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ABSTRACT

The last few decades have witnessed enormous research in the field of organometallic lanthanide chemistry. Our research group has developed a few rare earth alkyl compounds containing tris(dimethylsilyl)methyl ligand and explored their reactivity. This thesis focusses on extending the study of lanthanide alkyl and silyl compounds to develop strategies for their synthesis and explore their reactivity and role as catalysts in processes such as hydrosilylation and cross-dehydrocoupling.

Two novel ligands, alkyl, –C(SiHMe₂)₃ and silyl, –Si(SiHMe₂)₃ have been used to synthesize homoleptic organometallic lanthanide complexes. The silyl anion, KSi(SiHMe₂)₃, is prepared from the reaction of KOrBu and Si(SiHMe₂)₄. A single crystal X-ray diffraction study shows that KSi(SiHMe₂)₃ crystallizes as a chain of alternating K cations and Si(SiHMe₂)₃ anions with K coordinated to the central Si atoms and the three Si-H moieties oriented toward the next K atom.

A series of lanthanum, cerium, praseodymium, neodymium, and samarium alkyl compounds and ytterbium and yttrium silyl compounds are synthesized and their characterization, reactivity and role as catalysts are described. These compounds are synthesized by salt metathesis reaction between the metal halide and an equiv. amount of KC(SiHMe₂)₃ and KSi(SiHMe₂)₃ ligands. The lanthanide tris(alkyl) compounds are solvent free compounds while lanthanide bis(silyl) compounds are THF coordinated and unstable at room temperature. All these compounds are highly air- and moisture-sensitive. Interestingly, spectroscopic characterization and X-ray analysis reveal that all the lanthanide alkyl compounds contain classical and non-classical β-SiH interactions with the metal center and undergo β-SiH
abstraction by Lewis acids, such as B(C₆F₅)₃ while the lanthanide silyl compounds lack such non-classical interactions with the metal center.

The reactions of Ln{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₃ (Ln = La, Ce, Pr, Nd) with one and two equiv. of B(C₆F₅)₃ gives Ln{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₂HB(C₆F₅)₃, and LnC(SiHMe₂)₃{HB(C₆F₅)₃}₂, respectively and an equiv. amount of 1,3-disilacyclobutane dimer, {Me₂Si-C(SiHMe₂)₂}₂ as the by-product. The monocations, Ln{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₂HB(C₆F₅)₃ are used as catalysts for hydrosilylation of α,β-unsaturated esters to selectively yield α-silyl esters. α-Silyl esters are isolated in high yields from a range of α,β-unsaturated esters and hydrosilanes.

The divalent bis(alkyl) lanthanide compounds, Ln{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₂THF₂ (Ln = Yb, Sm) are synthesized by salt metathesis of lanthanide halide and two equiv. of KC(SiHMe₂)₃ in THF. Reactions with one or two equiv. of B(C₆F₅)₃ generate LnC(SiHMe₂)₃HB(C₆F₅)₃ and an equiv. amount of 1,3-disilacyclobutane dimer, {Me₂Si-C(SiHMe₂)₂}₂. Ln{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₂THF₂ undergoes reaction with 1,3-di-tert-butylimidazol-2-ylidene (ImtBu) to yield Ln{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₂ImtBu in non-polar solvent. A single crystal X-ray diffraction and spectroscopic study of Ln{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₂THF₂ and Ln{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₂ImtBu reveal the presence of classical and non-classical interactions with the metal center. Ln{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₂ImtBu (Ln = Yb, Sm) is an efficient catalyst for cross-dehydrocoupling of organosilanes and amines to yield silazanes at room temperature in high yields. Kinetic studies of the catalytic system indicate a first-order dependence on silane and amine concentrations.
Lanthanide silyl compounds, \( \text{Yb\{Si(SiHMe}_2\text{)_3\}}_2\text{THF}_3 \) and \( \text{Cl}_3\text{Y\{Si(SiHMe}_2\text{)_3\}}_2(\text{Et}_2\text{O})\text{.2K(}\text{Et}_2\text{O})_2 \) are synthesized by salt metathesis of lanthanide halide and two or three equiv. of \( \text{KSi(SiHMe}_2\text{)_3} \) ligand. \( \text{Yb\{Si(SiHMe}_2\text{)_3\}}_2\text{THF}_3 \) is the first example of homoleptic \( \text{Ln(II)} \) silyl compound characterized by X-ray diffraction having trigonal bipyramidal geometry around the ytterbium center. \( \text{Yb\{Si(SiHMe}_2\text{)_3\}}_2\text{THF}_3 \) reacts with ancillary ligand, \( \text{TITo}^\text{M} \) to yield \( \text{To}^\text{M}_2\text{Yb} \) revealing lability of the silyl ligand.
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

General Introduction

Homoleptic lanthanide hydrocarbyl compounds\[^{[1]}\]

The first homoleptic organometallic compound, ZnEt\(_2\) was successfully synthesized and isolated in 1849 by Frankland which was highly reactive and pyrophoric.\[^{[2]}\] A century later, significant progress was made in the synthesis of transition metal \(\sigma\)-hydrocarbyl compounds, however, homoleptic transition metal hydrocarbyl complexes such as TiMe\(_4\), ZrMe\(_4\) were highly unstable.\[^{[3]}\] In the 1970s, Lappert and Wilkinson made break-through progress with the synthesis of new bulky hydrocarbyl ligands such as \([\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3]\), \([\text{CH}()\text{SiMe}_3)_2]\), \([\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3]\), or \([\text{CH}_2\text{Ph}]\).\[^{[4]}\] These new ligands paved way for synthesis and isolation of stable transition metal hydrocarbyl compounds.

In the area of rare earth organometallic chemistry, Wilkinson and Birmingham synthesized a series of \(\text{Ln}()\text{C}_{5}\text{H}_5\text{)}_3\) compounds (Ln = Sc, Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm, Gd, Dy, Er, Yb)\[^{[5]}\] in 1954 but the first crystallographically characterized compound, \([\text{Li(}\text{thf)}_4][\text{Lu(C}_6\text{H}_5\text{Me}_2-2,6)]_4\) was synthesized by Hart containing the M–C \(\sigma\) bond.\[^{[6]}\] In accordance to transition metal chemistry, \([\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3]\), \([\text{CH}()\text{SiMe}_3)_2]\), \([\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3]\), \([\text{C(SiMe}_3)_3]\)\[^{[7]}\] ligands led to the development of organolanthanide chemistry. However, it was only in 1988 that the first crystallographically characterized neutral homoleptic lanthanide \(\sigma\)-hydrocarbyl compound, \(\text{Ln}()\text{CH(SiMe}_3)_2)_3\) (Ln = La, Sm) was reported by Hitchcock.\[^{[8]}\] These ligands and their variants are continued to be widely used to date in rare earth alkyl chemistry. Recently, ligands such as \(t\)-
butyl, alkynyl, and benzyl have expanded the scope of ligands used in rare earth alkyl chemistry. Figure 1 shows some of the lanthanide alkyl complexes reported in literature.

![Complexes](image)

**Figure 1.** Examples of various hydrocarbyl compounds reported in literature

The ligands discussed thus far, do not contain any β-hydrogen such that the facile β-hydrogen elimination known in transition metal chemistry can be avoided. However, synthesis of ligands containing β-hydrogen provides us an opportunity to study the rare earth metal-ligand bonding and reactivity. The rare earth metal compounds containing β-hydrogen can exhibit interactions with the metal center. Such interactions are termed as ‘agostic interactions’, first coined by Malcolm Green to “discuss the various manifestations of covalent interactions between carbon-hydrogen groups and transition metal centers in organometallic compounds. The word agostic will be used to refer specifically to situations in which a hydrogen atom is covalently bonded simultaneously to both a carbon atom and a transition metal atom.”

Although an agostic interaction refers to an M–H–C interaction, not all 3-center-2-electron bonds are agostic. For example, some of these interactions are better described as hydrogen-bonding.

Rare earth alkyl compounds react via insertion, σ-bond metathesis, and protonolytic ligand substitution reactions which are important elementary steps in catalytic processes such as olefin polymerization, hydrosilylation, and dehydrocoupling. Hydrosilylation presents a great opportunity for organic chemists to synthesize organosilanes which are useful in polymer
and medicinal chemistry. It is a well-known catalytic reaction for reduction of C=C, C=O and C=N bonds. A number of transition metal\textsuperscript{[17]} and main group metal\textsuperscript{[18]} catalysts are known to homogeneously hydrosilylate olefins, alkynes and carbonyl compounds. Catalytic hydrosilylation of aldehydes and ketones to give silyl ethers is a useful method for reversible protection of the C=O function or for its reduction to the corresponding alcohols. The reduction of the carbonyl group by hydrosilylation has been successfully used for the conversion of esters into primary alcohols.\textsuperscript{[19]} Hydrosilylation of \( \alpha,\beta \)-unsaturated carbonyl compounds followed by hydrolysis provides a novel and effective method of reduction to give the corresponding saturated carbonyl compounds or allylic alcohols. Hydrosilylation of linear and cyclic \( \alpha,\beta \)-unsaturated ketones leads to 1,4-addition products.\textsuperscript{[20]}

Despite a plethora of catalysts available for hydrosilylation of carbonyl compounds there are no organolanthanide catalysts reported to hydrosilylate \( \alpha,\beta \)-unsaturated esters yet. Moreover, very few catalysts are known to hydrosilylate \( \alpha,\beta \)-unsaturated esters to generate \( \alpha \)-silyl esters.\textsuperscript{[21]}

Another catalytic process of interest is the cross-dehydrocoupling of organosilanes and amines to yield silazanes. It presents an environment-friendly approach to synthesize silazanes because hydrogen gas is the only byproduct. Several catalysts have been developed for this process including rare earth metal complexes. Cui and group have demonstrated coordination of N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs) to rare earth amide compounds as efficient catalysts for cross-dehydrocoupling of silanes and amines over THF coordinated compounds.\textsuperscript{[22]} Despite the synthesis of trivalent rare earth alkyl-NHC compounds reported in literature,\textsuperscript{[23]} their catalytic chemistry is underdeveloped. In addition, divalent rare earth alkyl-NHC compounds are not known in literature yet.
Homoleptic lanthanide silyl compounds

The field of organosilicon chemistry has benefited extremely from contributions of Henry Gilman and co-workers. Gilman and group have synthesized first examples of polysilanes and tetrakis(trimethylsilyl)silane, the first tetrasilyle-substituted silane.[24] The first silyl anion, [tris-(trimethylsilyl)silyl]lithium was prepared by reacting methyllithium with tetrakis(trimethylsilyl)silane.[25] While metal silyl compounds exist for a range of metals in the periodic table but early transition metal silyl compounds[26] are limited and rare-earth silyl compounds are even more scarce with very few structurally characterized. A handful of the rare-earth silyl compounds reported in literature are shown in Figure 2.[27]

Figure 2: Examples of known rare-earth metal silyl complexes.

With the recent development of a polysilanylpotassium ligand by Marschner,[28] significant progress has been made in the field of silyl chemistry. However, similar to rare-earth hydrocarbyl compounds, rare-earth silyl compounds are also limited to ligands which do not contain β-hydrogen and thereby lack investigation of M-Si bond and reactivity.

This thesis contributes to the diversification and availability of potassium alkyl and silyl ligands through the development of the novel ligands, KC(SiHMe2)3 and KSi(SiHMe2)3 featuring β-SiH groups. The nucleophilicity of the SiH bond is investigated through abstraction reactions with Lewis acids. In addition, rare earth alkyl compounds are employed in catalytic transformations such as hydrosilylation and cross-dehydrocoupling of silanes and amines.
Thesis Organization

This thesis contains six chapters composed of manuscripts in preparation for journal submission. Chapter one gives a general introduction to the motivation behind the development of lanthanide alkyl and silyl compounds and catalysis. Chapter two through four are journal articles modified from manuscripts accepted in journal and in preparation for publication with in-depth discussion of the research conducted.

Chapter two describes the synthesis and characterization of homoleptic neutral, solvent-free lanthanide alkyl compounds with β-SiH containing –C(SiHMe2)3 ligand and their reactivity with other ligands such as –N(SiHMe2)3, BOxMe2 and Ph(BOxMe2). The La{C(SiHMe2)3}3 compound was synthesized by KaKing Yan and published in his thesis, however, I completed the series of lanthanide alkyl compounds and will be published in one paper.

Chapter three describes the reactivity of tris(dimethylsilyl)methyl lanthanide compounds with one and two equiv. of Lewis acid, B(C6F5)3 resulting in the formation of monocation, Ln{C(SiHMe2)3}2HB(C6F5)3 and dication, LnC(SiHMe2)3{HB(C6F5)3}2 lanthanide alkyl hydridoborate compounds. Their characterization by NMR, IR spectroscopy and single crystal X-ray diffraction studies have been discussed in detail. La{C(SiHMe2)3}2HB(C6F5)3 and LaC(SiHMe2)3{HB(C6F5)3}2 were synthesized by KaKing Yan. The monocationic Ln{C(SiHMe2)3}2HB(C6F5)3 is described as an efficient catalyst for hydrosilylation of α,β-unsaturated esters to form α-silyl esters. The isolation of these α-silyl esters was carried out with the help of Smita Patnaik in our group. This presents the first example of rare-earth alkyl compound catalyzed hydrosilylation of α,β-unsaturated esters to yield α-silyl esters.
Chapter four discusses synthesis and characterization of lanthanide(II) alkyl complexes containing –C(SiHMe₂)₃ ligand with THF as the donor ligand and subsequent replacement of THF groups by N-heterocyclic carbenes. The NHC containing compounds are described as catalysts for cross-dehydrocoupling of silanes and amines and the kinetics of the catalytic reaction has been investigated. Chapter five describes the synthesis of bis(silyl) ytterbium and yttrium compounds with the new ligand KSi(SiHMe₂)₃ and that contains β-SiH functionality. The potassium silyl ligand was first synthesized and characterized by X-ray diffraction by Kaking Yan, and the optimized, scaled-up synthesis was developed by the combined efforts of Aradhana Pindwal and Nicole Lampland and is discussed in detail in Nicole Lampland’s thesis. Iowa State University’s crystallographer, Dr. Arkady Ellern, is credited with collecting data and solving all of the X-ray structures presented in this thesis.
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CHAPTER 2: HOMOLEPTIC RARE EARTH ALKYL COMPOUNDS

Modified from a paper to be submitted to *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*

Aradhana Pindwal, KaKing Yan, Bradley M. Schmidt, Arkady Ellern, Steve Overbury, Igor S. Slowing, Aaron D. Sadow*

Abstract

The series of homoleptic rare earth tris(alkyl) complexes \( \text{Ln}\{\text{C(SiHMe}_2\text{)}_3\}_3 \) (Ln = La (1a), Ce (1b), Pr (1c), Nd (1d)) are synthesized through salt metathesis reactions of lanthanide triiodides and 3 equiv. of KC(SiHMe)_3. The isolated products 1a-d do not contain THF or the KI byproduct in the final product, as determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction studies, NMR spectroscopy, and elemental analysis. Such studies of the series of complexes reveal isostructural pseudo-\( \text{C}_3 \)-symmetric tris(alkyl) molecules containing two non-classical \( \text{Ln} \stackrel{\text{H}}{\longleftrightarrow} \text{Si} \) interactions per alkyl ligand, thereby generating six such interactions in one molecule. Infrared and \(^1\text{H NMR spectroscopic assignments, particularly of paramagnetic compounds, are further supported by preparation of deuterated analogues \( \text{Ln}\{\text{C(SiDMe}_2\text{)}_3\}_3 \) (Ln = La 1a-\textit{d}9, Ce 1b-\textit{d}9, Pr 1c-\textit{d}9, Nd 1d-\textit{d}9). These organometallic compounds persists in solution and the solid state up to 80 °C without formation of HC(SiHMe)_3 or the \( \beta \)-hydrogen elimination product \{Me_2\text{Si}-C(SiHMe)_2\}_2. Reactions of \( \text{Ln}\{\text{C(SiHMe}_2\text{)}_3\}_3 \) and ancillary proligands, such as bis-1,1-(4,4-dimethyl-2-oxazolinyl)ethane (HBOx\textsuperscript{Me}_2) to give \{BOx\textsuperscript{Me}_2\}\( \text{Ln}\{\text{C(SiHMe}_2\text{)}_3\}_2 \), demonstrates
these compounds as valuable synthetic organometallic starting materials in the chemistry of large lanthanide elements.

**Introduction**

Homoleptic organometallic compounds, which contain only one type of ligand bonded to a metal center,[1] have value in synthetic chemistry as homogeneous catalysts,[2] as well-defined starting materials for single-site grafting onto supports for catalysis,[3] as precursors for materials in chemical vapor deposition or other thermal decomposition processes,[4] and for combination with a range of ancillary ligands as an entry-point into reactive organometallic compounds.[5] New homoleptic organometallics, thus, can lead to new possibilities in synthesis and catalysis.

Studies of homoleptic rare earth tris(alkyl) starting materials have typically focused on β-hydrogen-free alkyl ligands, namely CH₂SiMe₃,[6] CH(SiMe₃)₂,[7] and CH₂C₆R₅.[8] Applications of homoleptic trivalent compounds containing these ligands, particularly those of the abundant light lanthanides (La, Ce, Pr, Nd), are limited by their short lifetimes at room temperature, challenging multistep syntheses (in the case of CH(SiMe₃)₂), or the difficulty to exclude THF or salt byproducts from the metal center's coordination sphere. For example, La(CH₂Ph)₃THF₃, Ce(CH₂Ph)₃THF₃, and their derivatives readily extrude toluene at room temperature. Ligand design strategies have sought to overcome these difficulties.
Figure 1. Examples of various hydrocarbyl compounds reported in literature

For example, \( \alpha \)-metalated \( NN \)-dimethylbenzylamine lanthanide complexes are persistent at room temperature,\(^9\) and chelating \( ortho \)-dimethylaminobenzyl ligands stabilize the organolanthanide complexes presumably due to intramolecular coordination.\(^{10}\) The bulky alkyl ligand \(-C(SiMe_3)_3\), provides homoleptic isolable, donor-solvent free compounds but is restricted to divalent Ln(II) compounds.\(^{11}\) Interestingly, non-classical Ln→Me-Si interactions were observed in \( Yb\{C(SiMe_3)_3\}_2 \)\(^{11}\) and \( La\{CH(SiMe_3)_2\}_3 \).\(^7\) In addition, both of these donor-free homoleptic rare earth alkyls adopt solid-state structures that are distorted with respect to VSEPR predictions. \( Yb\{C(SiMe_3)_3\}_2 \) is bent (C-Yb-C 137°), and \( La\{CH(SiMe_3)_2\}_3 \) is pyramidal (\( \sum \text{C-La-C} = 330° \)), rather than trigonal planar. The significant steric profile is the key to the persistence of these compounds.

The choice of alkyl ligand, however, may not need to be limited to the \( \beta \)-hydrogen-free hydrocarbyl groups. For example, \([Ln\tauBu_4]\)\(^{12}\) and \( \text{Cp}_2\text{Lu}\tau\text{Bu(THF)} \)\(^{13}\) are isolable and eliminate isobutylene under only relatively forcing conditions. In catalysis, particularly ethylene polymerization, ultra-high molecular weight products are obtained from rare earth catalysts, and presumably the long polymer chains are accessible partly because \( \beta \)-hydrogen elimination is slow.\(^{14}\) In such a scenario, the presence of \( \beta \)-hydrogen may stabilize reactive alkyl groups, as in
Cp*$_2$ScEt$^{[15]}$ and other agostic compounds.$^{[16]}$ Moreover, valuable aspects of metal-ligand bonding and reactivity is ignored in the absence of studies of β-hydrogen containing complexes.

An alternative means for stabilizing metal centers in homoleptic compounds, utilized mainly for amides, involves the β-silicon and β-hydrogen containing ligands such as tetramethyldisilazide –N(SiHMe$_2$)$_2$ and tert-butyl dimethylsilazide –N(tBu(SiHMe$_2$)) ligands.$^{[17]}$ Tetramethyldisilazide has been widely studied in d$^0$ and f-element chemistry.$^{[18]}$ Early metal and rare earth silazides containing β-SiH often form agostic-type structures evident from low energy Si-H vibrations and deviation from Ln-N-Si angles within a given silylamine ligand.$^{[18b,c]}$ A few examples of tetramethyldisilazido ansa-lanthanidocene compounds containing –N(SiHMe$_2$)$_2$ ligand exhibit an unusual β-Si-H diagnostic interactions.$^{[19]}$ (Note that the polarization of Si-H bonds are inverted in comparison to C-H bonds, thus we refer to three-center-two electron Ln≡H-Si as non-classical or agostic-like.)$^{[20]}$ A limitation of amide ligands, however, is the potential for eliminated amine to participate as a base, or in the case of silazanes as a silylating agent. In addition, conversions of tetramethyldisilazido rare earth compounds are hindered by the relatively low pKa of tetramethyldisilazane,$^{[18c]}$ and for these reasons dimethylsilyl substituted alkyl ligands combine the desirable stabilization of β-agostic-like silazido ligands with the reactivity of Ln-C bonds.

Previously, our group has reported the β-SiH-containing tris(alkyl)yttrium complex Y{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_3$$^{[21]}$ and bis(alkyl) M{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_2$THF$_2$ (M = Ca, Yb).$^{[22]}$ These complexes contain non-classical M←H-Si interactions, and the divalent compounds M{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_2$THF$_2$ (M = Ca, Yb) react with Lewis acids such as B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ through an intramolecular β-hydrogen abstraction pathway. In this work, we report the synthesis of trivalent homoleptic tris(alkyl)
complexes of lanthanum, cerium, praseodymium, and neodymium, i.e., the lightest and largest of the rare earth centers. The large ionic radii (6-coordinate La$^{3+}$ (1.03 Å), 6-coordinate Ce$^{3+}$ (1.01 Å), 6-coordinate Pr$^{3+}$ (0.99 Å), 6-coordinate Nd$^{3+}$ (0.98 Å)$^{[23]}$ make the synthesis of homoleptic, donor-free alkyls of these metal particularly challenging. The unusual structures, compared to Ln{CH(SiMe$_3$)$_2$},$^{[7]}$ and diagnostic spectroscopic properties allow detailed characterization of both diamagnetic and paramagnetic members of the series. In addition, initial applications as organometallic synthetic precursors have been demonstrated.

**Results and Discussion.**

**Synthesis and characterization of Ln{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_3$ (Ln = La (1a), Ce (1b), Pr (1c), Nd (1d)).** The homoleptic rare earth tris(alkyl) complexes are synthesized by reaction of LnI$_3$(THF)$_n$ ($n = 4$ for La, Ce; $n = 3$ for Pr, Nd) and 3 equiv. of KC(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$ in benzene for 12 h at room temperature. Crude La{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_3$ and Ce{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_3$ are obtained as pale yellow solids and form hexagon-shaped colorless crystals upon recrystallization from pentane. Pr{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_3$ crystallizes as green plate-like crystals from toluene, while Nd{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_3$ forms blue block-like crystals from pentane. In contrast to lanthanide iodide precursors, the combination of anhydrous rare earth halides LaBr$_3$, LaCl$_3$, CeCl$_3$, or NdCl$_3$ and KC(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$ in benzene or THF at room temperature does not provide the corresponding organometallic compounds. The identity of 1a as La{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_3$ is firmly established by a single crystal X-ray diffraction study (see below) and elemental analysis.
The selectively isotopically labeled Ln{C(SiDMe$_2$)$_3$} (1-$d_9$, eq. 2) are also synthesized from KC(SiDMe$_2$)$_3$ to facilitate characterization of 1a-d and study their fluxional processes.

Similar infrared spectra (Figure 2) were measured for all four non-labeled compounds, and the $\nu_{SiH}$ region from 1800-2200 cm$^{-1}$ was particularly informative. All four spectra contained a band at ca. 2107 cm$^{-1}$ assigned to the stretching mode of a 2-center-2-electron SiH group. The spectra also contained a lower energy band at $\sim$1830 cm$^{-1}$ assigned to the SiH mode in a three-center-two-electron Ln$\rightleftarrows$H-Si moiety. The assignment of both of these bands is supported by isotopically labeled samples Ln{C(SiDMe$_2$)$_3$}, which contained two bands at $\sim$1529-30 and 1324-29 cm$^{-1}$, while the $\nu_{SiH}$ bands noted above are not observed. Thus, the infrared spectra indicate the series of tris(alkyl) lanthanide are isostructural.
The $^1$H NMR spectrum of diamagnetic 1a, acquired at room temperature in benzene-$d_6$, contained barely resolved doublet and multiplet resonances at 0.41 ppm ($^3J_{HH} = 3.3$ Hz) at 4.26 ppm ($^1J_{SiH} = 137$ Hz). The 6:1 integrated ratio of these signals indicated that the product contained only SiHMe$_2$ organic groups, which appeared equivalent at room temperature. The $^1$H
NMR spectrum of 1a-\textit{d9} contained only a singlet at 0.41 ppm, while the $^2$H NMR spectrum contained a resonance at 4.26 ppm.

The low $^1J_{\text{SiH}}$ value of 1a is similar to the averaged value in fluxional Y\{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$\},\textsuperscript{[20]} so low temperature NMR experiments were performed on 1a. As the temperature of a sample in toluene-$d_8$ was lowered, the SiH $^1$H NMR resonance broadened to the coalescence point at 210 K. At 190 K, two SiH resonances at 4.78 ppm (3 H, $^1J_{\text{SiH}} = 186$ Hz) and 4.04 ppm (6 H, $^1J_{\text{SiH}} = 114$ Hz) and three methyl resonances at 0.55, 0.49, and 0.42 ppm (18 H each) were resolved in the $^1$H NMR spectrum. The downfield signal and its $^1J_{\text{SiH}}$ value are similar to those in HC(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$ (4.33 ppm, 180 Hz). The upfield-shifted 4.04 ppm signal and its lower silicon-hydrogen coupling constant are similar to those of signals observed in low temperature NMR spectra of Y\{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$\} (108 Hz). Although the yttrium compound shows the same temperature-dependent pattern of $^1$H NMR signals as 1a, the chemical shift range is larger in the lighter congener. In that compound and in 1a, the fluxional behavior and the NMR parameters are assigned to six non-classical (agostic-type) interactions between the $\beta$-SiH and the rare earth center. A COSY experiment performed on 1a at 190 K contained correlations between two SiMe$_2$ resonances at 0.49 and 0.55 ppm and the upfield SiH at 4.04 ppm, thus assigning these signals to the SiHMe$_2$ groups involved in agostic-like interactions with the La center, while the upfield signal at 0.42 ppm was assigned to a normal SiHMe$_2$ group. Thus, compound 1a contains two types of SiHMe$_2$, one of which contains diastereotopic methyls, rather than three inequivalent SiHMe$_2$ groups.

Likewise, the room temperature $^{13}$C\{\textsuperscript{1}H\} NMR spectrum of 1a in toluene-$d_8$ contained only two resonances, at 31.8 (assigned to C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$) and 3.6 ppm, whereas three upfield resonances at 4.42, 3.40, and 2.25 ppm and one downfield resonance at 30.15 ppm were
observed in the spectrum acquired at 190 K. The observation of only one downfield $^{13}\text{C}\{^{1}\text{H}\}$ NMR signal indicates that there is only one type of C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$ group in the complex. This signal is more shielded than La{CH(SiMe$_3$)$_2$}$_3$ (75.2 ppm), but downfield of Y{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_3$ (r.t., 17.3 ppm). The two most upfield $^{13}\text{C}$ NMR signals are assigned to non-classical SiHMe$_2$ groups on the basis of $^{1}\text{H}-^{13}\text{C}$ HMQC experiments.

The temperature-dependent fluxionality is also observed in the $^{29}\text{Si}$ INEPT NMR spectra, which contained one resonance at –13.1 ppm at room temperature and two signals at –9.3 and –18.5 ppm at 190 K. Although the two types of SiH's give very different one-bond coupling constants, the $^{29}\text{Si}$ NMR chemical shifts are surprisingly similar. Note that downfield $^{29}\text{Si}$ NMR signals would be expected from structures in which the Si-H bond is partly broken from an arrested $\beta$-H elimination, either in the form of a partially-formed silene or a partly-formed silylium site.$^{[23]}$

Despite the paramagnetic Ce, Pr, and Nd centers in complexes 1b, 1c, and 1d, NMR and IR spectroscopic data suggest similar structures as the lanthanum compound described above. For example, the room temperature $^{1}\text{H}$ NMR spectrum of Ce{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_3$ contained two broad peaks at 1.0 (54 H, 89 Hz at half-height) and 4.9 ppm (9 H, 169 Hz at half-height) which were assigned to SiMe$_2$ and SiH, respectively. The $^{1}\text{H}$ NMR spectrum of the deuterated analogue Ce{C(SiDMe$_2$)$_3$}$_3$ ($^{1}\text{b-d}$) supported these assignments on the basis of a single resonance detected at 0.66 ppm, while the $^{2}\text{H}$ NMR spectrum contained a signal at 3.98 ppm assigned to the SiD moiety. Although these chemical shifts are similar to those of the diamagnetic lanthanum analogue obtained at room temperature, they in fact represent signals averaged by a fast exchange process as in 1a. The $^{1}\text{H}$ NMR spectrum acquired at 200 K contained sharp, paramagnetically shifted signals at 10.6, 9.3 and –11.6 ppm (18 H each) and broad resonances at
24.5 and –19.7 ppm assigned to classical and non-classical SiH, respectively, assigned on the basis of their 1:2 integrated ratio and their absence in <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of 1b-d<sub>9</sub>. The <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of Ce{C(SiHMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>}<sub>3</sub> acquired at room temperature revealed only a single resonance at 11.5 ppm that splits into three resonances at 36.1, 33.2 and –4.2 ppm at low temperature. Unfortunately, <sup>29</sup>Si NMR signals were not detected at room temperature or at low temperature in <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>29</sup>Si HMBC or <sup>29</sup>Si INEPT experiments.

The room temperature <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of Pr{C(SiHMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>}<sub>3</sub> and Nd{C(SiHMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>}<sub>3</sub> each contained two <sup>1</sup>H NMR resonances, but we were unable to detect signals in <sup>13</sup>C or <sup>29</sup>Si NMR spectra using direct or indirect detection methods. For both compounds, broad <sup>1</sup>H NMR signals with similar chemical shifts of 2.18 (540 Hz at half-height) and 1.78 ppm (775 Hz at half-height) for praseodymium and neodymium, respectively, were measured and assigned to silylmethyl groups on the basis of their integration of 6 H with respect to the second peak. The second peak, which was attributed to the SiH group, exhibited a greater averaged paramagnetic chemical shift for Nd (27.8 ppm) than Pr (12.03 ppm), giving the trend Ce (<i>f</i>¹) < Pr (<i>f</i>²) < Nd (<i>f</i>³). However, an opposite trend is observed for chiral lanthanide complexes, Ln(iPr-BOPA){N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>}<sub>2</sub> (Ln = Pr, Nd) where the SiMe<sub>3</sub> signal is observed at –8.59 and –5.96 ppm for Pr and Nd, respectively.<sup>[24]</sup> The assignments were supported by the deuterium-labeled compounds’ <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, which showed a SiMe<sub>2</sub> peak at 1.7 ppm for 1d-d<sub>9</sub>. Only four resonances were resolved in the low temperature <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of Pr{C(SiHMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>}<sub>3</sub> and Nd{C(SiHMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>}<sub>3</sub> rather than the five signals in 1a and 1b.

The variable temperature NMR experiments indicate that bridging Ln–H–Si and terminal Si–H exchange occurs at rates on the NMR timescale. The rate of exchange between the three inequivalent methyl groups is the same as SiH exchange and can be used to study the exchange
process. The linewidths of the methyl signals in the $^{13}$C-$^1$H NMR spectra for 1a were acquired from 153 K to 297 K in pentane-$d_{12}$, which was used to extend the temperature range of the study, were simulated using iNMR to obtain rate constant for the exchange process.$^{[25]}$ The temperature dependent rate constants over this range, fit to the Eyring equation, provided $\Delta H^\ddagger$ of 8.2(3) kcal·mol$^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^\ddagger$ of −1(2) cal·mol$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$. These activation parameters are different from the corresponding values for the exchange process in Y{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$)$_3$ which were previously measured in toluene-$d_8$ as 13.4(3) kcal·mol$^{-1}$ and 11(1) cal·mol$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$. Given the similar structures and spectroscopic properties of Y{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$)$_3$ and La{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$)$_3$, the exchange process distinct kinetic parameters were unexpected. We re-measured these values for Y{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$)$_3$ in pentane-$d_{12}$ from 180 K to 260 K to obtain $\Delta H^\ddagger = 11.2(2)$ kcal·mol$^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^\ddagger = 3(1)$ cal·mol$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$, and these values likely reflect a more reasonable comparison of rate between the smaller and larger lanthanide tris(alkyls). Qualitatively, the exchange rate between SiH groups in the La compound is much faster (coalescence at ~180 K) in comparison to Y{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$)$_3$ (coalescence at 230 K).

The temperature dependent fluxional processes were measured for the related labeled compounds La{C(SiDMe$_2$)$_3$)$_3$ and Y{C(SiDMe$_2$)$_3$)$_3$, giving activation parameters listed in Table 1. Although the $\Delta H^\ddagger$ and $\Delta S^\ddagger$ values are the same within 3$\sigma$ error, the isotope effect $k_H/k_D$ for both lanthanum and yttrium are inverse at low temperature.
Table 1. Activation parameters for Ln\textsubscript{6}–H–Si/Si–H exchange in Ln{C(SiHMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}} \textsubscript{3} (Ln = La, Y)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$\Delta H^\ddagger$ (kcal-mol\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>$\Delta S^\ddagger$ (cal-mol\textsuperscript{-1}K\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>La{C(SiHMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}} \textsubscript{3} (1a)</td>
<td>8.2(4)</td>
<td>-1(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La{C(SiDMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}} \textsubscript{3} (1a-d\textsubscript{0})</td>
<td>7.7(3)</td>
<td>-4(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y{C(SiHMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}} \textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>11.2(3)</td>
<td>3(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y{C(SiDMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}} \textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>10.5(3)</td>
<td>0(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since compounds 1b-d are colored the absorption properties were studied using UV-Vis spectroscopy. A broad absorption feature in the visible or near UV region was observed around 409 nm ($\varepsilon = 294$ M\textsuperscript{-1}cm\textsuperscript{-1}) for Ce{C(SiHMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}} \textsubscript{3} assigned to 5d $\rightarrow$ 4f intershell transitions. However, for Pr{C(SiHMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}} \textsubscript{3} and Nd{C(SiHMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}} \textsubscript{3} more than one absorption peak is observed at 458 nm ($\varepsilon = 19.4$ M\textsuperscript{-1}cm\textsuperscript{-1}) and 468 nm ($\varepsilon = 16.5$ M\textsuperscript{-1}cm\textsuperscript{-1}) for Pr{C(SiHMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}} \textsubscript{3} and 538 nm ($\varepsilon = 28.5$ M\textsuperscript{-1}cm\textsuperscript{-1}), 593 ($\varepsilon = 37.4$ M\textsuperscript{-1}cm\textsuperscript{-1}), 604 nm ($\varepsilon = 70$ M\textsuperscript{-1}cm\textsuperscript{-1}) and 611 nm ($\varepsilon = 39.5$ M\textsuperscript{-1}cm\textsuperscript{-1}) for Nd{C(SiHMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}} \textsubscript{3} suggesting multiple transitions in the visible region.

The Ln{C(SiHMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}} \textsubscript{3} (Ln = La, Ce, Pr, Nd) compounds are highly crystalline, and single crystal X-ray diffraction experiments provide the molecular structures (see Figures 3-6 (1a-1d) for significant bond distances and angles). In some examples, average values of crystallographically distinct distances and angles are given, and in these cases the standard deviation reflects statistical deviation of the data set rather than the crystallographically determined estimated standard deviation (E.S.D.) for a single value. All four compounds crystallize in the P\textsuperscript{-1} space group ($Z = 2$). The lanthanum, cerium, and praseodymium derivatives are isostructural, with similar unit cell parameters and only the Ln{C(SiHMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}} \textsubscript{3} molecule in the asymmetric unit. The smallest metal of the series, neodymium, co-crystallizes with a benzene
molecule, but the molecular geometry of all four compounds are essentially identical. The structures of 1a-d have been examined in depth. The discussion will primarily focus on the lanthanum compound 1a, with comparisons to 1b-d provided as appropriate. Interestingly, the previously reported yttrium derivative Y{C(SiHMe2)3}3 form high-quality crystals that diffract well,\textsuperscript{[20]} but a convergent solution is not available partly as a result of three-fold twinned habit.

Several structural features of the Ln{C(SiHMe2)3}3 are important, including the geometry and symmetry of the molecules, the geometry of the C(SiHMe2)3 ligands, and the six nonclassical Ln-H-Si bonding interactions featured in the Ln-C(SiHMe2)3 structures. The molecular structures contain three C(SiHMe2)3 ligands coordinated to the lanthanide center. The central carbons of the ligands (e.g. C1, C2, and C3) and La1 in La{C(SiHMe2)3}3 are located in a plane, which is demonstrated by the sum of the C-La-C angles of 359.9°, and each of the C-La-C angles is 120.0 ± 0.7°. The compounds 1b-d are also characterized by C-Ln-C angles of 120 ± 0.8°. The three ligands are related by pseudo-C3 operations, and the two SiHMe2 groups containing bridging La-H-Si interactions within each C(SiHMe2)3 ligand are related by a reflection. That mirror plane is located in the plane that contains La1, C1-3, Si3, Si5, and Si9, giving the overall Ln{C(SiHMe2)3}3 molecules approximate C3h symmetry. For La (1a), Ce (1b), and Pr (1c), the SiHMe2 groups of Si3, Si5, and Si9 are also related by the C3 operations, but these are oriented with all classical SiH's pointing to one face of the plane (rather than remaining in the plane as required for true C3h symmetry) to give pseudo-C3 symmetry. A C3-symmetric structure was predicted in the gas-phase by DFT calculations Y{C(SiHMe2)3}3 by Lein and Harrison. However, perfect C3 symmetry is not observed for the crystallographically determined structures in the La-Nd series of compounds. There is no crystallographically imposed symmetry, and slight variations in interatomic distances and angles are observed between the
three C(SiHMe₂)₃ ligands in the compounds. In addition to the co-crystallized benzene molecule, the Nd compound 1d is distinct from 1a-c in its conformation of the C(SiHMe₂)₃ ligands. While Si3, Si5, and Si9 atoms of the SiHMe₂ groups are contained in the Nd1, C1, C2, and C3 plane, only two SiH groups are pointed to one side of the plane, while the third points to the other face.

Moreover, the planar structures of 1a-d contrast the geometry of La{CH(SiMe₃)₂}₃, which is pyramidal at the La center, with C-La-C angles ca. 110° (∑= 330°).[7] That compound contains three equivalent and short La-Cα distances (2.515(9) Å) as well as three short La-Me close contacts (~3.12 Å) described as β-agostic La↼C-Si interactions. To our knowledge, La{CH(SiMe₃)₂}₃ is the only previously reported donor-free lanthanum compound containing only hydrocarbyl ligands. Other donor-free homoleptic lanthanum compound reported include La{N(SiMe₃)₃}₂ having P31c space group, however, no X-ray data is reported.[27]
Figure 3. Rendered thermal ellipsoid plot of $\text{La}\{\text{C(SiHMe}_2\}_3\}$ (1a) looking down the $C_3$ axis. Ellipsoids are plotted at 35% probability. Hydrogen atoms bonded to silicon were located objectively in the Fourier difference map and are included in the representation. All other H atoms are not plotted for clarity. Dashed curves between La1 and H1s, H2s, H4s, H6s, H7s, and H8s highlight short La-H distances. Significant interatomic distances (Å): La1-C1, 2.695(2); La1-C2, 2.679(2); La1-C3, 2.685(2); La1-Si1, 3.227(9); La1-Si2, 3.218(9); La1-Si4, 3.230(9); La1-Si5, 3.250(9); La1-Si7, 3.248(7); La1-Si8, 3.212(9); La1-H1s, 2.41(2); La1-H2s, 2.49(2); La1-H4s, 2.45(2); La1-H6s, 2.51(2); La1-H7s, 2.48(2); La1-H8s, 2.45(2); Si1-H1s, 1.51(2); Si2-H2s, 1.48(2); Si3-H3s, 1.40(2); Si4-H4s, 1.50(2); Si5-H5s, 1.45(2); Si6-H6s, 1.46(3); Si7-H7s, 1.47(3); Si8-H8s, 1.50(3); Si9-H9s, 1.36(2); C1-Si1, 1.839(2); C1-Si2, 1.828(2); C1-Si3,
1.848(2); C2-Si4, 1.833(1); C2-Si5, 1.850(2); C2-Si6, 1.819(2); C3-Si7, 1.820(1); C3-Si8, 1.832(2); C3-Si9, 1.854(2). Significant interatomic angles (°): C1-La1-C2, 119.70(5); C1-La1-C3, 119.23(5); C2-La1-C3, 120.99(5); La1-C1-Si1, 88.30(7); La1-C1-Si2, 88.54(7); La1-C1-Si3, 126.16(9); La1-C2-Si4, 89.38(7); La1-C2-Si5, 118.72(9); La1-C2-Si6, 90.44(7); La1-C3-Si7, 90.18(7); La1-C3-Si8, 88.56(7); La1-C3-Si9, 121.41(9).

**Figure 4.** Rendered thermal ellipsoid plot of Ce\{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$\}$_3$ (1b) looking down the C$_3$ axis. Ellipsoids are plotted at 35% probability. Hydrogen atoms bonded to silicon were located
objectively in the Fourier difference map and are included in the representation. All other H atoms are not plotted for clarity. Dashed curves between Ce1 and H1s, H2s, H4s, H5s, H7s, and H8s highlight short Ce-H distances. Significant interatomic distances (Å): Ce1-C1, 2.659(2); Ce1-C8, 2.672(2); Ce1-C15, 2.651(2); Ce1-Si1, 3.221(9); Ce1-Si2, 3.185(1); Ce1-Si4, 3.192(1); Ce1-Si5, 3.191(7); Ce1-Si7, 3.204(1); Ce1-Si8, 3.224(1); Ce1-H1s, 2.48(3); Ce1-H2s, 2.43(3); Ce1-H4s, 2.44(3); Ce1-H5s, 2.44(3); Ce1-H7s, 2.44(3); Ce1-H8s, 2.53(3); Si1-H1s, 1.46(3); Si2-H2s, 1.47(3); Si3-H3s, 1.39(3); Si4-H4s, 1.48(3); Si5-H5s, 1.46(3); Si6-H6s, 1.42(3); Si7-H7s, 1.42(3); Si8-H8s, 1.46(3); Si9-H9s, 1.43(3); C1-Si1, 1.820(2); C1-Si2, 1.837(3); C1-Si3, 1.852(3); C8-Si4, 1.832(3); C8-Si5, 1.838(3); C8-Si6, 1.844(2); C15-Si7, 1.830(3); C15-Si8, 1.820(3); C15-Si9, 1.852(2). Significant interatomic angles (°): C1-Ce1-C8, 119.28(8); C1-Ce1-C15, 121.16(8); C8-Ce1-C15, 119.49(7); Ce1-C1-Si1, 89.94(9); Ce1-C1-Si2, 88.24(9); Ce1-C1-Si3, 122.37(1); Ce1-C8-Si4, 88.19(9); Ce1-C8-Si5, 88.08(9); Ce1-C8-Si6, 127.08(1); Ce1-C15-Si7, 89.32(9); Ce1-C15-Si8, 90.32(1); Ce1-C15-Si9, 119.46(1).
Figure 5. Rendered thermal ellipsoid plot of Pr\{C(SiHMe_2)_3\}_3 (1c) looking down the C_3 axis. Ellipsoids are plotted at 35% probability. Hydrogen atoms bonded to silicon were located objectively in the Fourier difference map and are included in the representation. All other H atoms are not plotted for clarity. Dashed curves between Pr1 and H1s, H3s, H4s, H6s, H7s, and H9s highlight short Pr-H distances. Significant interatomic distances (Å): Pr1-C1, 2.638(2); Pr1-C2, 2.632(2); Pr1-C3, 2.652(2); Pr1-Si1, 3.162(8); Pr1-Si3, 3.199(7); Pr1-Si4, 3.180(8); Pr1-Si6, 3.201(8); Pr1-Si7, 3.171(6); Pr1-Si9, 3.167(8); Pr1-H1s, 2.40(2); Pr1-H3s, 2.47(2); Pr1-H4s, 2.41(2); Pr1-H6s, 2.54(2); Pr1-H7s, 2.39(2); Pr1-H9s, 2.44(2); Si1-H1s, 1.47(2); Si2-H2s, 1.38(2); Si3-H3s, 1.45(2); Si4-H4s, 1.46(2); Si5-H5s, 1.40(2); Si6-H6s, 1.47(2); Si7-H7s,
1.47(2); Si8-H8s, 1.35(2); Si9-H9s, 1.46(2); C1-Si1, 1.834(2); C1-Si2, 1.849(2); C1-Si3, 1.819(2); C2-Si4, 1.830(2); C2-Si5, 1.847(2); C2-Si6, 1.823(2); C3-Si7, 1.837(1); C3-Si8, 1.846(2); C3-Si9, 1.828(2). Significant interatomic angles (°): C1-Pr1-C2, 121.04(6); C1-Pr1-C3, 119.32(6); C2-Pr1-C3, 119.57(6); Pr1-C1-Si1, 88.07(8); Pr1-C1-Si2, 122.72(1); Pr1-C1-Si3, 89.79(8); Pr1-C2-Si4, 89.04(8); Pr1-C2-Si5, 119.90(9); Pr1-C2-Si6, 89.98(8); Pr1-C3-Si7, 87.93(7); Pr1-C3-Si8, 127.51(1); Pr1-C3-Si9, 87.97(1).

**Figure 6.** Rendered thermal ellipsoid plot showing a side-view of Nd[\(C(SiHMe_2)_3\)]_3 (1d). Ellipsoids are plotted at 50% probability, with the exception of C8, C16, and C18 which are plotted at 25% probability for clarity. Hydrogen atoms bonded to silicon were located objectively in the Fourier difference map, and these are included in the figure. All other H atoms and a co-crystallized benzene molecule are not included for clarity. Significant interatomic distances (Å): Nd1-C1, 2.623(2); Nd1-C8, 2.623(2); Nd1-C15, 2.632(3); Nd1-Si1, 3.1349(9); Nd1-Si2,
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3.1727(8); Nd1-Si4, 3.152(1); Nd1-Si5, 3.1435(8); Nd1-Si7, 3.1456(9); Nd1-Si8, 3.1672(7); C1-Si1, 1.830(3); C1-Si3, 1.848(3). Significant interatomic angles (°): C1-Nd1-C2, 119.04(8); C1-Nd1-C3, 121.01(8); C2-Nd1-C3, 119.88(8); Nd1-C1-Si1, 87.6(1); Nd1-C1-Si2, 89.1(1); Nd1-C1-Si3, 128.6(1); Nd1-C2-Si4, 88.2(1); Nd1-C2-Si5, 87.9(1); Nd1-C2-Si6, 129.9(1); Nd1-C3-Si7, 87.9(1); Nd1-C3-Si8, 88.6(1); Nd1-C3-Si9, 123.5(1).

The La–C distances (La1-C1, 2.697(2), La1-C2, 2.679(2), La1-C3, 2.684(2) Å) in La{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_3$ are long, ca. 0.16 Å longer than the distances in La{CH(SiMe$_3$)$_2$}$_3$. Other tris(alkyl)lanthanum compounds structurally characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction include six-coordinate La(CH$_2$Ph)$_3$THF$_3$ and La(CH$_2$C$_6$H$_4$-4-Me)$_3$THF$_3$, and these also contain shorter La-CH$_2$ interatomic distances of 2.648 ± 2 and 2.627 ± 2 Å, respectively. The La-C distances in the chelating benzyl compounds La{CH(NMe$_2$)Ph}$_3$ (2.65 ± 1 Å)$^{[9]}$ and La{CH$_2$C$_6$H$_4$NMe$_2$}$_3$ (2.64 ± 1 Å)$^{[10]}$ are also shorter than the La-C distances in 1a.

Each C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$ ligand in 1a contains two short La-H and two short La-Si distances. The La-H distances range from 2.41 to 2.51 Å, and although the limitations of X-ray diffraction and the large La ion require care in discussing H atom positions (see Si-H distance discussion below), these distances are shorter than the La-H distances of 2.70(3) and 2.66(4) Å in diagnostic tetramethylidisilazido lanthanum compound Me$_2$Si(C$_5$Me$_4$)$_2$LaN(SiHMe$_2$)$_2$$^{[19]}$ and the corresponding solvent-free silyamide La{N(SiHMe$_2$)$_2$}$_3$$^{[26]}$ having La-H distance of 2.56(6) Å. The La-Si distances in La{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_3$ range from 3.21–3.25 Å and are similar to the sum of La and Si covalent radii (3.18 Å)$^{[27]}$ and the distances in Me$_2$Si(C$_5$Me$_4$)$_2$LaN(SiHMe$_2$)$_2$$^{[19]}$ (3.244(1) and 3.246(1) Å). The La-Si distances in the β-SiC agostic Cp*La{CH(SiMe$_3$)$_2$}$_2$ of 3.35(1) and 3.42(1) Å are significantly longer than in 1a.$^{[28]}$ Additional structural evidence for a
multicenter interaction between La and the C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$ ligands comes from La-C-Si angles, which are $\leq 90^\circ$ for the SiHMe$_2$ groups with close La-H and La-Si contacts. These groups also have small La-C-Si-H torsion angles, which vary from 0.36–10.68$^\circ$.

Distortions of the $-\text{C(SiHMe}_2\text{)}_3$ group accompany the multicenter La-C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$ interaction. For example, the Si-H distances of groups involved in non-classical interactions with the La center are longer than those involved in 2-center-2-electron interactions. This analysis is subject to the limitation of X-ray crystallography mentioned above, which can be illustrated by comparison of Si-H distances across compounds 1a-d, where the identity of the Ln center might be expected to have only a minor effect on the distances across the series. The average Si-H distance in those groups that point toward the Ln center is 1.47 ± 0.02 Å, whereas the classical Si-H distances show considerable variation 1.4 ± 0.1 Å (an order of magnitude larger than crystallographic E.S.D.s). For compound 1a in particular, the two of the C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$ groups show large differences between two center and multicenter SiH moieties. In the most extreme example, non-classical Si7-H7s and Si8-H8s distances 1.47(3) and 1.50(3) Å are notably longer than the classical Si9-H9s of 1.36(2) Å. However, it should be noted that Si4-H4s, Si5-H5s, and Si6-H6s are the same within error. Interestingly, the Si-C distances associated with non-classical La-H-Si structures are also generally shorter than those of classical SiHMe$_2$ groups. Across the 1a-d series, the average Si-C distance of non-classical moieties is 1.828 ± 0.006 Å, whereas the average Si-C distance for non-bridging SiH groups is 1.849 ± 0.003 Å. In a selected C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$ ligand in 1a as a particular example, the C3-Si7 and C3-Si8 distances in bridging La-H-Si structures of 1.820(1) and 1.832(2) Å are shorter than the C3-Si9 distance in the non-bridged Si of 1.854(2) Å. Previously, structures of ytterbium(II) and calcium(II) neutral and cationic compounds containing the C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$ ligand were characterized by single crystal X-ray
diffraction. In contrast to La\{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$\}$_3$, those compounds, such as Yb\{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$\}$_2$THF$_2$ and YbC(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$THF$_2$ do not give evidence for lengthened SiH bonds or shortened SiC bonds in non-classical moieties.$^{[21]}$ The neutron structure of $\beta$-CSi agostic Cp*La\{CH(SiMe$_3$)$_2$\}$_2$ shows statistically longer Si-C bonds involved in non-classical bonding,$^{[28]}$ and 1a-d show different behavior as might be expected given the different role the Si-C group plays in the multicenter interaction.

The angles associated with the central carbon in the C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$ ligands also highlight its distorted geometry. In general, acute or nearly acute $\angle$Ln-C-Si angles (average over 1a-d: 88.8 ± 0.9°) are associated with the bridging Ln←H-Si structures, while angles associated with non-bridging Si are obtuse (average over 1a-d: 124 ± 4°) and show greater variation. The average sum of the Si-C-Si angles around the central carbon in 1a-d is 350 ± 2°. In most C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$ ligands, there are two Si-C-Si angles that are ~120°, with the third angle ~110°. For example, the Si1-C1-Si2, Si1-C1-Si3, and Si2-C1-Si3 angles in 1a are 110.0(1), 119.3(1), and 120.1(1)°, and in this example the smallest angle is associated with the Si involved in multicenter interactions with the La center. However, the pairing of the small angle with the two non-classical Si centers is not systematic across the 1a-d series. Even in 1a, the other two C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$ ligands have wider angles associated (e.g. Si4-C2-Si5, 120.5(1)° where Si4 and Si5 are involved in La←H-Si bridging structure).

The Ln-C distances follow the trends expected on the basis of the ionic radii of the metal center (La > Ce > Pr > Nd), although comparison of adjacent Ln{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_3$ shows that the shortest distance of the larger Ln is within 3σ of longest distance of the smaller Ln compound. For example, comparison of the shortest La–C and longest Ce–C distances (La1–C2, 2.679(2) vs Ce1–C2, 2.672(3) Å) or the statistical distribution of Ln-C distances (La–C, 2.687 ± 0.008; Ce–
C, 2.661 ± 0.009; Pr–C, 2.641 ± 0.008; Nd–C, 2.627 ± 0.004 Å) highlight the similarities and differences across series. Aside from the series Ln(CH2Ph)3THF3 which has been crystallographically characterized for lanthanum - neodymium,[8c] generally few series of homoleptic monometallic lanthanide alkys have been crystallographically characterized for comparison. The Ln–C bonds in the six-coordinate benzyl compounds are shorter than in Ln{C(SiHMe2)3}3.[8a] Ce{CH(SiMe2)3}3 is pyramidal and isomorphous with La[CH(SiMe3)2]3,[29] and the Ce–C distance of 2.475(7) Å is much shorter than the distances in Ce{C(SiHMe2)3}3 of 2.651(2), 2.659(2), 2.672(2) Å.

Interestingly, 1a-d are all thermally robust, with \( t_{1/2} \) approximately 80 h at 80 °C for decomposition to the alkane, HC(SiHMe2)3. The β-elimination product Me2Si=C(SiHMe2)2 or its disilacyclobutane [2+2] dimer are not observed in \(^1\)H NMR spectra of thermalized solutions of 1a-d.

Reactions of La{C(SiHMe2)3}3 and ancillary proligands, such as bis-1,1-(4,4-dimethyl-2-oxazolinyl)ethane (MeHC(OxMe2)2) and bis-1,1-(4,4-dimethyl-2-oxazolinyl)methylphenyl (PhHC(OxMe2)2) to give \{MeC(OxMe2)2\}La{C(SiHMe2)3}2 (2a) and \{Ph(OxMe2)2\}La{C(SiHMe2)3}2 (3a), respectively (eq. 3).

\[
\text{La(C(SiHMe2)3)3} + \text{MeHC(OxMe2)2} \xrightarrow{\text{pentane, r.t., 1 d}} \text{MeC(OxMe2)2} \text{La(C(SiHMe2)3)}_2 \quad (2a)
\]

\[
\text{La(C(SiHMe2)3)3} + \text{PhHC(OxMe2)2} \xrightarrow{\text{pentane, r.t., 1 d}} \text{PhC(OxMe2)2} \text{La(C(SiHMe2)3)}_2 \quad (3a)
\]

\(^1\)H NMR spectra of compounds 2a and 3a contained barely resolved multiplets at 4.60 ppm (\(^1\)J_{SiH} = 163 Hz) and 4.65 ppm (\(^1\)J_{SiH} = 143 Hz), respectively, assigned to the SiH groups.
The oxazolinyl methylene peaks were observed as singlets at 3.52 ppm (4 H) for 2a and at 3.37 ppm (4 H) for 3a while the oxazolinyl methyls were also observed as singlets at 1.30 ppm (12 H) and 1.35 ppm (12 H) for compounds 2a and 3a, respectively suggesting there is a mirror plane of symmetry. The IR spectrum of 2a shows two stretching bands, one at 2113 cm\(^{-1}\) for classical SiH interaction and another broad band at 1872 cm\(^{-1}\) is poorly resolved from band at 1906 cm\(^{-1}\) for non-classical SiH interaction. The \(v_{\text{CN}}\) stretching bands are observed as sharp peaks at 1588 and 1572 cm\(^{-1}\). For 3a these bands are observed at 2107, 1914 and 1876 cm\(^{-1}\) (\(v_{\text{SiH}}\)) and a single broad band at 1564 cm\(^{-1}\) (\(v_{\text{CN}}\)).

In addition, these homoleptic tris(alkyl) lanthanides may be starting materials for other rare earth compounds through protonolytic alkane elimination. For example compounds 1a-d and 3 equiv. of tetramethyldisilazide react at room temperature to yield \(\text{Ln}\{\text{N(SiHMe}_2\}_2\}_3\) quantitatively in micromole-scale reactions. The tris(disilazido)lanthanoid compounds are readily isolated from the \(\text{HC(SiHMe}_2\}_3\) byproduct by extraction with hexamethyldisiloxane.

\[
\text{Ln[Cs(SiHMe}_2\}_3\} + \text{HN(SiHMe}_2\}_2\} \xrightarrow{\text{pentane, r.t., 1 h}} \text{Ln[NSiHMe}_2\}_2\}_3 + 3 \text{HC(SiHMe}_2\}_3\) \quad (4)
\]

\[
\text{Ln = Ce (4b, 75%)} \\
\text{Nd (4d, 76%)}
\]

\[
\text{Pr[C(SiHMe}_2\}_3\} + \text{HN(SiHMe}_2\}_2\} \xrightarrow{\text{benzene, 60\(^{\circ}\)C, 4 h}} \text{Pr[NSiHMe}_2\}_2\}_3 + 3 \text{HC(SiHMe}_2\}_3\) \quad (4c, 71%)
\]

\[
\text{La[NSiHMe}_2\}_2\}_3^{[28]} \text{ and Ce[NSiHMe}_2\}_2\}_3^{[18b]} \text{ have been previously prepared by reaction of Ln[NSiMe}_3\}_2\}_3 \text{ and HN(SiHMe}_2\}_2. [La[NSiHMe}_2\}_2\}_3\}_3 \text{ (4a) crystallizes as a } C_1-\text{symmetric dimer, while the cerium analogue is not crystallographically characterized. The IR spectrum of [Ce[NSiHMe}_2\}_2\}_3\}_2 \text{ (4b) contained bands at 2079 and 1915 cm}\(^{-1}\) \text{ assigned to two-center-two-electron Si–H groups and three-center-two-electron bridging Ln–H-Si structures}}}
\]
which is similar to that reported for La analogue (2092, 1920 cm\(^{-1}\)) suggesting similar dimeric structures. [Nd\{N(SiHMe\(_2\))\(_2\}\}\(_3\)\(_2\) (4d) was also synthesized in similar fashion and the IR stretching bands were observed at 2091 and 1922 cm\(^{-1}\). However, the previously unknown [Pr\{N(SiHMe\(_2\))\(_2\}\}\(_3\)\(_2\) (4e) was synthesized under more forcing conditions of 60 °C for 4 h in benzene. The infrared spectrum of compound 4c contained absorption bands at 2121 and 1929 cm\(^{-1}\) similar to La analogue.

**Conclusion**

We have shown synthesis and characterization of new solvent-free, homoleptic rare earth alkyl compounds containing nine \(\beta\)-hydrogens which are nucleophilic in nature. These compounds do not undergo \(\beta\)-H elimination at elevated temperatures and rather decompose to the corresponding alkane. NMR and IR spectroscopy along with X-ray data gives us a good understanding of the molecular structure and bonding in these molecules. The variable temperature NMR studies have been performed on La\{C(SiHMe\(_2\))\(_3\}\}\(_3\) and Y\{C(SiHMe\(_2\))\(_3\}\}\(_3\) and their deuterated analogues which indicates exchange between Ln\(~\)H-Si and terminal Si-H on NMR timescale. The rate of exchange between three inequivalent methyls in the ligand was used to study the exchange rate. An inverse isotope effect \(k_H/k_D\) was found for both lanthanum and yttrium at low temperature. In addition, utility of La\{C(SiHMe\(_2\))\(_3\}\}\(_3\) is demonstrated by reactions with HN(SiHMe\(_2\))\(_2\) and HBO\(_x\)\(_2\)\(_{Me2}\) ligands, which are of value for synthesis of larger organometallic compounds.
Experimental Section

All manipulations were performed under a dry argon atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques or under a nitrogen atmosphere in a glovebox unless otherwise indicated. Water and oxygen were removed from benzene and pentane solvents using an IT PureSolv system. Benzene-$d_6$ was heated to reflux over Na/K alloy and vacuum-transferred. The compounds LaI$_3$(THF)$_4$, CeI$_3$(THF)$_4$, PrI$_3$(THF)$_3$ and NdI$_3$(THF)$_3$ were modified from literature procedures,[30] KC(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$,[20] were prepared following literature procedures. $^1$H, $^{11}$B, $^{13}$C{$^1$H}, and $^{29}$Si{$^1$H} NMR spectra were collected on a Bruker DRX-400 spectrometer, a Bruker Avance III-600 spectrometer, or an Agilent MR 400 spectrometer. $^{11}$B NMR spectra were referenced to an external sample of BF$_3$Et$_2$O. Infrared spectra were measured on a Bruker Vertex 80. Elemental analyses were performed using a Perkin-Elmer 2400 Series II CHN/S. X-ray diffraction data was collected on a Bruker APEX II diffractometer.

$\text{La}\{\text{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}\}_3$ (1a). LaI$_3$(THF)$_4$ (0.365 g, 0.452 mmol) and KC(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$ (0.310 g, 1.36 mmol) were stirred in 10 mL of benzene at room temperature for 1.5 h. Evaporation of the volatile materials, pentane extraction ($3 \times 5$ mL), and evaporation of the pentane afforded a spectroscopically pure sticky yellow solid (0.269 g, 0.380 mmol, 84.0%). This solid was recrystallized at −30 °C from a minimal amount of pentane to obtain 1a as colorless crystals. $^1$H NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 600 MHz, 25 °C): $\delta$ 4.26 (m, $^1$J$_{\text{SiH}}$ = 138.7 Hz, 9 H, SiH), 0.41 (d, $^3$J$_{\text{HH}}$ = 3.2 Hz, 54 H, SiMe$_2$). $^1$H NMR (toluene-$d_8$, 600 MHz, −94 °C): $\delta$ 4.78 (m, 3 H, SiH), 4.04 (6 H, La$\text{\leftrightarrow}$HSiMe$_2$), 0.55 (br, 18 H, La$\text{\leftrightarrow}$HSiMe$_2$), 0.49 (br, 18 H, La$\text{\leftrightarrow}$HSiMe$_2$), 0.42 (br, 18 H, SiMe$_2$). $^{13}$C{$^1$H} NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 150 MHz, 25 °C): $\delta$ 31.8 (LaC), 3.64 (SiMe$_2$). $^{13}$C{$^1$H}
La{C(SiMe\(_2\))\(_3\)}\(_3\) (1a-d9). LaI\(_3\)(THF)\(_4\) (0.209 g, 0.259 mmol) and KC(SiMe\(_2\))\(_3\) (0.180 g, 0.777 mmol) were stirred in 10 mL of benzene room temperature for 1.5 h. The product was isolated as a pale yellow solid following the procedure for 1a described above (0.171 g, 0.238 mmol, 92.8%). \(^1\)H NMR (benzene, 600 MHz, 25 °C): δ 0.41 (s, SiMe\(_2\)). \(^2\)H NMR (benzene, 600 MHz, 25 °C): δ 3.4 (s, SiDMe\(_2\)). \(^{13}\)C\({}^1\)H NMR (benzene, 150 MHz, 25 °C): δ 2.98 (SiMe\(_2\)). \(^{13}\)C\({}^1\)H NMR (toluene-d\(_8\), 150 MHz, –94 °C): δ 4.31, 3.31, 2.12 (SiMe\(_2\)). \(^{29}\)Si NMR (benzene, 119.3 MHz, 25 °C): δ –13.7. IR (KBr, cm\(^{-1}\)): 2981 m, 2954 m, 2899 s, 1515 s br (v\(_{SiH}\)), 1414 w, 1327 s (v\(_{SiD}\)), 1252 s, 1014 w, s, 938 s, 894 s, 855 m, 834 s br, 812 s, 778 s, 756 br, 704 s, 648 w, 583 s.

Ce{C(SiMe\(_2\))\(_3\)}\(_3\) (1b). CeI\(_3\)(THF)\(_4\) (0.362 g, 0.449 mmol) and KC(SiMe\(_2\))\(_3\) (0.307 g, 1.34 mmol) were stirred in benzene (10 mL) at room temperature for 12 h. The Ce{C(SiMe\(_2\))\(_3\)}\(_3\) product was isolated following the procedure for 1a described above as spectroscopically pure material (0.251 g, 0.354 mmol, 79.2%). This solid was recrystallized at –30 °C from a minimal amount of pentane to obtain colorless crystals of 1b. \(^1\)H NMR (benzene-d\(_6\), 600 MHz, 25 °C): δ 5.0 (br, 9 H, SiH), 1.0 (br, 54 H, SiMe\(_2\)). \(^1\)H NMR (toluene-d\(_8\), 600 MHz, –76 °C): δ 24.5 (3 H,
br, SiH), 10.6 (s, 18 H, SiMe₂), 9.3 (s, 18 H, SiMe₂), −11.6 (s, 18 H, SiMe₂), −19.7 (6 H, br, Ce—H(SiMe₂)). 

\(^{13}\text{C}^{\{\text{^1\text{H}}\}}\) NMR (benzene-\(d_6\), 150 MHz, 25 °C): \(\delta\) 11.85 (SiMe₂). 

\(^{13}\text{C}^{\{\text{^1\text{H}}\}}\) NMR (toluene-\(d_8\), 150 MHz, −73 °C): \(\delta\) 36, 33, −4 (SiMe₂). IR (KBr, cm\(^{-1}\)): 2952 s, 2899 s, 2107 s \((\nu_{\text{SiH}})\), 1829 s br \((\nu_{\text{SiH}})\), 1590 w, 1493 s, 1417 w, 1253 s, 1026 s br, 951 s br, 885 s, 834 w, 777 s, 679 s.


\(\text{Ce}\{C(\text{SiDMe}_2)_3\}_3\) (1b-d). CeI\(_3\) (THF)\(_4\) (0.183 g, 0.226 mmol) and KC(SiDMe\(_2\))\(_3\) (0.157 g, 0.678 mmol) were stirred in benzene (10 mL) at room temperature for 12 h. The product was isolated following the procedure for 1a described above (0.143 g, 0.199 mmol, 88.2%). 

\(^1\text{H}\) NMR (benzene, 600 MHz, 25 °C): \(\delta\) 0.66 (br, SiMe₂). 

\(^2\text{H}\) NMR (benzene, 600 MHz, 25 °C): \(\delta\) 3.98 (s, SiDMe₂). 

\(^{13}\text{C}^{\{\text{^1\text{H}}\}}\) NMR (benzene-\(d_6\), 150 MHz, 25 °C): \(\delta\) 11.29 (SiMe₂). 

\(^{13}\text{C}^{\{\text{^1\text{H}}\}}\) NMR (toluene-\(d_8\), 150 MHz, −73 °C): \(\delta\) 36, 33, −4 (SiMe₂). IR (KBr, cm\(^{-1}\)): 2953 s, 2897 s, 2798 s, 1530 s \((\nu_{\text{SiD}})\), 1406 s, 1326 s \((\nu_{\text{SiD}})\), 1250 s, 1191 s, 1021 s, 936 br, 897 br, 779 s.

Pr\{C(SiHMe\(_2\))\}_3\) (1c). PrI\(_3\) (THF)\(_3\) (0.162 g, 0.220 mmol) and KC(SiHMe\(_2\))\(_3\) (0.173 g, 0.760 mmol) were stirred in benzene (10 mL) at room temperature for 12 h. The product was isolated as spectroscopically pure material (0.146 g, 0.206 mmol, 93.7%) following the procedure for 1a described above. This material was recrystallized at −30 °C from a minimal amount of toluene to obtain 1c as yellow-green X-ray quality crystals. 

\(^1\text{H}\) NMR (benzene-\(d_6\), 600 MHz, 25 °C): \(\delta\) 12 (br, 9 H, SiH), 2.2 (br, 54 H, SiMe₂). 

\(^1\text{H}\) NMR (toluene-\(d_8\), 600 MHz, −74 °C): \(\delta\) −47 (br, SiH), 20.7 (s, SiMe₂), 19.9 (s, SiMe₂), −24.9 (s, SiMe₂). IR (KBr, cm\(^{-1}\)): 2954 s, 2899 s, 2108 s \((\nu_{\text{SiH}})\),
1830 s br ($\nu_{\text{SiH}}$), 1509 w, 1464 s, 1253 s, 1029 s br, 953 s br, 911 s, 887 w, 835 s, 679 s. Anal. Calcd. for C$_{21}$H$_{63}$Si$_9$Pr: C, 35.55; H, 8.95. Found: C, 35.43; H, 8.83. Mp, 115-118 °C.

Pr[C(SiDMe$_2$)$_3$]$_3$ (1c-d$_9$). PrI$_3$(THF)$_3$ (0.116 g, 0.157 mmol) and KC(SiDMe$_2$)$_3$ (0.126 g, 0.544 mmol) were stirred in benzene (10 mL) at room temperature for 12 h. The yellow product (0.091 g, 0.127 mmol, 68.8%) was isolated following the procedure describe above for 1a. $^1$H NMR (benzene, 600 MHz, 25 °C): $\delta$ 2.1 (br, SiMe$_2$). $^1$H NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 600 MHz, 25 °C): $\delta$ 2.0 (br, SiMe$_2$). $^1$H NMR (toluene-$d_8$, 600 MHz, –74 °C): $\delta$ 20.5 (s, SiMe$_2$), 19.8 (s, SiMe$_2$), –25.1 (s, SiMe$_2$). IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 2953 s, 2898 s, 1529 s ($\nu_{\text{SiD}}$), 1409 s, 1329 s ($\nu_{\text{SiD}}$), 1251 s, 937 br, 897 br, 832 s, 779 s, 707 s.

Nd[C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$]$_3$ (1d). NdI$_3$(THF)$_3$ (0.204 g, 0.275 mmol) and KC(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$ (0.189 g, 0.827 mmol) were stirred in benzene (10 mL) at room temperature for 12 h. The spectroscopically pure product (0.176 g, 0.247 mmol, 89.7%) was isolated following the procedure for 1a described above. This solid was recrystallized at –30 °C from a minimal amount of pentane to obtain 1d as blue-green crystals. $^1$H NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 600 MHz, 25 °C): $\delta$ 27.8 (br, SiH), 1.78 (br, SiMe$_2$). IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 2954 s, 2900 s, 2108 s ($\nu_{\text{SiH}}$), 1829 s br ($\nu_{\text{SiH}}$), 1418 w, 1253 s, 1192 s br, 1058 br, 952 s br, 886 s, 835 w, 778 s, 689 s. Anal. Calcd. for C$_{21}$H$_{63}$Si$_9$Nd: C, 35.39; H, 8.91. Found: C, 35.48; H, 9.11. Mp, 119-122 °C.

Nd[C(SiDMe$_2$)$_3$]$_3$ (1d-d$_9$). NdI$_3$(THF)$_3$ (0.183 g, 0.248 mmol) and KC(SiDMe$_2$)$_3$ (0.172 g, 0.743 mmol) were stirred in benzene (10 mL) at room temperature for 12 h. The yellow solid product (0.165 g, 0.229 mmol, 92.2%) was isolated following the procedure for 1a. $^1$H NMR (benzene,
600 MHz, 25 °C): δ 1.7 (br, SiMe2). \(^1\)H NMR (toluene-\(d_8\), 600 MHz, –79 °C): δ 15.4 (s, SiMe2), 14.6 (s, SiMe2), -17.5 (s, SiMe2). IR (KBr, cm\(^{-1}\)): 2953 s, 2898 s, 2798 s, 1528 s (\(\nu_{SiD}\)), 1467 s, 1408 s, 1328 s (\(\nu_{SiD}\)), 1251 s, 1155 s, 939 br, 898 br, 833 s, 812 s, 779 s.

\[\text{MeC(OxMe}_2\text{)}_2\text{La[C(SiHMe}_2\text{)3]2 (2a).} \]
\[\text{La[C(SiHMe}_2\text{)3]3 (0.609 g, 0.861 mmol) and MeHC(OxMe}_2\text{)}_2 (0.193 g, 0.861 mmol) were stirred in pentane (5 mL) at 25 °C for 12 h. The volatile materials were evaporated, and the residue was extracted with hexamethyldisiloxane (3 x 5 mL). Evaporation of the hexamethyldisiloxane afforded \{BoxMe}_2\text{La[C(SiHMe}_2\text{)3]2 (0.462 g, 0.622 mmol, 72.3 %) in good yield as a sticky solid.} \]

\[\text{\(^1\)H NMR (benzene-\(d_6\), 600 MHz, 25 °C): δ 4.60 (m, 6 H, SiH), 3.51 (s, 4 H, CNCMe}_2\text{C}_3\text{H}_2\text{O), 2.40 (s, 3 H, MeC), 1.30 (s, 12 H, CNCMe}_2\text{C}_3\text{H}_2\text{O), 0.42 (d, 36 H, SiMe}_2\text{).} \]

\[\text{\(^13\)C{\(^1\)H} NMR (benzene-\(d_6\), 150 MHz, 25 °C): δ 171.3 (CNCMe}_2\text{C}_3\text{H}_2\text{O), 78.2 (CNCMe}_2\text{C}_3\text{H}_2\text{O), 66.3 (CNCMe}_2\text{C}_3\text{H}_2\text{O), 28.0 (CNCMe}_2\text{C}_3\text{H}_2\text{O), 13.6 (MeC), 3.2 (SiMe}_2\text{).} \]

\[\text{\(^1\)H–\(^29\)Si HMBC NMR (benzene-\(d_6\), 119.3 MHz, 25 °C): δ –17.7 (SiHMe}_2\text{).} \]

\[\text{\(^15\)N{\(^1\)H} NMR (benzene-\(d_6\), 61 MHz, 25 °C): δ –165.9 (CNCMe}_2\text{C}_3\text{H}_2\text{O).} \]

\[\text{IR (KBr, cm\(^{-1}\)): 2960 s, 2895 s, 2112 s (\(\nu_{SiH}\)), 1872 s br (\(\nu_{SiH}\)), 1588 s (\(\nu_{CN}\)), 1572 s (\(\nu_{CN}\)), 1498 s, 1446 w, 1365 s, 1293 s, 1250 s, 1189 s, 1150 s, 1073 s br, 1024 s br, 943 s br, 895 br, 834 w, 768 s, 741 s, 700 s, 678 s, 635 s, 568 s s.} \]

\[\text{Anal. Calcd for C}_{26}\text{H}_{62}\text{LaN}_2\text{O}_2\text{Si}_6: C, 42.08; H, 8.42; N, 3.77. Found: C, 41.98; H, 8.38; N, 3.65. Mp, 110-112 °C.} \]

\[\text{PhC(OxMe}_2\text{)}_2\text{La[C(SiHMe}_2\text{)3]2 (3a).} \]
\[\text{La[C(SiHMe}_2\text{)3]3 (0.124 g, 0.176 mmol) and PhHC(OxMe}_2\text{)}_2 (0.050 g, 0.176 mmol) were stirred in pentane (2 mL) at 25 °C for 12 h. The product \{PhBoxMe}_2\text{La[C(SiHMe}_2\text{)3]2 (0.105 g, 0.131 mmol, 74.2 %) was isolated following the} \]
procedure for 2a as a sticky yellow solid. $^1$H NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 600 MHz, 25 °C): $\delta$ 7.76 (d, 2 H, ortho-C$_6$H$_5$), 7.36 (m, 3 H, para- and meta-C$_6$H$_5$), 4.65 (m, 6 H, SiH), 3.37 (s, 4 H, CNCMe$_2$CH$_2$O), 1.35 (s, 12 H, CNCMe$_2$), 0.44 (d, 36 H, SiMe$_2$). $^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 150 MHz, 25 °C): $\delta$ 171.4 (CNCMe$_2$CH$_2$O), 139.0 (C$_6$H$_5$), 133.7 (C$_6$H$_5$), 126.2 (C$_6$H$_5$), 78.1 (CNCMe$_2$CH$_2$O), 66.1 (CNCMe$_2$CH$_2$O), 27.9 (CNCMe$_2$CH$_2$O), 3.3 (SiMe$_2$). $^1$H-$^{29}$Si HMBC NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 119.3 MHz, 25 °C): $\delta$ –17.2 (SiHMe$_2$). $^{15}$N($^1$H) NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 61 MHz, 25 °C): $\delta$ –168.1 (CNCMe$_2$CH$_2$O). IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 2964 s, 2896 s, 2107 s (νSiH), 1914 (νSiH), 1876 s br (νSiH), 1564 s (νCN), 1478 s, 1436 w, 1376 s, 1299 s, 1251 s, 1187 s, 1165 s, 1044 s br, 943 s br, 919 s br, 897 br, 836 w, 809 s, 775 s, 757 s, 700 s, 679 s, 657 s. Anal. Calcd for C$_{26}$H$_{62}$LaN$_2$O$_2$Si$_6$: C, 46.29; H, 8.02; N, 3.48. Found: C, 42.20; H, 8.08; N, 3.35. Mp, 109-111 °C.

Ce[N(SiHM$_2$)$_2$]$_3$ (4b). Ce{C(SiHM$_2$)$_3$}$_3$ (0.094 g, 0.132 mmol) and HN(SiHM$_2$)$_2$ (0.053 g, 0.397 mmol) were stirred in pentane (3 mL) at room temperature for 1 h. The volatile materials were evaporated, the residue was extracted with hexamethyldisiloxane (2 × 3 mL). Evaporation of the hexamethyldisiloxane afforded analytically pure Ce{N(SiHM$_2$)$_2$}$_3$ as a sticky yellow solid (0.053 g, 0.099 mmol, 75.3%). $^1$H NMR (toluene-$d_8$, 600 MHz, 25 °C): $\delta$ 2.78 (br, SiMe$_2$). IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 2955 s, 2898 m, 2854 w, 2079 s br (νSiH), 1915 s br (νSiH), 1514 w, 1416 w, 1250 s, 1041 s br, 974 s br, 893 s, 837 s, 788 s, 764 s, 686 s, 626 m, 593 m. Anal. Calcd for C$_{12}$H$_{42}$Si$_6$N$_3$Ce: C, 26.83; H, 7.88; N, 7.82. Found: C, 26.76; H, 7.56; N, 7.42. Mp, 125-128 °C.

Pr[N(SiHM$_2$)$_2$]$_3$ (4c). Pr{C(SiHM$_2$)$_3$}$_3$ (0.042 g, 0.058 mmol) and HN(SiHM$_2$)$_2$ (0.023 g, 0.173 mmol) were heated in benzene (2 mL) at 60 °C for 4 h. The volatile materials were
evaporated, the residue was extracted with hexamethyldisiloxane (2 × 2 mL), and evaporation of the hexamethyldisiloxane afforded analytically pure Pr\{N(SiHMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}\}\textsubscript{3} as a sticky yellow-green solid (0.022 g, 0.041 mmol, 70.7%). \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (benzene-\textit{d}_6, 600 MHz, 25 °C): δ 2.81 (br, SiMe\textsubscript{2}), 2.57 (br, SiMe\textsubscript{2}). IR (KBr, cm\textsuperscript{-1}): 2957 s, 2900 m, 2121 s br (\nu\textsubscript{SiH}), 1929 s br (\nu\textsubscript{SiH}), 1456 w, 1417 w, 1377 w, 1251 s, 1177 m br, 1045 s br, 894 s, 837 s, 788 s, 763 s, 689 s, 627 m, 593 m. Anal. Calcd. for C\textsubscript{12}H\textsubscript{42}Si\textsubscript{6}N\textsubscript{3}Pr: C, 26.79; H, 7.87; N, 7.81. Found: C, 26.61; H, 7.82; N, 7.75.

Nd\{N(SiHMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}\}\textsubscript{3} (4d). Nd\{C(SiHMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}\}\textsubscript{3} (0.121 g, 0.168 mmol) and HN(SiHMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2} (0.067 g, 0.504 mmol) were stirred in pentane (3 mL) at room temperature for 1 h. Workup following the procedure for 2a afforded analytically pure Nd\{N(SiHMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}\}\textsubscript{3} as a sticky blue solid (0.069 g, 0.127 mmol, 75.6 %). \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (toluene-\textit{d}_8, 600 MHz, 25 °C): δ 5.86 (br, SiMe\textsubscript{2}), 5.10 (br, SiH), 1.00 (br, SiH). IR (KBr, cm\textsuperscript{-1}): 2954 s, 2899 m, 2855 w, 2091 s br (\nu\textsubscript{SiH}), 1922 s br (\nu\textsubscript{SiH}), 1416 w, 1250 s, 1177 m, 1046 s br, 895 s, 837 s, 798 s, 764 s, 688 s, 628 m, 596 m. Anal. Calcd. for C\textsubscript{12}H\textsubscript{42}Si\textsubscript{6}N\textsubscript{3}Nd: C, 26.63; H, 7.82; N, 7.76. Found: C, 26.71; H, 7.57; N, 7.67. Mp, 123-125 °C.
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CHAPTER 3: HYDROSILYLATION OF \(\alpha,\beta\)-UNSATURATED ESTERS TO \(\alpha\)-SILYL ESTERS BY LANTHANIDE ALKYL HYDRIDOBORATES
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**Abstract**

Reactions of \(\text{Ln} \{\text{C(SiHMe}_2\}_3\} \) with one or two equiv. of \(\text{B(C}_6\text{F}_5\}_3\) afford zwitterionic bis(alkyl)lanthanide compounds \(\text{Ln} \{\text{C(SiHMe}_2\}_3\}_2\text{HB(C}_6\text{F}_5\}_3\) (\(\text{Ln} = \text{La (1a), Ce (1b), Pr (1c), Nd (1d)}\)) or \(\text{LnC(SiHMe}_2\}_3\}_2\text{HB(C}_6\text{F}_5\}_3\) (\(\text{Ln} = \text{La (2a), Ce (2b), Pr (2c), Nd (2d)}\)), respectively, via \(\beta\)-hydrogen abstraction. The isolated products 1a-d have been spectroscopically studied exhibiting the presence of two non-classical \(\text{Ln} \leftrightarrow \text{H-Si} \) interactions per alkyl ligand. Single crystal X-ray diffraction studies of 1b and 2d are presented which reveal the non-classical, 3-center-2-electron bonding with the two non-classical SiH's of one \text{C(SiHMe}_2\}_3\) ligand facing the classical SiH of the next ligand. Surprisingly, IR bands assigned to non-classical interactions from 1700-2000 cm\(^{-1}\) were not observed in 2a-d, suggesting lack of 3-center-2-electron bonding, but it also does not rule out the multi-center bonding. Compounds 1a-d have been employed in the catalytic hydrosilylation of \(\alpha,\beta\)-unsaturated esters at room temperature to give, almost instantaneously synthetically valuable \(\alpha\)-silyl esters as the sole product in high yields with a turnover number of 2200. These catalytic transformations are selective for secondary silanes.
while primary silanes do not react and tertiary silanes yields silyl ketene acetals as the catalytic product. The route to synthesis of α-silyl esters does not involve a retro-Brook rearrangement.

Introduction

Catalytic hydrosilylation is an important method for reducing unsaturated C=C, C=O and C=N functional groups and for generating silicon-containing compounds which are useful in medicinal, polymer and materials chemistry. For example, the sequence of hydrosilylation, followed by oxidation is a valuable route to alcohols, and cross-coupling of silanes is a useful method for C-C bond formation, and the silyl group can also be retained as a protecting group, which is important in organic synthesis. Tamao-Fleming oxidation utilizes silanes bearing a phenyl group to provide a hydroxyl group with retention in configuration while Hiyama-Denmark coupling requires silanols and siloxanes for Pd-catalyzed C-C bond formation. Transition-metal, main group metal, organolanthanides, and Lewis acids have been employed in the reduction of olefins, alkynes, and many carbonyl compounds via hydrosilylation process. However, the literature and commercial processes for hydrosilylation of carbonyl compounds have traditionally been dominated by late transition metal-based catalysts, while the oxophilicity of early transition metals, group 1 and 2 main group metals, and f-elements have excluded these elements from application in such catalysis. Metal-oxygen containing species are intermediates in many proposed metal-mediated mechanisms, and this strong polar bond is typically unreactive toward silanes. In a rare example, group 2 metal complex, \([\text{Me-Nacnac}^{\text{Dipp}}]_{2}\text{CaH}.\text{THF}\) catalyzed 1,2-hydrosilylation of ketones via a hypervalent six-coordinate silicon atom.
Late-transition-metal catalyzed hydrosilylation of α,β-unsaturated esters by tertiary silanes could generate four possible products, namely, α- and β-adducts resulting from 1,2-addition to the C=C bond or adducts from 1,2- and 1,4-addition across the C=O bond (Scheme 1).

![Scheme 1](image)

**Scheme 1.** Possible products for hydrosilylation of α,β-unsaturated esters with tertiary silanes.

Controlling the products in these types of catalytic reactions is challenging, with most examples giving mixtures, and α-silyl esters are difficult to access selectively. For example, the Co$_2$(CO)$_8$-catalyzed hydrosilylation of acrylates by tertiary silanes forms a mixture of silane products that include α- and β-adducts and silyl ketene acetals resulting from the 1,4-addition pathway. On the other hand, H$_2$PtCl$_6$ catalyzes hydrosilylation of methacrylates with tertiary hydrosilanes to yield β-adducts. Hydrosilylation of methyl methacrylate by Et$_3$SiH catalyzed by rhodium complexes yields product of the 1,4-O-silylation, which is accompanied by the β-adduct.

These selectivity challenges may be addressed by new reaction pathways. Recently, B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ was discovered to catalyze the addition of α,β-unsaturated esters and tertiary silanes,
giving silyl ketene acetals that isomerize to α-silyl esters through a B(C₆F₅)₃-catalyzed retro-Brook rearrangement.[¹¹] This system is, however, best with substituted esters, and acrylates and methacrylates conversions are not reported. Alternatively, we observed that a zwitterionic magnesium hyridoborate complex affords silyl ketene acetals, without subsequent rearrangement.[¹²] The key to this transformation is hypothesized to be the zwitterionic structure of the To⁺Mg[HB(C₆F₅)₃] (To⁺M = tris(4,4-dimethyl-2-oxazolinyl)phenylborate), and this motivated consideration of other [M]HB(C₆F₅)₃ complexes in catalytic hydrosilylations. In fact, zwitterionic early metal compounds such as (C₅R₅)₂ZrH{HB(C₆F₅)₃} are catalysts for olefin polymerization[¹³] and silane polymerization,[¹⁴] and a magnesium hyridoborate catalyzes the hydroboration of carbon dioxide,[¹⁵] further suggesting the potential for this zwitterionic moiety in catalysis.

Organometallic hyridoborate compounds may be formed by abstraction of a metal hydride by B(C₆F₅)₃,[¹⁶] by H₂ or silane treatment of [M](μ-Me)B(C₆F₅)₃,[¹⁷] or from mixtures of metal alkyls, silanes, and B(C₆F₅)₃.[¹⁸] Recently, we have studied a convenient alternative route, in which β-SiH-containing early main-group metal or divalent rare earth alkyl compounds and B(C₆F₅)₃ react by β-hydride abstraction.[¹⁹] In the present work, we have investigated this abstraction chemistry with light trivalent lanthanide compounds Ln{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₃ (Ln = La, Ce, Pr, Nd). We describe the synthesis, characterization, and highly active catalytic hydrosilylation chemistry by organo-rare earth hyridoborate complexes, focused upon the first examples of rare earth catalyzed hydrosilylations of α,β-unsaturated esters. The apparent pathway for hydrosilylation is distinguished from transition-metal and B(C₆F₅)₃-catalyzed reactions to give the first catalytic synthesis of SiH-substituted α-silyl esters.
Results and Discussion

**Reaction with one equiv. of B(C₆F₅)₃.** The reactions of Ln{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₃ (Ln = La, Ce, Pr, Nd) and one equiv. of B(C₆F₅)₃ in benzene at room temperature for 30 min. yield zwitterionic Ln{C(SiHMe₂)₂}HB(C₆F₅)₃ (1a-d) and 0.5 equiv. of the disilacyclobutane [(Me₂HSi)₂C–SiMe₂]₂. The borane-derived moiety in the products is [HB(C₆F₅)₃] rather than (Me₂HSi)₃C-B(C₆F₅)₃, and these products suggest that the reaction proceeds by an intermolecular β-hydrogen abstraction. Previously, reactions of B(C₆F₅)₃ and divalent organometallics M{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₂L₂ (M = Ca, Yb; L₂ = THF, TMEDA) were shown to provide related metal hydridoborates and disilacyclobutane products."[19b]" Ce{C(SiHMe₂)₂}₂HB(C₆F₅)₃ is isolated by crystallization from toluene at –40 °C as colorless crystals.

The ¹¹B NMR spectrum of compound 1a contained a broad doublet at –18 ppm (¹J_BH = 68 Hz) and provided good evidence for hydrogen abstraction. Its ¹H NMR spectrum contained singlet resonances at 0.21 ppm (6 H, SiMe₂) and 4.45 ppm (1 H, ¹J_SiH = 135.2 Hz, SiH) assigned to the remaining C(SiHMe₂)₃ ligands. In comparison to the La{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₃ starting material, the SiMe₂ peak is shifted slightly upfield by 0.2 ppm and the SiH signal shifted downfield by 0.2
pm, although the $^1J_{SiH}$ constants for La{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_3$ and 1a are similar. The $^1J_{SiH}$ values are averaged over three-center-two-electron and two-center-two-electron SiH moieties through a fast exchange process in neutral divalent dialkyls, neutral trivalent trialkyls, and zwitterionic trivalent dialkyls. The one-bond coupling constants are smaller in the zwitterionic 1a compared to Ln{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_2$L$_2$ (Ln = Ca, Yb; L$_2$ = THF, TMEDA) and ~2 Hz smaller than in La{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_3$. This difference between neutral and zwitterionic compounds may be related to the presence of two donor groups (THF or TMEDA) in the neutral divalent compounds, weaker SiH bonds in 3-c-2-e interactions resulting from the increased charge in the zwitterionic compounds. Interestingly, the rate of exchange in 1a is faster than in La{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_3$. Kinetic data for exchange between agostic-like and classical SiH in La{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_3$ suggests a dissociative process with no entropic contribution to the barrier, but this process is fast on the NMR timescale even at 190 K for 1a. Thus, the faster rate of exchange in 1a may be interpreted as evidence for weaker La$\rightarrow$H-Si interactions in the zwitterionic compound.

The room temperature $^{13}$C{$^1$H} NMR spectrum acquired in toluene-$d_8$ contained two resonances at 47.4 (LaC) and 1.46 ppm (SiMe$_2$) assigned to the C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$ group. The former signal is ca. 16 ppm downfield compared to the neutral starting materials. The $^{19}$F NMR spectrum contained three resonances at $-133.6$, $-156.3$ and $-161.0$ ppm assigned to ortho, meta, and para-fluorines, respectively on equivalent C$_6$F$_5$ rings. One of the methods to identify between zwitterionic species and solvent separated ion pair is to measure the chemical shifts for para- and meta- fluorines in $^{19}$F NMR. Previously, Horton suggested a difference in the chemical shifts of the meta- and para-fluorine resonances [$\Delta\delta$ (m,p-F)] greater than 3.5 ppm is associated with coordination, whereas a value less than 3.0 ppm indicates non-coordination.$^{[20]}$ Thus, our observation of $\Delta\delta$ (m,p-F) = 4.7 ppm indicates zwitterionic species. The $^{11}$B NMR spectra of
compounds 1b, 1c, and 1d contained paramagnetically shifted broad signals at –45, –68, and –4 ppm, respectively, indicating that the \{(Me₂HSi)₃C\}_₂Ln⁺ and HB(C₆F₅)₃ groups interact in solution, as was suggested by the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of 1a. In addition, ¹H NMR spectra of 1b-d each contained only one signal at 3.99 (Ce), 10.1 (Pr) and 5.6 ppm (Nd) corresponding to the SiMe₂ group. The ¹H NMR spectra of the corresponding 1b-d-d₇ compounds showed the SiMe₂ peak at 4.22 (Ce), 10.1 (Pr) 5.6 ppm (Nd) further supporting the assignment. In ¹⁹F NMR spectra of 1b, 1c, and 1d, only two signals were detected (e.g., for 1b: –157.1 and –162.4 ppm) in a 1:2 ratio assigned to para- and meta- fluorine on the C₆F₅. These chemical shifts were similar for 1a-d, with the signals assigned to ortho- F in 1a not detected in 1b-d.

Through IR spectroscopy, the SiH group in the alkyl ligand is a powerful handle to compare the paramagnetic and diamagnetic compounds, which suggests that 1a-d are isostructural. Two νSiH bands were observed at 2110 and 1787 cm⁻¹ for 1a, 2117 and 1795 cm⁻¹ for 1b, 2113 and 1796 cm⁻¹ for 1c and 2113 and 1792 cm⁻¹ for 1d. The latter signal is red-shifted by 40 cm⁻¹ in comparison to Ln{C(SiHMe₂)₃}, and this change and the lower average ¹JSiH in cationic vs. neutral compounds suggest weaker Si-H bonds in the zwitterionic species. In addition, bands at 2262 (1a), 2268 (1b), 2258 (1c), and 2255 cm⁻¹ (1d) were assigned to the νBH. These signals were not observed in Ln{C(SiDMe₂)₃}₂DB(C₆F₅)₃ (1b-d-d₇), however corresponding νBD and νSiD overlapped with signals from the B(C₆F₅)₃ group and were not assigned.

A single crystal X-ray diffraction study of 1b reveals that two C(SiHMe₂)₃ ligands coordinate to the Ce center through similar structures as in Ce{C(SiHMe₂)₃}, in which each C(SiHMe₂)₃ ligand contains two bridging Ce-H-Si interactions. The third C(SiHMe₂)₃ ligand was substituted for a tridentate HB(C₆F₅)₃ group. As shown in Figure 1, these ligands are
oriented in a head-to-tail fashion, with the two non-classical SiH's of one C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$ facing the classical SiH of the next ligand. Interestingly, the HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ is oriented similarly, with the two F atoms coordinated to Ce facing the classical SiHMe$_2$ group of the neighboring C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$ and the B-H facing the non-classical SiHs of the other neighboring ligand.

Figure 1. ORTEP diagram of Ce{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$)$_2$HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ (1b). Ellipsoids are plotted at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms bonded to silicon were located objectively in the Fourier difference map. Significant interatomic distances (Å): Ce1-C1, 2.580(2); Ce1-C2, 2.615(2); Ce1-F1, 2.610(1); Ce1-F6, 2.584(1); Ce1-H1s, 2.39(3); Ce1-H2s, 2.42(3); Ce1-H4s, 2.42(4); Ce1-H5s,
2.44(3); Ce1-Si1, 3.132(1); Ce1-Si2, 3.1664(9); Ce1-Si4, 3.153(1); Ce1-Si5, 3.1542(9); C1-Si1, 1.840(2); C1-Si2, 1.834(2); C1-Si3, 1.854(3); C2-Si4, 1.832(3); C2-Si5, 1.835(2); C2-Si6, 1.856(3); Si1-H1s, 1.54(4); Si2-H2s, 1.49(3); Si3-H3s, 1.47(3); Si4-H4s, 1.49(3); Si5-H5s, 1.48(3); Si6-H6s, 1.61(3). Significant interatomic angles (°): Ce1-C1-Si1, 88.5(1); Ce1-C1-Si2, 90.0(1); Ce1-C1-Si3, 126.4(1); C1-Ce1-C2, 122.26(9); Ce1-C2-Si4, 88.5(1); Ce1-C2-Si5, 88.4(1); C1-C2-Si6, 119.1(1); Si1-C1-Si2, 118.8(1); Si1-C1-Si3, 113.2(1); Si2-C1-Si3, 116.2(1); Si4-C2-Si5, 120.5(1); Si4-C2-Si6, 113.6(1); Si5-C2-Si6, 119.4(1).

The Ce-C and Ce-Si distances in 1b are shorter than in Ce\{C(SiHMe)\}_3. The Ce1-C1 and Ce1-C2 distances are 2.582(3) and 2.615(2) Å. The average of these (2.60 ± 0.02 Å) is 0.06 Å shorter than in the neutral species (2.661 ± 0.008 Å). The Yb-C distance in YbC(SiHMe)\_3HB(C\_6F\_3)\_3THF\_2^{[19b]} is 2.59(2) Å which is comparable to 1b given their similar ionic radii (6-coordinate Yb\(^{2+}\) (1.02 Å); 6-coordinate Ce\(^{3+}\) (1.01 Å)).\[^{[21]}\] The Ce-Si distances (for the Si1, Si2, Si4 and Si5 participating in the Ce-H-Si structure) in 1b are 3.15 ± 0.01 Å, which is 0.05 Å shorter than the average in Ce\{C(SiHMe)\}_3 (3.20 ± 0.01 Å). The C-Si distances in C(SiHMe)\_3 ligand in both Ce\{C(SiHMe)\}_3 and 1b are similar and show a related trend, that is, the average C-Si distances (1.835 ± 0.003 Å) associated with the non-classical Ce-H-Si interactions are shorter than those associated with classical SiH's (1.855 ± 0.001 Å). Furthermore, the Ce center in 1b may be considered to be planar, based on the sum of C-Ce-C and C-Ce-centroid angles of 360° (with the centroid defined as the average position of the H1B, F1, and F6 atoms). The only other structurally characterized cerium hydridoborate compound reported in literature is [1,2,4-(Me\_3C)\_3C\_5H\_2]Ce(H)BPh\_3].\[^{[22]}\]
Reaction with two equiv. of B(C₆F₅)₃. Reactions of two equiv. of B(C₆F₅)₃ and Ln{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₃ (Ln = La, Ce, Pr, Nd) in benzene at room temperature for 30 minutes afford bis(hydridoborate) LnC(SiHMe₂)₃{HB(C₆F₅)₃}₂ (2a-d) and 1 equiv. of disilacyclobutane [(Me₂SiH)₂C-SiMe₂]₂ via β-hydrogen abstraction. Interestingly, the last alkyl group in 2a-d is not affected by treatment with B(C₆F₅)₃. In comparison, all of the alkyl groups in divalent Yb{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₂THF₂ are removed by excess B(C₆F₅)₃, resulting in an alkyl group-free dicationic product.[19b]

The ¹H NMR spectrum of 2a contained one doublet resonance at 0.00 ppm (SiMe₂) and a multiplet at 4.38 ppm (J_{SiH} = 135 Hz, SiH) in the expected 6:1 ratio of integrals. The dimethylsilyl signal moved 0.2 ppm systematically upfield from neutral La{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₃ to zwitterionic 1a to bis(hydridoborate) 2a, whereas the SiH signal in the 1a and 2a were downfield of the neutral species. The SiMe₂ signals for paramagnetic 2b-d were broad at 4.55 (Ce), 14.12 (Pr), and 8.29 ppm (Nd) and assignments were confirmed by deuterium-labeled derivatives while no SiH peak was observed. The ¹³C NMR signal for the C(SiHMe₂)₃ ligand at 56.9 ppm was also further downfield, following the trend 2a > 1a > La{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₃. Although ¹³C NMR spectra of the paramagnetic derivatives were featureless, the ¹¹B NMR spectra of 2a-d contained signals
at -17.4 ppm –7.47 ppm, –23.4, and 25.1 ppm that revealed persistent Ln-HB(C₆F₅)₃ interaction. As in zwitterionic 1b-d, only meta- and para-F signals were detected in the ¹⁹F NMR spectra of 2b-d, suggesting that the ortho-F directly interact with the paramagnetic centers. Interestingly, the only band in the SiH region of IR spectra for 2a-d appeared at 2110 cm⁻¹. The surprising lack of signals from 1700 – 2000 cm⁻¹ for 2a-d, does not provide evidence for 3-center-2-electron bonding, but as a negative result, it also does not unambiguously rule out multi-center bonding.

A single crystal X-ray diffraction study of 2d reveals that one C(SiHMe)₃ ligand is coordinated to the Nd center while the other two coordination sites are taken up by tridentate HB(C₆F₅)₃ groups. The C(SiHMe)₃ ligand is oriented such that two non-classical SiH’s face the Nd center. There are a total of three Nd-F interactions in the molecule including two bridging ortho-F atoms from one B(C₆F₅)₃ group and the third bridging ortho-F atom from the other B(C₆F₅)₃ group. The Nd-F distances are 2.616(6) Å, 2.857(6) Å from one B(C₆F₅)₃ group and 2.600(7) Å from other B(C₆F₅)₃ group. These distances are similar to the one observed in the crystal structure of 1b. In addition to one C(SiHMe)₃ group and two B(C₆F₅)₃ groups, a toluene molecule also coordinates to the Nd center. The coordination of crystallization solvent molecule in the crystal structure of Nd compounds is not unusual as we observe coordinated toluene in (η-C₆H₅Me)Nd[N(C₆F₅)₂]₃.[23] Also, compound Nd{C(SiHMe)₃}, co-crystallizes with benzene molecule. The distances between Nd1 and carbons of the toluene molecule (e.g.C71, C72, C73, C74, C75) range between 2.954(1) to 3.026(1) Å which is shorter than the distance between Nd and carbons of the toluene molecule in (η-C₆H₅Me)Nd[N(C₆F₅)₂]₃[23] (2.98(2) to 3.324(1) Å) suggesting a stronger coordination of toluene molecule. The Nd1-C1 distance is ca. 0.11 Å shorter than that observed in Nd{C(SiHMe)₃} suggesting that the positive charge generated on the Nd center due to Lewis acid mediated H abstraction from two alkyl groups causes the
molecule to shrink. Similarly, Nd-Si distances also shorten to 3.135(3) (Nd1-Si1) and 3.101(4) Å (Nd1-Si2) from av. 3.152 Å in Nd{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_3$. This presents the first example of crystallographically characterized Nd alkyl bis(hydridoborate).

**Figure 2.** ORTEP diagram of NdC(SiHMe$_2$)$_3${HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$}$_2$ (2d). Ellipsoids are plotted at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms bonded to silicon were located objectively in the Fourier difference map. Significant interatomic distances (Å): Nd1-C1, 2.512(2); Nd1-F24, 2.857(6); Nd1-F30, 2.614(6); Nd1-F60, 2.600(7); Nd1-Si1, 3.135(3); Nd1-Si2, 3.101(4); C1-Si1, 1.844(1); C1-Si2, 1.839(1); C1-Si3, 1.870(1). Significant interatomic angles (°): Nd1-C1-Si1, 90.7(5); Nd1-C1-
Si\textsubscript{2}, 89.6(5); Nd1-C1-Si3, 132.8(6); Si1-C1-Si2, 119.4(7); Si1-C1-Si3, 112.9(6); Si2-C1-Si3, 110.3(7).

Hydrosilylation of α,β-unsaturated acrylates. The trivalent \( \text{Ln}\{\text{C(SiHMe}_{2}\}_{3}\} \text{, 1a-d, 2a-d,} \) as well as divalent \( \text{LnC(SiHMe}_{2}\}_{3}\text{HB(C}_{6}\text{F}_{5}\}_{3} \) (\( \text{Ln} = \text{Yb, Sm} \)) were investigated as catalysts for hydrosilylation of \( \alpha,\beta \)-unsaturated esters in comparison to \( \text{B(C}_{6}\text{F}_{5}\}_{3} \) and \( \text{To}^\text{M} \text{MgHB(C}_{6}\text{F}_{5}\}_{3} \). Remarkably, the addition of secondary silanes and acrylates to give \( \alpha \)-silyl esters is catalyzed effectively by compounds \( \text{1a-d,} \) which provide the products in high yield (Table 1). In contrast, \( \text{1a-d} \) catalyze hydrosilylation of acrylates with tertiary silanes to give silyl ketene acetals while primary silanes do not react with acrylates at room temperature or at 60 °C for one day.

These results also contrast the catalytic action of \( \text{To}^\text{M} \text{MgHB(C}_{6}\text{F}_{5}\}_{3} \), which provides silyl ketene acetals for additions of secondary and tertiary silanes to methacrylates, but polymerizes acrylates rather than catalyzing their hydrosilylation.\[12\] Neither divalent \( \text{LnC(SiHMe}_{2}\}_{3}\text{HB(C}_{6}\text{F}_{5}\}_{3}\text{THF}_{2} \), trivalent \( \text{Ln}\{\text{C(SiHMe}_{2}\}_{3}\} \text{, nor di-zwitterionic 2a-d provide either hydrosilylation product. Because compounds 1a-d appeared to have equivalent reactivity in the catalyst screening and the cerium complex 1b is purified by crystallization, all further conversions employed 1b. The } \alpha \text{-silyl ester products are obtained in benzene, toluene, methylene chloride and chloroform, and chloroform was used for subsequent reactions for convenience. Remarkably, catalyst loadings of 0.05 mol\% give full conversion within 5 mins. Lower catalyst loadings do not give full conversion, and under those conditions a turnover number of 2200 is measured. In fact, the reaction between the silanes and acrylates is catalyzed within a minute of adding catalyst 1b as the catalyst changes color from pale yellow to colorless providing a TOF of 37 s\textsuperscript{-1}.} \)
Table 1. Comparison of compounds 1a-d as catalysts, solvents for hydrosilylation of methyl acrylate and PhMeSiH₂.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>NMR Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td><img src="" alt="Reaction 1" /></td>
<td>Catalyst</td>
<td>1a 81, 1b 84, 1c 81, 1d 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td><img src="" alt="Reaction 2" /></td>
<td>Solvent</td>
<td>C₆D₆ 72, CD₂Cl₂ 75, CDCl₃ 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td><img src="" alt="Reaction 3" /></td>
<td>Silane</td>
<td>BnMe₂SiH 71, Et₃SiH 74, (CH₂=CH) 68, Me₂SiH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td><img src="" alt="Reaction 4" /></td>
<td>Silane</td>
<td>Ph₂SiH₂ 64, PhMeSiH₂ 60, Et₂SiH₂ 62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The α-silyl ester products were unambiguously identified by NMR and infrared spectroscopies. For example, the $^1$H NMR spectrum of the isolated methyl α-diphenylsilylpropionate contained a doublet resonance at 4.94 ppm (chloroform-d, $^3J_{HH} = 3.0$ Hz, $^1J_{SiH} = 205$ Hz) with silicon satellites, and thus assigned to SiH that was coupled to the α-methine resonance at 2.73 ppm. The resonance assigned to the α-methine appeared as a quartet of doublets ($^3J_{HH} = 7.2$ Hz) resulting from SiH and CH$_3$ (1.35 ppm) coupling. These signals, as well as the phenyl signals, correlated with the $^{29}$Si NMR signal at –9.33 ppm observed in a $^1$H-$^{29}$Si HMBC experiment. Moreover, the $^{13}$C{$^1$H} spectrum contained a resonance at 175.93 ppm assigned to carbonyl carbon. In a $^1$H-$^{13}$C HMBC experiment, a crosspeak between this signal and the $^1$H NMR signal assigned to the α-methyl was observed. Finally, a band in the infrared spectrum at 1725 cm$^{-1}$ is was consistent with the $v_{CO}$ of an ester.

A range of silyl esters have been prepared using catalyst 1b (Table 2). The products have been isolated in chloroform as the solvent however, we report the isolation of Ph$_2$HSiCHCO$_2$Me in chloroform and toluene.
Table 2: 1b catalyzed hydrosilylation of acrylates and secondary silanes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Silane</th>
<th>Acrylate</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Yield (isolated)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>PhMeSiH₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>84 (82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="" alt="Acrylate" /></td>
<td><img src="" alt="Product" /></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Ph₂SiH₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="" alt="Acrylate" /></td>
<td><img src="" alt="Product" /></td>
<td>72² (72³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Et₂SiH₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>82 (81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>PhMeSiH₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>88 (85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Ph₂SiH₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>77 (74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Et₂SiH₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>82 (79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>PhMeSiH₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>83 (81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Et₂SiH₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>78 (77)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 continued

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>PhMeSiH₂</td>
<td>![Image of structure]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>PhMeSiH₂</td>
<td>![Image of structure]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Ph₂SiH₂</td>
<td>![Image of structure]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Et₂SiH₂</td>
<td>![Image of structure]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conditions: 1 mol% Ce\{C(SiHMe₂)₃\}_2HB(C₆F₅)₃, silane : acrylate = 1:1, solvent = chloroform, \(^a\)room temperature, \(^b\)NMR yield in benzene-\(d₆\), \(^c\)isolated yield from toluene, \(^d\)0 °C, 1 h.

Alternatively, B(C₆F₅)₃ is known to catalyze 1,2-hydrosilylation of tertiary silanes and esters.\(^{[6a]}\) Free B(C₆F₅)₃ could be present in the reaction via dissociation of 1b therefore, its catalytic reactivity was studied. 1 mol% of B(C₆F₅)₃ as catalyst in benzene-\(d₆\) or chloroform-\(d₆\) for reaction between PhMeSiH₂ and methyl acrylate did not result in 3,4-addition hydrosilylated ester but instead gave a number of unidentified species in \(^1\)H NMR.

Recently, Chang et al.\(^{[11]}\) have reported that B(C₆F₅)₃ catalyzes hydrosilylation of α,β-unsaturated esters and amides to afford α-silyl carbonyl compounds. The mechanistic studies revealed two step procedure: fast 1,4-hydrosilylation of the carbonyl group followed by slow silyl group migration of the silyl ether intermediate. To investigate this pathway in our system, hydrosilylation of ethyl trans-4-bromocinnamate with Ph₂SiH₂ and PhMe₂SiH catalyzed by 1b was carried out in chloroform-\(d₆\). However, no α-silyl ester was observed after one day at room
temperature while $\text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3$ catalyzed reaction of ethyl trans-4-bromocinnamate with $\text{Ph}_2\text{SiH}_2$ was very messy in $^1\text{H}$ NMR with several unidentified species. When the reaction between $\text{Ph}_2\text{SiH}_2$ and methyl methacrylate was catalyzed by 1 mol% $1\text{b}$ followed by 5 mol% $\text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3$, methane and a new unidentified silyl containing product was obtained suggesting cleavage of the methacrylate. Similar cleavage of methacrylate was observed when the reaction was catalyzed by $\text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3$. Thus, formation of $\alpha$-silyl esters is not catalyzed by $\text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3$ and that catalyzed by $1\text{b}$ does not follow similar pathway as reported in literature.

We also independently synthesized $\text{PhMeHSiO}(\text{MeO})\text{C}=\text{CMe}_2$ from $\text{To}^\text{M}\text{MGHB}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3$ catalyzed reaction of benzyl methacrylate and $\text{PhMeSiH}_2$\textsuperscript{[12]} and then added catalytic amounts of catalyst $1\text{b}$ and no silicon migration product, $\text{PhMeHSiCMe}_2\text{CO}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Ph}$ was not detected in $^1\text{H}$ NMR after one day.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, we have successfully demonstrated the synthesis and characterization of trivalent rare earth alkyl hydridoborate compounds featuring non-classical interactions. Their role as hydrosilylation catalysts has been probed for $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated esters and secondary silanes. Moreover, tertiary silanes with $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated esters generate silyl ketene acetals while primary silanes do not react. The catalytic results presented here reveal the first example of rare-earth metal alkyl borate catalyzed hydrosilylation of $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated esters to generate $\alpha$-silyl esters in quantitative yields. The reactions are instantaneous with low catalyst loading and quantitative yields under mild conditions. The pathway for the synthesis of $\alpha$-silyl esters is not
via retro-Brook rearrangement as isolated silyl ketene acetals are not converted to α-silyl esters catalytically.

**Experimental Section**

All manipulations were performed under a dry argon atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques or under a nitrogen atmosphere in a glovebox unless otherwise indicated. Water and oxygen were removed from benzene and pentane solvents using an IT PureSolv system. Benzene-$d_6$, chloroform-$d$ were heated to reflux over Na/K alloy and CaH$_2$, respectively, and vacuum-transferred. B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$[19] and To$^M$MgHB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$[7] were prepared following literature procedures. PhMeSiH$_2$ was prepared by reaction of chlorosilane with LiAlH$_4$. Ph$_2$SiH$_2$, Et$_2$SiH$_2$ were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles prior to use. Methyl acrylate, ethyl acrylate, benzyl acrylate, methyl methacrylate and isobutyl methacrylate were purchased from TCI America and degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles prior to use.

La{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_2$HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ (1a). B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ (0.068 g, 0.134 mmol) was added to a benzene (4 mL) solution of La{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_3$ (0.095 g, 0.134 mmol) in small portions. The resulting yellow mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give a yellow paste. The residue was washed with pentane (3 × 5 mL) and the volatiles were evaporated to dryness in vacuo to give La{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_2$HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ as a pale orange solid (0.115 g, 0.112 mmol, 83.3%). $^1$H NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 600 MHz, 25 °C): δ 4.45 (m, $^1J_{SiH} = 135.2$ Hz, 6 H, SiH), 0.21 (d, $^3J_{HH} = 3.4$ Hz, 36 H, SiMe$_2$). $^{11}$B NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 192 MHz, 25 °C): δ -17.5 (d, $^1J_{BH} = 68.0$ Hz). $^{13}$C{$^1$H} NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 150 MHz, 25 °C): δ 150.2
(br, C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5}), 148.7 (br, C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5}), 141.0 (br, C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5}), 139.0 (br, C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5}), 137.3 (br, C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5}), 48.1 (LaC), 2.2 (SiMe\textsubscript{2}). \textsuperscript{19}F NMR (benzene-\textit{d}_6, 564 MHz, 25 °C): \(\delta -133.6\) (6 F, \textit{ortho} - C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5}), \(-156.3\) (3 F, \textit{para} - C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5}), \(-161.0\) (6 F, \textit{meta} - C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5}). \textsuperscript{29}Si\{\textsuperscript{1}H\} NMR (benzene-\textit{d}_6, 119 MHz, 25 °C): \(\delta -11.2\) (SiHMe\textsubscript{2}). IR (KBr, cm\textsuperscript{-1}): 2958 m, 2904 w, 2263 m br (\(v_{BH}\)), 2109 m br (\(v_{SiH}\)), 1787 m br (\(v_{SiH}\)), 1646 m, 1603 w, 1467 s br, 1372 m, 1283 s, 1258 s, 1110 s br, 1079 s br, 959 s br, 896 s br, 837 s br, 786 s, 673 m. Anal. Calcd for BC\textsubscript{32}F\textsubscript{15}H\textsubscript{43}Si\textsubscript{6}La: C, 37.28; H, 4.20. Found: C, 37.81; H, 4.00. mp = 171 °C dec.

\textbf{La[C(SiDMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}]\textsubscript{2}DB(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{3} (1a-\textit{d7}).} B(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{3} (0.058 g, 0.011 mmol) was added to a benzene (4 mL) solution of La[C(SiDMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}]\textsubscript{3} (0.081 g, 0.011 mmol) in small portions. The resulting yellow mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give a yellow paste. The residue was washed with pentane (3 × 5 mL) and the volatiles were evaporated to dryness in vacuo to give La[C(SiDMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}]\textsubscript{2}DB(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{3} as a pale orange solid (0.101 g, 0.010 mmol, 85.5%). \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (benzene, 600 MHz, 25 °C): \(\delta 0.22\) (s, 36 H, SiMe\textsubscript{2}). \textsuperscript{2}H NMR (benzene, 600 MHz, 25 °C): \(\delta 4.44\) (s, 6 D, SiDMe\textsubscript{2}). \textsuperscript{11}B NMR (benzene, 192 MHz, 25 °C): \(\delta -18.3\) (s). \textsuperscript{13}C\{\textsuperscript{1}H\} NMR (benzene, 150 MHz, 25 °C): \(\delta 150.1\) (br, C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5}), 148.6 (br, C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5}), 140.9 (br, C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5}), 138.9 (br, C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5}), 137.2 (br, C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5}), 47.6 (LaC), 2.1 (SiMe\textsubscript{2}). \textsuperscript{19}F NMR (benzene, 564 MHz, 25 °C): \(\delta -137.4\) (d, 6 F, \textit{ortho} - C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5}), \(-152.1\) (t, 3 F, \textit{para} - C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5}), \(-160.4\) (t, 6 F, \textit{meta} - C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5}). \textsuperscript{29}Si\{\textsuperscript{1}H\} NMR (benzene, 119 MHz, 25 °C): \(\delta -12.4\) (SiHMe\textsubscript{2}). IR (KBr, cm\textsuperscript{-1}): 2959 m, 2903 w, 1646, 1607 w br, 1516 s br, 1466 s br, 1413 w br, 1370 m, 1257 s br, 1099 s br, 1081 s br, 976 s, 933 w br, 891 s br, 841 s, 814 w br, 790 m, br.
LaC(SiHMe₂)₃{HB(C₆F₅)₃}₂ (2a). B(C₆F₅)₃ (0.130 g, 0.184 mmol) was added to a benzene (4 mL) solution of La{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₃ (0.193 g, 0.377 mmol) in small portions. The resulting yellow mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give a yellow paste. The residue was washed with pentane (3 × 5 mL) and the volatiles were evaporated to dryness in vacuo to give LaC(SiHMe₂)₃{HB(C₆F₅)₃}₂ as an off-white solid (0.226 g, 0.167 mmol, 90.6%).

1H NMR (benzene-d₆, 600 MHz, 25 °C): δ 4.38 (m, 1JSiH = 134.7 Hz, 3 H, SiH), 2.27-2.96 (br q, 1 H, HB), 0.00 (d, 3JHH = 3.6 Hz, 18 H, SiMe₂).

11B NMR (benzene-d₆, 192 MHz, 25 °C): δ -17.7 (d, 1JBH = 58.4 Hz).

13C{¹H} NMR (benzene-d₆, 150 MHz, 25 °C): δ 149.9 (br, C₆F₅), 148.4 (br, C₆F₅), 141.2 (br, C₆F₅), 139.5 (br, C₆F₅), 138.9 (br, C₆F₅), 137.2 (br, C₆F₅), 56.9 (LaC), 1.4 (SiMe₂).

19F NMR (benzene-d₆, 564 MHz, 25 °C): δ -137.0 (br, 6 F, ortho-C₆F₅), -158.7 (br, 3 F, para-C₆F₅), -163.3 (6 F, meta-C₆F₅).

29Si{¹H} NMR (benzene-d₆, 119 MHz, 25 °C): δ -10.3 (SiHMe₂). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2963 m, 2252 m br (vBH), 2106 m br (vSiH), 1649 m, 1606 w, 1518 s, 1468 s br, 1375 m, 1283 s, 1267 s, 1117 s br, 1081 s br, 974 s, 953 s, 896 s br, 842 s br, 790 m, 672 m. Anal. Calcd for B₂C₄₈F₃₀H₃₅Si₃La: C, 40.41; H, 2.59. Found: C, 40.84; H, 2.59. mp = 170 - 173 °C.

LaC(SiDMe₂)₃{DB(C₆F₅)₃}₂ (2a-d₅). B(C₆F₅)₃ (0.132 g, 0.026 mmol) was added to a benzene (4 mL) solution of La{C(SiDMe₂)₃}₃ (0.092 g, 0.013 mmol) in small portions. The resulting yellow mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give a yellow paste. The residue was washed with pentane (3 × 5 mL) and the volatiles were evaporated to dryness in vacuo to give La{C(SiDMe₂)₃}{DB(C₆F₅)₃}₂ as an off-white solid (0.151 g, 0.011 mmol, 86.3%). 1H NMR (benzene, 600 MHz, 25 °C): δ 0.00 (s, 18 H,
$^2$H NMR (benzene, 600 MHz, 25 °C): $\delta$ 4.40 (s, 6 D, SiDMe$_2$). $^{11}$B NMR (benzene, 192 MHz, 25 °C): $\delta$ –18.0 (s). $^{13}$C{${^1}$H} NMR (benzene, 150 MHz, 25 °C): $\delta$ 149.4 (br, C$_6$F$_5$), 147.9 (br, C$_6$F$_5$), 138.1 (br, C$_6$F$_5$), 136.6 (br, C$_6$F$_5$), 134.3 (br, C$_6$F$_5$), 133.2 (br, C$_6$F$_5$), 0.73 (SiMe$_2$). $^{19}$F NMR (benzene, 564 MHz, 25 °C): $\delta$ –130.6 (6 F, ortho-C$_6$F$_5$), –154.8 (3 F, para-C$_6$F$_5$), –160.2 (6 F, meta-C$_6$F$_5$). $^{29}$Si{${^1}$H} NMR (benzene, 119 MHz, 25 °C): $\delta$ –10.9 (SiHMe$_2$). IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 2961 m, 2906 w, 1648, 1607 w br, 1518 s, 1467 s br, 1370 s, 1265 s br, 1101 s br, 1082 s br, 979 s, 947 s br, 877 s br, 843 s, 791 s, br, 706 s.

Ce[C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$]$_2$HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ (1b). B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ (0.045 g, 0.088 mmol) was added to a benzene (4 mL) solution of Ce[C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$]$_3$ (0.062 g, 0.088 mmol) in small portions. The resulting yellow mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give a yellow paste. The residue was washed with pentane (2 × 5 mL) and the volatiles were evaporated to dryness in vacuo to give Ce[C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$]$_2$HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ as a pale yellow solid (0.065 g, 0.063 mmol, 71.4%). This solid was recrystallized at –30 °C from a minimal amount of toluene to obtain as 2b colorless crystals. $^1$H NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 600 MHz, 25 °C): $\delta$ 3.99 (SiMe$_2$). $^{11}$B NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 192 MHz, 25 °C): $\delta$ –44.8 (s). $^{13}$C{${^1}$H} NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 150 MHz, 25 °C): $\delta$ 30.60, 15.81, 4.53, 2.14, –1.16 (unidentified). $^{19}$F NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 564 MHz, 25 °C): $\delta$ –157.1 (3 F, para-C$_6$F$_5$), –162.4 (6 F, meta-C$_6$F$_5$). IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 2957 m, 2903 w, 2268 m br ($\nu_{BH}$), 2117 s ($\nu_{SiH}$), 1795 m br ($\nu_{SiH}$), 1646 m, 1605 w, 1516 s, 1466 s br, 1372 m, 1256 s, 1113 s br, 1087 s br, 1026 br, 986 s br, 908 s br, 878 s br, 790 s, 683 m. Anal. Calcd. for BC$_{32}$F$_{15}$H$_4$Si$_6$Ce: C, 37.24; H, 4.20. Found: C, 36.83; H, 4.40. mp = 175 °C dec.
Ce\{C(SiDMe_2)\}_3DB(C_6F_5)_3 (1b-d_7). B(C_6F_5)_3 (0.039 g, 0.055 mmol) was added to a benzene (4 mL) solution of Ce\{C(SiDMe_2)\}_3 (0.028 g, 0.055 mmol) in small portions. The resulting yellow mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give a yellow paste. The residue was washed with pentane (2 × 5 mL) and the volatiles were evaporated to dryness in vacuo to give Ce\{C(SiDMe_2)\}_3DB(C_6F_5)_3 as a pale yellow solid (0.027 g, 0.027 mmol, 48.9%). ¹H NMR (benzene, 600 MHz, 25 °C): δ 4.22 (SiMe_2). ¹¹B NMR (benzene, 192 MHz, 25 °C): δ –46.0 (br). ¹⁹F NMR (benzene, 564 MHz, 25 °C): δ –156.0 (3 F, para-C_6F_5), –161.7 (6 F, meta-C_6F_5). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2959 m, 2904 w, 1647, 1516 s br, 1467 s br, 1371 br, 1258 br, 1102 s br, 1080 s br, 977 s br, 889 s br, 842 s.

CeC(SiHMe_2)_3{HB(C_6F_5)_3}_2 (2b). B(C_6F_5)_3 (0.199 g, 0.389 mmol) was added to a benzene (4 mL) solution of Ce\{C(SiHMe_2)\}_3 (0.138 g, 0.195 mmol) in small portions. The resulting yellow mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give a yellow paste. The residue was washed with pentane (2 × 5 mL) and the volatiles were evaporated to dryness in vacuo to give CeC(SiHMe_2)_3{HB(C_6F_5)_3}_2 as a pale yellow solid (0.164 g, 0.121 mmol, 62.1%). ¹H NMR (benzene-d_6, 600 MHz, 25 °C): δ 4.55 (SiMe_2). ¹¹B NMR (benzene-d_6, 192 MHz, 25 °C): δ –7.47 (s). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (benzene-d_6, 150 MHz, 25 °C): δ 149.41 (C_6F_5), 147.77 (C_6F_5), 138.99 (C_6F_5), 137.25 (C_6F_5), –1.15 (SiMe_2). ¹⁹F NMR (benzene-d_6, 564 MHz, 25 °C): δ –154.74 (3 F, para-C_6F_5), –161.5 (6 F, meta-C_6F_5). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2964 m, 2263 (ν_BH), 2117 s (ν_SiH), 1648 m, 1605 w, 1518 s, 1467 s br, 1375 m,
CeC(SiDMe$_2$)$_3$DB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ (2b-d$_5$). B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ (0.096 g, 0.187 mmol) was added to a benzene (4 mL) solution of Ce{C(SiDMe)$_3$}$_3$ (0.067 g, 0.094 mmol) in small portions. The resulting yellow mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give a yellow paste. The residue was washed with pentane (2 × 5 mL) and the volatiles were evaporated to dryness in vacuo to give CeC(SiDMe$_2$)$_3$DB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ as a pale yellow solid (0.106 g, 0.078 mmol, 83.5%). $^1$H NMR (benzene, 600 MHz, 25 °C): δ 4.61 (SiMe$_2$). $^{11}$B NMR (benzene, 192 MHz, 25 °C): δ −7.68 (s). $^{19}$F NMR (benzene, 564 MHz, 25 °C): δ −154.9 (3 F, para-C$_6$F$_5$), −162.0 (6 F, meta-C$_6$F$_5$). IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 2962 m, 2904 w, 1648 s, 1608 w, 1517 s br, 1467 s br, 1370 m, 1263 s br, 1100 s br, 1084 s br, 973 s br, 945 s br, 844 s br, 798 s br, 706 m br.

Pr{C(SiHMe)$_3$}$_2$HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ (1c). B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ (0.041 g, 0.081 mmol) was added to a benzene (4 mL) solution of Pr{C(SiHMe)$_3$}$_3$ (0.057 g, 0.081 mmol) in small portions. The resulting yellow mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give a yellow paste. The residue was washed with pentane (2 × 5 mL) and the volatiles were evaporated to dryness in vacuo to give Pr{C(SiHMe)$_3$}$_2$HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ as a pale yellow solid (0.057 g, 0.055 mmol, 67.8%). $^1$H NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 600 MHz, 25 °C): δ 10.11 (SiMe$_2$). $^{11}$B NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 192 MHz, 25 °C): δ −68.1 (br). $^{19}$F NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 564 MHz, 25 °C): δ −157.7 (3 F, para-C$_6$F$_5$), −164.5 (6 F, meta-C$_6$F$_5$). IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 2957 m, 2904 s, 1268 s, 1115 s br, 1085 s br, 972 s br, 957 s br, 895 s br, 841 s. Anal. Calcd. for B$_2$C$_{43}$F$_{30}$H$_2$Si$_3$Ce: C, 38.10; H, 1.71. Found: C, 37.89; H, 1.92. mp = 174-178 °C.
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w, 2258 br (vBH), 2113 s (vSiH), 1795 m br (vSiH), 1646 m, 1516 s br, 1372 m, 1258 s, 1109 s br, 1079 s br, 973 s br, 960 s br, 894 s br, 836 s br, 786 s, 675 m. Anal. Calcd. for BC$_32$F$_{15}$H$_{43}$Si$_6$Pr: C, 37.20; H, 4.19. Found: C, 37.45; H, 4.07. mp = 181 °C dec.

Pr{C(SiDMe$_2$)$_3$)$_2$DB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ (1c-d)}. B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ (0.059 g, 0.15 mmol) was added to a benzene (4 mL) solution of Pr{C(SiDMe$_2$)$_3$}$_3$ (0.082 g, 0.15 mmol) in small portions. The resulting yellow mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give a yellow paste. The residue was washed with pentane (2 × 5 mL) and the volatiles were evaporated to dryness in vacuo to give Pr{C(SiDMe$_2$)$_3$}$_2$DB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ as a pale yellow solid (0.092 g, 0.089 mmol, 78.6%). $^1$H NMR (benzene, 600 MHz, 25 °C): δ 10.07 (SiMe$_2$). $^{11}$B NMR (benzene, 192 MHz, 25 °C): δ –68.2 (br). $^{19}$F NMR (benzene, 564 MHz, 25 °C): δ –157.4 (3 F, para-C$_6$F$_5$), –164.3 (6 F, meta-C$_6$F$_5$). IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 2960 m, 2904 w, 1646 s, 1516 m, 1467 s br, 1370 s br, 1314 br, 1258 br, 1099 s br, 1081 s br, 977 s br, 890 s br, 842 s.

PrC(SiHMe$_2$)$_3${HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$}$_2$ (2c). B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ (0.098 g, 0.192 mmol) was added to a benzene (4 mL) solution of PrC(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$ (0.068 g, 0.096 mmol) in small portions. The resulting yellow mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give a yellow paste. The residue was washed with pentane (2 × 5 mL) and the volatiles were evaporated to dryness in vacuo to give PrC(SiHMe$_2$)$_3${HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$}$_2$ as a pale yellow solid (0.099 g, 0.073 mmol, 76.3%). $^1$H NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 600 MHz, 25 °C): δ 14.12 (SiMe$_2$). $^{11}$B NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 192 MHz, 25 °C): δ –23.4 (br). $^{19}$F NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 564 MHz, 25 °C): δ –154.8 (3 F, para-C$_6$F$_5$), –163.9 (6 F, meta-C$_6$F$_5$). IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 2963 m, 2914
PrC(SiDMe₂)₃{DB(C₆F₅)₃}₂ (2c-d₅). B(C₆F₅)₃ (0.151 g, 0.294 mmol) was added to a benzene (4 mL) solution of Pr{C(SiDMe₂)₃}₃ (0.106 g, 0.147 mmol) in small portions. The resulting yellow mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give a yellow paste. The residue was washed with pentane (2 × 5 mL) and the volatiles were evaporated to dryness in vacuo to give PrC(SiDMe₂)₃{DB(C₆F₅)₃}₂ as a pale yellow solid (0.156 g, 0.115 mmol, 76.1%). ¹H NMR (benzene, 600 MHz, 25 °C): δ 14.14 (SiMe₂). ¹⁹F NMR (benzene, 564 MHz, 25 °C): δ –153.9 (3 F, para-C₆F₅), –163.7 (6 F, meta-C₆F₅). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2964 m, 2907 w, 1648 s, 1607 w, 1517 s br, 1467 s br, 1370 m, 1267 s br, 1101 s br, 1083 s br, 974 s br, 944 s br, 877 s br, 845 s br, 796 s br, 706 m br.

Nd{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₂HB(C₆F₅)₃ (1d). The compound was prepared following the procedure for 2a, with B(C₆F₅)₃ (0.033 g, 0.065 mmol) and Nd{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₃ (0.046 g, 0.065 mmol) giving Nd{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₂HB(C₆F₅)₃ as a green solid (0.057 g, 0.055 mmol, 84.7%). ¹H NMR (benzene-d₆, 600 MHz, 25 °C): δ 5.63 (SiMe₂). ¹¹B NMR (benzene-d₆, 192 MHz, 25 °C): δ –4.2 (s). ¹⁹F NMR (benzene-d₆, 564 MHz, 25 °C): δ –156.6 (3 F, para-C₆F₅), –162.9 (6 F, meta-C₆F₅). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2959 m, 2904 w, 2255 m br (νBH), 2114 s (νSiH), 1792 m br (νSiH), 1646 m, 1605 w, 1516 s, 1467 s br, 1372 m, 1258 s, 1110 s br, 1080 s br, 972 s br, 960 s br, 894 s br, 835 br, 786
s, 681 m. Anal. Calcd. for BC$_{32}$F$_{15}$H$_{43}$Si$_6$Nd: C, 37.24; H, 4.20. Found: C, 37.51; H, 4.56. mp = 178 °C dec.

Nd{C(SiMe$_2$)$_3$}$_2$DB(C$_6$F$_3$)$_3$ (1d-d$_7$). The compound was prepared following the procedure for 2a, with B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ (0.102 g, 0.200 mmol) and Nd{C(SiMe$_2$)$_3$}$_3$ (0.144 g, 0.200 mmol) affording Nd{C(SiMe$_2$)$_3$}$_2$DB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ as a green solid (0.152 g, 0.146 mmol, 48.9%). $^1$H NMR (benzene, 600 MHz, 25 °C): δ 5.59 (br, SiMe$_2$). $^{11}$B NMR (benzene, 192 MHz, 25 °C): δ –4.0 (br). $^{19}$F NMR (benzene, 564 MHz, 25 °C): δ –156.6 (3 F, para-C$_6$F$_5$), –162.9 (6 F, meta-C$_6$F$_5$). IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 2959 m, 2903 w, 1646, 1607 br, 1516 s br, 1467 s br, 1370 br, 1312 br, 1258 br, 1101 s br, 1080 s br, 977 s br, 892 s br, 841 s.

NdC(SiHMe$_2$)$_3${HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$}$_2$ (2d). The compound was prepared following the procedure for 3a, with B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ (0.067 g, 0.132 mmol) and Nd{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_3$ (0.047 g, 0.066 mmol) providing NdC(SiHMe$_2$)$_3${HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$}$_2$ as a green solid (0.076 g, 0.056 mmol, 84.8%). $^1$H NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 600 MHz, 25 °C): δ 10.69 (C$_7$H$_8$), 8.29 (SiMe$_2$), 5.43 (C$_7$H$_8$), 2.92 (C$_7$H$_8$), –3.63 (C$_7$H$_8$). $^{11}$B NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 192 MHz, 25 °C): δ 25.1 (s). $^{13}$C{ $^1$H} NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 150 MHz, 25 °C): δ 132.03 (C$_6$F$_5$), –1.12 (SiMe$_2$). $^{19}$F NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 564 MHz, 25 °C): δ –154.24 (3 F, para-C$_6$F$_5$), –161.76 (6 F, meta-C$_6$F$_5$). IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 2963 m., 2257 m br ($\nu_{BH}$), 2111 s ($\nu_{SiH}$), 1648 m, 1606 w, 1518 s, 1467 s br, 1372 m, 1282 s, 1266 s br, 1116 s br, 1081 s br, 973 s br, 954 s br, 895 s br, 842 br, 790 s. Anal. Calcd. for B$_2$C$_{43}$F$_{30}$H$_{23}$Si$_3$Nd: C, 37.98; H, 1.71. Found: C, 38.09; H, 1.92. mp = 181-184 °C.
NdC(SiMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}{DB(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{3}}\textsubscript{2} (2d-\textit{d3}). The compound was prepared following the procedure for 3a, with B(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{3} (0.087 g, 0.170 mmol) and Nd{C(SiMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}} (0.061 g, 0.085 mmol) NdC(SiMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}{DB(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{3}}\textsubscript{2} (3d-\textit{d3}) was obtained as a green solid (0.098 g, 0.072 mmol, 85.0%). \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (benzene-\textit{d6}, 600 MHz, 25 °C): δ 8.29 (br, SiMe\textsubscript{2}). \textsuperscript{11}B NMR (benzene, 192 MHz, 25 °C): δ 37.8 (s). \textsuperscript{19}F NMR (benzene, 564 MHz, 25 °C): δ –153.4 (3 F, para-C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5}), –162.3 (6 F, meta-C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5}). IR (KBr, cm\textsuperscript{-1}): 2962 m, 2907 w, 1648 s, 1607 w, 1517 s br, 1465 s br, 1371 m, 1282 s br, 1261 s br, 1124 s br, 1082 s br, 975 s br, 943 s br, 880 s br, 845 s br, 799 s br, 704 m.

**Catalytic hydrosilylation of acrylates using 1b as catalyst**

**Reaction of PhMeSiH\textsubscript{2} and methyl acrylate.** Ce{C(SiHMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}}\textsubscript{2}HB(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{3} (0.015 g, 0.014 mmol), methyl acrylate (0.122 g, 1.41 mmol), and PhMeSiH\textsubscript{2} (0.175 g, 1.41 mmol) were stirred in benzene for 30 min at room temperature. The solution was passed through celite and benzene was removed under reduced pressure, leaving behind a colorless gel. The remaining residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate = 9.8:0.2) to afford diastereomers of, PhMe(H)Si(H)MeCCO\textsubscript{2}Me (0.242 g, 1.16 mmol, 82.4%). \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (chloroform-\textit{d}, 600 MHz): δ 0.44 (d, \textit{J}_{HH} = 3.6 Hz, 3 H, SiMe), 0.47 (d, \textit{J}_{HH} = 3.6 Hz, 3 H, SiMe), 1.23 (d, \textit{J}_{HH} = 7.2 Hz, 3 H, CHMe), 1.25 (d, \textit{J}_{HH} = 7.2 Hz, 3 H, CHMe), 2.85 (qd, \textit{J}_{HH} = 5.4 Hz, \textit{J}_{HH} = 1.8 Hz, 1 H, CHMe), 3.59 (s, 1 H, CO\textsubscript{2}Me), 3.61 (s, 1 H, CO\textsubscript{2}Me), 4.42 (m, \textit{J}_{SiH} = 200 Hz, 1 H, SiH), 4.46 (m, \textit{J}_{SiH} = 200 Hz, 1 H, SiH), 7.37-7.44 (m, 3 H, C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}), 7.54 (m, 2 H, C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}). \textsuperscript{13}C{\textsuperscript{1}H} NMR (chloroform-\textit{d}, 150 MHz,): δ –7.04 (SiMe), –6.71 (SiMe), 11.63 (CHMe), 12.05 (CHMe), 28.38 (CHMe), 51.48 (CO\textsubscript{2}Me), 51.49 (CO\textsubscript{2}Me), 128.17 (C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}), 128.18 (C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}), 130.15 (C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}), 130.16 (C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}), 133.43 (ipso-C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}), 133.78 (ipso-C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}), 134.76 (C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}), 134.80
(C₆H₅), 176.17 (C=O), 176.23 (C=O). ⁰²⁹Si{¹H} (chloroform-­d, 119 MHz): δ –7.2 (SiH). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3071 (w), 3050 (w), 2961 (m), 2917 (s), 2849 (s), 2131 (s, νSiH), 1724 (s, νC=O), 1578 (br s), 1540 (m), 1462 (m), 1430 (s), 1376 (br m), 1358 (br m), 1356 (br m), 1319 (s), 1256 (br s), 1194 (s), 1181 (s), 1143 (m), 1116 (s), 1085 (m), 1046 (m), 1020 (m), 988 (m), 960 (m), 877 (s), 860 (s), 835 (s), 789 (m), 729 (s). MS (EI): m/z = 207.1 [M–1].

**Ph₂HSi(H)MeCCO₂Me.** Ce{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₂HB(C₆F₅)₃ (0.019 g, 0.018 mmol), dissolved in chloroform (2 mL), was added to a mixture of methyl acrylate (0.155 g, 1.80 mmol) and Ph₂SiH₂ (0.332 g, 1.80 mmol), and the resulting homogeneous solution was allowed to stir for 30 min at room temperature. The solution was passed through celite, and the volatile materials were evaporated under reduced pressure leaving behind a colorless gel. This residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate = 9:1) to afford Ph₂HSi(H)MeCCO₂Me (0.388 g, 1.43 mmol, 79.7%) as a colorless liquid. ¹H NMR (chloroform-­d, 600 MHz): δ 1.35 (d, JHH = 7.3 Hz, 3 H, CHMe), 2.73 (qd, JHH = 7.2 Hz, JHH = 2.8 Hz, 1 H, CHMe), 3.49 (s, 1 H, CO₂Me), 4.94 (d, JHH = 2.7 Hz, 1JSiH = 205 Hz, 1 H, SiH), 7.38-7.43 (m, 6 H, C₆H₅), 7.60 (m, 2 H, C₆H₅), 7.65 (m, 2 H, C₆H₅). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (chloroform-­d, 150 MHz): δ 12.52 (CHMe), 27.88 (CHMe), 51.51 (CO₂Me), 128.22 (C₆H₅), 128.32 (C₆H₅), 130.32 (C₆H₅), 130.36 (C₆H₅), 131.86 (C₆H₅), 131.94 (C₆H₅), 134.53 (ipso-C₆H₅), 135.60 (C₆H₅), 135.65 (C₆H₅), 175.98 (C=O). ²⁹Si{¹H} (chloroform-­d, 119 MHz): δ –9.9 (SiH). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3070 (m), 3050 (m), 3002 (m), 2949 (w), 2918 (w), 2874 (w), 2850 (w), 2136 (s, νSiH), 1725 (s, νC=O), 1590 (m), 1487 (w), 1459 (w), 1429 (s), 1379 (w), 1320 (br s), 1245 (br m), 1196 (br s), 1118 (s), 1066 (br m), 1024 (s), 997 (m), 817 (s), 734 (s), 698 (s). MS (EI): m/z = 269.1 [M–1].
**Et₂HSi(H)MeCCO₂Me.** Ce{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₂HB(C₆F₅)₃ (0.024 g, 0.023 mmol) dissolved in chloroform (2 mL) was added to a mixture of methyl acrylate (0.201 g, 2.34 mmol) and Et₂SiH₂ (0.207 g, 2.34 mmol). The resulting homogeneous solution was allowed to stir for 30 min at room temperature. The solution was passed through celite, and the volatile species were removed under reduced pressure leaving behind a colorless gel. This residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexane:diethyl ether = 9:1) to afford Et₂HSi(H)MeCCO₂Me (0.331 g, 1.90 mmol, 81.1%) as a colorless liquid. ¹H NMR (chloroform-d, 600 MHz): δ 0.69 (m, 4 H, SiCH₂CH₃), 0.997 (t, ³JHH = 7.9 Hz, 3 H, SiCH₂CH₃) 1.012 (t, ³JHH = 7.9 Hz, 3 H, SiCH₂CH₃), 1.24 (d, ³JHH = 7.2 Hz, 3 H, CHMeSiHEt₂), 2.23 (qd, ³JHH = 7.2 Hz, ³JHH = 2.2 Hz, 1 H, CHMeSiHEt₂), 3.65 (s, 3 H, OMe), 3.71 (m, ¹JSiH = 190 Hz, 1 H, SiH). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (chloroform-d, 150 MHz): δ 1.96 (SiCH₂CH₃), 2.23 (SiCH₂CH₃), 8.10 (SiCH₂CH₃), 12.00 (CHMeSiHEt₂), 26.23 (CHMeSiHEt₂), 51.46 (OMe), 176.85 (CO₂Me). ²⁹Si{¹H} (chloroform-d, 119 MHz): δ 4.4. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2961 (s), 2915 (m), 2877 (m), 2849 (w), 2118 (s vSiH), 1729 (s vCO), 1463 (m), 1434 (w), 1414 (w), 1380 (w), 1319 (br s), 1260 (br s), 1193 (br s), 1181 (br s), 1144 (m), 1088 (br s), 1016 (s), 972 (w), 860 (m), 805 (br s), 717 (w). MS (EI): m/z = 173.1 [M – 1].

**PhMeHSi(H)MeCCO₂Et.** Ce{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₂HB(C₆F₅)₃ (0.018 g, 0.017 mmol) dissolved in chloroform (2 mL) was added to a mixture of ethyl acrylate (0.171 g, 1.71 mmol) and PhMeSiH₂ (0.213 g, 1.71 mmol), and the resulting homogeneous solution was allowed to stir for 30 min at room temperature. The solution was passed through celite, and chloroform was removed under reduced pressure leaving behind a colorless gel. This residue was purified by silica gel column
chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate = 9.7:0.3) to afford diastereomers of PhMeHSi(H)MeCCO₂Et (0.322 g, 1.45 mmol, 84.7%) as a colorless liquid. H NMR (chloroform-d, 600 MHz): δ 0.45 (d, 3JHH = 3.7 Hz, 3 H, SiMe), 0.47 (d, 3JHH = 3.7 Hz, 3 H, SiMe), 1.148 (t, 3JHH = 7.2 Hz, 3 H, CO₂CH₂CH₃), 1.164 (t, 3JHH = 7.2 Hz, 3 H, CO₂CH₂CH₃), 1.22 (d, 3JHH = 7.3 Hz, 3 H, CHMeSiHMePh), 1.24 (d, 3JHH = 7.3 Hz, 3 H, CHMeSiHMePh), 2.36 (m, 2 H, CHMeSiHMePh), 4.06 (m, 4 H, CO₂CH₂CH₃), 4.12 (qd, 3JHH = 3.6 Hz, 3JHH = 0.6 Hz, 1JSiH = 200 Hz, 1 H, SiH), 7.37-7.43 (m, 6 H, C₆H₅), 7.55 (m, 4 H, C₆H₅). 13C{¹H} NMR (chloroform-d, 150 MHz): δ −6.92 (SiMe), −6.73 (SiMe), 11.64 (COCMe), 12.05 (COCMe), 14.47 (CO₂CH₂CH₃), 14.50 (CO₂CH₂CH₃), 28.40 (COCMe), 60.24 (CO₂CH₂CH₃), 128.15 (C₆H₅), 130.12 (C₆H₅), 133.58 (ipso-C₆H₅), 133.92 (ipso-C₆H₅), 134.82 (C₆H₅), 134.86 (C₆H₅), 175.75 (COCMe), 175.80 (COCMe). ²⁹Si{¹H} (chloroform-d, 119 MHz): δ −7.3 (SiH). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3071 (w), 3052 (w), 2977 (m), 2963 (m), 2930 (m), 2873 (w), 2851 (w), 2132 (s νSiH), 1719 (s νCO), 1463 (m), 1423 (m), 1389 (w), 1378 (m), 1366 (w), 1314 (br s), 1254 (br m), 1240 (m), 1184 (br s), 1143 (s), 1117 (br m), 1059 (s), 1016 (m), 978 (w), 876 (s), 835 (s), 792 (m), 730 (s), 699 (s). MS (EI): m/z = 221.0 [M−1].

Ph₂HSi(H)MeCCO₂Et. Ce(C(SiMe₂)₃)₂HB(C₆F₅)₃ (0.018 g, 0.017 mmol) dissolved in chloroform (2 mL) was added to a mixture of ethyl acrylate (0.171 g, 1.71 mmol) and Ph₂SiH₂ (0.315 g, 1.71 mmol), and the resulting homogeneous solution was allowed to stir for 30 min at room temperature. The solution was passed through celite, and chloroform was removed under reduced pressure leaving behind a colorless gel. This residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate = 9.5:0.5) to afford Ph₂HSi(H)MeCCO₂Et (0.361 g, 1.27
mmol, 74.3%) as a colorless liquid. \( ^1H \) NMR (chloroform-\( d_2 \), 600 MHz): \( \delta \) 1.02 (t, \( \text{J}_{HH} = 7.2 \) Hz, 3 H, CO\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), 1.37 (d, \( \text{J}_{HH} = 7.3 \) Hz, 3 H, CHMe), 2.73 (qd, \( \text{J}_{HH} = 7.2 \) Hz, \( \text{J}_{HH} = 2.7 \) Hz, 1 H, CHMe), 3.96 (m, 2 H, CO\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), 4.97 (d, \( \text{J}_{HH} = 2.7 \) Hz, \( \text{J}_{SiH} = 205 \) Hz, 1 H, SiH), 7.39-7.48 (m, 6 H, C\(_6\)H\(_5\)), 7.63 (m, 2 H, C\(_6\)H\(_5\)), 7.67 (m, 2 H, C\(_6\)H\(_5\)). \( ^{13}C\{^1H\} \) NMR (chloroform-\( d_2 \), 150 MHz): \( \delta \) 12.46 (COC\(_{Me}\)), 14.16 (CO\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), 27.82 (CO\(_2\)Me), 60.31 (CO\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), 128.16 (C\(_6\)H\(_5\)), 128.25 (C\(_6\)H\(_5\)), 130.28 (C\(_6\)H\(_5\)), 131.98 (C\(_6\)H\(_5\)), 132.00 (C\(_6\)H\(_5\)), 134.49 (ipso-C\(_6\)H\(_5\)), 135.64 (C\(_6\)H\(_5\)), 135.65 (C\(_6\)H\(_5\)), 135.85 (C\(_6\)H\(_5\)), 142.9 (C\(_6\)H\(_5\)), 131.98 (C\(_6\)H\(_5\)), 135.65 (C\(_6\)H\(_5\)), 135.85 (C\(_6\)H\(_5\)), 175.49 (COC\(_{Me}\)). \( ^{29}Si\{^1H\} \) (chloroform-\( d_2 \), 119 MHz): \( \delta \) –9.8 (SiH). IR (KBr, cm\(^{-1}\)): 3071 (w), 3050 (w), 2964 (s), 2905 (m), 2875 (w), 2135 (s \( \nu_{SiH} \)), 1717 (s \( \nu_{CO} \)), 1429 (s), 1313 (m), 1261 (s), 1185 (br s), 1143 (w), 1109 (br m), 1016 (br s), 863 (m), 804 (s), 734 (s), 698 (s). MS (EI): \( m/z \) = 283.1 [M–1].

**Et\(_2\)Si(H)MeCCO\(_2\)Et.** Ce\{C(SiHMe\(_2\))\}_\(_2\)HB(C\(_6\)F\(_5\))\(_3\) (0.016 g, 0.015 mmol) dissolved in chloroform (2 mL) was added to a mixture of ethyl acrylate (0.151 g, 1.51 mmol) and Et\(_2\)SiH\(_2\) (0.133 g, 1.51 mmol) and the resulting homogeneous solution was allowed to stir for 30 min at room temperature. The solution was passed through celite, and chloroform was removed under reduced pressure leaving behind a colorless gel. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexane:diethyl ether = 9.5:0.5) to afford Et\(_2\)Si(H)MeCCO\(_2\)Et (0.225 g, 1.19 mmol, 79.2%) as a colorless liquid. \( ^1H \) NMR (chloroform-\( d_2 \), 600 MHz): \( \delta \) 0.70 (m, 4 H, SiCH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), 1.011 (t, \( \text{J}_{HH} = 7.9 \) Hz, 3 H, SiCH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)) 1.028 (t, \( \text{J}_{HH} = 8.0 \) Hz, 3 H, SiCH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), 1.242 (d, \( \text{J}_{HH} = 7.3 \) Hz, 3 H, CHMeSiHEt\(_2\)), 1.250 (t, \( \text{J}_{HH} = 7.2 \) Hz, 3 H, CO\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), 2.22 (qd, \( \text{J}_{HH} = 7.2 \) Hz, \( \text{J}_{HH} = 2.1 \) Hz, 1 H, CHMeSiHEt\(_2\)), 3.72 (m, \( \text{J}_{SiH} = 189 \) Hz, 1 H, SiH), 4.12 (m, 2 H, CO\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)). \( ^{13}C\{^1H\} \) NMR (chloroform-\( d_2 \), 150 MHz): \( \delta \) 2.00 (SiCH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), 2.31
(SiCH₂CH₃), 8.18 (SiCH₂CH₃), 12.00 (CHMeSiHEt₂), 14.61 (CO₂CH₂CH₃), 26.32 (CHMeSiHEt₂), 60.15 (CO₂CH₂CH₃), 176.41 (CO₂Me). ²⁹Si{¹H} (chloroform-d, 119 MHz): δ 4.2. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2938 (br s), 2913 (br s), 2877 (s), 2115 (s νSiH), 1723 (s νCO), 1463 (m), 1414 (w), 1379 (w), 1366 (m), 1315 (s), 1237 (br s), 1182 (br s), 1143 (s), 1083 (m), 1050 (m), 1015 (s), 978 (s), 859 (w), 819 (s), 770 (m), 715 (m). MS (EI): m/z = 187.1 [M – 1].

PhMeHSi(H)MeCCO₂CH₂Ph. Ce{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₂HB(C₆F₅)₃ (0.008 g, 0.007 mmol), dissolved in chloroform (2 mL), was added to a mixture of benzyl acrylate (0.118 g, 0.727 mmol) and PhMeSiH₂ (0.090 g, 0.727 mmol) and the resulting homogeneous solution was allowed to stir for 30 min at room temperature. The solution was passed through celite, and the volatile materials were removed under reduced pressure, leaving behind a colorless gel. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate = 9:1) to afford PhMeHSi(H)MeCCO₂CH₂Ph (0.167 g, 0.587 mmol, 80.6%) as a colorless liquid. ¹H NMR (chloroform-d, 600 MHz): δ 0.43 (d, 3J_HH = 3.8 Hz, 3 H, SiMe), 0.45 (d, 3J_HH = 3.7 Hz, 3 H, SiMe), 1.28 (d, 3J_HH = 7.1 Hz, 3 H, CHMe), 1.30 (d, 3J_HH = 7.1 Hz, 3 H, CHMe), 2.46 (m, 2 H, CHMe), 4.45 (vq, 3J_HH = 3.0 Hz, 1J_SiH = 200 Hz, 1 H, SiH), 4.49 (vq, 3J_HH = 2.4 Hz, 1J_SiH = 200 Hz, 1 H, SiH), 5.04 (d, 1 H, 2J_HH = 12.3 Hz, CH₂Ph), 5.07 (d, 1 H, 2J_HH = 12.3 Hz, CH₂Ph), 5.08 (s, 2 H, CO₂CH₂Ph), 7.28 (m, 4 H, C₆H₅), 7.29-7.36 (m, 10 H, C₆H₅), 7.36-7.37 (m, 2 H, C₆H₅), 7.38-7.40 (m, 4 H, C₆H₅). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (chloroform-d, 150 MHz): δ –6.93 (SiMe), –6.85 (SiMe), 11.70 (CHMe), 12.08 (CHMe), 28.46 (CHMe), 28.49 (CHMe), 66.29 (CO₂CH₂Ph), 128.19 (C₆H₅), 128.26 (C₆H₅), 128.39 (C₆H₅), 128.54 (C₆H₅), 128.57 (C₆H₅), 128.65 (C₆H₅), 130.13 (C₆H₅), 130.16 (C₆H₅), 133.37 (ipso-C₆H₅), 133.67 (ipso-C₆H₅), 134.83 (C₆H₅), 134.87 (C₆H₅), 136.40 (C₆H₅), 136.45 (C₆H₅), 175.60 (C=O), 175.65 (C=O). ²⁹Si{¹H} (chloroform-d,
119 MHz): $\delta$ –7.4 (SiH). IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3070 (m), 3051 (m), 3032 (w), 2963 (m), 2935 (w), 2917 (w), 2875 (w), 2849 (w), 2134 (s vsiH), 1720 (s vC=O), 1578 (w), 1541 (w), 1497 (w), 1457 (s), 1429 (s), 1376 (s), 1313 (br s), 1258 (s), 1176 (br s), 1140 (m), 1117 (m), 1084 (br m), 1027 (m), 952 (br w), 911 (s), 877 (s), 836 (s), 732 (s), 698 (s). MS (EI): $m/z$ = 283.0 [M$-$1].

**Et$_2$HSi(H)MeCCO$_2$CH$_2$Ph.** Ce{C(SiMe$_2$)$_3$}$_2$HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ (0.013 g, 0.013 mmol) dissolved in chloroform (2 mL) was added to a mixture of benzyl acrylate (0.204 g, 1.26 mmol) and Et$_2$SiH$_2$ (0.111 g, 1.26 mmol) and the resulting homogeneous solution was allowed to stir for 30 min at room temperature. The solution was passed through celite, and chloroform was removed under reduced pressure, leaving behind a colorless gel. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate = 9.5:0.5) to afford Et$_2$HSi(H)MeCCO$_2$CH$_2$Ph (0.243 g, 0.97 mmol, 77.1%) as a colorless liquid. $^1$H NMR (chloroform-$_d$, 600 MHz): $\delta$ 0.66 (m, 4 H, SiC$_2$H$_4$CH$_3$), 0.976 (t, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 8.0 Hz, 3 H, SiCH$_2$CH$_3$) 0.991 (t, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 8.0 Hz, 3 H, SiCH$_2$CH$_3$), 1.27 (d, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.2 Hz, 3 H, CHMeSiHEt$_2$), 2.29 (qd, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.2 Hz, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 2.2 Hz, 1 H, CHMeSiHEt$_2$), 3.74 (m, $^1$J$_{SiH}$ = 190 Hz, 1 H, SiH), 5.111 (s, 2 H, CH$_2$Ph), 5.114 (s, 2 H, CH$_2$Ph), 7.31–7.35 (m, 2 H, C$_6$F$_5$), 7.36 (m, 3 H, C$_6$F$_5$). $^{13}$C{$_1$H} NMR (chloroform-$_d$, 150 MHz): $\delta$ 1.98 (SiCH$_2$CH$_3$), 2.23 (SiCH$_2$CH$_3$), 8.14 (SiCH$_2$CH$_3$), 8.15 (SiCH$_2$CH$_3$), 12.02 (CHMeSiHEt$_2$), 26.39 (CHMeSiHEt$_2$), 66.19 (OCH$_2$Ph), 128.26 (C$_6$F$_5$), 128.52 (C$_6$F$_5$), 128.67 (C$_6$F$_5$), 136.59 (C$_6$F$_5$), 176.24 (C=O). $^{29}$Si{$_1$H} (chloroform-$_d$, 119 MHz): $\delta$ 4.4 (SiH). IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3067 (m), 3034 (m), 2958 (s), 2935 (w), 2914 (s), 2875 (w), 2113 (s vsiH), 1722 (s vC=O), 1498 (m), 1456 (s), 1413 (m), 1378 (m), 1314 (br s), 1232 (m), 1174 (br s), 1138 (s), 1085 (m), 1011 (m), 974 (br m), 817 (s), 697 (s). MS (EI): $m/z$ = 249.1 [M-1].
PhMeHSiCMe₂CO₂Me. Ce{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₂HB(C₆F₅)₃ (0.014 g, 0.013 mmol) dissolved in chloroform (2 mL) was added to a mixture of methyl methacrylate (0.132 g, 1.32 mmol), and PhMeSiH₂ (0.164 g, 1.32 mmol) at 0 °C and the solution was allowed to react for 1 h at the same temperature. The solution was allowed to come to room temperature and passed through celite, and chloroform was removed under reduced pressure, leaving behind a colorless gel. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate = 9.5:0.5) to afford PhMeHSiCMe₂CO₂Me (0.258 g, 1.16 mmol, 87.8%) as a colorless liquid. ¹H NMR (chloroform-d, 600 MHz): δ 0.43 (d, 3J₃H = 3.6 Hz, 3 H, SiMe), 1.25 (s, 3 H, CMe₂), 1.29 (s, 3 H, CMe₂), 3.59 (s, CO₂Me), 4.30 (q, 3J₂H = 3.6 Hz, 1J₁H = 199 Hz, 1 H, SiH), 7.36-7.38 (m, 2 H, m-C₆H₅), 7.41 (m, 1 H, p-C₆H₅), 7.51 (m, 2 H, o-C₆H₅). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (chloroform-d, 150 MHz): δ –7.7 (SiMe), 21.52 (CMe₂), 21.78 (CMe₂), 32.15 (CMe₂), 51.68 (CO₂Me), 128.03 (C₆H₅), 130.15 (C₆H₅), 133.47 (ipso-C₆H₅), 135.26 (C₆H₅), 178.26 (C=O). ²⁹Si{¹H} (chloroform-d, 119 MHz): δ –1.2 (SiH). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3091 (m), 3070 (m), 3034 (m), 2977 (m), 2961 (m), 2923 (s), 2867 (m), 2852 (m), 2131 (s νSiH), 1739 (s νCO), 1716 (s νCO), 1588 (m), 1467 (s), 1423 (w), 1367 (w), 1343 (m), 1258 (br m), 1190 (br s), 1154 (s), 1117 (s), 1029 (w), 1015 (s), 906 (s), 875 (s), 830 (s), 733 (s), 697 (s). MS (EI): m/z = 222.1 [M].

PhMeHSiCMe₂CO₂CH₂CHMe₂. Ce{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₂HB(C₆F₅)₃ (0.017 g, 0.016 mmol) dissolved in chloroform (2 mL) was added to a mixture of isobutyl methacrylate (0.231 g, 1.63 mmol), and PhMeSiH₂ (0.203 g, 1.63 mmol) and the resulting homogeneous solution was allowed to stir for 30 min at room temperature. The solution was passed through celite, and chloroform was removed under reduced pressure, leaving behind a colorless gel. The residue was purified by
silica gel column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate = 9.7:0.2) to afford PhMeHSiCMe₂CO₂CH₂CHMe₂ (0.351 g, 1.33 mmol, 81.4%) as a colorless liquid. ¹H NMR (chloroform-d, 600 MHz): δ 0.45 (d, 3JHH = 3.7 Hz, 3 H, SiMe), 0.88 (d, 3JHH = 1.9 Hz, 3 H, CHMe₂), 0.89 (d, 3JHH = 1.9 Hz, 3 H, CHMe₂), 1.27 (s, 3 H, CMe₂), 1.31 (s, 3 H, CMe₂), 1.84 (sept, 3JHH = 6.7 Hz, 1 H, CHMe₂), 3.77 (m, 2 H, CH₂CHMe₂), 4.34 (q, 3JHH = 3.7 Hz, 1JSiH = 199 Hz, 1 H, SiH), 7.35-7.38 (m, 2 H, m-C₆H₅), 7.41 (m, 1 H, p-C₆H₅), 7.53 (m, 2 H, o-C₆H₅).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (chloroform-d, 150 MHz): δ –7.59 (SiMe), 19.35 (CO₂CH₂CHMe₂), 21.49 (CMe₂), 21.88 (CMe₂), 27.95 (CO₂CH₂CHMe₂), 32.19 (CMe₂), 70.71 (CO₂CH₂CHMe₂), 128.02 (C₆H₅), 130.10 (C₆H₅), 133.53 (C₆H₅), 133.93 (ipso-C₆H₅), 135.30 (C₆H₅), 177.86 (C=O).

²⁹Si{¹H} (chloroform-d, 119 MHz): δ –1.3 (SiH). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3071 (m), 3052 (m), 2960 (br s), 2931 (br m), 2872 (s), 2132 (s vsH), 1712 (br vCO), 1468 (s), 1429 (m), 1386 (w), 1368 (m), 1243 (br s), 1156 (br s), 1125 (s), 1033 (s), 875 (s), 831 (s), 791 (s), 732 (s), 699 (s). MS (EI): m/z = 264.1 [M].
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Figure S1. $^1$H NMR (600 MHz, benzene-$d_6$) of La[SiHMe$_2$)$_3$]$_2$HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ (1a).
Figure S2. IR (KBr) spectrum of La\{C(SiMe_2)\}_3\_2\_HB(C_6F_5)_3 (1a).
**Figure S3.** IR (KBr) spectrum of Ce\{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$\}$_2$HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ (1b).
Figure S4. IR (KBr) spectrum of Pr\{C(SiHMe\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}\textsubscript{2}HB(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{3}\} (1c).
Figure S5. IR (KBr) spectrum of Nd{C(SiMe$_2$)$_3$)$_2$HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ (1d).
Figure S6. IR (KBr) spectrum of LaC(SiHMe₂)₃{HB(C₆F₅)₃}₂ (2a).
Figure S7. IR (KBr) spectrum of CeC(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$ {HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$}$_2$ (2b).
**Figure S8.** IR (KBr) spectrum of PrC(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$[HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$]$_2$ (2c).
Figure S9. IR (KBr) spectrum of NdC(SiHMe₂)₃{HB(C₆F₅)₃}₂ (2d).
Figure S10. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of methyl acrylate and PhMeSiH$_2$ to yield diastereomers of PhMeSi(H)MeCCO$_2$Me.
Figure S11. $^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR spectrum (150 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of methyl acrylate and PhMeSiH$_2$ to yield diastereomers of PhMeHSi(H)MeCO$_2$Me.
Figure S12. $^1$H-$^{29}$Si NMR HMBC experiment (119 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of methyl acrylate and PhMeSiH$_2$ to yield diastereomers of PhMeSi(H)MeCCO$_2$Me.
Figure S13. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, chloroform-d) for catalytic reaction of methyl acrylate and Ph$_2$SiH$_2$ to yield Ph$_2$HSi(H)MeCCO$_2$Me.
**Figure S14.** $^{13}$C-$^1$H NMR spectrum (150 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of methyl acrylate and Ph$_2$SiH$_2$ to yield Ph$_2$HSi(H)MeCCO$_2$Me.
Figure S15. $^1$H-$^{29}$Si NMR HMBC experiment (119 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of methyl acrylate and Ph$_2$SiH$_2$ to yield Ph$_2$HSi(H)MeCCO$_2$Me.
Figure S16. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of methyl acrylate and Et$_2$SiH$_2$ to yield Et$_2$HSi(H)MeCCO$_2$Me.
Figure S17. $^{13}\text{C}^{(1)}\text{H}$ NMR spectrum (150 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of methyl acrylate and Et$_2$SiH$_2$ to yield Et$_2$HSi(H)MeCCO$_2$Me.
Figure S18. $^1$H-$^{29}$Si NMR HMBC experiment (119 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of methyl acrylate and Et$_2$SiH$_2$ to yield Et$_2$Si(H)MeCCO$_2$Me.
Figure S19. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of ethyl acrylate and PhMeSiH$_2$ to yield diastereomers of PhMeHSi(H)MeCCO$_2$Et.
**Figure S20.** $^{13}$C-$^{1}$H NMR spectrum (150 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of ethyl acrylate and PhMeSiH$_{2}$ to yield diastereomers of PhMeHSi(H)MeCCO$_{2}$Et.
Figure S21. $^1$H-$^{29}$Si NMR HMBC experiment (119 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of ethyl acrylate and PhMeSiH$_2$ to yield diastereomers of PhMeSi(H)MeCCO$_2$Et.
Figure S22. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of ethyl acrylate and Ph$_2$SiH$_2$ to yield Ph$_2$HSi(H)MeCCO$_2$Et.
Figure S23. $^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR spectrum (150 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of ethyl acrylate and Ph$_2$SiH$_2$ to yield Ph$_2$HSi(H)MeCO$_2$Et.
Figure S24. $^1$H-$^{29}$Si NMR HMBC experiment (119 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of ethyl acrylate and Ph$_2$SiH$_2$ to yield Ph$_2$HSi(H)MeCCO$_2$Et.
Figure S25. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of ethyl acrylate and Et$_2$SiH$_2$ to yield Et$_2$HSi(H)MeCCO$_2$Et.
Figure S26. $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum (150 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of ethyl acrylate and Et$_2$SiH$_2$ to yield Et$_2$HSi(H)MeCO$_2$Et.
Figure S27. $^1$H-$^{29}$Si NMR HMBC experiment (119 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of ethyl acrylate and Et$_2$SiH$_2$ to yield Et$_2$HSi(H)MeCCO$_2$Et.
Figure S28. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of benzyl acrylate and PhMeSiH$_2$ to yield diastereomers of PhMeHSi(H)MeCCO$_2$CH$_2$Ph.
Figure S29. $^{13}\text{C}^\text{(H)}$ NMR spectrum (150 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of benzyl acrylate and PhMeSiH$_2$ to yield diastereomers of PhMeHSi(H)MeCCO$_2$CH$_2$Ph.
Figure S30. $^1$H-$^{29}$Si NMR HMBC experiment (119 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of benzyl acrylate and PhMeSiH$_2$ to yield diastereomers of PhMeHSi(H)MeCCO$_2$CH$_2$Ph.
Figure S31. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of benzyl acrylate and Et$_2$SiH$_2$ to yield Et$_2$HSi(H)MeCCO$_2$CH$_2$Ph.
Figure S32. $^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR spectrum (150 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of benzyl acrylate and Et$_2$SiH$_2$ to yield Et$_2$HSi(H)MeCCO$_2$CH$_2$Ph.
Figure S33. $^1$H-$^{29}$Si NMR HMBC experiment (119 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of benzyl acrylate and Et$_2$SiH$_2$ to yield Et$_2$HSi(H)MeCCO$_2$CH$_2$Ph.
Figure S34. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of methyl methacrylate and PhMeSiH$_2$ to yield PhMeSiCMe$_2$CO$_2$Me.
Figure S35. $^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR spectrum (150 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of methyl methacrylate and PhMeSiH$_2$ to yield PhMeHSiCMe$_2$CO$_2$Me.
Figure S36. $^1$H-$^{29}$Si NMR HMBC experiment (119 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of methyl methacrylate and PhMeSiH$_2$ to yield PhMeHSiCMe$_2$CO$_2$Me.
**Figure S37.** $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of isobutyl methacrylate and PhMeSiH$_2$ to yield PhMeHSiCMe$_2$CO$_2$CH$_2$CHMe$_2$. 

The spectrum shows the chemical shift locations for various protons and their corresponding integrations. The peaks are labeled A through E, and the integration values are indicated for each peak.
Figure S38. $^{13}\text{C}^1\text{H}$ NMR spectrum (150 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of isobutyl methacrylate and PhMeSiH$_2$ to yield PhMeHSiCMe$_2$CO$_2$CH$_2$CHMe$_2$. 
Figure S39. $^1$H-$^{29}$Si NMR HMBC experiment (119 MHz, chloroform-$d$) for catalytic reaction of isobutyl methacrylate and PhMeSiH$_2$ to yield PhMeHSiCMe$_2$CO$_2$CH$_2$CHMe$_2$. 
Figure S40. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, benzene-$d_6$) for catalytic reaction of ethyl trans-4-bromocinnamate and Ph$_2$SiH$_2$ to yield ethyl 3-(4-bromophenyl)propanoate as 1,4-addition product followed by hydrolysis.
Figure S41. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, benzene-$d_6$) for catalytic reaction of coumarin and Et$_2$SiH$_2$ to yield chroman-2-one as 1,4-addition product followed by hydrolysis.
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Abstract

The rare earth bis(alkyl) compound Sm{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_2$THF$_2$ (1b) is synthesized by reaction of samarium(II) iodide and two equiv. of KC(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$ in THF. This synthesis is similar to that of previously reported Yb{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_2$THF$_2$ (1a), and compounds 1a and 1b are isostructural. Reactions of 1b and one or two equiv. of B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ afford SmC(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$THF$_2$ (2b) or Sm{HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$}$_2$THF$_2$ (3b), respectively, and the 1,3-disilacyclobutane {Me$_2$Si-C(SiHMe$_2$)$_2$}$_2$ byproduct via β-hydrogen abstraction. IR spectra of 2b and 2b contain bands from 2300-2400 cm$^{-1}$ assigned to BH stretching modes. The $^{11}$B NMR spectra contain highly shifted signals indicating that HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ is closely associated with the paramagnetic samarium center. Compounds 1a and 1b react with the bulky N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) 1,3-di-tert-butylimidazol-2-ylidene (Im$_t$Bu) to displace both THF ligands and give the mono-adducts Ln{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}Im$_t$Bu (Ln = Yb (4a), Sm (4b)). Complexes 4a and 4b catalyze cross-dehydrocoupling of organosilanes with primary and secondary amines at room temperature to give silazanes and H$_2$, whereas 1a or 1b are not effective catalysts. Linear second-
order plots of ln{[Et$_2$NH]/[Ph$_2$SiH$_2$]} vs. time for 4a-catalyzed dehydrocoupling indicate first-order dependence on silane and amine. However, changes in experimental rate law with increased silane concentration or decreasing amine concentration indicate inhibition by silane. In addition, excess Im$_2$Bu or THF inhibit the reaction rate. This data, along with the structures of 4a and 4b suggest that the bulky carbene favors low coordination numbers, which is important for the catalytically active species.

Introduction

Lanthanide–carbon bonds in rare earth alkyls react via insertion, σ-bond metathesis, and protonolytic ligand substitution reactions that are important elementary steps in catalytic processes including olefin polymerization,[1] hydrosilylation,[2] and dehydrocoupling.[3] Trivalent rare earth alkyls are typical catalysts for these processes, whereas fewer catalytic processes involve divalent rare earth alkyl compounds.[4] In the few cases involving divalent lanthanides, the metal center is typically a precatalyst that is activated by a one-electron oxidation, for example in the initiation of acrylate polymerizations.[4b, 5] Moreover, while homoleptic tris(alkyl) lanthanide compounds are useful and versatile starting materials for rare earth organometallic chemistry,[6] fewer homoleptic bis(alkyl) ytterbium(II),[7] europium(II),[8] and samarium(II)[4d, 9] compounds are known, with samarium(II) being the most reducing (−1.55 V) followed by ytterbium(II) (−1.15 V) and europium(II) (−0.35 V).[10]

We recently reported the synthesis of homoleptic bis(alkyl) ytterbium(II) compounds, Yb{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_2$L$_2$ (L$_2$ = THF$_2$ or TMEDA), investigated their non-classical M–H-Si-containing structures,[11][note that the statement on agostic-like, 3-center-2-electron as equivalent
names, the reverse polarity of SiH vs CH suggests that agostic interactions in the former are distinct from non-classical SiH\cite{12} and demonstrated that the β-SiH groups react with electrophiles and undergo abstraction with B(C₆F₅)₃.\cite{11} Because only a few divalent bis(alkyl) lanthanide compounds have been employed in catalytic processes, we decided to investigate Ln\{C(SiHMe₂)₃\}_₂THF₂ (Ln = Yb, Sm) as catalysts for the dehydrocoupling of organosilanes and amines. In this reaction’s initiation, Ln\{C(SiHMe₂)₃\}_₂L₂ could undergo protonolysis to give a rare earth amide. Amide transfer to an organosilane through a σ-bond metathesis-like group transfer step would provide the silazane product. These steps might be facile with divalent bis(alkyl) rare earth compounds, or their zwitterionic monoalkyl derivatives. Catalytic cross-dehydrocoupling of silanes with amines is an excellent method for silazane preparation because hydrogen gas is the only byproduct, the degree of amine silylation or silane amination can, in principle, be controlled by the catalysts, and stoichiometric salt byproducts are not formed as is the case in halosilane amination. Silazanes are used extensively as bases,\cite{13} ligands,\cite{14} and silylating agents\cite{15} including as protecting groups in synthesis,\cite{16} f-Element,\cite{17} yttrium,\cite{18} and magnesium complexes,\cite{19} early metals,\cite{20} along with Lewis acids\cite{21} and Lewis bases\cite{22} are well known catalysts for SiH/NH cross-coupling. In SiH/NH dehydrocoupling reactions catalyzed by bis(disilazido)ytterbium(II) compounds, Cui and co-workers\cite{4f} observed that an N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) ligand is required for efficient conversion. The rate of cross-dehydrocoupling of organosilanes and amines catalyzed by bis(disilazido) Yb\{N(SiMe₃)₂\}_₂L (L = 1,3-diisopropyl-4,5-dimethyl-imidazole-2-ylidene (Im/C₃H₇) and 1,3-bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)-imidazole-2-ylidene (ImMes)) is dramatically increased in comparison to THF-coordinated analogues.

Despite this example, the reactivity of carbene-coordinated rare earth complexes,\cite{23} and the role of NHC ligands in transition-metal catalyzed processes,\cite{24} the catalytic chemistry of
carbene-coordinated rare earth alkyl compounds is underdeveloped. In terms of homoleptic rare earth alkyls, the series of trivalent compounds Ln(CH2SiMe3)3ImiC3H7 (Ln = Er, Lu)\textsuperscript{25} and Ln(CH2SiMe3)3ImDipp (Ln = Y, Lu; ImDipp = 1,3-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene\textsuperscript{26} have been prepared by substituting THF with NHC. In addition, only a handful of Yb(II) and Sm(II) alkyl carbene compounds are crystallographically characterized.\textsuperscript{27} To the best of our knowledge, N-heterocyclic carbene-coordinated divalent bis(alkyl)lanthanide complexes were previously unknown. This fact, as well as the increase in catalytic activity affected by an NHC ligand for Yb(II)-catalyzed amine-silane dehydrocoupling motivated our preparation of “only carbon ligand coordinated” divalent rare earth bis(alkyl) compounds.

Here, we report the synthesis of a divalent samarium bis(alkyl) compound for comparison of the reactivity of Yb{C(SiHMe2)3}2THF2 toward Lewis acids and toward coordination of carbene ligands. In addition, these compounds have been demonstrated to be efficient precatalysts for cross-dehydrocoupling of organosilanes and primary and secondary amines, and kinetic studies have provided a rational for the enhanced activity of NHC-coordinated divalent catalysts.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis of Sm{C(SiHMe2)3}2THF2. The homoleptic bis(alkyl)samarium compound Sm{C(SiHMe2)3}2THF2 (1b) is synthesized by the reaction of SmI2THF\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{28} and 2 equiv. of KC(SiHMe2)3, which proceeds in THF over 12 h at room temperature. The solution changes color from blue-green to dark green upon mixing and then to black after 1 h. The diamagnetic isostructural analogues, Yb{C(SiHMe2)3}2THF2 (1a) and Ca{C(SiHMe2)3}2THF2 (1c), were
previously synthesized under similar conditions, but the synthesis of those diamagnetic compounds do not show the dramatic color changes observed for samarium.\textsuperscript{11} \(\text{Sm}\{\text{C(SiHMe}_2\text{)}_3\}\text{THF}_2\) is isolated by crystallization from pentane at \(-40\) °C as black blocks.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{SmI}_2\text{THF}_2 + 2 \text{K}\text{C(SiHMe}_2\text{)}_3 &\quad \text{THF} \quad \text{r.t., 12 h} \\
&\quad - 2 \text{KI} \\
&\quad - 1 \text{b (66\%)}
\end{align*}
\]

The \(^1\text{H NMR spectrum of 1b}\) (all NMR spectra reported were acquired in benzene-\(\text{d}_6\) at room temperature unless otherwise specified) contained signals assigned on the basis of their relative integrated ratio, chemical shifts, and linewidths. That latter two properties are influenced by the nuclei’s interaction with the paramagnetic center. The highly upfield, broad resonance at \(-66.5\) ppm (6 H, 342 Hz at half height) and the sharp singlet at \(-1.12\) ppm (36 H, 32 Hz at half height) were assigned to the \(\text{C(SiHMe}_2\text{)}_3\) ligand. The remaining signals at 11.9 and 2.78 ppm were assigned to THF. The \(^1\text{H NMR spectrum of 1b}\) was unchanged after its benzene-\(\text{d}_6\) solution was heated at 80 °C for 80 h. \(^29\text{Si}\) or \(^{13}\text{C}\) spectra acquired either through direct (\(^29\text{Si}\) or \(^{13}\text{C}\)) or indirect (\(^29\text{Si}\) HMBC, \(^29\text{Si}\) INEPT, \(^{13}\text{C}\) HMBC, \(^{13}\text{C}\) HMQC or \(^{13}\text{C}\) HSQC) experiments did not contain signals, likely the result of the paramagnetic Sm(II) center.

The infrared spectrum of 1b contained signals at 2107, 2062 and 1867 cm\(^{-1}\) (KBr) that were attributed to silicon-hydrogen stretching modes. The first was assigned to a two-center-two electron bonded SiH, while lower energy signals were assigned to groups engaged in three-center-two-electron interactions with the samarium(II) center. These lower frequency bands move closer together in energy (to 2005 and 1990 cm\(^{-1}\)) in spectra measured in benzene. Three comparable stretching modes were observed in ytterbium and calcium analogues (1a: 2101, 2065
and 1890 cm$^{-1}$; Ca\{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$\}$_2$THF$_2$ (2107, 2066, and 1905 cm$^{-1}$), suggesting that the paramagnetic samarium compound is isostructural with ytterbium and calcium derivatives.$^{[11]}$

A single-crystal X-ray diffraction study shows that the samarium center in 1b adopts a distorted tetrahedral environment similar to the ytterbium complex 1a. The $\angle$O1-Sm1-O1# angle of 89.24(7)$^\circ$ is acute, while the $\angle$C1-Sm1-C1# angle is larger 133.96(7)$^\circ$. The Sm1-C1 interatomic distance of 2.733(2) Å is ca. 0.14 Å longer than the corresponding distance in 1a, as expected based on the larger ionic radii of 7-coordinate Sm$^{+2}$ (1.22 Å) than 7-coordinate Yb$^{+2}$ (1.08 Å).$^{[29]}$ The Sm1-C1 interatomic distance is significantly longer than the other characterized Sm(II) alkyl compounds including Sm\{C(SiMe$_3$)$_3$\}$_2$$^{[4d]}$ (2.58 Å) and (C$_5$Me$_5$)SmCH(SiMe$_3$)$_2$(μ-C$_5$Me$_5$)K(THF)$_2$$^{[4c]}$ (2.64(1) Å) but shorter than Sm\{C(SiMe$_3$)$_2$(SiMe$_2$OMe)\}$_2$THF (2.787(5) and 2.845(5) Å).$^{[9]}$ These examples are the only three crystallographically characterized dialkyl samarium(II) compounds found in the Cambridge Structural Database. The second notable feature of 1b is the conformation of C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$ ligands as a result of classical and non-classical Sm←H-Si interactions. As in the ytterbium analogue, the two C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$ ligands are related by a crystallographic C$_2$ axis that bisects the C1-Sm1-C1# angle. Within each ligand, one SiH points toward the Sm center, and the resulting Sm1-H1s interatomic distance of 2.64(2) Å is shorter than the Sm1-Si1 distance of 3.303(5) Å. The longer Sm1-Si2 (3.644(6) Å) and Sm1-H2s (3.572(3) Å) distances are similar (as are the Sm1-Si3 (3.599(7) and Sm1-H3s (3.694(2) Å) indicating long, side-on coordination.
Figure 1. Rendered thermal ellipsoid plot of Sm\{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$\}$_2$THF$_2$ (1b). Ellipsoids are plotted at 35% probability. Hydrogen atoms bonded to silicon were located objectively in the Fourier difference map and were refined isotropically. All other H atoms are not plotted for clarity. Significant interatomic distances (Å): Sm1-C1, 2.733(2); Sm1-Si1, 3.303(5); Sm1-Si2, 3.644(6); Sm1-Si3, 3.599(7); Sm1-H1s, 2.64(2). Significant interatomic angles (°): C1-Sm1-C1#, 133.96(7); O1-Sm1-C1, 108.57(5); O1-Sm1-O1, 89.24(7); Si1-C1-Sm1, 90.94(7); Si2-C1-Sm1, 104.48(7); Si3-C1-Sm1, 102.49(7).
**Reactions with B(C₆F₅)₃.** The samarium compound 1b and B(C₆F₅)₃ react to transfer hydride from the C(SiHMe₂)₃ ligand to the Lewis acid giving zwitterionic hydridoborate compounds. These reactions follow the pathway observed for interactions of the ytterbium complex 1a and B(C₆F₅)₃, despite the more reducing nature of Sm vs. Yb. Thus, 1b and one or two equiv. of B(C₆F₅)₃ give SmC(SiHMe₂)₃HB(C₆F₅)₃THF₂ (2b) or Sm{HB(C₆F₅)₃}₂THF₂ (3b), respectively (Scheme 1). The byproduct of each hydride transfer is 0.5 equiv of 1,3-disilacyclobutane [Me₂Si-C(SiHMe₂)₂]₂, which is the head-to-tail dimer of the silene Me₂Si=C(SiHMe₂)₂.

![Scheme 1](image)

**Scheme 1.** Reactions of 1b and one or two equiv. of B(C₆F₅)₃.

X-ray quality crystals are not yet available for zwitterionic compounds 2b and 3b. The infrared spectra (KBr) of analytically pure 2b, easily isolated after pentane washes, showed three characteristic bands at 2389 (ν_BH), 2306 (ν_BH) and 2110 cm⁻¹ (ν_SiH). In contrast, the IR spectrum of 2a (KBr) contained bands at 2310 (ν_BH), 2074 (ν_SiH), 1921 cm⁻¹ (ν_SiH). The presence of only one SiH band at high energy in 2b suggests that the C(SiHMe₂)₃ group lacks non-classical Sm-
H-Si interactions present in 2a. In addition, the IR spectrum of 3b contained bands at 2388 and 2318 cm$^{-1}$ assigned to B-H stretching modes, while no bands were detected in the region associated with Si-H stretching modes (2100-1800 cm$^{-1}$).

Direct comparisons between samarium and ytterbium structure by NMR are complicated by paramagnetic effects. Nonetheless, signals in the $^{11}$B and $^{19}$F NMR spectra of 2b and 3b indicate that perfluorophenylhydridoborate groups are closely associated with the samarium center. The $^{11}$B NMR spectra acquired in bromobenzene-$d_5$ for 2b and 3b contained paramagnetically shifted broad signals at –88.4 and –100.4 ppm, respectively, whereas $^{11}$B NMR signals for diamagnetic ytterbium analogues appeared at –20.8 (2a) and –26.4 (3a). Only two signals were observed in the $^{19}$F NMR spectrum in the ratio of 1:2, assigned to the para- and meta-fluorine on C$_6$F$_5$ groups for compounds 2b and 3b, compared to the Yb analogues’ spectra that revealed three signals for ortho-, meta-, and para-fluorines.$^{[11]}$

**Reaction with 1,3-di-tert-butylimidazol-2-ylidene (ImtBu).** Compounds 1a or 1b and one equiv. of 1,3-di-tert-butylimidazole-2-ylidene (ImtBu) react almost instantaneously at room temperature in benzene or pentane to displace the THF ligands affording Ln{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_2$ImtBu (Ln = Yb (4a), Sm (4b)) quantitatively in in situ reactions (Scheme 2). Recrystallization from pentane at –40 °C affords red crystals of Yb{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_2$ImtBu (4a) or dark red crystals of Sm{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_2$ImtBu (4b) in moderate isolated yields.
Scheme 2. Reactions of ytterbium and samarium dialkyls with Im/rBu.

The $^1$H NMR spectrum of diamagnetic 4a, acquired on a recrystallized sample, contained a doublet at 0.45 ppm ($^3_{J_{HH}} = 3.6$ Hz, 36 H) and a septet at 4.86 ppm with silicon satellites ($^1_{J_{SiH}} = 144$ Hz, 6 H) assigned to the SiHMe$_2$ moiety as well as singlets at 1.43 and 6.33 ppm assigned to the coordinated Im/rBu ligand. These signals remain sharp even at spectra acquired at low temperature (~200 K) in toluene-$d_8$. In the $^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR spectrum, a signal at 196.9 ppm for the carbene carbon appeared with a similar chemical shift as other lanthanide tris(alkyl) carbene adducts.$^{[25-26]}$ Neither $^{15}$N NMR signals of Im/rBu nor the $^{29}$Si NMR of the SiHMe$_2$ moieties are changed significantly in 4a in comparison with starting materials.

The SiH region of the infrared spectra for 4a and 4b were distinct from each other as well as from 1a and 1b. In 4a, a broad signal at 2058 cm$^{-1}$ is poorly resolved from bands at 2083 and 2114 cm$^{-1}$, with one low energy band at 1871 cm$^{-1}$. In contrast, the samarium analogue’s spectrum contained sharper signals at 2108, 2076, 2064, and 2044 cm$^{-1}$ as well as two lower energy bands at 1910 and 1796 cm$^{-1}$. Note that the IR spectra of 1a and 1b (described above)
only contained 3 νSiH, suggesting that compounds 1 and 4 have inequivalent conformations. This idea is supported by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis.

While compounds 4a and 4b (see Figures 2 and 3) both contain three-coordinate distorted trigonal planar metal centers (C1-Ln-C8 > 120°), the two species crystallize in inequivalent space groups (4a: P-bca, Z = 8; 4b: P-1, Z = 2) with distinctly inequivalent conformations of their alkyl ligands. In 4a, one ligand forms two Yb─H-Si interactions and the other ligand containing only normal Si-H groups. The di-agostic-like ligand in 4a contains two short Yb-H distances (Yb1-H2, 2.42(3); Yb1-H3, 2.49(3) Å) and two short Yb-Si distances (Yb1-Si2, 3.118(8); Yb1-Si3, 3.169(8) Å). In 4b, one alkyl contains one Sm─H-Si, while the other alkyl contains two secondary interactions. Short distances Sm1-H2 (2.54(2) Å), Sm1-Si2 (3.2686(6) Å), Sm1-H4 (2.65(2) Å), and Sm1-Si4 (3.2916(6) Å), acute angles for Sm1-C1-Si2 (88.13(7)°) and Sm1-C8-Si4 (90.27(7)°), and coplanar Sm-C and Si-H vectors (Sm1-C1-Si2-H2, –3.4(9)°; Sm1-C8-Si4-H4, 9.9(9)°) are consistent with Sm─H-Si. A second SiH approaches the Sm center (Sm1-H1, 2.86(2) Å; Sm1-Si1, 3.2973(6) Å; Sm1-C1-Si1, 89.14(7)°), but the Sm1-C1 and Si1-H1 vectors are not coplanar (Sm1-C1-Si1-H1, –36(1)°). The differences in alkyl ligand conformation may also be reflected in the distinct IR spectra of 4a and 4b, suggesting that the Ln─H-Si close contacts effect the vibrational properties (i.e., Si-H force constants). It is somewhat unexpected that the conformations of 4a and 4b are inequivalent, however, it is also worth noting that the ionic radii for Sm(II) and Yb(II) are different by 0.14 Å.\[29\]

As noted above, the central carbons of the C(SiHMe2)3 ligands, the carbene carbon, and the metal center are co-planar in 4a and 4b. The angles between the alkyl ligands and the carbene group deviate from 120° (C1-Yb1-C25 = 106.25(8)° and C8-Yb1-C25 = 115.03(8)°; C8-Sm1-C15 = 113.99(5)° and C1-Sm1-C15 = 114.29(5)°) suggesting that alkyl-alkyl interligand
repulsions are more severe than the carbene-alkyl interactions. The Yb1-C1 and Yb1-C8 distances (2.627(3) and 2.611(3) Å, respectively) are slightly longer than in 1a (2.596(4) Å). However, the Sm-C distances in 1b (Sm1-C1, 2.733(2) Å) and 4b (Sm1-C1, 2.779(2) Å; Sm1-C8, 2.739(2) Å) are similar. This observation may relate to the larger ionic radii of Sm(II) compared to Yb(II), which reduces interligand interactions that could affect Ln-C distances in the former complexes. Interestingly, the two alkyl ligands have similar Sm-C distances, even though one ligand has two non-classical Sm–H-Si interactions and the other ligand contains only 2-c-2-e bonded SiH groups. The Yb1-C25 distance in 4a (2.605(3) Å) is slightly longer than that for other Yb carbenes, such as Yb[N(SiMe3)2]2ImMes (2.600(3) Å), (C5Me4Et)2Yb(ImMe) (2.552(4) Å).
Figure 2. Rendered thermal ellipsoid plot of Yb{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_2$Im/Bu (4a). Ellipsoids were plotted at 35% probability. Hydrogen atoms bonded to silicon were located objectively in the Fourier difference map and were included in the representation. All other H atoms were not plotted for clarity. Significant interatomic distances (Å): Yb1-C1, 2.627(3); Yb1-C8, 2.611(3); Yb1-C25, 2.605(3); Yb1-Si1, 3.825(9); Yb1-Si2, 3.118(8); Yb1-Si3, 3.169(8); Yb1-H1s, 4.16(3); Yb1-H2s, 2.422(3); Yb1-H3s, 2.49(3). Significant interatomic angles (°): C1-Yb1-C8, 138.69(8); C1-Yb1-C25, 106.26(8); C25-Yb1-C8, 115.04(8); Si1-C1-Yb1, 117.0(1); Si2-C1-Yb1, 87.1(1); Si3-C1-Yb1, 89.1(1).
Figure 3. Rendered thermal ellipsoid plot of Sm\{C(SiHMe_2)_3\}_2ImBu (4b). Significant interatomic distances (Å): Sm1-C1, 2.779(2); Sm1-C8, 2.739(2); Sm1-C15, 2.780(2); Sm1-Si1, 3.297(7); Sm1-Si2, 3.269(8); Sm1-Si3, 4.059(7); Sm1-Si4, 3.292(7); Sm1-Si5,3.702(6); Sm1-Si6, 3.687(7); Sm1-H1s, 2.86(2); Sm1-H2s, 2.54(2); Sm1-H3s, 4.41(2); Sm1-H4s, 2.65(2); Sm1-H5s, 4.03(2); Sm1-H6s, 4.30(3). Significant interatomic angles (°): C1-Sm1-C8, 131.65(8); C1-Sm1-C15, 114.29(6); C15-Sm1-C8, 113.99(6); Si1-C1-Sm1, 89.14(7); Si2-C1-Sm1, 88.13(7); Si3-C1-Sm1, 122.56(9).

Crystals of 4a and 4b persist at room temperature for 2 d under an inert atmosphere. Upon heating a solution of 4a in benzene-\(d_6\) at 80 °C for one day, the alkane HC(SiHMe_2)_3 is formed at the expense of 4a. The remaining \(^1\)H NMR signals at 1.60 and 4.75 ppm were attributed to a product resulting from transformation of the ImBu ligand into a new, yet unidentified organic species bonded to ytterbium. The crystals of 4a and 4b also decompose under vacuum to HC(SiHMe_2)_3 and free carbene, and the crystals' color fade from deep red to pale orange during this decomposition process. Hence, these compounds are used only from freshly recrystallized and isolated material by carefully decanting the pentane solution and briefly evaporating residual solvent under vacuum for short amounts of time.

Only starting materials were observed in \(^1\)H NMR spectra of mixtures containing the zwitterionic compounds 2a or 3a and ImBu. In contrast, reaction of 4a and B(C_6F_5)_3 generates YbC(SiHMe_2)_3(HB(C_6F_5)_3)ImBu and 0.5 equiv. of 1,3-disilacyclobutane \{Me_2Si-C(SiHMe_2)_2\}_2. The \(^{11}\)B NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture contained a doublet at –21 ppm (\(^1\)J_{BH} = 73.6 Hz) and provided good evidence for hydrogen abstraction. The \(^1\)H NMR spectrum contained a multiplet at 4.75 ppm (3 H, \(^1\)J_{SiH} = 192 Hz) assigned to the SiH and a singlet at 0.74 ppm (18 H,
SiMe₂). The large SiH coupling constant indicate classical SiH interactions within the molecule. The integrated ratio of these signals with respect to the carbene resonances at 6.19 and 1.12 ppm indicate formation of a 1:1 adduct. However, repeated attempts of scaling up and isolating the crude from the reaction did not yield isolable product.

**UV-Vis spectroscopy.** The UV-Vis spectrum of 1b in THF had two prominent broad bands with $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ values of 387 ($\varepsilon = 545 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$) and 407 nm ($\varepsilon = 529 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$). The spectrum was similar to that observed in pentane (389 ($\varepsilon = 556 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$) and 439 nm ($\varepsilon = 518 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$). For compound 4b in pentane, bands were observed at 405 nm ($\varepsilon = 551 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$) and 492 nm ($\varepsilon = 586 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$).

Peaks between 500 and 600 nm for Sm(II) complexes have been assigned to f→d transitions. The UV-Vis spectrum of 4b shows an f→d transition at 492 nm while no such transition was observed in compound 1b. Both compounds’ absorption tails through the visible region, accounting for their dark black color. For comparison, the Sm(II) complex Sm{N(SiMe₃)₂}₂THF₂ (recorded in THF) has two bands at 380 and 558 nm, while the absorption spectrum of SmI₂THF₂ contains four bands at 354, 423, 557 and 623 nm. The shoulder at 370 nm in UV-Vis spectrum of SmI₂THF₂ is assigned to a transition associated with the iodide. Figure 4 shows a comparison of UV-Vis spectra of 1b, 4b in pentane. (See Supporting information for UV-Vis spectra of 1b and the starting material, SmI₂THF₂ in THF).

Upon addition of two equiv. of THF to 4b, the peak at 492 nm diminishes and intensity of the broad peak of 1b at 439 nm increases. An isosbestic point at 446 nm was apparent in a series of spectra with 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 3.5 and 4.0 equiv. of THF (Figure 5). Thus, the conversion of 4b to 1b upon addition of THF occurs without detectable buildup of intermediates. This process is clearly
reversible, however greater than 8 equiv. are required for the 4b signals to be overwhelmed by 1b peaks.

**Figure 4.** UV-Vis spectra of 1b and 4b, 2mM in pentane.
**Figure 5.** UV-Vis spectra of addition of n equiv. of THF to 4b to determine the isosbestic point, 2mM in pentane.

The UV-Vis spectra of 2b and 3b in bromobenzene showed bands at 364 nm ($\varepsilon = 948 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$), 478 nm ($\varepsilon = 658 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$) and 533 nm ($\varepsilon = 587 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$) for 2b and 329 nm ($\varepsilon = 660 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$), 385 nm ($\varepsilon = 471 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$) and 478 nm ($\varepsilon = 199 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$) for 3b. A very weak f$\to$d transition band is observed in both the compounds around 500 nm (see Supporting Information).

Only starting materials were observed in $^1$H NMR spectra of mixtures containing the zwitterionic compounds 2a or 3a and Im$t$Bu. In contrast, reaction of 4a and B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ generates YbC(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$[HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$]Im$t$Bu and 0.5 equiv. of 1,3-disilacyclobutane {Me$_2$Si-
C(SiHMe$_2$)$_2$. The $^{11}$B NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture contained a doublet at –21 ppm ($^{1}J_{BH} = 73.6$ Hz) and provided good evidence for hydrogen abstraction. The $^1$H NMR spectrum contained a multiplet at 4.75 ppm (3 H, $^{1}J_{SiH} = 192$ Hz) assigned to the SiH and a singlet at 0.74 ppm (18 H, SiMe$_2$). This large SiH coupling constant suggests that the SiH groups do not interact with the Yb center in this zwitterionic species. The integrated ratio of these signals and the carbene resonances at 6.19 and 1.12 ppm indicate that a 1:1 adduct is formed. Unfortunately, this material has not yet proven isolable.

Cross-dehydrocoupling of organosilanes and amines catalyzed by Ln{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_2$Im$t$Bu. The divalent compounds 4a and 4b are efficient precatalysts for cross-dehydrocoupling of Si-H and N-H bonds to give Si-N bonds and H$_2$ (eq. 2).

$$R_3Si-H + H-NR'_2 \xrightarrow{10 \text{ mol}\% \ 4a \ or \ 4b} R_3Si-NR'_2 + H_2$$

Primary and secondary amines effectively couple with primary and secondary silanes to afford the desired silazanes (Table 1), which are readily isolated in good yield by distillation. In the absence of 4a as a catalyst, only starting materials are observed. Compounds 1a, 1b, 2b, and 3b, as well as Im$t$Bu were also tested for catalytic activity in the cross-dehydrocoupling of PhMeSiH$_2$ and tBuNH$_2$, but only starting materials were observed in $^1$H NMR spectra of the reaction mixtures after 1 day at room temperature or 60 °C.
Table 1. Ln(C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$)$_2$ImBu-Catalyzed Dehydrocoupling of Silanes and Amines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Cat. loading</th>
<th>Silane</th>
<th>Amine</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Silazane</th>
<th>Yield$^a$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>PhSiH$_3$</td>
<td>4 equiv.</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>![Silazane1]</td>
<td>63 (62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>PhSiH$_3$</td>
<td>NH$_2$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>![Silazane2]</td>
<td>72 (71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>PhSiH$_3$</td>
<td>2 equiv.</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>![Silazane3]</td>
<td>92 (91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>PhSiH$_3$</td>
<td>NH$_2$</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>![Silazane4]</td>
<td>75 (73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>PhSiH$_3$</td>
<td>3 equiv.</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>![Silazane5]</td>
<td>82 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>5$^b$</td>
<td>PhSiH$_3$</td>
<td>3 equiv.</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>![Silazane6]</td>
<td>81 (78)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 continued

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2 equiv.</td>
<td>NH₂</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>PhMeSiH₂</td>
<td>NH₂</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>10ᵇ</td>
<td>PhMeSiH₂</td>
<td>NH₂</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>PhMeSiH₂</td>
<td>HN⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>10ᵇ</td>
<td>PhMeSiH₂</td>
<td>HN⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ph₂SiH₂</td>
<td>NH₂</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>10ᵇ</td>
<td>Ph₂SiH₂</td>
<td>NH₂</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ph₂SiH₂</td>
<td>NH₂</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ph₂SiH₂</td>
<td>HN⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conditions: Catalyst = 4a, benzene-d₆ or benzene, room temperature, ‡isolated yields given in parenthesis,ᵇcatalyzed by 4b.

In catalytic reactions, the consumption of organosilane and formation of silazane product was evident from the new SiH resonances, which shifted downfield as the SiH were converted into SiNR₂. The ³JHH coupling between SiH and NH provided characteristic splitting patterns for
SiH resonances, which appeared as doublets for silazide RH₂SiNHR' and as triplets in spectra of RHSi(NHR)₂. Although reactions of PhSiH₃ and iC₃H₇NH₂ or tBuNH₂ in a 1:1 ratio result in a mixture of these products, reactions with excess silane or excess amine provide control over the product identity. For example, the 4a-catalyzed reaction of PhSiH₃ and 4 equiv. of i-C₃H₇NH₂ affords the silyltrisazido PhSi(NH-i-C₃H₇)₃. In addition, the amine’s steric bulk affects the reaction rate in conversions with PhSiH₃. For example, two equiv. of t-BuNH₂ and PhSiH₃ react to yield the silyldiazido PhHSi(NH-t-Bu)₂. The reaction with the secondary amine, Et₂NH (3 equiv.) also requires 15 h to yield the silyldiazido PhSiH(NEt₂)₂, but reaction of phenylsilane and (iC₃H₇)₂NH did not result in any silazane formation after 1 day at room temperature or 60 °C.

4a or 4b-catalyzed reactions of secondary silanes such as PhMeSiH₂ and Ph₂SiH₂ and either primary or secondary amines afford monosilazane products, whilst two equiv. of silane react with primary amines gives disilazanes. One such example is illustrated by synthesis of (PhMeSiH)₂NiC₃H₇ in 4 h at room temperature. Similar to primary silanes, only starting materials are observed in mixtures of (iC₃H₇)₂NH and secondary silanes. Additionally, only starting materials are observed in mixtures of tertiary silanes such as BnMe₂SiH (Bn = CH₂Ph), Et₃SiH or (CH₂=CH)SiMe₂H with primary or secondary amines after 1 day at room temperature or at 60 °C.

The reaction of Ph₂SiH₂ and Et₂NH catalyzed by 4a yields Ph₂HSiNEt₂ as the sole coupling product when a range of silane:amine ratios (1:1 to 1:25) were used. Therefore, this transformation was used as a representative conversion for kinetic studies. Upon addition of catalyst 4a to mixtures of Ph₂SiH₂ and Et₂NH, free ImrBu and the alkane HC(SiHMe)₂₃, resulting from protonolysis, were detected by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The active catalytic species is part of this mixture, and dehydrocoupling ensues. Four concentration regimes were tested,
namely high and low [Et₂NH] and [Ph₂SiH₂]. Under conditions of low [Ph₂SiH₂]₀ (0.081 M) and high [Et₂NH]₀ (0.673 M), second-order plots indicate first-order dependence on each reagent. The data analysis is limited by the faster initial consumption of ca. 5 mM of Et₂NH during catalyst initiation than during dehydrocoupling. In spite of this limitation, second order rate constants increase in a series of experiments in which [4a] is increased.

A plot of observed second-order rate constants vs catalyst concentration (i.e., [4a]) reveals a linear dependence on 4a concentration, giving the ternary rate law d[Ph₂SiH₂]/dt = \( k'_{\text{obs}}[4a][\text{Ph}_2\text{SiH}_2][\text{Et}_2\text{NH}] \) (\( k'_{\text{obs}} = 1.04 \pm 0.07 \times 10^{-7} \text{ M}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1} \); Figure 6). The non-zero intercept indicates that a portion of the ytterbium species is not active for catalysis. On the basis of this and the observation of free NHC during the reaction, we hypothesized that additional NHC might increase catalytic activity by disfavoring dissociation. Instead, excess Im‘Bu, or excess THF, inhibit the rate of catalytic conversion. This inhibition likely results from the ytterbium amide or ytterbium hydride catalytic intermediates coordinating either THF or excess Im‘Bu, since 4a and excess Im‘Bu do not afford detectable quantities of Yb{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₂(Im‘Bu)₂. An additional and unexpected, inhibition process was also observed in the second-order rate constant decreases with increasing [Ph₂SiH₂] (Figure 7).
Figure 6. Plot of second-order rate constants versus catalyst concentration [4a] for reaction of Et$_2$NH (0.673 M) and Ph$_2$SiH$_2$ (0.081 M) at 298 K.
Figure 7. Plot of observed second order rate constant vs varying Ph\textsubscript{2}SiH\textsubscript{2} concentration at 298 K in benzene-\textit{d}\textsubscript{6}. The curve is drawn to merely guide the eye and does not represent the results of a least-squares regression analysis.

At low concentrations of amine, the concentration profiles show rapid initial conversion followed by a slower second phase as the reaction approaches completion. Thus, the experimental ternary rate law is likely only valid for given concentration regimes (and not valid at high [Ph\textsubscript{2}SiH\textsubscript{2}] or low [Et\textsubscript{2}NH]). Within the conditions of Figure 6, and taking into account the qualitative observations under the other conditions, a few conclusions are apparent. First, the ternary rate law suggests that interaction of one of the reagents (silane or amine) with catalytic
intermediates is reversible and precedes the turnover limiting step. Because higher concentrations of Ph$_2$SiH$_2$ inhibit the catalytic reaction, possibly by formation of an Yb-Ph$_2$SiH$_2$ adduct as an off-cycle species, the reversible interaction of catalyst and Ph$_2$SiH$_2$ is unlikely to be part of the catalytic cycle. Instead, reversible ytterbium(II)-amine coordination is proposed as a key step for the conversion. This ytterbium amine compound is unlikely to be a hydride, which would rapidly react to give ytterbium amide and H$_2$. Then, the turnover-limiting step would involve the interaction of a ytterbium amido amine compound (e.g., A in Scheme 3) and Ph$_2$SiH$_2$. Second, formation of HC(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$, presumably as part of catalyst initiation, implies that a ytterbium amido species is an intermediate. Note that independent reactions of 4a and Et$_2$NH also give HC(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$, although ytterbium amido products were not isolable. Third, deactivation by excess Imt-Bu, THF, or even Ph$_2$SiH$_2$ but not Et$_2$NH suggests that catalysis involves a coordinatively unsaturated species, which must interact with Et$_2$NH for the reaction to proceed. We propose that deactivation by Ph$_2$SiH$_2$ is reversible, because greater than 3 half-lives of conversion were observed under several conditions, and an irreversible catalyst decomposition reaction would likely be noticed with severely non-linear kinetic plots. This coordinatively unsaturated intermediate could be a ytterbium hydride (e.g., B), which might form a [Yb]H(H-SiHEt$_2$) related to those proposed for Ru, but could also be a ytterbium amide, such as C in Scheme 3. We favor the latter, because if B, or its inhibited forms were the catalyst resting state, then second-order dependence on [Et$_2$NH] would be expected.
Scheme 3. Proposed catalytic cycle that is consistent with experimental kinetic observation.

Conclusion

The structure and non-redox-based chemistry of ytterbium and samarium compounds Ln{C(SiMe₂)₃}₂THF₂, in terms of structure and reactivity toward the Lewis acid B(C₆F₅)₃ and substitution of THF ligands by Im/Bu, are very similar. In addition, the carbene adducts of both dialkyl rare earth compounds are similarly reactive in dehydrocoupling of amines and organosilanes. However, there are a few notable differences between the samarium and ytterbium compounds, which appear the IR spectra of LnC(SiMe₂)₃HB(C₆F₅)₃THF₂ and the X-ray crystal structures of Ln{C(SiMe₂)₃}²Im/Bu. Notably, the ytterbium compound 4a contains one diagnostic-like alkyl ligand and one alkyl ligand lacking secondary interactions, whereas both alkyl ligands in the samarium analogue contain 3c-2e Sm→H-Si structures.

The LnC(SiMe₂)₃HB(C₆F₅)₃THF₂ compounds do not react with Im/Bu under the accessible conditions, and apparently the substitution of THF in the zwitterionic compounds is
more difficult that in the neutral 1a or 1b. An NHC ligand coordinates to ytterbium in YbC(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$HB(C$_6$F$_3$)$_3$Im$t$Bu, which is formed from the reaction of 4a and B(C$_6$F$_3$)$_3$. Even so, the Im$t$Bu ligand dissociates from 4a or 4b upon addition of small amounts of THF or amines, as suggested by the UV-Vis titration, by the observation of free Im$t$Bu in catalytic reaction mixtures, or even under vacuum. The coordination and substitution chemistry of Yb and Sm in these alkyl compounds affects catalytic dehydrocoupling of amines and silanes. Coordination of substrates and exogenous ligands, including THF and Im$t$Bu, inhibit catalysis, and not all of the ytterbium precatalyst gives active catalytic sites, as shown by kinetic studies. The free Im$t$Bu present during catalysis suggests that irreversible catalyst deactivation involves its dissociation from the rare earth center. Based on these observations, we are currently investigating bidentate hemilabile carbene ligands to access coordinative unsaturation but stabilize lanthanide(II)-ligand interactions in these alkyl compounds.

**Experimental Section**

All manipulations were performed under a dry argon atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques or under a nitrogen atmosphere in a glovebox unless otherwise indicated. Water and oxygen were removed from benzene and pentane solvents using an IT PureSolv system. Benzene-$d_6$ was heated to reflux over Na/K alloy and vacuum-transferred. The compounds YbI$_2$,[32] SmI$_2$THF$_2$,[27] KC(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$,[33] B(C$_6$F$_3$)$_3$,[34] Yb{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_2$THF$_2$[111] were prepared following literature procedures. 1,3-di-tert-butylimidazol-2-ylidene (Im$t$Bu) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich and used as received. The catalytic products PhSi(NH$i$Pr)$_3$, (PhSiH)$_2$N$i$Pr, PhSiH(NH$t$Bu)$_2$, (PhSiH)$_2$N$t$Bu, (PhMeSiH)$_2$N$i$Pr, PhMeSiH(NH$t$Bu), PhMeSiH(NEt)$_2$, PhC$_6$H$_5$-$d_6$,
Ph₂SiH(NH/Pr), Ph₂SiH(NH/Bu), Ph₂HSiNEt₂,[4f] and PhSiH(NEt₂)₂,[17] match previously reported NMR spectroscopic data.

¹H, ¹¹B, ¹³C{¹H}, ¹⁵N HMBC and ²⁹Si HMBC NMR spectra were collected on a Bruker DRX-400 spectrometer, a Bruker Avance III-600 spectrometer, or an Agilent MR 400 spectrometer. ¹¹B NMR spectra were referenced to an external sample of BF₃Et₂O. ¹⁵N chemical shifts were originally referenced to liquid ammonia and recalculated to the CH₃NO₂ chemical shift scale by adding −381.9 ppm. Infrared spectra were measured on a Bruker Vertex 80. Elemental analyses were performed using a Perkin-Elmer 2400 Series II CHN/S. X-ray diffraction data was collected on a Bruker APEX II diffractometer. UV-Vis spectroscopy was measured on Agilent 8453 Diode Array UV-Vis instrument.

**Sm{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₂THF₂ (1b).** KC(SiHMe₂)₃ (0.159 g, 0.069 mmol) and SmI₂(THF)₂ (0.190 g, 0.035 mmol) were stirred in THF (5 mL) at room temperature for 18 h. The resulting black solution was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure and extracted with pentane (2 × 5 mL) to obtain Sm{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₂THF₂ (0.154 g, 0.023 mmol, 65.8%). The compound was allowed to crystallize in pentane at −40 °C to yield black crystals of Sm{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₂THF₂. ¹H NMR (benzene-d₆, 600 MHz, 25 °C): δ 11.93 (s br, 8 H, THF), 2.78 (s br, 8 H, THF), −1.12 (s, 36 H, SiMe₂), −66.53 (s br, 6 H, SiHMe₂). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2950 s, 2893 m, 2106 s br (νSiH), 2062 s br (νSiH), 1867 m br (νSiH), 1458 w, 1419 w, 1246 s, 1029 s, 945 s br, 885 s br, 832 m br, 764 s, 671 m br, 624 m br. Anal. Calcd for C₂₄H₆₆O₃Si₈Yb: C, 39.23; H, 8.68. Found: C, 39.02; H, 8.73. Magnetic susceptibility: μₑffective²⁹⁸K = 3.22 μB. Mp, 118-121 °C.
**SmC(SiHMe_{2})_{3}HB(C_{6}F_{5})_{3}THF_{2} (2b).** B(C_{6}F_{5})_{3} (0.053 g, 0.103 mmol) was added to a benzene (4 mL) solution of Sm\{C(SiHMe_{2})_{3}\}_{2}THF_{2} (0.070 g, 0.103 mmol) in small portions. The resulting red mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give a yellow paste. The residue was washed with pentane (3 × 5 mL) and the volatiles were evaporated to dryness in vacuo to give SmC(SiHMe_{2})_{3}HB(C_{6}F_{5})_{3}THF_{2} as a red solid (0.081 g, 0.075 mmol, 72.6%).

\(^{1}H\) NMR (bromobenzene-\textit{d}_5, 600 MHz, 25 °C): \(\delta –1.37 \text{ (br, 8 H, OCH}_2\text{)}, \quad –3.24 \text{ (br, 8 H, CH}_2\text{)}\). \(^{11}B\) NMR (bromobenzene-\textit{d}_5, 192 MHz, 25 °C): \(\delta –88.4 \text{ (br)}\). \(^{13}C\{^{1}H\}\) NMR (bromobenzene-\textit{d}_5, 150 MHz, 25 °C): \(\delta 153.0 \text{ (br, C}_{6}\text{F}_{5}\text{), 135.9} \text{ (br, C}_{6}\text{F}_{5}\text{), 134.4} \text{ (br, C}_{6}\text{F}_{5}\text{)}\). \(^{19}F\) NMR (bromobenzene-\textit{d}_5, 564 MHz, 25 °C): \(\delta –157.08 \text{ (s, 3 F, \textit{para}-F),} \quad –161.29 \text{ (s, 6 F, \textit{meta}-F)}\). IR (KBr, cm\(^{-1}\)): 2964 m, 2899 w, 2389 m (\(\mu BH\)), 2318 m br (\(\mu BH\)), 2110 m br (\(\nu_{\text{SiH}}\)), 1645 m, 1603 w, 1515 s, 1466 s br, 1373 m, 1277 br, 1259 br, 1113 s br, 1089 s br, 1023 m br, 965 s br, 899 s br, 839 s br. Anal. Calcd for BC_{40}F_{15}H_{70}O_{2}Si_{3}Sm: C, 43.15; H, 6.34. Found: C, 43.01; H, 6.04. Mp, 172-174 °C.

**Sm\{HB(C_{6}F_{5})_{3}\}_{2}THF_{2} (3b).** B(C_{6}F_{5})_{3} (0.194 g, 0.378 mmol) was added to a benzene (4 mL) solution of Sm\{C(SiHMe_{2})_{3}\}_{2}THF_{2} (0.128 g, 0.189 mmol) in small portions. The resulting red mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give a red paste. The residue was washed with pentane (3 × 5 mL) and the volatiles were evaporated to dryness in vacuo to give Sm\{HB(C_{6}F_{5})_{3}\}_{2}THF_{2} as a red solid (0.205 g, 0.145 mmol, 77.0%). \(^{11}B\) NMR (bromobenzene-\textit{d}_5, 192 MHz, 25 °C): \(\delta –100.8 \text{ (br)}\). \(^{19}F\) NMR (bromobenzene-\textit{d}_5, 564 MHz, 25 °C): \(\delta –157.07 \text{ (br, 3 F, \textit{para}-F),} \quad –161.45 \text{ (6 F, \textit{meta}-F)}\). IR (KBr, cm\(^{-1}\)): 2968 m, 2899 m, 2389 m (\(\nu_{BH}\)), 2318 s br (\(\nu_{BH}\)), 1647 s, 1604 w, 1517 s, 1467 s br,
1374 m, 1274 s br, 1114 s br, 1082 s br, 1018 m, 973 s, 961 s, 927 m, 842 s br, 766 s. Anal. Calcd for B$_2$C$_{30}$F$_{65}$O$_2$Sm: C, 40.02; H, 1.37. Found: C, 40.17; H, 1.35. Mp, 177-180 °C.

**Yb[SiHMe$_2$]$_3$]$_2$ImBu (4a).** 1,3-di-tert-butylimidazol-2-ylidene (ImrBu, 0.028 g, 0.153 mmol) was added to a pentane solution (5 mL) of Yb[SiHMe$_2$]$_3$]$_2$THF$_2$ (0.106 g, 0.153 mmol) at room temperature to yield a deep red solution. The solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 30 min. The reaction mixture was concentrated briefly under reduced pressure to a total volume of 4 mL, and then cooled to −40 °C to yield red needle-like crystals of Yb[SiHMe$_2$]$_3$]$_2$ImBu (0.615 g, 0.84 mmol, 54.8%). $^1$H NMR (benzene-$_d_6$, 600 MHz, 25 °C): δ 6.33 (s, 2 H, C$_3$N$_2$H$_2$Bu$_2$), 4.86 (sept, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 3.6 Hz, $^1$J$_{SiH}$ = 149 Hz, 6 H, SiHMe$_2$), 1.43 (s, 18 H, CMe$_3$), 0.45 (d, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 3.3 Hz, 36 H, SiMe$_2$). $^{13}$C{$^1$H} NMR (benzene-$_d_6$, 150 MHz, 25 °C): δ 196.90 (C$_3$N$_2$H$_2$Bu$_2$), 118.58 (C$_3$N$_2$H$_2$Bu$_2$), 57.75 (CMe$_3$), 31.16 (CMe$_3$), 11.31 (YbC), 4.00 (SiMe$_2$). $^1$H-$^{15}$N HMBC NMR (benzene-$_d_6$, 61 MHz, 25 °C): δ −168.8 (C$_3$N$_2$H$_2$Bu$_2$). $^1$H-$^{29}$Si HMBC NMR (benzene-$_d_6$, 119 MHz, 25 °C): δ −19.4 (SiHMe$_2$). IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 2977 m, 2949 s, 2895 s, 2059 w ($v_{SiH}$), 1871 m br ($v_{SiH}$), 1463 w, 1243 s, 1023 s, 930 s br, 900 s br, 831 m br, 767 s, 730 s, 673 m br, 634 m br. Anal. Calcd for C$_{24}$H$_{66}$O$_3$Si$_8$Yb: C, 40.95; H, 8.66; N, 3.82. Found: C, 41.09; H, 8.73; N, 3.87. Mp, 181-184 °C.

**Sm[SiHMe$_2$]$_3$]$_2$ImBu (4b).** (1,3-Di-tert-butylimidazol-2-ylidene) (ImrBu, 0.027 g, 0.15 mmol) was added to a 5 mL pentane solution of Sm[SiHMe$_2$]$_3$]$_2$THF$_2$ (0.100 g, 0.15 mmol) at room temperature to yield a dark red solution. The solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 24 h. After a day, the solution was concentrated and cooled to −40 °C to yield deep red plate-like crystals of Sm[SiHMe$_2$]$_3$]$_2$ImBu (0.042 g, 0.060 mmol, 39.8%). $^1$H NMR
(benzene-$d_6$, 600 MHz, 25 °C): δ 13.9 (br, 18 H, $C_3N_2H_2$Bu$_2$), –0.59 (s, 2 H, $C_3N_2H_2$Bu$_2$), –2.84 (s, 36 H, SiMe$_2$), –73.9 (s br, 6 H, SiHMe$_2$). IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 2977 m, 2949 s, 2897 s, 2076 s br (ν$_{SiH}$), 2064 s br (ν$_{SiH}$), 2044 s (ν$_{SiH}$), 1910 s br (ν$_{SiH}$), 1796 m br (ν$_{SiH}$), 1463 w, 1397 w, 1372 w br, 1239 s, 1200 m, 1011 w br, 963 s, 930 s br, 896 s br, 832 m br, 764 s, 731 s, 671 m br, 635 m br. Anal. Calcd for $C_{25}H_{63}N_2Si$: C, 42.25; H, 8.94; N, 3.94. Found: C, 42.09; H, 8.88; N, 3.85. Mp, 154-157 °C.

**General procedure for NMR kinetics measurements.** Reaction progress was monitored by single scan acquisition of a series of $^1$H NMR spectra at regular intervals on a Bruker DRX400 spectrometer. Hexamethylbenzene was used as a standard of accurately known and constant concentration (0.01 M in benzene-$d_6$). The temperature in the NMR probe was preset for each experiment at 25 °C, and was kept constant and monitored during each experiment using a thermocouple. A range of silane:amine ratios (1:1 to 1:25) were used to study the catalytic reaction of Ph$_2$SiH$_2$ and Et$_2$NH in benzene-$d_6$. Kinetic measurements were studied at low concentration of Ph$_2$SiH$_2$ (0.081 M) and high concentration of Et$_2$NH (0.673 M).

**Representative example.** Catalytic reaction of Ph$_2$SiH$_2$ and Et$_2$NH using 10 mol% Yb{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_2$ImrBu as catalyst is described. A 5 mL stock solution in benzene-$d_6$ containing a known concentration of the internal standard hexamethylbenzene (0.0081 g, 0.0499 mmol, 0.01 M) was prepared using a 5 mL volumetric flask. The stock solution (0.50 mL) was added by a 1 mL glass syringe to a known amount of Yb{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_2$ImrBu (0.0052 g, 0.0071 mmol) in a glass vial. The resulting solution was transferred to a NMR tube, capped with a rubber septum, and a $^1$H NMR spectrum was acquired. Neat substrates Ph$_2$SiH$_2$ (0.013 g, 0.071 mmol) and
Et$_2$NH (0.052 g, 0.711 mmol) were added to the NMR tube by injecting through the rubber septum. Then, the NMR tube was quickly placed in the NMR probe. Single scan spectra were acquired automatically at preset time intervals at 25 °C. The concentration of silane and product at any given time was determined by integration of silane and product resonances relative to the integration of the internal standard. Second order rate constants ($k_{obs}$) were obtained by non-weighted linear least squares fit of the data to the second order rate law, $\ln\{[Et$_2$NH]/[Ph$_2$SiH$_2$]\} = k_{obs}([Et$_2$NH]$_0$-[Ph$_2$SiH$_2$]$_0$)t + $\ln\{[Et$_2$NH]$_0$/[Ph$_2$SiH$_2$]$_0$\}$ providing first order dependence on each substrate at low concentration of silane and high concentration of amine. The experimental third order rate constant, $k'_{obs}$ was obtained by measuring $k_{obs}$ for several catalyst concentrations. A plot of second order rate constants and catalyst concentrations gave a first order dependence on catalyst concentration with an overall rate law, $-d[Ph$_2$SiH$_2$/dt = k'_{obs}[catalyst][Ph$_2$SiH$_2$][Et$_2$NH]$.

**Catalytic synthesis of PhSi(NHiC$_3$H$_7$)$_3$ as a representative procedure for the reaction of organosilanes and amines.** The pre-catalyst Yb\{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$\}$_2$Im/Bu (0.030 g, 0.0409 mmol) was dissolved in 4 mL of benzene. The organosilane PhSiH$_3$ (0.088 g, 0.818 mmol) was added to the solution, followed by excess iC$_3$H$_7$NH$_2$ (0.193 g, 3.27 mmol, 4 equiv.). The mixture immediately changed color from orange to brown and effervesced, the vial was quickly capped, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h. A brown precipitate formed after 1 h, and this is attributed to catalyst decomposition. The solution was filtered to remove precipitated catalytic material, and volatile materials were removed under vacuum. The product was purified by distillation under vacuum to yield spectroscopically pure, metal, and volatile solvent was removed under vacuum. The product was a colorless oil which was spectroscopically pure PhSi(NHiC$_3$H$_7$)$_3$ (0.141 g,
0.504 mmol, 62%). $^1$H NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 600 MHz): $\delta$ 7.79-7.78 (m, 2 H, ortho-C$_6$H$_5$), 7.31-7.26 (m, 3 H, meta- and para-C$_6$H$_5$), 3.25 (sept, $^3$J$_{HH} = 6.3$ Hz, 3 H, CH), 1.07 (d, $^3$J$_{HH} = 6.3$ Hz, 18 H, CH$_3$), 0.65 (d, $^3$J$_{HH} = 9.8$ Hz, NH). $^{13}$C{$^1$H} NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 150 MHz): $\delta$ 140.26 (ipso-C$_6$H$_5$), 135.06 (ortho-C$_6$H$_5$), 129.49 (meta-C$_6$H$_5$), 128.18 (para-C$_6$H$_5$), 42.90 (CH), 28.48 (CH$_3$).

$^1$H-$^{15}$N HMBC NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 61 MHz): $\delta$ –331.84. $^1$H-$^{29}$Si HMBC NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 119 MHz): $\delta$ –37.56.

(PhH$_2$Si)$_2$NiC$_3$H$_7$. Following the procedure described above, PhSiH$_3$ (0.195 g, 1.79 mmol, 2.2 equiv.) and iC$_3$H$_7$NH$_2$ (0.048 g, 0.818 mmol) reacted to give (PhH$_2$Si)$_2$NiC$_3$H$_7$ (0.158 g, 0.581 mmol, 71%). $^1$H NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 600 MHz): $\delta$ 7.63-7.62 (m, 4 H, ortho-C$_6$H$_5$), 7.18-7.17 (m, 6 H, meta- and para-C$_6$H$_5$), 5.25 (s, $^1$J$_{SiH} = 207$ Hz, 4 H, SiH), 3.29 (sept, $^3$J$_{HH} = 6.6$ Hz, 1 H, CH), 1.05 (d, $^3$J$_{HH} = 6.6$ Hz, 6 H, CH$_3$). $^{13}$C{$^1$H} NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 150 MHz): $\delta$ 136.04 (ipso-C$_6$H$_5$), 135.33 (ortho-C$_6$H$_5$), 130.58 (meta-C$_6$H$_5$), 128.68 (para-C$_6$H$_5$), 50.08 (CH), 25.55 (CH$_3$). $^1$H-$^{15}$N HMBC NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 61 MHz): $\delta$ –353.11. $^1$H-$^{29}$Si HMBC NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 119 MHz): $\delta$ –29.24.

PhHSi(NH$_t$Bu)$_2$. Following the procedure described above, PhSiH$_3$ (0.089 g, 0.818 mmol) and $t$-BuNH$_2$ (0.131 g, 1.79 mmol, 2.2 equiv.) were allowed to stir at room temperature 15 h to yield PhHSi(NH$_t$Bu)$_2$ (0.187 g, 0.746 mmol, 91%). $^1$H NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 600 MHz): $\delta$ 7.79-7.77 (m, 2 H, ortho-C$_6$H$_5$), 7.29-7.24 (m, 3 H, meta- and para-C$_6$H$_5$), 5.48 (t, $^3$J$_{HH} = 3.3$ Hz, $^1$J$_{SiH} = 214$ Hz, 1 H, SiH), 1.19 (s, 18 H, CH$_3$), 0.82 (br s, 2 H, NH). $^{13}$C{$^1$H} NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 150 MHz): $\delta$ 140.76 (ipso-C$_6$H$_5$), 134.81 (ortho-C$_6$H$_5$), 129.94 (meta-C$_6$H$_5$), 128.68 (para-C$_6$H$_5$), 49.77
(CCH), 33.94 (CH₃). ¹H-¹⁵N HMBC NMR (benzene-d₆, 61 MHz): δ –322.58. ¹H-²⁹Si HMBC NMR (benzene-d₆, 119 MHz): δ –37.38.

(PhH₂Si)₂NtBu. Following the procedure described above, PhSiH₃ (0.195 g, 1.79 mmol, 2.2 equiv.) and tBuNH₂ (0.060 g, 0.818 mmol) were allowed to stir at room temperature 15 h to yield (PhH₂Si)₂NtBu (0.174 g, 0.609 mmol, 73%). ¹H NMR (benzene-d₆, 600 MHz): δ 7.67–7.66 (m, 4 H, ortho-C₆H₅), 7.18–7.16 (m, 6 H, meta- and para-C₆H₅), 5.38 (s, ¹J₁H = 207 Hz, 4 H, SiH), 1.23 (s, 9 H, CH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (benzene-d₆, 150 MHz): δ 137.19 (ipso-C₆H₅), 135.03 (ortho-C₆H₅), 130.44 (meta-C₆H₅), 128.74 (para-C₆H₅), 54.11 (CCMe₃), 33.06 (CH₃). ¹H-¹⁵N HMBC NMR (benzene-d₆, 61 MHz): δ –342.66. ¹H-²⁹Si HMBC NMR (benzene-d₆, 119 MHz): δ –31.40.

PhHSi(NEt₂)₂. Following the procedure described above, PhSiH₃ (0.089 g, 0.818 mmol) and Et₂NH (0.179 g, 2.45 mmol, 3 equiv.) were allowed to stir at room temperature 15 h to yield PhHSi(NEt₂)₂ (0.164 g, 0.655 mmol, 80%). ¹H NMR (benzene-d₆, 600 MHz): δ 7.74–7.73 (m, 2 H, ortho-C₆H₅), 7.27–7.23 (m, 3 H, meta- and para-C₆H₅), 5.18 (s, ¹J₁H = 215 Hz, 1 H, SiH), 2.93 (q, ³J₁H = 6.3 Hz, 8 H, CH₂), 1.00 (t, ³J₁H = 6.4 Hz, 12 H, CH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (benzene-d₆, 150 MHz): δ 138.17 (ipso-C₆H₅), 135.25 (ortho-C₆H₅), 130.06 (meta-C₆H₅), 128.68 (para-C₆H₅), 40.26 (CH₂), 15.69 (CH₃). ¹H-¹⁵N HMBC NMR (benzene-d₆, 61 MHz): δ –346.17. ¹H-²⁹Si HMBC NMR (benzene-d₆, 119 MHz): δ –18.76.

(PhMeSi)₂NiC₃H₇. Following the procedure described above, PhMeSiH₂ (0.102 g, 0.818 mmol, 2 equiv.) and iC₃H₇NH₂ (0.024 g, 0.409 mmol) were allowed to stir at room temperature 4
h to yield (PhMeSi)$_2$NiC$_3$H$_7$ (0.108 g, 0.361 mmol, 88%). $^1$H NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 600 MHz): $\delta$ 7.65-7.60 (m, 4 H, ortho-C$_6$H$_5$), 7.25-7.20 (m, 6 H, meta- and para-C$_6$H$_5$), 5.24 (m, $^1$J$_{SiH}$ = 199 Hz, 2 H, SiH), 3.27 (m, 1 H, CH), 1.11 (d, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 6.2 Hz, 6 H, CH$_3$), 0.46 (d, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 3.3 Hz, 3 H, CH$_3$), 0.45 (d, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 3.3 Hz, 3 H, CH$_3$). $^{13}$C{$_1$H} NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 150 MHz): $\delta$ 138.88 (ipso-C$_6$H$_5$), 138.82 (ipso-C$_6$H$_5$), 135.06 (ortho-C$_6$H$_5$), 135.00 (ortho-C$_6$H$_5$), 130.01 (meta-C$_6$H$_5$), 128.67 (para-C$_6$H$_5$), 48.62 (CH), 48.36 (CH), 26.02 (CH$_3$), 25.87 (CH$_3$), −0.94 (SiCH$_3$), −1.31 (SiCH$_3$). $^1$H-$^{15}$N HMBC NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 61 MHz): $\delta$ −345.11. $^1$H-$^{29}$Si HMBC NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 119 MHz): $\delta$ −18.14.

**PhMeSiNHtBu.** Following the procedure described above, PhMeSiH$_2$ (0.051 g, 0.409 mmol) and tBuNH$_2$ (0.030 g, 0.409 mmol) were allowed to stir at room temperature 4 h to yield PhMeSiNHtBu (0.067 g, 0.346 mmol, 85%). $^1$H NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 600 MHz): $\delta$ 7.67-7.66 (m, 2 H, ortho-C$_6$H$_5$), 7.24-7.23 (m, 3 H, meta- and para-C$_6$H$_5$), 5.23 (m, $^1$J$_{SiH}$ = 199 Hz, 1 H, SiH), 1.08 (s, 9 H, CCH$_3$), 0.64 (br s, 1 H, NH), 0.30 (d, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 2.8 Hz, 3 H, SiCH$_3$). $^{13}$C{$_1$H} NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 150 MHz): $\delta$ 140.17 (ipso-C$_6$H$_5$), 134.65 (ortho-C$_6$H$_5$), 129.99 (meta-C$_6$H$_5$), 128.67 (para-C$_6$H$_5$), 49.79 (CCH$_3$), 33.66 (CH$_3$), −0.64 (SiCH$_3$). $^1$H-$^{15}$N HMBC NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 61 MHz): $\delta$ −329.71. $^1$H-$^{29}$Si HMBC NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 119 MHz): $\delta$ −21.35.

**PhMeSiNEt$_2$.** Following the procedure described above, PhMeSiH$_2$ (0.051 g, 0.409 mmol) and Et$_2$NH (0.030 g, 0.409 mmol) were allowed to stir at room temperature 4 h to yield PhMeSiHNHEt$_2$ (0.072 g, 0.372 mmol, 92%). $^1$H NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 600 MHz): $\delta$ 7.63-7.62 (m, 2 H, ortho-C$_6$H$_5$), 7.24-7.23 (m, 3 H, meta- and para-C$_6$H$_5$), 5.13 (q, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 3.0 Hz, $^1$J$_{SiH}$ = 199 Hz, 1 H, SiH), 2.81 (q, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 6.9 Hz, 4 H, CH$_2$), 0.94 (t, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 6.9 Hz, 6 H, CH$_3$), 0.36 (d, $^3$J$_{HH}$
= 3.0 Hz, 3 H, SiCH3). 13C{1H} NMR (benzene-d6, 150 MHz): δ 138.90 (ipso-C6H5), 134.84 (ortho-C6H5), 130.04 (meta-C6H5), 128.68 (para-C6H5), 41.78 (CH2), 16.15 (CH3), –2.50 (SiCH3). 1H-15N HMBC NMR (benzene-d6, 61 MHz): δ –353.05. 1H-29Si HMBC NMR (benzene-d6, 119 MHz): δ –11.03.

Ph2HSiNHtBu. Following the procedure described above, Ph2SiH2 (0.075 g, 0.409 mmol) and iPrNH2 (0.024 g, 0.409 mmol) were allowed to stir at room temperature 15 h to yield Ph2HSiNHtBu (0.083 g, 0.343 mmol, 84%). 1H NMR (benzene-d6, 600 MHz): δ 7.70-7.69 (m, 4 H, ortho-C6H5), 7.21-7.16 (m, 6 H, meta- and para-C6H5), 5.64 (d, 3JHH = 1.8 Hz, 1JSiH = 204 Hz, 1 H, SiH), 3.07 (pseudo-sextet, 3JHH = 6.4 Hz, 1 H, CH), 0.97 (d, 3JHH = 6 H, 6.6 Hz, 6 H, CH3), 0.66 (br s, 1 H, NH). 13C{1H} NMR (benzene-d6, 150 MHz): δ 136.79 (ipso-C6H5), 135.58 (ortho-C6H5), 130.44 (meta-C6H5), 128.55 (para-C6H5), 44.76 (CH), 27.60 (CH3). 1H-15N HMBC NMR (benzene-d6, 61 MHz): δ –341.95. 1H-29Si HMBC NMR (benzene-d6, 119 MHz): δ –20.44.
**Ph$_2$HSiNEt$_2$.** Following the procedure described above, Ph$_2$SiH$_2$ (0.075 g, 0.409 mmol) and Et$_2$NH (0.030 g, 0.409 mmol) were allowed to stir at room temperature 15 h to yield Ph$_2$HSiNHEt$_2$ (0.085 g, 0.333 mmol, 82%). $^1$H NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 600 MHz): $\delta$ 7.69-7.68 (m, 4 H, ortho-C$_6$H$_5$), 7.21-7.20 (m, 6 H, meta- and para-C$_6$H$_5$), 5.60 (s, $^1J_{SiH} = 204$ Hz, 1 H, SiH), 2.90 (q, $^3J_{HH} = 7.0$ Hz, 4 H, CH$_2$), 0.95 (t, $^3J_{HH} = 7.0$ Hz, 6 H, CH$_3$). $^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 150 MHz): $\delta$ 136.47 (ipso-C$_6$H$_5$), 135.88 (ortho-C$_6$H$_5$), 130.34 (meta-C$_6$H$_5$), 128.63 (para-C$_6$H$_5$), 41.68 (CH$_2$), 15.83 (CH$_3$). $^1$H-$^15$N HMBC NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 61 MHz): $\delta$ –353.82. $^1$H-$^{29}$Si HMBC NMR (benzene-$d_6$, 119 MHz): $\delta$ –14.06.
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**Figure S5.** UV-Vis spectra of Sm\{C(SiMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}\}_2THF\textsubscript{2} (1b) and starting material SmI\textsubscript{2}THF\textsubscript{2}, 2mM in THF.
Figure S6. UV-Vis spectrum of SmC(SiMe₂)₃HB(C₅F₅)₃THF₂ (2b), 2 mM in bromobenzene.
Figure S7. UV-Vis spectrum of \(\text{Sm}\{(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3\}\text{THF}_2\ (3b)\), 2 mM in bromobenzene.
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Figure S10. $^1$H-$^{15}$N NMR HMBC experiment (61 MHz, benzene-$d_6$) of Yb[C(SiMe$_2$)$_3$]$_2$Im$t$Bu (4a).
Figure S11. $^1$H-$^{29}$Si NMR HMBC experiment (119 MHz, benzene-$d_6$) of Yb{C(SiMe$_2$)$_3$}$_2$ImrBu (4a).
Figure S12. IR (KBr) spectrum of Yb\{C(SiHMe_2)_3\}_2ImBu (4a).
Figure S13. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, benzene-$d_6$) of Sm{C(SiMe$_2$)$_3$}$_2$ImrBu (4b).
**Figure S14.** IR (KBr) spectrum of Sm{C(SiMe$_2$)$_3$}$_2$Im/Bu (4b).
Figure S15. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, benzene-$d_6$) of YbC(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$(HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$)Im/Bu + [Me$_2$Si-C(SiHMe$_2$)$_2$]$_2$.
Figure S16. $^{11}$B NMR spectrum (192 MHz, benzene-$d_6$) of YbC(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$(HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$)ImBu $\pm \{\text{Me}_2\text{Si}^2\text{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_2$}\}$.
Figure S17. $^{13}$C($^{1}$H) NMR spectrum (150 MHz, benzene-$d_{6}$) of YbC(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$(HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$)ImrBu (5a).
Figure S18. $^1$H-$^{29}$Si NMR HMBC experiment (119 MHz, benzene-$d_6$) of YbC(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$(HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$)Im/tBu (5a).
Figure S19. IR (benzene) spectrum of YbC(SiMe$_2$)$_3$(HB(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$)ImBu (5a).
Figure S20. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, benzene-$d_6$) of PhSi(NHiC$_3$H$_7$)$_3$ obtained from the reaction of PhSiH$_3$ and 4 equiv. of iC$_3$H$_7$NH$_2$. 
Figure S21. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, benzene-$d_6$) of (PhH$_2$Si)$_2$NiC$_3$H$_7$ obtained from the reaction of 2.2 equiv. of PhSiH$_3$ and iC$_3$H$_7$NH$_2$. 
Figure S22. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, benzene-$d_6$) of PhHSi(NH$_{t}$Bu)$_2$ obtained from the reaction of PhSiH$_3$ and 2 equiv. of $t$BuNH$_2$. 
Figure S23. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, benzene-$d_6$) of (PhH$_2$Si)$_2$NtBu obtained from the reaction of 2.2 equiv. of PhSiH$_3$ and tBuNH$_2$. 
Figure S24. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, benzene-$d_6$) of PhHSi(NEt$_2$)$_2$ obtained from the reaction of PhSiH$_3$ and 3 equiv. of Et$_2$NH.
Figure S25. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, benzene-$d_6$) of (PhMeHSi)$_2$NiC$_3$H$_7$ obtained from the reaction of 2 equiv. of PhMeSiH$_2$ and iC$_3$H$_7$NH$_2$. 
Figure S26. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, benzene-$d_6$) of PhMeHSi-NH$t$Bu obtained from the reaction of PhMeSiH$_2$ and $t$BuNH$_2$. 
Figure S27. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, benzene-$d_6$) of PhMeHSi-NEt$_2$ obtained from the reaction of PhMeSiH$_2$ and Et$_2$NH.
Figure S28. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, benzene-$d_6$) of Ph$_2$HSi-NHiC$_3$H$_7$ obtained from the reaction of Ph$_3$SiH$_2$ and iC$_3$H$_7$NH$_2$. 
**Figure S29.** $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, benzene-$d_6$) of Ph$_2$HSi-NHtBu obtained from the reaction of Ph$_2$SiH$_2$ and tBuNH$_2$. 
Figure S30. $^1$H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, benzene-$d_6$) of Ph$_2$HSi-NEt$_2$ obtained from the reaction of Ph$_2$SiH$_2$ and Et$_2$NH.

Kinetic measurements for catalytic reaction between Ph$_2$SiH$_2$ and Et$_2$NH at different concentration of catalyst, 4a in benzene-$d_6$ at 298 K
Figure S31. Second-order plot of $\ln\{[\text{Et}_2\text{NH}]/[\text{Ph}_2\text{SiH}_2]\}$ versus time for varying concentrations of 4a.
Figure S32. Second-order plot of \(\ln\{[\text{Et}_2\text{NH}] / [\text{Ph}_2\text{SiH}_2]\}\) versus time for varying concentrations of \(\text{Ph}_2\text{SiH}_2\).
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Abstract

The potassium silyl ligand featuring β-SiH groups has been synthesized by reaction of Si(SiHMe$_2$)$_2$ and KOtBu. Rare earth metal silyl compounds, Yb{Si(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_2$THF$_3$ (1a) and Cl$_3$Y{Si(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_2$(Et$_2$O)].$_2$K(Et$_2$O)$_2$ (1b) are synthesized through salt metathesis reactions of the anhydrous lanthanide halide (YbI$_2$ and YCl$_3$) and two or three equiv. of KSi(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$. Compound 1a is a five coordinate ytterbium center with two silyl ligands, containing β Si-H and three THF molecules while compound 1b is a potassium salt of yttrium(III) containing two silyl ligands and three chloride group along with ether coordination. These compounds have been isolated and characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction, NMR and IR spectroscopies and elemental analysis. Such studies reveal absence of non-classical interactions within the molecule, which are observed in the alkyl analogues of 1a, Yb{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_2$THF$_2$. Alternatively, the reaction of 1a and one equiv. of ancillary ligand such as TlTo$_M$ resulted in the formation of To$_M^2$Yb, 2a.
Introduction

The chemistry of transition metal alkyls and silyls compounds has been explored extensively, with much of this work being directed towards better understanding of the reactivity of M-C and M-Si bonds and their comparisons. Such compounds have been employed as catalysts for processes such as, polymerization of olefins, hydrosilylation. Although, chemistry of rare earth metal alkyls and amides have been developed extensively, rare earth silyl chemistry has remained under-developed and restricted to –Si(SiMe$_3$)$_3$ ligand.

Recently, Piers et. al. and Baumgartner et. al. have demonstrated the synthesis and reactivity of heteroleptic rare earth silyl compounds containing –Si(SiMe$_3$)$_3$ ligand. Several homoleptic rare earth alkyls and amides have been synthesized previously but very few homoleptic rare earth silyl compounds are known to date. The chemistry explored thus, far for ligands containing β-SiH groups is limited to the silazide thus, urging us to extend the study to silyl ligands containing β-SiH. Previously, our group has demonstrated synthesis and reactivity of homoleptic rare earth alkyl compounds, Yb{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_2$THF$_2$ and Y{C(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}$_3$ containing β-SiH. These complexes contain non-classical β-Si-H-Ln interactions, but they do not undergo β-H elimination upon thermolysis to 100 °C.

We were, therefore, interested in comparing the synthesis and reactivity of rare earth alkyl compounds with silyl compounds containing β-SiH. Use of these compounds as catalysts or precursors in organometallic chemistry could stem from the fundamental studies aimed at developing the synthesis and exploring the Ln-Si linkages. In this work, we report the synthesis
and characterization of divalent and trivalent rare earth silyl compounds containing β-SiH (Ln = Yb(II) and Y(III)). This presents the first example of such compounds reported thus far. Reaction of bis(silyl) ytterbium(II) compound with ancillary ligand, TlTOM indicates lability of these ligands.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis of Yb[Si(SiHMe₂)₃]₂THF₃ and [Cl₃Y{Si(SiHMe₂)₃}₂(Et₂O)].2K(Et₂O). The synthesis and characterization of novel precursor to the synthesis of rare earth silyl compounds, KSi(SiHMe₂)₃ has been previously reported. THF ligated homoleptic ytterbium silyl compound, Yb[Si(SiHMe₂)₃]₂THF₃ (1a) is synthesized by a salt metathesis reaction of YbI₂ and two equiv. of KSi(SiHMe₂)₃ in THF for one day at room temperature. The crude Yb[Si(SiHMe₂)₃]₂THF₃ is extracted and recrystallized from pentane at –40 °C to obtain orange needle-like crystals in moderate yield.

YbI₂ + 2 KSi(SiHMe₂)₃ \xrightarrow{\text{THF, r.t., 1 d}} \text{-2 KI} \quad \text{1a, 31%}

The ¹H NMR for 1a in benzene-ᵈ₆ at 25 °C contained a multiplet for six β- SiH at 4.63 ppm (¹J_SiH = 160 Hz), a doublet at 0.61 ppm for SiMe₂ (³J_HH = 4.0 Hz) and two THF resonances at 3.73 and 1.42 ppm. For comparison, the SiH resonance in the alkyl analogue of ytterbium(II), Yb{C(SiHMe₂)₃}₂THF₂[¹⁶] was observed at 4.78 ppm (¹J_SiH = 160 Hz). The SiHMe₂ groups, in the silyl ligand as well as in the alkyl ligand, remained equivalent as a result of rapid exchange.
on \(^1\)H NMR time scale, even at low temperatures (190 K in toluene-\(d_8\)). \(^1\)H-\(^{29}\)Si HMBC experiment showed two cross-peaks at \(\delta -23.6\) and \(-168.1\) ppm with SiMe\(_2\) resonance at 0.61 ppm for Si(SiHMe\(_2\))\(_3\) and Si(SiHMe\(_2\))\(_3\), respectively. For comparison, \(^{29}\)Si resonances for Si(SiHMe\(_2\))\(_3\) in alkaline earth metal silyl compounds, Ca\{Si(SiHMe\(_2\))\(_3\)\}_2THF\(_3\) and Mg\{Si(SiHMe\(_2\))\(_3\)\}_2THF\(_2\), are observed at \(\delta -194\) and \(-184\), respectively (unpublished results). These resonances are more upfield than \(1\)a suggesting Yb is more electronegative than Ca or Mg.

On comparing the \(^{29}\)Si resonances with Yb\{C(SiHMe\(_2\))\(_3\)\}_2THF\(_2\), it is upfield at \(\delta -19.5\) which is in agreement with C being more electronegative than Si. In Lawless \textit{et al} compound, Cp*YbSi(SiMe\(_3\))\(_3\) \(^{29}\)Si resonance is observed at \(\delta -158\) for Si(SiMe\(_3\))\(_3\) compared to \(\delta -168.1\) for Si(SiHMe\(_2\))\(_3\) and Si(SiMe\(_3\)) appears at \(\delta -2.9\) while Si(SiHMe\(_2\))\(_3\) appears at \(\delta -23.6\).

Compound \(1\)a crystallizes in a monoclinic \(P 2_1/c\) space group with a trigonal bipyramidal geometry around Yb center. The two Si(SiHMe\(_2\))\(_3\) groups and one THF molecule occupy the equatorial positions and the other two THF molecules occupy the axial positions. The Yb-Si distances can be categorized as long (~4 Å), intermediate (3.4-3.5 Å), and short (3.14-3.19 Å). The Yb-Si distances in \(1\)a are 3.020(2) (Yb1-Si1) and 3.012(2) Å (Yb1-Si5) which are consistent with the literature values. For comparison, the Yb-Si distance in Cp*YbSi(SiMe\(_3\))\(_3\) is 3.032(2) Å\(^{10c}\) while in Yb\{Si(SiMe\(_3\))\(_3\)\}_2THF\(_3\), it is 3.064(6) Å\(^{15}\). The Si1-Yb1-Si5 angle of 129.68(6)\(^\circ\) suggests a bent structure around Si1-Yb1-Si5 which is apparent for small sized ytterbium(II) center (6-coordinate Yb\(^{2+}\) = 1.02 Å\(^{18}\)) surrounded by two bulky Si(SiHMe\(_2\))\(_3\) groups and three coordinating THF molecules. Unlike Yb\{C(SiHMe\(_2\))\(_3\)\}_2THF\(_2\) where the SiH groups in the ligand point towards the metal center, thereby exhibiting non-classical interactions, in \(1\)a, all the SiH groups in –Si(SiHMe\(_2\))\(_3\) ligand are oriented such that the hydrogen and methyl groups are directed away from the Yb(II) center. The hydrogen atoms bonded to silicon were located
objectively in the difference Fourier map; the positions of the SiMe$_2$ atoms and the electron density map provide a reasonable estimate of the hydrogen position, subject to the normal limitations associated with X-ray diffraction. The geometry around each Si atom directly bonded to Yb(II) center is distorted tetrahedral with two obtuse angles (120.54(1)$^\circ$, 111.06(1)$^\circ$) and two intermediate angles (99.77(2)$^\circ$, 100.00(2)$^\circ$). The greater torsion angle for Yb-Si-Si-H at 55.68$^\circ$ suggests absence of non-classical interactions which is also evident from the long Yb-H distances (Yb1-H2s, 5.9(1) Å; Yb1-H3s, 6.01(7) Å; Yb-H4s, 4.55(6) Å) in one ligand.

**Figure 1.** Rendered thermal ellipsoid plot of Yb\{Si(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$\}$_2$THF$_3$ (1a). Ellipsoids are plotted at 35% probability. Hydrogen atoms bonded to silicon were located objectively in the Fourier difference map and are included in the representation. All other H atoms are not plotted for
clarity. Significant interatomic distances (Å): Yb1-Si1, 3.020(2); Yb1-Si5, 3.011(2); Yb1-H2s, 5.9(1); Yb1-H3s, 6.01(7); Yb1-H4s, 4.55(6). Significant interatomic angles (°): Si5-Yb1-Si1, 129.69(6); O1-Yb1-Si1, 92.70(1); O2-Yb1-O1, 82.44(2); O2-Yb1-Si5, 112.25(1); O3-Yb1- Si5, 94.31(1).

After synthesis of divalent bis(silyl)ytterbium compound we wanted to extend the study to trivalent rare earth metals and synthesize lanthanide(III) silyl compounds containing β-SiH groups in the ligand. Thus, a series of trivalent lanthanide iodides, such as Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd and Lu were reacted with 3 equiv. of KSi(SiHMe2)3 in THF or benzene at room temperature. However, after repeated unsuccessful attempts in synthesizing such compounds at room temperature, it was learnt that these compounds could be synthesized at –78 °C. The reaction of anhydrous YCl3 and 3 equiv. of KSi(SiHMe2)3 in ether at –78 °C for 8 h yielded [Cl3Y{Si(SiHMe2)3}2(Et2O)].2K(Et2O)2 (1b) as a potassium salt, which is the first example of yttrium(III) silyl compound reported in literature. In addition, these compounds are highly temperature sensitive and decomposes in solution at room temperature to several unidentified silyl containing species in the 1H NMR.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{YCl}_3 + 3 \text{ KSi(SiHMe}_2)_3 \xrightarrow{\text{ether}} \text{Cl}_3\text{Y}\{\text{Si(SiHMe}_2)_3\}_2(\text{Et}_2\text{O})\}.2\text{K(}\text{Et}_2\text{O})_2 \quad (2)
\end{array}
\]

1b, 77%

Since compound 1b decomposes at room temperature, the NMR spectroscopy was recorded at 200 K in toluene-ds. 1H NMR contained a broad resonance at 4.34 ppm assigned to SiH (6 H, J_{SiH} = 160 Hz) and another broad resonance at 0.77 ppm assigned to the SiMe2 group
(36 H, $^3J_{HH} = 4.0$ Hz). $^1$H-$^29$Si HMBC experiment in toluene-$d_8$ at 200 K contained cross peaks at $\delta$ –9.1 and –141.6 ppm for Si(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$ and Si(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$, respectively which are shifted downfield compared to 1a. Similar to compound 1a, IR spectroscopy of 1b contained only one stretching band at 1999 cm$^{-1}$ thereby indicating absence of non-classical interactions in the molecule. Single crystal X-ray diffraction study of 1b supports the fact that the molecule lacks non-classical interactions. ORTEP structure of 1b is shown in Figure 2.

Compound 1b crystallizes in a monoclinic P 2$_1$/n space group adopting an octahedral geometry around Y center. The two Si(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$ groups occupy the axial positions while one diethyl ether molecule and three Cl atoms occupy the square planar positions. The Si1-Y1-Si5 angle of 171.99$^\circ$ suggests linearity around the atoms. Although the ionic radii of Y(III) is smaller than that of Yb(II) (6-coordinate Yb$^{2+} = 1.02$ and 6-coordinate Y$^{3+} = 0.9$ Å)\textsuperscript{18} the Ln-Si distances are comparable. The Y-Si distances in 1b are Y1-Si1, 3.039(1) and Y1-Si5, 3.031(1) Å while in 1a the Yb-Si distances are Yb1-Si1, 3.020(2) and Yb1-Si5, 3.012(2) Å which are similar. Similar to 1a, each (SiHMe$_2$)$_3$ groups in the Si(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$ ligand are oriented with hydrogen and methyl groups directed away from the Y center. The hydrogen atoms bonded to silicon were located objectively in the difference Fourier map; the positions of the SiMe$_2$ atoms and the electron density map provide a reasonable estimate of the hydrogen position, subject to the normal limitations associated with X-ray diffraction. The geometry around each Si atom directly bonded to Y is distorted tetrahedral with two obtuse angles (111.21(5)$^\circ$, 110.69(5)$^\circ$) and two intermediate angles (101.61(7)$^\circ$, 96.60(7)$^\circ$).
Figure 2. Rendered thermal ellipsoid plot of [Cl$_3$Y{Si(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$}]$_2$(Et$_2$O)].2K(Et$_2$O) (1b). Ellipsoids are plotted at 35% probability. Hydrogen atoms bonded to silicon were located objectively in the Fourier difference map and are included in the representation. All other H atoms are not plotted for clarity. Significant interatomic distances (Å): Y1-Si1, 3.039(1); Y1-Si5, 3.031(1). Significant interatomic angles (°): Si5-Y1-Si1, 171.99(4); Si1-Y1-Cl1, 98.13(4); Cl1-Y1-Si5, 89.74(4).

Reactivity of Yb[Si(SiHMe$_2$)$_3$]$_2$THF$_3$. The stoichiometric reaction of 1a and one equiv. of TiTo$_M$ in benzene at room temperature for 1 day resulted in the formation of THF free, To$_M^2$Yb
(2a) in good yield (eq. 3). The crude was recrystallized from toluene at −78 °C to obtain red plate-like crystals of 2a. The formation of 2a over ToM\textsuperscript{Yb}{\{Si(SiHMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}\}} suggests that the Si(SiHMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3} groups are very labile and can be cleaved easily.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Yb\{Si(SiHMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}\}\textsubscript{2}THF\textsubscript{3}} & + \text{Ph-B} & \text{benzene} & \text{r.t., 1 d} & \text{Ph-B} \\
& & -\text{TiSi(SiHMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}} & -3 \text{ THF} & \text{2a, 68%}
\end{align*}
\]

\textsuperscript{1}H NMR of 2a acquired in benzene-\textit{d}\textsubscript{6} at room temperature contained a singlet resonances at 1.14 ppm (36 H) assigned to the oxazolinyl methyl and another singlet at 3.40 ppm assigned to the oxazolinyl methylene group. The singlet resonances for oxazolinyl group suggests the presence of mirror plane of symmetry within the molecule. The \textsuperscript{11}B NMR contained a resonance at −17.9 ppm which is shifted upfield from the starting material, TiTo\textsuperscript{M}.\textsuperscript{[19]} IR spectroscopy studies showed a strong band for C-N stretching frequency at 1572 cm\textsuperscript{-1} suggesting all C-N bonds are equivalent and coordinated to the metal center. The spectroscopic assignments were further confirmed by single crystal X-ray diffraction data.

Compound 2a crystallizes as monoclinic with P 2\textsubscript{1}/c space group having distorted octahedral geometry around Yb center. The structure is \kappa\textsubscript{3} coordinated through nitrogens on the oxazoline in one To\textsuperscript{M} ligand and two nitrogens and one oxygen of the oxazoline in the other To\textsuperscript{M} ligand making Yb(II) complex 6-coordinated. This observation of coordination of oxazolinyl group through oxygen rather than nitrogen has not been made yet. The Yb-N distances are at an average of 2.513(3) Å while the Yb1-O1 distance is shorter at 2.456(2) Å. The six-coordinate Yb(II) compound adopts a distorted octahedral geometry with an average bond angle around Yb center to be 88.63°.
Figure 3. Rendered thermal ellipsoid plot of $\text{To}^2\text{Yb}$ (2a). Ellipsoids are plotted at 35% probability. Hydrogen atoms bonded to silicon were located objectively in the Fourier difference map and are included in the representation. All other H atoms are not plotted for clarity. Significant interatomic distances (Å): Yb1-O1, 2.456(2); Yb1-N2, 2.500(3); Yb1-N3, 2.499(3); Yb1-N4, 2.553(3); Yb1-N6, 2.574(3). Significant interatomic angles (°): O1-Yb1-N2, 85.56(9); N2-Yb1-N6, 94.10(1); N6-Yb1-N5, 78.07(10); N5-Yb1-N4, 78.04(1); N4-Yb1-N3, 97.76(9); O1-Yb1-N3, 98.13(4).
Table 1. Crystallographic Data for compounds 1a, 1b, and 2a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1a</th>
<th>1b</th>
<th>2a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemical Formula</strong></td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{24}\text{H}</em>{66}\text{O}_3\text{Si}_8\text{Yb}$</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{26}\text{H}</em>{71}\text{Cl}_3\text{K}<em>2\text{O}</em>{3.5}\text{Si}_8\text{Y}$</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{52.50}\text{H}</em>{70}\text{B}_2\text{N}_6\text{O}_6\text{Yb}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Formula Weight</strong></td>
<td>800.53</td>
<td>938.00</td>
<td>1075.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crystal System</strong></td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unit-cell dimensions</strong></td>
<td>$a = 13.703(6)$ Å</td>
<td>$a = 16.020(2)$ Å</td>
<td>$a = 19.2786(16)$ Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$b = 17.773(7)$ Å</td>
<td>$b = 21.258(3)$ Å</td>
<td>$b = 15.5825(13)$ Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$c = 20.932(6)$ Å</td>
<td>$c = 16.114(2)$ Å</td>
<td>$c = 17.9909(15)$ Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha = \gamma = 90^\circ$</td>
<td>$\alpha = \gamma = 90^\circ;$</td>
<td>$\alpha = \gamma = 90^\circ;$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\beta = 123.944(19)^\circ$</td>
<td>$\beta = 101.253(2)^\circ$</td>
<td>$\beta = 94.2590(10)^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume</strong></td>
<td>4229.0(3) Å$^3$</td>
<td>5382.2(12) Å$^3$</td>
<td>5389.7(8) Å$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Space Group</strong></td>
<td>$\text{P 1 } 21/c$ 1</td>
<td>$\text{P 1 } 21/n$ 1</td>
<td>$\text{P 1 } 21/c$ 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Z</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reflections collected</strong></td>
<td>30722</td>
<td>43291</td>
<td>48058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Independent reflections</strong></td>
<td>7126</td>
<td>9150</td>
<td>11007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$R_{int}$</strong></td>
<td>0.1044</td>
<td>0.0937</td>
<td>0.0619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$R_{I&gt;2\sigma(I)}$</strong></td>
<td>$R_1 = 0.0441$</td>
<td>$R_1 = 0.0432$</td>
<td>$R_1 = 0.0353$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$wR_2 = 0.0963$</td>
<td>$wR_2 = 0.1205$</td>
<td>$wR_2 = 0.0808$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$R_{all}$</strong></td>
<td>$R_1 = 0.0836$</td>
<td>$R_1 = 0.0748$</td>
<td>$R_1 = 0.0509$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$wR_2 = 0.1123$</td>
<td>$wR_2 = 0.1512$</td>
<td>$wR_2 = 0.0858$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the synthesis and characterization of rare earth silyl compounds containing β-SiH which are first of its kinds to be reported in literature. These compounds do not exhibit any non-classical interactions with the metal center as opposed to its alkyl analogue, Yb\{C(SiHMe₂)₃\}₂THF₂. This observation could be attributed to longer Yb-Si distances in the molecule. Reaction with TiToM indicate the lability of the silyl ligand, thus, limits the scope of synthesis of rare earth silyl compounds.

Experimental Section

All manipulations were performed under a dry argon atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques or under a nitrogen atmosphere in a glovebox unless otherwise indicated. Water and oxygen were removed from benzene and pentane solvents using an IT PureSolv system. Benzene-\(d_6\) was heated to reflux over Na/K alloy and vacuum-transferred. All reactions were carried out in silylated and flame-dried glassware under an inert atmosphere of dry argon. Starting materials tetrakis(trimethylsilyl)silane,\(^{[20]}\) tris(trimethylsilyl)silylpotassium,\(^{[21]}\) YbI₂,\(^{[22]}\) TiToM\(^{[19]}\) were prepared according to literature procedures. KO\'Bu was sublimed prior to use. Trimethylsilyl chloride was distilled before use. \(^1\)H, \(^{11}\)B, \(^{13}\)C\{\(^1\)H\}, and \(^{29}\)Si HMBC NMR spectra were collected on a Bruker DRX-400 spectrometer, a Bruker Avance III-600 spectrometer, or an Agilent MR 400 spectrometer. \(^{11}\)B NMR spectra were referenced to an external sample of BF₃Et₂O. \(^{15}\)N chemical shifts were originally referenced to liquid NH₃ and recalculated to the
CH₃NO₂ chemical shift scale by adding −381.9 ppm. Infrared spectra were measured on a Bruker Vertex 80. Elemental analyses were performed using a Perkin-Elmer 2400 Series II CHN/S. X-ray diffraction data was collected on a Bruker APEX II diffractometer.

**KSi(SiHMe₂)₃.** KO'Bu (0.085 g, 0.755 mmol) was added to a benzene (5 mL) solution of Si(SiHMe₂)₄ (0.200 g, 0.76 mmol) at room temperature to yield a pale yellow solution. The solution was allowed to stir for 1 h at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure and the residue washed with pentane (3 × 5 mL) to give an off-white solid of KSi(SiHMe₂)₃ (0.096 g, 0.39 mmol, 51.7%). ¹H NMR (benzene-d₆, 600 MHz, 25 °C): δ 4.32 (m, 6 H, J_SiH = 150 Hz, SiHMe₂), 0.60 (d, 36 H, J_HH = 4.2 Hz, SiMe₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (benzene-d₆, 150 MHz, 25 °C): δ 2.52 (SiMe₂).

**Yb[Si(SiHMe₂)₃]₂THF₃ (1a).** KSi(SiHMe₂)₃ (0.573 g, 2.34 mmol) and YbI₂ (0.500 g, 1.17 mmol) were stirred in 10 mL of THF at room temperature for 24 h. The resulting reddish orange suspension was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure and extracted with pentane (3 × 5 mL). The reddish orange solution was concentrated and recrystallized overnight at −40 °C to obtain reddish orange crystals of Yb[Si(SiHMe₂)₃]₂THF₃ (0.29 g, 0.36 mmol, 30.9%). ¹H NMR (benzene-d₆, 600 MHz, 25 °C): δ 4.63 (m, 6 H, J_SiH = 160 Hz, SiHMe₂), 3.73 (m, 12 H, OCH₂), 1.42 (m, 12 H, CH₂), 0.61 (d, 36 H, J_HH = 4.0 Hz, SiMe₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (benzene-d₆, 150
MHz, 25 °C): δ 69.24 (OCH₂CH₂), 25.71 (OCH₂CH₂), 1.88 (SiMe₂). ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (benzene-d₆, 119 MHz, 25 °C): δ –23.99 (SiHMe₂), –168.11 Si(SiHMe₂). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2953 s, 2891 s, 2045 w (νSiH), 1460 w, 1243 s, 1031 s, 858 w, 680 s, 643 s. Anal. Calcd for C₂₄H₆₆O₃Si₈Yb: C, 36.01; H, 8.31. Found: C, 36.83; H, 8.40. Mp, 80 °C, dec.

[Cl₃Y{Si(SiHMe₂)₃}₂(Et₂O)].2K(Et₂O) (1b). KSi(SiHMe₂)₃ (0.830 g, 3.39 mmol) and YCl₃ (0.22 g, 1.13 mmol) were stirred in 10 mL of ether at –78 °C for 8 h. The resulting pale yellow suspension was filtered and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure at –78 °C to obtain off-white color, spectroscopically pure 1b. The compound was crystallized at –40 °C to obtain colorless crystals of [Cl₃Y{Si(SiHMe₂)₃}₂(Et₂O)].2K(Et₂O) (0.812 g, 1.06 mmol, 76.6%). ¹H NMR (toluene-d₈, 600 MHz, –80 °C): δ 4.34 (br, 6 H, ¹J_SiH = 160 Hz, SiHMe₂), 3.07 (br, 8 H, OCH₂), 1.10 (br, 24 H, CH₃), 0.77 (br, 36 H, ³J_HH = 4.0 Hz, SiMe₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (toluene-d₈, 150 MHz, –80 °C): δ 66.3 (OCH₂CH₃), 16.03 (OCH₂CH₃), 2.89 (SiMe₂). ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (toluene-d₈, 119 MHz, –80 °C): δ –9.1 (SiHMe₂), –141.6 Si(SiHMe₂). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2954 s, 2894 s, 1999 s br (νSiH), 1462 w, 1419 w, 1365 w, 1244 s, 1201 s, 1023 w br, 862 s, 827 s, 683 s, 645 s.

To⁺M₂Yb (2a): Yb{Si(SiHMe₂)₃}₂THF₃ (0.117 g, 0.017 mmol) and TiTo⁺M (0.197 g, 0.034 mmol) were stirred in 5 mL of benzene at room temperature for 24 h. The resulting reddish solution was filtered and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure and extracted with benzene (2 × 5 mL). The red solution was crystallized overnight at –40 °C from toluene to obtain red crystals of To⁺M₂Yb (0.123 g, 0.011 mmol, 68.0%). ¹H NMR (benzene-d₆, 600 MHz, 25 °C): δ 8.35 (d, 4 H,
\( \text{o-C}_6\text{H}_5 \), 7.58 (t, 4 H, \( m\text{-C}_6\text{H}_5 \)), 7.37 (t, 2 H, \( p\text{-C}_6\text{H}_5 \)), 3.40 (br, 12 H, \( \text{CNCMe}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O} \)), 1.14 (br, 36 H, \( \text{CNCMe}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O} \)). \(^{11}\text{B} \text{NMR} \text{ (benzene-} d_6\text{, 192 MHz, 25 }^{\circ}\text{C): } \delta -17.9 \text{ (s).} \(^{13}\text{C} \{^{1}\text{H}\} \text{NMR} \text{ (benzene-} d_6\text{, 150 MHz, 25 }^{\circ}\text{C): } \delta 135.24 \text{ (o-} \text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{), 127.94 (} m\text{-C}_6\text{H}_5\text{), 126.96 (} p\text{-C}_6\text{H}_5\text{), 78.14 (CNCMe}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O), 67.74 (CNCMe}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O), 28.48 (CNCMe}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O). IR (KBr, cm}^{-1}\text{): 2963 s, 2899 s, 1572 (s } \nu\text{CN), 1463 s, 1433 s, 1387 w, 1367 s, 1252 s, 1194 s, 1176 m, 1151 m, 1085 w, 995 s, 973 s, 896 s, 837 s, 796 m, 745 m, 702 s, 668 w, 636 m. Anal. Calcd for C}_{42}\text{H}_{58}\text{B}_2\text{N}_6\text{O}_6\text{Yb: C, 53.80; H, 6.24; N, 8.96. Found: C, 53.56; H, 6.18; N, 8.72. Mp, 102 }^{\circ}\text{C, dec.}\n
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CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSION

General Conclusions

Rare-earth metals are found in abundance in earth’s crust, however, they do not find much use in catalytic chemistry. There is a desperate need to find utilization of these metals in catalysis as they are readily available at low cost. The fundamental study and incorporation of these elements in organometallic reactions and catalysis has lagged behind transition metals and main group metals. The projects described in this thesis have probed new rare-earth metal complexes, incorporating novel ligands, and explored catalytic pathways that are common in transition metal chemistry, but relatively unexplored in lanthanide group metals chemistry.

In this thesis we have described the synthesis of a series of tris(alkyl)lanthanide complexes with multiple β-hydrogens containing –C(SiHMe₂)₃ ligand. These compounds show the presence of β-agostic or non-classical interactions with the metal center as observed by single crystal X-ray diffraction and IR spectroscopy. There is no sign of β-hydride elimination even at elevated temperature for these compounds that was often considered as the catalyst degradation pathway in other early metal alkyl complexes bearing β-hydrogen. To explore the reactivity at the nucleophilic β-SiH position reactions with Lewis acids such as, B(C₆F₅)₃ were carried out. This reaction allowed us to understand that the most nucleophilic site in the complexes is not the carbanic carbon, but the Si-H bond to form the H-abstracted byproduct, silene dimer [Me₂SiC(SiHMe₂)₂]₂ and zwitterionic species Ln{C(SiHMe₃)₃}₂HB(C₆F₅)₃ (Ln = La, Ce, Pr, Nd).
The synthesis of the new lanthanide alkyl hydridoborate species, \( \text{Ln}\{\text{C(SiHMe}_3\}_2\text{HB(C}_6\text{F}_5\}_3 \) allowed us to explore their role as catalysts in hydrosilylation of \( \alpha,\beta \)-unsaturated carbonyl compounds. There has been no precedence in literature of any rare-earth metal to catalyze hydrosilylation reactions of carbonyl compounds. The catalytic site is argued to be the borane Lewis acid as no catalytic activity is observed with tris(alkyl) lanthanide compounds. This suggests the importance of a lanthanide catalyst in the presence of oxygenate substrates working in combination with a borane that lends both nucleophilicity (hydride donor ability) and Lewis acidity (electrophilic boron center).

Another part of my thesis describes the synthesis and characterization of bis(alkyl) lanthanide compounds bearing the multiple \( \beta \)-hydrogens containing \( \text{–C(SiHMe}_2\}_3 \) ligand. The difference between \( \text{Ln}(\text{III}) \) and \( \text{Ln}(\text{II}) \) alkyl compounds is the coordination of the donor ligand, THF in the case of \( \text{Ln}(\text{II}) \). The coordination of THF in \( \text{Ln}(\text{II}) \) alkyl compounds allows us to study the reactivity of these compounds at that site. It has been shown that we can displace two THF groups with one bulky N-heterocyclic carbene ligand and this replacement affects the role of the \( \text{Ln}(\text{II}) \) compounds as catalysts for dehydrocoupling of silanes and amine. The compounds, \( \text{Ln}\{\text{C(SiHMe}_2\}_3\}_2\text{THF}_2 \) and \( \text{LnC(SiHMe}_3\}_3\text{HB(C}_6\text{F}_5\}_3 \) do not catalyze the cross-dehydrocoupling reaction of organosilanes and amines whereas \( \text{Ln}\{\text{C(SiHMe}_2\}_3\}_2\text{Im}_\text{Bu} \) (\( \text{Ln} = \text{Yb, Sm} \)) does. This presents the first example of a rare-earth alkyl compound with carbene coordination to catalyze the dehydrocoupling reaction of organosilanes and amines.

Apart from working extensively with rare-earth alkyl compounds, my thesis also describes the synthesis of novel rare-earth silyl compounds. These are the first examples of bis(silyl) lanthanide compounds containing \( \beta \)-hydrogens in the newly developed \( \text{–Si(SiHMe}_2\}_3 \) ligand. They are structurally analogous to the \( \text{Ln}(\text{II}) \) alkyl compounds with presence of non-
classical interactions with the metal center. In both the alkyl and silyl chemistry, the presence of β-hydrogen provides a diagnostic IR handle. The SiH group has a characteristic $\nu_{\text{SiH}}$ band in the range of 2000 – 2100 cm$^{-1}$ region of the IR spectrum and characteristic chemical shifts in the $^1$H and $^{29}$Si NMR spectra. This allows us to explore and extend the scope of study for these classes of compounds. Thus, more in-depth studies and comparisons of the structural and behavioral properties amongst lanthanide compounds, transition metal and main group metal compounds can be performed.

Thus, we have demonstrated the synthesis of highly novel lanthanide compounds and explored their reactivity in catalysis which has remained as an unexplored field in the world of organometallic chemistry. The combination of the tris(alkyl) lanthanide compounds with Lewis acids provides access to utilize rare-earth metal compounds as catalysts. Synthesis of lanthanide alkyl and silyl compounds with chiral ancillary ligands could be developed which could open more avenues for exploring their chemistry and catalytic activity. Catalytic activity of neutral and cationic silyl lanthanide compounds would require additional investigation. The findings presented in this thesis are unique and open up many more areas of study and further exploration towards economical, viable and green chemistry transformations employing rare-earth metals.