices. In each case the signal level is improved approximately 5 times by using the outer transducer set for transmitting instead of the inner electrodes.

The maximum voltage ratio, output divided by input, for radial wave transducers can obviously be achieved with the arrangement that uses the outer electrodes to transmit and inner electrodes to receive. The same conclusion could be drawn for insertion loss since it is related to the voltage ratio. Figure 11 shows the input and output voltage signals for device 2. For an input of 1 volt peak-to-peak the output is approximately 0.32 volt or down about 10 db.

Bandwidth was measured along with the above voltage ratio. A frequency change of 0.52 MHz was achieved while keeping the signal level within the half-power points. For a center frequency of 1.65 MHz this bandwidth represents about a 30 percent change in frequency for device 2.

Application of a broadband pulse reveals the existence of other propagation mode groups due to the finite boundaries. Figure 12 shows the response of each device to a 10 volt 20 nsec wide pulse. The radial mode begins in each case at just over 4.4 μsec. The signal in the middle trace of each photograph that begins at approximately 2.4 μsec corresponds to the velocity of bulk waves in PZT-5A. A signal that propagates radially outward from the outer electrodes, reflects off the edge of
Fig. 9. Comparison of signals produced by outer and inner electrodes on device 1. Scale factors for each photograph: vertical — 10 mV/cm; horizontal — 1 μsec/cm.
Fig. 10. Comparison of signals produced by outer and inner electrodes on device 2. Scale factors for each photograph: vertical — 10 mV/cm; horizontal — 1 μsec/cm.
Fig. 11. Input (bottom) and output (top) for device 2 arranged for outer electrode transmit. Scale factors: vertical — top 50 mV/cm, bottom 0.5 V/cm; horizontal — 2 µsec/cm.
Fig. 12. Response to 20 nsec pulse applied to the outer electrodes. For each device: top trace — signals on outer electrodes; middle trace — signals on inner electrodes; bottom trace — applied signal. Scale factors for each photograph: vertical — top and center 20 mV/cm, bottom 5 V/cm; horizontal — top and center 2 μsec/cm, bottom 10 nsec/cm.
the disc, and travels back to the transmit electrode can be observed at about 18 µsec in the top trace of each photograph. Other signals result from bulk waves that reflect off the bottom of the disc.

Another interesting response pattern appears at slightly over 10 µsec in the top trace of Figure 12b and is just visible at the same time in Figure 12a. This signal is produced at the transmit electrode set after the wave has passed under the receive electrodes, converged at the center, and then propagates from the center outward. Figure 13 compares the amplitude of this signal (11 µsec on bottom trace) with the main radial mode sensed by the receive electrodes (4.8 µsec on top trace). Each trace has a vertical sensitivity of 50 mV/cm so for the 1 volt input the main signal suffers a loss of 10 db while the wave sensed by the transmit electrodes is down by 33 db.

One last interesting phenomenon related to the number of electrodes is demonstrated in Figure 14. Here the applied signal has been extended in time to 4 µsec but the receive signal builds up to a maximum in slightly less than 2 µsec and remains at this level even though the input pulse length is longer. This is due to the reinforcement produced by the six electrodes used for the transmit transducer of device 2. Each pair of electrodes produces a wave that propagates radially away and reinforces the waves generated by adjacent pairs. Approximately 2 µsec are required for this device to reach a maximum signal level corresponding to the time required for a wave to pass from the outer side of the transmit electrodes to the inner side.
Fig. 13. Comparison of main signal received by inner (top) and outer (bottom) electrodes. Vertical — 50 mV/cm; Horizontal — 2 μsec/cm.
Fig. 14. Demonstration of amplitude build-up due to multiple electrodes of device 2.
C. Discussion of Results

Phase velocity was determined experimentally for both devices used during the study. These values differed by 130 m/sec from each other and by about 20 m/sec and 110 m/sec from the theoretical value of 1982 m/sec found in Chapter II. The source of these variations was two fold. First, it was difficult to get an accurate measurement of the path length traveled by the wave and second, some difficulty was experienced in reading the delay times off the oscilloscope. The error resulting from each of these could be reduced by making smaller transducer electrodes and operating at higher frequencies. However, PZT-5A is a polycrystalline ceramic and higher frequency operation would be limited by the grain size and porosity since losses go up due to scattering. For operation at higher frequencies it would be necessary to select a good single crystal with transverse isotropy such as ZnO or CdS.

The expected improvements in unidirectional transducer characteristics discussed in Section I B were not fully realized during the experiments. There were indications that insertion loss could be reduced by using radial wave transducers instead of planar devices. However, the best characteristics were obtained by using large radii transducer sets to transmit inward instead of transmitting with electrodes at the center as suggested in the opening section. The measured voltage ratio was low when using the outer electrodes for transmitting and this suggests a low insertion loss could also be achieved. An involved study of transducer impedance characteristics required to make meaningful statements about insertion loss was not the purpose of this research.
The fact that larger amplitude signals were received by the single pair of electrodes at the center of each device can be explained by considering the small argument limit of the Hankel functions (11) describing radial wave propagation. The magnitudes of these functions tend to infinity for small radius, r, according to log (r) for the zero order function and 1/r for the first order function. Therefore, the large amplitude displacements and electric fields leading to the signals received by the center electrodes are predicted by the theory of Chapter II.

Tseng (28) provides a theoretical analysis of the bandwidth for interdigital transducers and concludes that the bandwidth, ΔF, number of electrodes, N, and center frequency, f₀, are related by

$$\Delta F = \frac{2}{N} f_0 . \tag{44}$$

If this holds for radial transducers it suggests a bandwidth of (2/6) x 1.65 MHz or 0.55 MHz. The measured value of bandwidth was 0.52 MHz so Tseng's approximation apparently holds for radial surface waves.
IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Radial surface waves have been described theoretically by constructing a mathematical model for electric fields and particle displacements based on Newton's second law and Maxwell's equations in curvilinear coordinates. Using this model, a computer solution was obtained for PZT-5A. As part of the solution, a phase velocity of 1982 m/sec was predicted for radial surface waves on a PZT-5A substrate.

An experimental investigation was conducted with the purpose of testing the mathematical model. Copper electrodes were deposited on PZT-5A and radial surface waves were generated at a frequency of 1.65 MHz. Two devices were constructed and used to determine phase velocity, the output-to-input voltage ratio, and bandwidth. The measured values of phase velocity were 1% high for one device but 5.5% low for the other when compared to the theoretically predicted value given above. Difficulty was experienced with making both the time and distance measurements needed for accurate velocity calculations. Higher frequency would allow each of these measurements to be improved.

The theory of Chapter II suggests that concentric radial wave transducer sets would have different insertion loss characteristics depending on whether waves propagate inward or outward. Experiments confirmed this when it was determined that the output-to-input voltage ratio was approximately 5 times greater for inward traveling waves than for outward traveling waves.

The experiments indicated that more efficient devices could be
constructed using radial surface waves. A bandwidth of 30 percent was obtained while the signal voltage level dropped by only 10 db for the propagating wave.
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VII. APPENDIX

The purpose of this section is to provide a complete description of the procedure used to prepare PZT-5A for use during the experimental portion of the research. It was necessary to construct metal electrodes on the surface of this ceramic and then provide connections through which the electrical signals could be applied. Each of the steps leading to the finished surface wave device are described in the following paragraphs.

Transducer construction was accomplished in four major steps. They are (1) substrate preparation, (2) thin film deposition, (3) photo-masking and etching, and (4) electrical lead connection. These steps left the device ready for the experimental studies.

PZT-5A was purchased in 2\(\frac{1}{2}\) inch diameter and \(\frac{1}{2}\) inch thick discs from the Clevite Corporation (Bedford, Ohio). The material was supplied with silver electrodes applied to the flat faces of the discs. In order to generate surface waves on a free surface (non-metalized), it was necessary to remove these silver conductors and polish the surface. This process included sanding to remove the bulk of the silver and then submersing the disk in a solution of 5 parts ammonium hydroxide and 3 parts (by volume) of 30\% hydrogen peroxide. This technique allowed complete removal of the silver without damage to the surface of the PZT-5A.

The next step in the material preparation was to lap one face of the disk to eliminate surface scratches and irregularities in order to reduce attenuation of the propagating surface wave. The commercial Lapmaster 10, manufactured by the Crane Packing Company (Morton Grove, Illinois) was used in conjunction with Geoscience Microgrit aluminum...
oxide as the lapping compound. The compounds were used with water to
produce a slurry of various grit sizes. Twenty-five micron was used
first for 5 minutes then the lapping machine was completely flushed out
with water and 12 micron applied and used for 15 minutes. Smaller grit
sizes were tried but without success. Twelve micron was determined to
be adequate for the present program.

After lapping, each sample was washed using cotton balls and a
liquid detergent and rinsed with distilled water. This was the final
step preceding vacuum deposition of a thin film of metal on the surface
that had been lapped.

A Consolidated Vacuum Corporation model LCI-18B vacuum system was
used during the deposition portion of the transducer construction. Copper
was selected as the metal to be used for the electrodes primarily because
of its availability and ease with which it could be vacuum deposited.
Nearly pure copper chunks measuring approximately 3/8" x 3/8" x 1/8"
were placed in a tantalum boat within the vacuum chamber. The chamber
was pumped down to approximately $5 \times 10^{-6}$ millimeters of mercury before
depositing copper. Heating of the copper chunks was accomplished with
a Veeco Instrument Company electron beam gun system.

Copper was deposited at the rate of approximately 60 Å per second
by controlling copper heating through the electron current. This rate
and the subsequent thin film thickness were determined with the aid of
a quartz crystal that was adjacent to the PZT-5A substrate in the vacuum
chamber. The crystal was arranged in an oscillator circuit so that a
frequency change of 5 Hz represented approximately one angstrom of copper
built up on the quartz surface. The frequency was observed on a Hewlett-Packard model 5216A digital counter so that deposition rate and total thickness could be monitored continuously. Copper thin film thicknesses of approximately 5,000 Å and 10,000 Å were tried during the investigation. The thicker deposition proved to be the most useful during the photomask and etching phases of the transducer construction.

When the PZT-5A substrates were removed from the vacuum chamber, unthinned liquid photo resist (Shipley Company positive AZ-111) was applied to the copper surface. The disc was shaken by hand to remove the excess liquid, placed on edge in a furnace set for 74°C, and allowed to dry for 20 minutes.

The next step was to expose the dried photo resist with a 200 watt mercury short arc lamp through a positive photo-mask. The mask contained blackened lines in the shape and position of the desired electrodes and was transparent everywhere else. It was made by photo reducing a master copy approximately 25 times. The mask was placed in contact with the photo resist and exposure lasted for 90 seconds.

The photo resist was then developed for 60 seconds in the Shipley Company type AZ-303 developer diluted with five parts water to one part developer. Pressurized air drying of the disk, copper, and developed photo resist followed the developing stage.

The final step in the electrode construction was to etch away all copper on the substrate which was not protected by unexposed photoresist. Etching was accomplished by placing the disc in a ferric chloride solution. This solution had been prepared by diluting a saturated solution of ferric
chloride approximately 10 times by adding water. Dilution slowed the copper etch and allowed more control over the process. After etching was complete, the surface was rinsed with water and then methyl alcohol to remove all of the unexposed photo resist so that electrical connections could be made to the copper.

Circuit connections were made with the Lindberg Hevi-duty Company (Mountain View, California) model 1100 ultrasonic stitchbonder. Gold (99.99%) wire with a diameter of 0.0007 inch was used for the electrical leads. Completion of this step of the procedure left the device ready for the experimental investigation.